Pacific Journal of Mathematics

INVARIANT MANIFOLDS FOR REGULAR POINTS

JORGE LEWOWICZ

Vol. 96, No. 1

November 1981

INVARIANT MANIFOLDS FOR REGULAR POINTS

JORGE LEWOWICZ

In this article we prove, for a differentiable vector field or a diffeomorphism on a smooth manifold, that the set of points such that the semitrajectories issuing from them approach a particular semitrajectory at a given exponential rate, constitute a differentiable submanifold, provided the differential of the flow has a certain similar behavior on that trajectory. (See Theorem 1 below, for a precise statement). In particular, the stable manifold theorem for hyperbolic sets ([3], [6, XI]) follows as a corollary.

Although we only consider the C^1 -case, the same methods, which are essentially classical ([2, Ch. XIII]), could be applied to obtain higher differentiability properties.

Since I have not seen in the literature this type of results for points which are neither equillibrium nor periodic points, and on account of [6, XI-8], I thought that their publication might not be entirely devoid of interest.

1. Terminology and notation are standard. If X is a differentiable vector field on a smooth manifold M, ϕ will always denote the corresponding flow, and ϕ_t the diffeomorphism $x \to \phi(x, t), x \in M, t \in R$. For brevity, we shall sometimes write x(t) or y(t) instead of $\phi(x, t)$ or $\phi(y, t)$ respectively.

THEOREM 1. Let M be compact smooth (C^{∞}) Riemannian manifold and X a C^1 -vector field. Assume that for some $x \in M$, there are subspaces $E, I; E \bigoplus I = T_x M$, such that for some positive mumbers $K, \lambda, \mu, \mu < \lambda$, we have

$$\| \phi_s'(x(t)) e_t \| < K e^{-\lambda s} \| e_t \| \quad for \quad e_t \in \phi_t'(x) E, \, s, \, t > 0 \; ,$$

and

$$(2) \|\phi_{-s}(x(t))i_t\| < K e^{\mu s} \|i_t\| \quad for \quad i_t \in \phi_t'(x)I, \ 0 < s < t \ .$$

Then, $W_{\lambda}(x) = \{y \in M / \overline{\lim} (1/t) \log \operatorname{dist} (\phi(y, t), \phi(x, t)) < -\lambda \}$ is a C¹-submanifold of M, such that $T_x W_{\lambda}(x) = E$.

Condition (1) means that ϕ'_t strongly contracts the bundle $\bigcup_{t>0} \phi'_t(x)E$, while (2), which is equivalent to

$$\|\phi_s'(x(t))i_t\| \geq He^{-\mu s}\|i_t\|$$
 , $t,s>0$

for some H > 0, only says that ϕ'_t does not contract as strongly on $\bigcup_{t>0} \phi'_t(x)I$.

The following theorem may be proved applying Theorem 1 to the suspension of M. (See [1], Ch. 1.)

THEOREM 2. Let M be a compact Riemannian smooth manifold and f a C^1 -diffeomorphism of M. Assume that there exists a point $x \in M$ and subspaces E_x , I_x , $E_x \bigoplus I_x = T_x M$ such that for some positive numbers K, p, q, p < q < 1, we have

$$\|(1)-\|f^{m'}(f^n(x))e_n\| < Kp^n\|e_n\|$$
 , for $e_n \in f^{n'}(x)E_x$, $m,\,n>0$.

$$(2) \quad \|f^{-m'}(f^n(x))i_n\| < Kq^{-m}\|i_n\|$$
 , for $i_n \in f^{n'}(x)I_x$, $0 < m < n$.

Then $W_p(x) = \{y \in M | \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} (1/n) \log \operatorname{dist}(f^n(y), f^n(x)) < -\log p\}$ is a C¹-submanifold of M, such that $T_x(W_p(x)) = E_x$.

Proof that Theorem 1 implies Theorem 2: Consider the suspension \hat{M} of M, equipped with some Riemannian metric, and the corresponding vector field X. (We shall identify M and $\pi(M \times \{0\}), \pi$ being the canonical projection of $M \times R$ onto \hat{M}).

Since $X \neq 0$ on M, Theorem 1 may be applied to the semitrajectory $\phi(x, t), t > 0$, taking E_x as E, the subspace spanned by I_x and X(x) as I, and letting $-\log p$, $-\log q$ play, respectively, the roles of λ and μ . In this way, we get a C^1 -submanifold $W_{\lambda}(x)$ of M; but if $y = \pi(y, s)$, and s is not an integer, dist $(\phi(y, t), \phi(x, t))$ is bounded away from zero for t > 0. Thus, $W_{\lambda}(x) \subset M$, and this clearly implies $W_{\lambda}(x) = W_p(x)$. Since $T_x W_{\lambda}(x) = E_x$, this completes the proof.

If x lies on a hyperbolic set ([3], [6]), its stable and unstable manifold may be obtained by a direct application of Theorem 2 (Theorem 1, if we were dealing with a vector field) to the diffeomorphisms f and f^{-1} .

2. The results of this section will enable us to replace the manifold M by an open subset of Euclidean space.

Let M be a compact connected smooth submanifold of R^N and let r be the retraction $x \to r(x)$, where r(x) is a point of M with the property

$$||x - r(x)|| = \operatorname{dist}(x, M) .$$

If the domain of r is restricted to a suitable neighborhood Ω of M, then r becomes a well defined smooth function (see [3]), such that r(x) - x is orthogonal to M for each $x \in \Omega$. Since for $x \in \Omega$, $r'(x): \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is of maximal rank $n = \dim M$, and r'(x)v = 0 if v is orthogonal to $T_{r(x)}M$, we have that for each $u \in T_{r(x)}M$ there is exactly one vector $v \in T_{r(x)}M$ such that r'(x)v = u.

If X is a vector field on M we may define a vector field Y on Ω by letting Y(x) be the unique vector of $T_{r(x)}M$ such that r'(x)Y(x) = X(r(x)). If $X \in C^r$, r > 1, then, clearly, $Y \in C^r$; also Y/M = X.

LEMMA 3. Let a be a real number and Z^a the vector field defined on Ω ,

$$Z^a = a(r(x) - x) + Y.$$

Then, the normal bundle N(M) of M is invariant under the flow ϕ^a determined by Z^a and

$$\| \phi^{a'}_t(x)
u \| = e^{-at} \| v \|$$

for every $x \in M$ and $v \in N_x(M)$.

Proof. The invariance of N(M) follows from the following relation:

$$r'(x)Z^{*}(x) = r'(x)Y(x) = X(r(x)) = Z^{*}(r(x))$$
 ,

which clearly implies that $r(\phi_t^{a'}(x)) = \phi_t^a(r(x))$ for $x \in \Omega$.

The assertion concerning the norm of $\phi_t^{a'}$ is a consequence of the following equalities, where we have written (,) for the inner product in \mathbb{R}^N :

$$egin{aligned} &Z^a(\|\,r(x)\,-\,x\,\|^2) = 2((r(x)\,-\,x),\,(r'(x)Z^a(x)\,-\,Z^a(x)))\ &= 2((r(x)\,-\,x),\,(Z^a(r(x))\,-\,Z^a(x)))\ &= 2((r(x)\,-\,x),\,X(r(x))\,-\,Y(x)\,-\,a(r(x)\,-\,x))\;. \end{aligned}$$

Since ((r(x)-x), X(r(x)) - Y(x)) = 0, we have that $Z^a(||r(x) - x||^2) = -2a ||r(x) - x||^2$. Therefore,

$$\| \, \phi^{a}(x,\,t) \, - \, \phi^{a}(r(x),\,t) \, \| \, = \, e^{-a\,t} \, \| \, x \, - \, r(x) \, \|$$
 ,

which clearly implies the thesis.

Consider now a C^1 -vector field X on an open connected subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^n , and a semitrajectory $\{\phi(x, t), t > 0\}$ of X, whose closure is compact and contained in Ω . Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4. Assume that there are subspaces E_0 , I_0 , $E_0 \bigoplus I_0 = R^n$, such that, writing $E_t(I_t)$ for $\phi'_t(x)E_0$ (resp. $\phi'_t(x)I_0$), we have

$$(1) \qquad \|\phi_s'(x(t))e_t\| < Ke^{-\lambda s} \|e_t\| \,, \ \ for \ \ e_t \in E_t, \, t>0, \, s>0$$
 ,

$$(\ 2\) \qquad \| \, \phi_{-s}'(x(t)) i_t \| < K e^{\mu s} \| \, i_t \|$$
 , for $i_t \in I_t$, $0 < s < t$,

for some positive numbers, K, λ , μ , $\mu < \lambda$.

Then $W_{\lambda}(x) = \{y \in \Omega / \overline{\lim}_{t \to \infty} (1/t) \log \| \phi(y, t) - \phi(x, t) \| < -\lambda \}$ is a C¹-submanifold of R^n tangent to E_0 at x.

Proof that Proposition 4 implies Theorem 1. We may assume that M is embedded in, say, \mathbb{R}^n . Extend the vector field X to a neighborhood Ω of M as in the previous lemma, choosing $a > \lambda$. Let E_0 be the subspace spanned by E and $N_x(M)$ and take $I_0 = I$; we may now apply Proposition 4 to get a C^1 -submanifold $W'_\lambda(x)$ of \mathbb{R}^n . Then, $W_\lambda(x) = r(W'_\lambda(x))$, is a manifold (see [4], Lemma 3) and since $r'(x)E_0 = E$, the proof is complete.

3. In this section we prove two preliminary results.

Consider, as before, a C^1 -vector field X on an open connected subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and a semitrajectory $\{\phi(x, t), t > 0\}$ whose compact closure is included in Ω . Let E_t , I_t , t > 0 be as in Proposition 4, and call $P_t(Q_t)$ the projection of \mathbb{R}^n onto $E_t(I_t)$ along $I_t(\text{resp. } E_t)$.

LEMMA 5. There is a positive number M, such that $||P_t|| < M$, $||Q_t|| < M$, t > 0.

Proof. Suppose that $||P_t||$ is not bounded for t > 0. Then we may find a sequence $t_n \to \infty$ and vectors $e_{t_n} \in E_{t_n}$, $i_{t_n} \in I_{t_n}$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ such that $||e_{t_n}|| \to \infty$ and $||e_{t_n} + i_{t_n}|| = 1$. Moreover, we may assume that $\phi(x, t_n)$ converges to $y \in \Omega$, and that $(e_{t_n}/||e_{t_n}||)$ converges to some unit vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $(-i_{t_n}/||i_{t_n}||)$ must also converge to u, we have that for t > 0, $||\phi_i'(y)u|| < Ke^{-\lambda t}$ and $||\phi_i'(y)u|| > He^{-\mu t}$ (see 2') in §2) which is absurd. Inasmuch as $P_t + Q_t = Id, t > 0$, this completes the proof.

The following technical lemma will be useful.

LEMMA 6. Assume that $\phi(y, t)$ is defined in $|0, b\rangle$. Then, for 0 < t < b, we have

$$\phi(y, t) - \phi(x, t) = \phi'_t(x)(y - x) + \int_0^t \phi'_{t-s}(x(s)) \varDelta(x(s), y(s)) ds$$
 ,

where $\Delta(x, y) = X(y) - X(x) - J(x)(y - x)$.

Proof. From

$$egin{aligned} & rac{d}{dt}(\phi(y,\,t) - \phi(x,\,t)) = X(\phi(y,\,t)) - X(\phi(x,\,t)) \ & = J(x(t))(y(t) - x(t)) + arDelta(x(t),\,y(t)) \;, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$egin{aligned} \phi_{-t}'(x(t)) &rac{d}{dt}(y(t) - x(t)) - \phi_{-t}'(x(t))J(x(t))(y(t) - x(t)) \ &= \phi_{-t}'(x(t)) arDelta(x(t), \ y(t)) \ , \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$rac{d}{dt}(\phi_{-t}'(x(t))(y(t)-x(t)))=\phi_{-t}'(x(t))arDelta(x(t),\,y(t))$$

since $\phi'_{-t}(x(t)) \cdot \phi'_t(x) = Id$ and $(d/dt)\phi'_t(x) = J(x(t))\phi'_t(x)$ ([2], Ch. I). By integration we find

$$\phi'_{-t}(x(t))(y(t) - x(t)) = (y - x) + \int_{0}^{t} \phi'_{-s}(x(s)) \varDelta(x(s), y(s)) ds$$

and applying $\phi'_t(x)$ on the left we obtain the thesis of the lemma.

4. LEMMA 7. Assume that y(t), t > 0, is a semitrajectory of X such that $||y(t) - x(t)|| < \alpha e^{-\gamma t}$, where $\alpha > 0$ and $\mu < \gamma < \lambda$. Then y(t) satisfies the integral equation

$$egin{aligned} y(t) &= x(t) + \phi_t'(x) P_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(y-x) + \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^t \phi_{t-s}' P_s arDelta(x(s),\,(s)) ds \ &- \int_t^\infty \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) Q_s arDelta(x(s),\,y(s)) ds \ . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 6 we get

$$egin{aligned} y(t) - x(t) &= \phi_t'(x) P_0(y-x) + \int_0^t \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) P_s arDelta(x(s),\ y(s)) ds \ &+ \phi_t'(x) (Q_0(y-x) + \int_0^t \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) Q_s arDelta(x(s),\ y(s)) ds \ &+ \end{aligned}$$

Since for large s,

$$X(y(s)) - X(x(s)) = \int_0^1 J((1-u)x(s) + uy(s)) du(y(s) - x(s))$$
 ,

we have that $|| \Delta(x(s), y(s)) || < c || y(s) - x(s) ||$ for some c > 0; if c is taken large enough, the same inequality holds for all s > 0. Then, from the above formula we obtain, on account of (1), that

$$egin{aligned} &\left\|\phi_t'(x)(Q_0(y-x))+\int_0^t&\!\!\!\!\phi_{-s}'(x(s))Q_sarphi(x(s),\,y(s))ds
ight\|e^{\gamma t}\ &$$

which is bounded for t > 0. By (2') this implies the boundedness,

for t > 0, of

$$\left\| Q_0(y - x) + \int_0^t \phi_{-s}'(x(s)) Q_s \varDelta(x(s), y(s)) ds \right\| e^{(\gamma - \mu)t}$$

Thus, $Q_0(y - x) = -\int_0^\infty \phi'_{-s}(x(s))Q_s \mathcal{A}(x(s), y(s))ds$ as we had to show. On the other hand it is important to notice that if $y(t), t \ge 0$ is

a continuous function with values in Ω that satisfies the integral equation

$$egin{aligned} y(t) &= x(t) \,+\, \phi_t'(x) e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \,+\, \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^t \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) P_s arDelta(x(s),\,y(s)) ds \ &-\, \int_t^\infty \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) Q_s arDelta(x(s),\,y(s)) ds \;, \end{aligned}$$

 $e_0 \in E_0$, then y(t) is also a trajectory of X with $P_0(y(0) - x) = e_0$. In fact, since the differentiability of y(t) follows by inspection of the right hand side of the equation, we may differentiate both sides to get

$$\dot{y}(t) = \dot{x}(t) + J(x(t))(y(t) - x(t)) + \varDelta(x(t), y(t)) = X(y(t))$$

5. For each $\alpha > 0$, and $\gamma, \mu < \gamma < \lambda$, let $y_{\alpha}(\gamma)$ be the space of continuous functions $t \to y(t), y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \ge 0$, such that $||y(t) - x(t)|| < \alpha e^{-\gamma t}$. If $y, z \in y_{\alpha}(\gamma)$, let

$$d(y, z) = \sup_{t>0} || y(t) - z(t) || e^{\gamma t};$$

it is not difficult to check, that with d as the distance, $y_{\alpha}(\gamma)$ becomes a complete metric space.

Now for $e_0 \in E_0$, consider the operator $T_{e_0}: y \to z$, where $y \in y_{\alpha}(\gamma)$ and $z: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$egin{aligned} z(t) &= x(t) \,+\, \phi_t'(x) e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \,+\, \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^t \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) P_s arDelta(x(s),\,y(s)) ds \ &-\, \int_t^\infty \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) Q_s arDelta(x(s),\,y(s)) ds \ ; \end{aligned}$$

the fact that $\gamma > \mu$ ensures the convergence of the improper integral. Since for y close to x

$$\Delta(x, y) = \left(\int_{0}^{1} (J(1-u)x + uy) - J(x)du\right)(y-x),$$

the continuity of J implies that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, it is possible to choose $\alpha = \alpha(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that if $||y - x|| < \alpha$,

$$\| \varDelta(x, y) \| < \varepsilon \| y - x \|$$
.

For a given γ , $\mu < \gamma < \lambda$, choose $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\gamma)$ such that $\varepsilon KM((\lambda - \gamma)^{-1} + (\gamma - \mu)^{-1}) = 1/2$, and let $\alpha(\gamma)$ or simply α , be the corresponding $\alpha(\varepsilon(\gamma))$.

LEMMA 8. For each $e_0 \in E_0$ with $||e_0|| < \alpha/(2K)$, T_{e_0} is a contraction of $y_{\alpha}(\gamma)$.

Proof. We first show that for those e_0 , T_{e_0} : $y_{\alpha}(\gamma) \rightarrow y_{\alpha}(\gamma)$.

Let $t \to y(t)$ belong to $y_{\alpha}(\gamma)$, and let $z = T_{\epsilon_0}(y)$; then, by (1) and (2), we have, for t > 0,

$$egin{aligned} &\|z(t)-x(t)\|\,e^{\gamma t} \leq K e^{-(\lambda-\gamma)t}\|\,e_0\|\ &+ KM \! \in \! lpha e^{-(\lambda-\gamma)t} \int_0^t\!\!e^{(\lambda-\gamma)s} ds + KM \! arepsilon lpha e^{(\gamma-\mu)t} \! \int_t^\infty\!\!e^{(\mu-\gamma)s} ds \ &< K\|\,e_0\| + lpha \! \in \! KM \! \Big(rac{1}{\lambda-\gamma} + rac{1}{\mu-\gamma} \Big) \leq lpha \ . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $y, \bar{y} \in y_{\alpha}(\gamma)$ and $z = T_{e_0}(y), \bar{z} = T_{e_0}(\bar{y})$, we have that

for $t \ge 0$, and consequently, $d(z, \overline{z}) < (1/2)d(y, \overline{y})$. This completes the proof.

Thus, if e_0 is small enough, there is one and only one fixed point $y(t, e_0)$ of T_{e_0} in $y_{\alpha}(\gamma)$, and on account of previous remarks, this fixed point is the unique semitrajectory of the vector field X, satisfying $P_0(y(0, e_0) - x) = e_0$ that belongs to $y_{\alpha}(\gamma)$.

Since the continuity in e_0 of $y(t, e_0)$ is an easy consequence of uniqueness, and $y(0, e_0) = y(0, e'_0)$ implies readily $e_0 = e'_0$, we may state, letting $f = y(0, e_0)$:

COROLLARY 9. Let $B_{\alpha} = \{e_0 \in E_0 | \|e_0\| < \alpha/2K\}$. There is a continuous injective function $f: B_{\alpha} \to R^n$ with the following property: a semitrajectory of $X, \phi(y, t), t \ge 0$, satisfies

$$\|\phi(y,\,t)-x(t)\| , and $P_0(y-x)=e_0\in B_lpha$, if and only if, $y=f(e_0).$$$

6. Now we study the differentiability properties of $f(e_0)$ or

 $y(t, e_0)$. If the derivative of $y(t, e_0)$ in the direction of the unit vector $u \in E_0$ exists at e_0 , and if we could differentiate under the integral sign, we would have that this derivative, $z_u(t, e_0)$, $||e_0|| < \alpha/(2K)$, satisfies:

$$egin{aligned} & z_u(t,\,e_0) = \phi_t'(x) u \,+\, \int_0^t \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) P_s(J(y(s,\,e_0)) \,-\, J(x(s))) z_u(s,\,e_0) ds \ & -\, \int_t^\infty \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) Q_s(J(y(s,\,e_0)) \,-\, J(x(s))) z_u(s,\,e_0) ds \ . \end{aligned}$$

Let V be the space of continuous functions $(t, e_0) \rightarrow z(t, e_0), t > 0$, $||e_0|| < \alpha/2K, z(t, e_0) \in R^n$, such that $||z(t, e_0)|| < 2Ke^{-\gamma t}$. With the distance d,

$$d(\pmb{z},ar{\pmb{z}}) = \sup_{\substack{t>0\ert \in |e_0|| < lpha/2K}} \|\pmb{z}(t,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) - ar{\pmb{z}}(t,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\| e^{ au t} \;,$$

V is a complete metric space.

LEMMA 10. For $z \in V$, define $T_u(z) = w$ by

$$egin{aligned} w(t,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) &= \phi_t'(x)u\,+\,\int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^t\!\!\!\phi_{t-s}'(x(s))P_s(J(y(s,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}))\,-\,J(x(s)))z(s,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})ds \ &-\,\int_t^\infty\!\!\!\phi_{t-s}'(x(s))Q_s(J(y(s,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}))\,-\,J(x(s)))z(s,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})ds \ . \end{aligned}$$

Then, for each $u \in E_0$, ||u|| = 1, T_u is a contraction of V.

Proof. Since

$$egin{aligned} &\|w(t,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\| \leqq Ke^{-\lambda t}+2K^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}Marepsilon e^{-\lambda t}\int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{t}\!\!\!\!e^{(\lambda-\gamma)s}ds\ &+2K^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}Marepsilon e^{-\mu t}\int_{\scriptscriptstyle t}^{\infty}\!\!\!\!e^{(\mu-\gamma)s}ds\ &\leqq 2Ke^{-\gamma t}$$
 ,

 T_{u} maps V into V. The fact that T_{u} is a contraction follows at once from the inequality

$$egin{aligned} &\|w(t,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\,-\,ar{w}(t,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\,\| < KMarepsilon e^{-\lambda t}\!\!\int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{t}\!\!e^{(\lambda-\gamma)s}d(z,\,ar{z})ds\ &+\,KMarepsilon e^{-\mu t}\!\int_{t}^{\infty}\!\!e^{(\mu-\gamma)s}d(z,\,ar{z})ds \end{aligned}$$

and the choice of ε .

Now, for $h \neq 0$, consider the quotient

$$q_u(h, t, e_0) = rac{1}{h}(y(t, e_0 + hu) - y(t, e_0))$$

= $\phi'_x(t)u$

$$\begin{split} &+ \int_{0}^{t} \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) P_{s} \frac{1}{h} (X(y(s, e_{0} + hu)) - X(y(s, e_{0}))) \\ &- J(x(s)) q_{u}(h, s, e_{0})) ds \\ &- \int_{t}^{\infty} \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) Q_{s} \frac{1}{h} (X(y(s, e_{0} + hu)) - X(y(s, e_{0}))) \\ &- J(x(s)) q_{u}(h, s, e_{0})) ds , \end{split}$$

and the difference

$$\begin{split} \delta_u(h, t, e_0) &= q_u(h, t, e_0) - z_u(t, e_0) \\ &= \int_0^t \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) P_s(J(y(s, e_0)) - J(x(s))) \delta_u(h, s, e_0) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) P_s D_u(h, s, e_0) ds \\ &- \int_t^\infty \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) Q_s(J(y(s, e_0)) - J(x(s))) \delta_u(h, s, e_0) ds \\ &- \int_t^\infty \phi_{t-s}'(x(s)) Q_s D_u(h, s, e_0) ds , \end{split}$$

where

$$D_u(h, s, e_0) = \frac{1}{h}(X(y(s, e_0 + hu)) - X(y(s, e_0))) - J(y(s, e_0))q_u(h, s, e_0) .$$

Let $m(h) = \sup_{t>0} \|\delta_u(h, t, e_0)\| e^{\gamma t}, h \neq 0$; then, since

$$\|q(h)\| \leq (m(h)+2K)e^{-rt}$$
 ,

from the last equation we get, on account of

$$\|D_u(h, t, e_0)\|$$

 $\leq \left\|\int_0^1 J((1-r)y(t, e_0) + ry(t, e_0 + hu))dr - J(y(t, e_0))\right\| \|q_u(h, t, e)\|,$

that

$$egin{aligned} &\|\delta_{u}(h,\,t,\,e_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\,\|\,e^{\gamma t} &\leq rac{KMarepsilon m(h)}{\lambda-\gamma} + rac{KM
ho(h)}{\lambda-\gamma}(m(h)\,+\,2K) \ &+ rac{KMarepsilon m(h)}{\gamma-\mu} + rac{KM
ho(h)}{\gamma-\mu}(m(h)\,+\,2K) \;, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$ho(h) = \sup_{t \ge 0} \left\| \int_0^1 dr J((1-r)y(t, e_0)) + r(y(t, e_0 + hu)) - J(y(t, e_0)) \right\|.$$

Because of the choice of ε , we may write the last inequality, as

$$\Big(rac{1}{2}-KM\Big(rac{1}{\lambda-\gamma}+rac{1}{\gamma-\mu}\Big)
ho(h)\Big)m(h)\leq 2K^2M\Big(rac{1}{\lambda-\gamma}+rac{1}{\gamma-\mu}\Big)
ho(h)\;.$$

Since $\lim_{h\to 0} \rho(h) = 0$, we get that $\lim_{h\to 0} m(h) = 0$.

This shows that the derivative of $y(t, e_0)$ in the *u* direction is the continuous function $z_u(t, e_0)$. In particular, it follows that f (see Corollary 9) is a C^1 -function.

COROLLARY 11. Let $B_{\alpha,t_0} = \{e_{t_0} \in E_{t_0} / ||e_{t_0}|| \leq \alpha/(2K)\}$. For each $t_0 \geq 0$ there is a continuously differentiable injective function $f_{t_0}: B_{\alpha,t_0} \to R^n$ with the following property: a semitrajectory of $X, \phi(y, t), t > 0$, satisfies $\|\phi(y, t) - x(t_0 + t)\| < \alpha e^{-\gamma t}$ for t > 0, and $P_{t_0}(y - x(t_0)) = e_{t_0} \in B_{\alpha,t_0}$, if and only if, $y = f_{t_0}(e_{t_0})$. Furthermore, $f_{t_0}'(0)u = u, u \in E_{t_0}$.

Proof. It is clear that we would have obtained the same results if we had started from any semitrajectory $\phi(x(t_0), t), t \ge 0, t_0 \ge 0$. Moreover, it is easy to check that, for a fixed γ , the constants $\varepsilon(\gamma)$ and $\alpha(\gamma)$ that we have chosen for the semitrajectory $x(t), t \ge 0$, are also adequate for the semitrajectories $\phi(x(t_0), t), t \ge 0, t_0 \ge 0$. So, with the exception of the last one, all the assertions of the corollary are a consequence of previous arguments. The last statement follows by inspection of the integral equation satisfied by $z_u(t, e_{t_0})$ in the case $e_{t_0} = 0$.

7. LEMMA 12. Assume that for some L > 0 and some $\gamma, \mu < \gamma < \lambda$, $\|\phi(y, t) - x(t)\| \leq Le^{-\gamma t}$, $t \geq 0$. Then $y \in W_{\lambda}(x)$.

Proof. Let γ' be a number greater than γ and less than, but close enough to λ . We may assume that $\alpha(\gamma') < \alpha(\gamma)$; take $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$Le^{-\gamma t_0} < lpha(\gamma') \; ; \; \; \; Le^{-\gamma t_0} < rac{M lpha(\gamma')}{2K}$$

and observe that as a consequence of the last inequality, there is a point $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that

$$\| \phi(\pmb{z},\,t) - x(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} + t) \| < lpha(\gamma') e^{-\gamma' t}$$
 ,

for $t \ge 0$ and $P_{t_0}(z - x(t_0)) = P_{t_0}(\phi(y, t_0) - x(t_0))$.

As both, $\|\phi(z, t) - x(t_0 + t)\|$ and $\|\phi(y, t_0 + t) - x(t_0 + t)\|$ are less than $\alpha(\gamma)e^{-\gamma t}$ we must have $\phi(z, t) = \phi(\phi(y, t_0), t)$ for $t \ge 0$, which implies $\|\phi(y, t) - x(t)\| \le Ne^{-\gamma' t}$, $t \ge 0$, for some N > 0.

Since γ' may be chosen arbitrarily close to λ , this completes the proof.

Proof of Proposition 4. Let $y \in W_{\lambda}(x)$; we have that for some L > 0, and some γ , $\mu < \gamma < \lambda$, $\|\phi(y, t) - x(t)\| \leq Le^{-\gamma t}$, if $t \geq 0$. Take a $t_0 > 0$ such that $Le^{-\gamma t_0} < \alpha(\gamma)$, $Le^{-\gamma t_0} < M(2K)^{-1}\alpha(\gamma)$. Then $\phi_{-t_0} \circ f_{t_0}$: $B_{\alpha,t_0} \to R^n$ is an injective C^1 -function such that its range contains y and, by the previous lemma, it lies on $W_{\lambda}(x)$. Define the topology of $W_{\lambda}(x)$ making $\phi_{-t_0} \circ f_{t_0}$ to be a homeomorphism onto a neighborhood of y in $W_{\lambda}(x)$. The C^1 -compatibility of the atlas constructed in this way is a consequence of Corollary 11 and the differentiability properties of the flow. The assertion concerning the tangent space to $W_{\lambda}(x)$ at x also follows from the corollary.

References

1. V. Arnold e V. Avez, Problémes Ergodiques de la Mechánique Classique, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1967.

2. E. Coddington and N. Levinson, Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.

3. M. Hirsch and C. Pugh, *Stable Manifolds and hyperbolic sets*, Proceedings of Symposia in pure mathematics XIV, American Math. Soc.

4. J. Lewowicz, Stability Properties of a class of attractors, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 185, 1973.

5. J. Milnor, Topology from the differentiable viewpoint, University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville, 1965.

6. J. Palis, Seminario de Sistemas Dinámicos, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 1971.

UNIVERSIDAD SIMON BOLIVAR Apartado Postal 80659 Caracas, Venezuela

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 96, No. 1November, 1981

Hédi Amara, Groupe des classes et unité fondamentale des extensions
quadratiques relatives à un corps quadratique imaginaire principal1
Douglas S. Bridges, On the isolation of zeroes of an analytic function 13
Andrew J. Casson and John L. Harer, Some homology lens spaces which
bound rational homology balls
Z. A. Chanturia, On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of the
classes $H^{\omega} \cap V[v]$
JF. Colombeau and Mário Carvalho Matos, On some spaces of entire
functions defined on infinite-dimensional spaces
Edwin Duda, Pointwise periodic homeomorphisms on chainable continua77
Richard F. Gustafson, A simple genus one knot with incompressible
spanning surfaces of arbitrarily high genus
Fumio Hiai, Masanori Ohya and Makoto Tsukada, Sufficiency, KMS
condition and relative entropy in von Neumann algebras
Ted Hurley, Intersections of terms of polycentral series of free groups and
free Lie algebras. II
Robert Edward Jamison, II, Partition numbers for trees and ordered sets115
R. D. Ketkar and N. Vanaja, A note on FR-perfect modules141
Michihiko Kikkawa, On Killing-Ricci forms of Lie triple algebras153
Jorge Lewowicz, Invariant manifolds for regular points
Richard W. Marsh, William H. Mills, Robert L. Ward, Howard Rumsey
and Lloyd Richard Welch, Round trinomials 175
Claude Schochet, Topological methods for C^* -algebras. I. Spectral
sequences
Yong Sian So, Polynomial near-fields?
Douglas Wayne Townsend, Imaginary values of meromorphic functions in
the disk
Kiyoshi Watanabe, Coverings of a projective algebraic manifold
Martin Michael Zuckerman, Choosing <i>l</i> -element subsets of <i>n</i> -element
sets