Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CHOOSING *l*-ELEMENT SUBSETS OF *n*-ELEMENT SETS

MARTIN MICHAEL ZUCKERMAN

Vol. 96, No. 1

November 1981

CHOOSING \checkmark -ELEMENT SUBSETS OF *n*-ELEMENT SETS

MARTIN M. ZUCKERMAN

We consider axioms that assert the possibility of choosing a subset of an n-element set. We study the interdependence of these axioms and of the more usual axioms of choice for n-element sets.

The discussion takes place within any of the usual systems of set theory without the axiom of choice. Our logical framework is the first-order predicate calculus with identity. Lower case letters stand for natural numbers. Throughout this paper, we let $n \ge 2$ and $\ell \ge 1$. At first, we assume $n > \ell$.

Let [n] be the statement: "For every nonempty set X of *n*-element sets there is a function f with domain X such that for each A in X, $f(A) \in A$." Here, [n] is called the axiom of choice for *n*-element sets. (See [1].)

Let $S(n, \checkmark)$ be the statement: "For every nonempty set X of *n*-element sets there is a function f with domain X such that for each A in X, f(A) is an \checkmark -element subset of A."

Let $T(n, \checkmark)$ be the statement: "For every nonempty set X of *n*-element sets there is a function f with domain X such that for each A in X, f(A) is a nonempty subset of A with at most \checkmark elements."

Finally, let $T^*(n, n-1)$ be the statement: "For every nonempty set X of *n*-element sets there is a function f with domain X such that for each A in X, $f(A) = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$, where A_1 and A_2 are pairwise disjoint nonempty subsets of A whose union is A.

Observe that T(n, n - 1) asserts the possibility of choosing a nonempty proper subset of each *n*-element set, whereas $T^*(n, n-1)$ asserts the possibility of ordering the partition thereby obtained. Clearly, $T(n, n - 1) \leftrightarrow T^*(n, n - 1)$.

The following relationships are also immediate.

$$S(n, \mathscr{L}) \longleftrightarrow S(n, n - \mathscr{L})$$

$$S(n, 1) \longleftrightarrow S(n, n - 1) \longleftrightarrow T(n, 1) \longleftrightarrow [n]$$

$$\left[\binom{n}{\mathscr{L}} \right] \longrightarrow S(n, \mathscr{L})$$

$$[2^{n} - 2] \longrightarrow T(n, n - 1)$$

For convenience, for $n \leq \ell$, let $S(n, \ell) = S(n, n-1)$ and $T(n, \ell) = T(n, n-1)$.

Now let k, ℓ, m, n be natural numbers such that $k \ge 0, \ell \ge 1$,

 $m \ge 2$, and $n \ge 2$. If $\ell < k$, then clearly,

 $S(n, \checkmark) \longrightarrow T(n, \checkmark) \longrightarrow T(n, k)$.

Theorem 1 generalizes the first of these relationships.

THEOREM 1. For
$$\ell < n$$
 and $n - \ell < m \leq n$, $S(n, \ell) \longrightarrow T(m, \ell)$.

Proof. Let X be a nonempty set of *m*-element sets. For each A in X, let A' consist of the first n - m natural numbers that are not in A and let $A'' = A \cup A'$. We use $S(n, \checkmark)$ to obtain an \checkmark -element subset of A''. At least one element of this subset belongs to A.

The next two theorems generalize Tarski's result:

$$[kn] \longrightarrow [n]$$

(See [2], p. 99.)

THEOREM 2. For $\ell < n$ and for $k \ge 0$,

 $(S(n, \checkmark) \land [kn + \checkmark]) \longrightarrow [n]$.

Proof. Let X be a nonempty set of *n*-element sets and let $A \in X$. We use $S(n, \checkmark)$ to choose an \checkmark -element subset B of A.

If k = 0, we use $[\checkmark]$ to pick an element of B.

If k > 0, we use $[kn + \epsilon]$ to pick an element of $(B \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times \{1, 2, \dots, k\})$. Let f(A) be the first coordinate of this chosen element.

Proof. Let X be a nonempty set of *n*-element sets and let $X' = \{A \times k: A \in X\}.$

(a) We choose a subset of at most \checkmark elements of each $A \times k$ in X'. For each such chosen subset, let B be the set of first coordinates. Then B is a nonempty subset of A and has at most \checkmark elements.

(b) If $\ell \geq kn$, then

$$S(kn, \checkmark) \longleftrightarrow S(kn, kn - 1) \longleftrightarrow [kn]$$

$$[kn] \longrightarrow [n] \longrightarrow T(n, \checkmark)$$
.

If $\ell < kn$, we choose an ℓ -element subset C of each $A \times k$ in X'. Not every a in A appears the same number of times as the first coordinate of a member of C. Let B be the set of those a that appear the maximal number of times in this role. If $\ell < n, B$ is nonempty and has at most ℓ elements. If $\ell > n, B$ is a nonempty proper subset of A. In both cases, the axiom $T(n, \ell)$ is realized.

Henceforth, let A be a nonempty finite set of natural numbers greater than 1. If $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$, let $S(A, \checkmark)$ denote

$$S(a_1, \mathscr{L}) \wedge S(a_2, \mathscr{L}) \wedge \cdots \wedge S(a_m, \mathscr{L})$$
.

For $n > \ell$, we say that *n* is an A_{ℓ} -number if for some $j \ge 1$ and some *k* satisfying $0 \le k < \ell$, $jn + k \in A$. Furthermore, for all $n \ge 2$ and $\ell \ge 1$, we say that *A* and *n* satisfy condition \mathscr{K}_{ℓ} if either

(i) n is an A_{ℓ} -number, or both

 $(ii)_{a} n = rp$ for some prime p in A, and

(ii)_b whenever $n = n_1 + n_2$ for $n_1 > \ell$ and $n_2 > \ell$, then either A and n_1 or else A and n_2 satisfy condition \mathscr{A}_{ℓ} .

LEMMA. Let p be a prime and let $\ell \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$. Then

 $S(p, \checkmark) \longrightarrow T(rp, rp - 1)$.

(The lemma is Theorem 2(g) of [3]. See also [4].)

THEOREM 4. Let A be as above, let $n \ge 2$ and $\ell \ge 1$, and suppose A and n satisfy condition \mathscr{A}_{ℓ} . Then $S(A, \ell) \to T(n, \ell)$.

Proof. Assume A and n satisfy condition \mathcal{M}_{e} .

If n is an A_{ℓ} -number, then for some $j \ge 1$ and for some k satisfying $0 \le k < \ell$, $S(jn + k, \ell)$ is true. By Theorem 1, $T(jn, \ell)$ must be true, and by Theorem 3, $T(n, \ell)$ is true.

If n is not an A_{ℓ} -number, then n = rp for some prime p in A. By our hypothesis, $S(p, \ell)$ is true. By the lemma, T(n, n-1) is true.

If $2 \leq n \leq \ell$, then $T(n, n-1) = T(n, \ell)$.

If $\ell < n < 2\ell$, we use $T^*(n, n-1)$ to obtain $\langle B_1, B_2 \rangle$, where $\{B_1, B_2\}$ forms a partition of an element *B* of a nonempty set of *n*-element sets. At least one of these subsets, B_1 or B_2 , has at most ℓ elements. We choose the first of these with this property. Thus, $T(n, \ell)$ is true.

Now assume that for n' < n, whenever A and n' satisfy condition \mathscr{M}_{ℓ} , then $S(A, \ell) \to T(n', \ell)$.

Let $n \ge 2\checkmark$ and suppose $S(A, \checkmark)$ is true. We use $T^*(n, n-1)$ to obtain $\langle B_1, B_2 \rangle$, as in the preceding paragraph. If one of the subsets B_1 or B_2 of B has at most \checkmark elements, then $T(n, \checkmark)$ is realized. Otherwise, one of these subsets has n_1 elements, the other has $n - n_1$ elements, and both $n > \checkmark$ and $n - n_1 > \checkmark$. By (ii), either A and n_1 satisfy condition $\mathscr{N}_{\varepsilon}$ or else A and $n - n_1$ satisfy condition $\mathscr{N}_{\varepsilon}$. By the inductive hypothesis, either $T(n_1, \checkmark)$ or $T(n - n_1, \checkmark)$ is true. We can therefore choose a nonempty subset of at most \checkmark elements of one of the subsets B_1 or B_2 of B. Thus, $T(n, \checkmark)$ is true.

Let A be as above and let $n \ge 2$. Let P(A, n) be the statement: "For every prime partition of n, that is, whenever $n = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_k$, one of these primes is in A."

THEOREM 5. Assume P(A, n). Then for all ℓ , $S(A, \ell) \rightarrow T(n, \ell)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that if P(A, n), then for all $\ell \ge 1$, A and n satisfy condition \mathscr{M}_{ℓ} .

Assume P(A, n) and let $\ell \geq 1$.

If n is prime and $n > \ell$, then n is an A_{ℓ} -number. If n is prime and $n \leq \ell$, then (ii)_a and (ii)_b of condition \mathscr{M}_{ℓ} are satisfied.

Suppose that n is composite and that for all k, $2 \leq k < n$, whenever P(A, k), then A and k satisfy condition A_{ϵ} . By P(A, n), each prime factor of n is in A. Let $n = n_1 + n_2$, where $n_1 > \epsilon$ and $n_2 > \epsilon$. Suppose there is a prime partition of n_1 with no summand in A. Then by P(A, n), every prime partition of n_2 has a summand in A. Thus, $P(A, n_1)$ or $P(A, n_2)$. By the inductive hypothesis, either A and n_1 or else A and n_2 satisfy condition \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} . Therefore, A and n satisfy condition \mathcal{M}_{ϵ} .

Independence results concerning these axioms can be found in [3].

References

4. _____, Errata to the paper "On choosing subsets of n-element sets", Fund. Math.. 66 (1969).

Received October 25, 1978.

THE CITY COLLEGE OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK NEW YORK, NY 10031 AND THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

^{1.} A. Mostowski, Axiom of choice for finite sets, Fund. Math., 33 (1945), 137-168.

^{2.} W. Sierpiński, Cardinal and ordinal numbers, 1st ed., Monografie Matematyczne, 34, Warszawa, 1958.

^{3.} M. Zuckerman, On choosing subsets of n-element sets, Fund. Math., **64** (1969), 163-179.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 96, No. 1November, 1981

Hédi Amara, Groupe des classes et unité fondamentale des extensions
quadratiques relatives à un corps quadratique imaginaire principal1
Douglas S. Bridges, On the isolation of zeroes of an analytic function 13
Andrew J. Casson and John L. Harer, Some homology lens spaces which
bound rational homology balls
Z. A. Chanturia, On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of the
classes $H^{\omega} \cap V[v]$
JF. Colombeau and Mário Carvalho Matos, On some spaces of entire
functions defined on infinite-dimensional spaces
Edwin Duda, Pointwise periodic homeomorphisms on chainable continua77
Richard F. Gustafson, A simple genus one knot with incompressible
spanning surfaces of arbitrarily high genus
Fumio Hiai, Masanori Ohya and Makoto Tsukada, Sufficiency, KMS
condition and relative entropy in von Neumann algebras
Ted Hurley, Intersections of terms of polycentral series of free groups and
free Lie algebras. II
Robert Edward Jamison, II, Partition numbers for trees and ordered sets115
R. D. Ketkar and N. Vanaja, A note on FR-perfect modules141
Michihiko Kikkawa, On Killing-Ricci forms of Lie triple algebras153
Jorge Lewowicz, Invariant manifolds for regular points
Richard W. Marsh, William H. Mills, Robert L. Ward, Howard Rumsey
and Lloyd Richard Welch, Round trinomials 175
Claude Schochet, Topological methods for C^* -algebras. I. Spectral
sequences
Yong Sian So, Polynomial near-fields?
Douglas Wayne Townsend, Imaginary values of meromorphic functions in
the disk
Kiyoshi Watanabe, Coverings of a projective algebraic manifold
Martin Michael Zuckerman, Choosing <i>l</i> -element subsets of <i>n</i> -element
sets