

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON THE REPRESENTATION THEORY OF RINGS IN MATRIX
FORM**

EDWARD LEWIS GREEN

ON THE REPRESENTATION THEORY OF RINGS IN MATRIX FORM

EDWARD L. GREEN

We study the category of modules for rings of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where M is a $A_2 - A_1$ -bimodule and N is a $A_1 - A_2$ -bimodule. We first obtain a structural result and then study special cases of such rings. The goal is to reduce the study of modules over such rings to modules over generalized lower triangular rings.

Rings of the form

$$(0.1) \quad \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where A_1, A_2 are rings and M is a $A_2 - A_1$ -bimodule have appeared often in the study of the representation theory of Artin rings and algebras. We list just a few references ([2, §4], [3], [4], [5, §9 and appendix], [6], [7, §2], [9] and [10]). Such rings appear naturally in the study of homomorphic images of hereditary Artin algebras. For, if Ω is such an algebra, since Ω must have a simple injective left module it follows that Ω can be put in the form (0.1) with A_1 a semisimple Artin ring. One of the main reasons rings of the form (0.1) are so useful is that their modules can be studied by knowing the A_1 and A_2 modules together with certain homomorphisms. In particular, we have

(0.2) THEOREM. [4] *Let Γ be the ring*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The category of left Γ -modules is equivalent to the following category: the objects are triples (X, Y, f) where X is a left A_1 -module, Y is a left A_2 -module and $f \in \text{Hom}_{A_2}(M \otimes_{A_1} X, Y)$. The morphisms $\alpha: (X, Y, f) \rightarrow (X', Y', f')$ are pairs $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ where $\alpha_1 \in \text{Hom}_{A_1}(X, X')$, $\alpha_2 \in \text{Hom}_{A_2}(Y, Y')$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \otimes_{A_1} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \downarrow 1_M \otimes \alpha_1 & & \downarrow \alpha_2 \\
 M \otimes_{A_1} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' .
 \end{array}
 \quad \square$$

In this paper we study rings of the form

$$(0.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where M is a $A_2 - A_1$ -bimodule and N is a $A_1 - A_2$ -bimodule. I. Palmer developed results on the homological and projective dimensions of such rings in [8]. The first section of this paper contains notation and a structure result which is analogous to Theorem (0.2). The remainder of the paper studies rings of form (0.3) in special cases. The goal is to reduce the study of modules over such rings to modules over generalized lower triangular rings. Under certain hypothesis this is done in the case where A_1 is a semisimple Artin ring or a left Artin ring whose Jacobson radical is square zero. A study of more general cases is conducted in §3. In §4, we give a useful application of the results of §2 and a number of examples.

1. Notation and a structure result. Throughout this paper we keep the following notation. Let A_1, A_2 be rings. Let M be a $A_2 - A_1$ -bimodule and let N be a $A_1 - A_2$ -bimodule. Let $\varphi: M \otimes_{A_1} N \rightarrow A_2$ be a $A_2 - A_2$ -bimodule homomorphism and let $\psi: N \otimes_{A_2} M \rightarrow A_1$ be a $A_1 - A_1$ -bimodule homomorphism. Let

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & n \\ m & x_2 \end{pmatrix} : x_i \in A_i, m \in M, n \in N \right\} .$$

We define addition of elements of Γ componentwise and multiplication by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & n \\ m & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x'_1 & n' \\ m' & x'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 x'_1 + \psi(n \otimes m') & x_1 n' + n x'_2 \\ m x'_1 + x_2 m' & x_2 x'_2 + \varphi(m \otimes n) \end{pmatrix} .$$

For Γ to be an associative ring we must have

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi(m \otimes n) m' = m \psi(n \otimes m') \quad \text{and} \quad n \varphi(m \otimes n') = \psi(n \otimes m) n'$$

for all $m, m' \in M$ and $n, n' \in N$.

We henceforth assume (1.2) is always satisfied by φ and ψ . With the above definitions Γ is an (associative) ring.

If R is ring, we let $\text{Mod}(R)$ denote the category of left R -modules. We now introduce a category which we will show is

equivalent to the category $\text{Mod}(I)$. Let $\mathcal{A}(I)$ be the category whose objects are tuples (X, Y, f, g) where $X \in \text{Mod}(A_1)$, $Y \in \text{Mod}(A_2)$, $f \in \text{Hom}_{A_2}(M \otimes_{A_1} X, Y)$ and $g \in \text{Hom}_{A_1}(M \otimes_{A_2} Y, X)$ so that the following diagrams commute:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N \otimes_{A_2} M \otimes_{A_1} X & \xrightarrow{1_N \otimes f} & N \otimes_{A_2} Y \xrightarrow{g} X \\ \psi \otimes 1_X \searrow & & \swarrow \text{canonical} \\ & & A_1 \otimes_{A_1} X \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes_{A_1} N \otimes_{A_2} Y & \xrightarrow{1_M \otimes g} & M \otimes_{A_1} X \xrightarrow{f} Y \\ \varphi \otimes 1_Y \searrow & & \swarrow \text{canonical} \\ & & A_2 \otimes_{A_2} Y \end{array}$$

The morphisms of $\mathcal{A}(I)$, $\alpha: (X, Y, f, g) \rightarrow (X', Y', f', g')$ are pairs of homomorphisms $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ where $\alpha_1 \in \text{Hom}_{A_1}(X, X')$ and $\alpha_2 \in \text{Hom}_{A_2}(Y, Y')$ so that the following diagrams commute:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes_{A_1} X & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y \\ 1_M \otimes \alpha_1 \downarrow & & \alpha_2 \downarrow \\ N \otimes_{A_1} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N \otimes_{A_2} Y & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\ 1_N \otimes \alpha_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_1 \\ N \otimes_{A_2} Y' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X' \end{array}$$

Although the proof of the following result is just a generalization of the proof of Theorem (0.2) and implicitly contained in [8], since it is of central importance to the remainder of the paper, we include a sketch of the proof for completeness.

(1.5) THEOREM. *The category $\text{Mod}(I)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{A}(I)$.*

Proof. We first define a functor $F: \text{Mod}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(I)$. Let $A \in \text{Mod}(I)$. Define $F(A)$ to be (e_1A, e_2A, f_A, g_A) where $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $f_A: M \otimes_{A_1} e_1A \rightarrow e_2A$ is induced from the multiplication map

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ M & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes_{A_1} A \longrightarrow A$$

and $g_A: N \otimes_{A_2} e_2A \rightarrow e_1A$ is induced from the multiplication map

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes_{A_2} A \longrightarrow A.$$

Note that f_A and g_A satisfy (1.3) by (1.2). If $A, B \in \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ and $\delta \in \text{Hom}_\Gamma(A, B)$ define $F(\delta)$ to be (δ_1, δ_2) where δ_i is induced from δ noting that $\delta(e_i A) = e_i \delta(e_i A) \subseteq e_i B$. Condition (1.4) is satisfied by $F(\delta)$ since δ is a Γ -homomorphism.

We now define $G: \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ as follows. Let $(X, Y, f, g) \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$. Define $G(X, Y, f, g)$ to be $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} : x \in X, y \in Y \right\}$. Let Γ act on $G(X, Y, f, g)$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & n \\ m & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 x + g(n \otimes y) \\ f(m \otimes x) + \lambda_2 y \end{pmatrix}.$$

The reader may easily verify that $G(X, Y, f, g)$ is in fact a left Γ -module. If $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2): (X, Y, f, g) \rightarrow (X', Y', f', g')$ is an $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ -morphism we define $G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ by $G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(x) \\ \alpha_2(y) \end{pmatrix}$ for $x \in X, y \in Y$. Again it is easy to verify that $G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is a Γ -homomorphism.

Finally we leave it to the reader to check that GF is naturally equivalent to $1_{\text{Mod}(\Gamma)}$ and FG is naturally equivalent to $1_{\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)}$. \square

Note that if either $M = 0$ or $N = 0$ then (1.2) and (1.3) are vacuously satisfied. Thus, in either of these cases, Theorem (1.5) reduces to Theorem (0.2). We will need another structure result. Consider the generalized lower triangular ring

$$(1.6) \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & 0 & 0 \\ U & R_2 & 0 \\ W & V & R_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where each R_i is a ring, U is an $R_2 - R_1$ -bimodule, V is an $R_3 - R_2$ -bimodule and W is an $R_3 - R_1$ -bimodule. Addition in Σ is defined componentwise and multiplication is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 & 0 \\ u & r_2 & 0 \\ w & v & r_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r'_1 & 0 & 0 \\ u'r & r'_2 & 0 \\ w' & v' & r'_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 r'_1 & 0 & 0 \\ u r'_1 + r_2 u' & r_2 r'_2 & 0 \\ w r'_1 + \bar{\psi}(v \otimes u') + r_3 w' & v r'_2 + r_3 v' & r_3 r'_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\bar{\psi}: V \otimes_{R_2} U \rightarrow W$ is an $R_3 - R_1$ -bimodule homomorphism.

If Σ is of form (1.6) we define $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma)$ to be the category whose objects are tuples (X, Y, Z, f, g, h) where $X \in \text{Mod}(R_1), Y \in \text{Mod}(R_2), Z \in \text{Mod}(R_3), f \in \text{Hom}_{R_2}(U \otimes_{R_1} X, Y), g \in \text{Hom}_{R_3}(V \otimes_{R_2} Y, Z)$ and $h \in \text{Hom}_{R_3}(W \otimes_{R_1} X, Z)$ so that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V \otimes_{R_2} U \otimes_{R_1} X & \xrightarrow{1_V \otimes f} & V \otimes_{R_2} Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ & \searrow \bar{\psi} \otimes 1_X & & \nearrow h & \\ & & W \otimes_{R_1} X & & \end{array}$$

The morphisms of $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma)$ are triples $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3): (X, Y, Z, f, g, h) \rightarrow (X', Y', Z', f', g', h')$ where $\alpha_1 \in \text{Hom}_{R_1}(X, X')$, $\alpha_2 \in \text{Hom}_{R_2}(Y, Y')$ and $\alpha_3 \in \text{Hom}_{R_3}(Z, Z')$ so that the following diagrams commute:

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} U \otimes_{R_1} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & & V \otimes_{R_2} Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z & & W \otimes_{R_1} X & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \\ 1_U \otimes \alpha_1 \downarrow & & \alpha_2 \downarrow & , & 1_V \otimes \alpha_2 \downarrow & & \alpha_3 \downarrow & , & 1_W \otimes \alpha_1 \downarrow & & \alpha_3 \downarrow \\ U \otimes_{R_1} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' & & V \otimes_{R_2} Y' & \xrightarrow{g'} & Z' & & W \otimes_{R_1} X' & \xrightarrow{h'} & Z' \end{array}$$

We get

(1.10) THEOREM. *Let Σ be a ring of the form (1.6). The categories $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma)$ are equivalent.*

Proof. The reader may either apply Theorem (0.2) twice, to $\begin{pmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ U & R_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and then to $\begin{pmatrix} R_1 & 0 & 0 \\ U & R_2 & 0 \\ W & V & R_3 \end{pmatrix}$ or directly prove the result in fashion analogous to Theorem (1.5). □

The remainder of this paper is devoted to showing that under suitable hypothesis, the study of $\text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ where Γ is of form (0.3) may be reduced to the study of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ for some “simpler” ring [of the form (1.6)]. We call such results “separation” theorems for obvious reasons.

2. The main separation result. (2.1) Let Γ be a ring of form (0.3). For the remainder of the paper we study $\text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ under the assumption that $\varphi: M \otimes_{A_1} N \rightarrow A_2$ is the zero map. Note that if $\varphi = 0$ then property (1.2) is equivalent to the image of ψ is contained in $(\text{ann } M_{A_1}) \cap (\text{ann}_{A_1} N)$ where $\text{ann } M_{A_1}$ (resp. $\text{ann}_{A_1} N$) denotes the right (resp. left) annihilator of M (resp. N) in A_1 .

In this section we will be concerned with the case where A_1 is a left Artin ring with Jacobson radical r where $r^2 = 0$. Before proceeding we state the following basic result:

(2.2) THEOREM. [1, §4]. *Let A be a left Artin ring with Jacobson radical r so that $r^2 = 0$. Let $\text{Gr}(A/r, r)$ be the category whose objects are triples (X, Y, f) where $X, Y \in \text{Mod}(A, r)$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{A/r}(r \otimes_{A/r} X, Y)$ is a surjection. The morphisms of $\text{Gr}(A/r, r)$ are pairs of maps $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2): (X, Y, f) \rightarrow (X', Y', f')$ where $\alpha_1 \in \text{Hom}_{A/r}(X, X')$ and $\alpha_2 \in \text{Hom}_{A/r}(Y, Y')$ so that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} r \otimes_{A/r} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ 1_r \otimes \alpha_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_2 \\ r \otimes_{A/r} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \end{array}$$

Let $H: \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(A/r, r)$ be the functor defined by $H(A) = (A/rA, rA, f_A)$ where $f_A: r \otimes_{A/r} A/rA \rightarrow rA$ is induced from the multiplication map $r \otimes_A A \rightarrow rA$. Then H is representation equivalence; that is, H is full and dense and $A \in \text{Mod}(A)$ is indecomposable if and only if $H(A)$ is indecomposable in $\text{Gr}(A/r, r)$. \square

For the remainder of this section we assume that

- (2.3) (a) A_1 is a left Artin ring with Jacobson radical denoted r .
 (b) $r^2 = 0$
 (c) M_{A_1} is a right semisimple A_1 -module
 (d) N_{A_1} is a left semisimple A_1 -module
 (e) the image of ψ is contained in r .

Note that under the above conditions (2.1) is satisfied. Let T be the ring

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \Delta & 0 & 0 \\ M & A_2 & 0 \\ r & N & \Delta \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\Delta = A_1/r$ and T has multiplication given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & 0 \\ m & \lambda & 0 \\ x & n & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d'_1 & 0 & 0 \\ m' & \lambda' & 0 \\ x' & n' & d'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 d'_1 & 0 & 0 \\ m d'_1 + \lambda m' & \lambda \lambda' & 0 \\ x d'_1 + \psi(n \otimes m') + d_2 x' & n \lambda' + d_2 n' & d_2 d'_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are now in a position to state and prove the main result of this section.

(2.5) **THEOREM.** *Let Γ be a ring of the form (0.3) and suppose φ is the zero map. Suppose further that conditions (2.3) are satisfied. Let T be the ring given by (2.4). There is a canonical additive functor $F: \text{Mod}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(T)$ which has the following properties:*

- (1) *if $A \in \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ then $F(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A = 0$.*
- (2) *for all but a finite number of nonisomorphic indecomposable T -modules, if B is an indecomposable T -module then there exists a Γ -module A so that $F(A) \cong B$ as T -modules.*
- (3) *if $A, A' \in \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ then $F(A) \cong F(A')$ as T -modules if and only if $A \cong A'$ as Γ -modules.*
- (4) *if $A \in \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ then $F(A)$ is indecomposable as a T -module if and only if A is indecomposable as a Γ -module.*

Proof. We identify $\text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ with $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ and $\text{Mod}(T)$ with $\mathcal{B}(T)$. Define the functor $F: \text{Mod}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(T)$ as follows. Let $(X, Y, f, g) \in \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$. Set $X' = X/rX$ and $X'' = \text{image of } g$. Let $p: X \rightarrow X'$ be

the canonical surjection. Let $X''' = p(X'')$ and $X_1 = X'/X'''$. Let $X_2 = (\text{image of } g) + rX$, (viewing image of g and rX as submodules of X). First we note that $X_1 \oplus X_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow X = 0$. Next we show that f induces a A_2 -homomorphism $f_1: M \otimes_{A_1} X_1 \rightarrow Y$. Since M_{A_1} is semisimple, f induces a A_2 -homomorphism $f': M \otimes_{A_1} X' \rightarrow Y$. Now, since $\varphi = 0$ it follows by (1.3) that $f(M \otimes_{A_1} (\text{image of } g)) = 0$. We get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 M \otimes_{A_1} (\text{image of } g) & \xrightarrow{1_M \otimes \text{incl.}} & M \otimes_{A_1} X & \xrightarrow{1_M \otimes p} & M \otimes_{A_1} X' & \xrightarrow{\text{epi}} & M \otimes_{A_1} X_1 \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow f & & \nearrow f' & \dashrightarrow f_1 & \\
 & & Y & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Thus there exists a unique map $f_1: M \otimes_{A_1} X_1 \rightarrow Y$ making the above diagram commute. Next, it is clear that g induces a map: $g_1: N \otimes_{A_2} Y \rightarrow X_2$ since $(\text{image of } g) \subseteq X_2$. Now we have a A_1 -homomorphism given by multiplication $r \otimes_{A_1} X \rightarrow rX$. This induces a A -homomorphism $h': r \otimes_{A_1} X' \rightarrow rX$. We claim $h'(r \otimes_{A_1} X''') = 0$. We have a split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow rX \cap \text{im } g \longrightarrow \text{im } g \longrightarrow X''' \longrightarrow 0, \text{ where } \text{im } g = \text{image of } g.$$

This sequence is split since $\text{im } g \subseteq$ left socle of X which follows from the assumption that $A_1 N$ is a semisimple A_1 -module. Thus the multiplication map $r \otimes_{A_1} \text{im } g \rightarrow rX$ is zero. From this it follows that $h'(r \otimes_{A_1} X''') = 0$. Since $0 \rightarrow X''' \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow 0$ is a split exact sequence (X' is a semisimple A_1 -module), $h'(r \otimes_{A_1} X''') = 0$ and $rX \subseteq X_2$, we get a canonically induced map $h_1: r \otimes_{A_1} X_1 \rightarrow X_2$. It can be verified, using (1.3) that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 N \otimes_{A_2} M \otimes_{A_1} X_1 & \xrightarrow{1_N \otimes f_1} & N \otimes_{A_2} Y & \xrightarrow{g_1} & X_2 \\
 \psi \otimes 1_{X_1} \searrow & & & \nearrow f_1 & \\
 & & r \otimes_{A_1} X_1 & &
 \end{array}$$

We define $F(X, Y, f, g) = (X_1, Y, X_2, f_1, g_1, h_1)$.

We define F on morphisms as follows. If $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2): (X, Y, f, g) \rightarrow (X', Y', f', g')$ is a Γ -morphism then $\alpha_1(\text{im } g) \subseteq \text{im } g'$ by (1.4), $\alpha_1(rX) \subseteq rX'$ and hence $\alpha_1(X_2) \subseteq X'_2$. Thus we get an induced map $\delta_2: X_2 \rightarrow X'_2$. Since α_1 induces a map $\bar{\alpha}_1: X/rX \rightarrow X'/rX'$ so that $\bar{\alpha}_1(\text{im } g/rX \cap \text{im } g) \subseteq \text{im } g'/rX' \cap \text{im } g'$ we get an induced map $\delta_1: X_1 \rightarrow X'_1$. We set $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (\delta_1, \alpha_2, \delta_2)$. Again the reader can verify that $(\delta_1, \alpha_2, \delta_2)$ is a T -morphism.

We now verify properties (1)-(4). Property (1) holds since

$X_1 \oplus X_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow X = 0$. We now verify (2). Let $B = (X, Y, Z, f, g, h) \in \text{Mod}(T)$. If $\text{im}(g) + \text{im}(h) \neq Z$, since \mathcal{A} is semisimple we have $B \cong (X, Y, \text{im } g + \text{im } h, f, g', h') \oplus (0, 0, Z/\text{im } g + \text{im } h, 0, 0, 0)$ where g' and h' are induced from g and h . The semisimplicity of \mathcal{A} implies there are only a finite number of nonisomorphic indecomposable T -modules of the form $(0, 0, Z, 0, 0, 0)$. Hence we may assume B has the property that $Z = \text{im}(g) + \text{im}(h)$. We write $Z = Z_1 \oplus Z_2$ where $Z_1 = \text{im } h$. We construct $A = (U, V, u, v) \in \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ as follows. Let $\pi: P \rightarrow X$ be the \mathcal{A}_1 -projective cover of X . Then since $r \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X \cong r \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} P/rP \cong r \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_1} P \cong rP$ we have the following exact sequence of \mathcal{A} -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow r \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{\pi} X \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Let U' be the pushout of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} r \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow h & & \\ Z_1 = \text{im}(h) & & \end{array}$$

Finally set $U = U' \oplus Z_2$ and $V = Y$. Note that $rU = rU' \cong Z_1$ and $U/rU \cong X \oplus Z_2$. We define $u: M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} U \rightarrow V$ to be the composition $M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_1} U \xrightarrow{\simeq} M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} U/rU \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X \rightarrow V$. We define $v: N \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_2} V \rightarrow U$ by $N \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_2} V = N \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_2} Y \xrightarrow{g} \text{im}(g) \subseteq Z_1 \oplus Z_2 \subseteq U' \oplus Z_2 = U$. Using (4.3) one immediately verifies that $A = (U, V, u, v) \in \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$. We now claim $F(A) \cong B$ as T -modules. But $\text{im}(v) + rU = Z_1 \oplus Z_2$ and $Z_1 = rU$. Thus $\text{im}(v) \rightarrow U \rightarrow U/rU$ has image Z_2 and so $F(A) = (X, Y, Z_1 \oplus Z_2, u_1, v_1, u_2)$. It is a straightforward calculation to show that $F(A) \cong B$ as T -modules.

Next we prove property (4). Let $A = (X, Y, f, g) \in \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$. We let $F(A) = (X_1, Y, X_2, f_1, g_1, h_1)$ as above. We assume $F(A)$ decomposes:

$$(2.6) \quad F(A) = (X_1^1, Y^1, X_2^1, f_1^1, g_1^1, h_1^1) \oplus (X_1^2, Y^2, X_2^2, f_1^2, g_1^2, h_1^2) ,$$

where $X_i = X_i^1 \otimes X_i^1$, for $i = 1, 2$, $Y = Y^1 \oplus Y^2$, $f_i = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_i^2 \end{pmatrix}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_1^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Set $V_i = \text{im } h_1^i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Now for $i = 1, 2$, $g_1^i: N \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_2} Y^i \rightarrow X_2^i$ and $V_i \subseteq X_2^i$. Since $\text{im } g_1 + \text{im } h_1 = X_2$, for $i = 1, 2$, $\text{im } g_1^i + \text{im } h_1^i = X_2^i$. Let $Z_i \subseteq X_2^i$ so that $X_2^i = V_i \oplus Z_i$ and $\text{im } g_1^i = (\text{im } g_1^i \cap V_i) \oplus (\text{im } g_1^i \cap Z_i)$. This is possible since X_2^i is a semisimple \mathcal{A} -module. Finally, let $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and $Z = Z_1 \oplus Z_2$. Then $V = \text{im } h_1$. Now $X_2 \subseteq X$. In particular, $Z \subseteq X$. By choice of Z , we have that Z is a semisimple \mathcal{A} -module and $Z \not\subseteq \text{im}(h_1)$. But $\text{im}(h_1) = rX$, by construction of h_1 . Thus $X = U \oplus Z$ and we have the following

easily verified properties:

(a) $rU = \text{im } h_1 = V$

(b) $U/rU = X_1$

(c) the map $h_1: r \otimes_{A_1} X_1 \rightarrow V$ is induced from the multiplication map $r \otimes_{A_1} U \rightarrow rU$. We now apply Theorem (2.2). We see that $H(U) = (X_1, V, h_1)$. The decomposition (2.6) yields a decomposition

$$H(U) = (X_1^1, V_1, h_1^1) \oplus (X_1^2, V_2, h_1^2).$$

By Theorem (2.2), we get a decomposition $U = U_1 \oplus U_2$ so that $H(U_i) = (X_i^i, V_i, h_i^i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. We now leave it to the reader to check that $(U_1 \oplus Z_1, Y_1, f^1, g^1) \oplus (U_2 \oplus Z_2, Y_2, f^2, g^2)$ is a decomposition of (X, Y, f, g) where $f^i: M \otimes_{A_1} (U_i \oplus Z_i) \rightarrow Y_i$ is defined by the composition

$$M \otimes_{A_1} (U_i \oplus Z_i) \xrightarrow{1_M \otimes \text{projection}} M \otimes_{A_1} U_i \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{A_1} X_i \xrightarrow{f_i^i} Y_i$$

and $g^i: N \otimes_{A_2} Y_i \rightarrow U_i \oplus Z_i$ is defined by the composition

$$N \otimes_{A_2} Y_i \xrightarrow{g_i^i} V_i \oplus Z_i = rU_i \oplus Z_i \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} U_i \oplus Z_i.$$

Finally, we sketch a proof of property (3). Let $A, A' \in \text{Mod } (\Gamma)$ so that $F(A) \cong F(A')$ as T -modules. Let $A = (X, Y, f, g)$, $A' = (X', Y', f', g')$, $F(A) = (X_1, Y, X_2, f_1, g_1, f_2)$ and $F(A') = (X'_1, Y', X'_2, f'_1, g'_1, f'_2)$. Let $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3): F(A) \rightarrow F(A')$ be a T -isomorphism. As in the proof of property (4), one may write $X = U \oplus Z$ where Z is a semisimple A_1 -module, $f(M \otimes_{A_1} Z) = 0$ and $Z \subseteq \text{im } g$. Similarly $X' = U' \oplus Z'$. Using the functor $H: \text{Mod } (A_1) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(A, r)$, as in (4), it is not difficult to show $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ induces an isomorphism $H(U) \rightarrow H(U')$. This lifts to an isomorphism $U \rightarrow U'$ by (2.2). Furthermore, by appropriate choice of Z' , $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ induces an isomorphism $Z \rightarrow Z'$. Thus we get a A_1 -isomorphism $\alpha: U \oplus Z \rightarrow U' \oplus Z'$. Lastly, one verifies that $(\alpha, \alpha_2): A \rightarrow A'$ is a Γ -isomorphism. □

We say a ring R is of (left) *finite representation type* if there are only a finite number of nonisomorphic indecomposable finitely generated left R -modules. If R is not of finite representation type we say R is of *infinite representation type*. As an immediate consequence of Theorem (2.5) we get

(2.7) THEOREM. Let $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ be such that $\varphi = 0$ and (2.3) holds. Then Γ is of finite representation type if and only if $T = \begin{pmatrix} A_1/r & 0 & 0 \\ M & A_2 & 0 \\ r & N & A_1/r \end{pmatrix}$ is of finite representation type, where $r = \text{Jacobson radical of } A_1$. □

This result has many useful applications. For, assume the hypothesis of (2.5) hold. In general, Γ need not have finite left global dimension. But by [9, §4], T has finite left global dimension if A_2 does. See example (5.3). If, for example, A_2 is a semisimple ring then T is a factor ring of a left hereditary ring.

For the remainder of this section, we deal with the case where A_1 is semisimple and $\varphi = 0$. We show that we automatically get a separation result.

(2.8) LEMMA. *Under the above hypothesis, the map $\psi: N \otimes_{A_2} M \rightarrow A_1$ is the zero map.*

Proof. By (2.1), the image of $\psi = I$ is contained in $\text{ann } M_{A_1} \cap \text{ann}_{A_1} N$. But $I^2 = I \text{ im } \psi$. Thus $I^2 = I\psi(N \otimes_{A_2} M) = 0$. Since I is a two-sided ideal in a semisimple ring we conclude $I = 0$. \square

It now follows that properties (a)-(e) of (2.3) are automatically satisfied. Furthermore, since the Jacobson radical of A_1 is 0, T is the ring

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ M & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & N & A_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with the obvious multiplication.

Thus, Theorem (2.5) becomes:

(2.9) THEOREM. *Let Γ be the ring $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\varphi = 0$ and A_1 a semisimple ring. Let T be the ring $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ M & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & N & A_1 \end{pmatrix}$. There is an additive functor $F: \text{Mod } (\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Mod } (T)$ so that the following properties hold:*

- (1) *if $A \in \text{Mod } (\Gamma)$ then $F(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A = 0$*
- (2) *for all but a finite number of nonisomorphic indecomposable T -modules, if B is an indecomposable T -module, there is an Γ -module A so that $B \simeq F(A)$ as T -modules.*
- (3) *if $A, A' \in \text{Mod } (\Gamma)$ then $F(A) \cong F(A')$ as T -modules if and only if $A \simeq A'$ as Γ -modules.*
- (4) *if $A \in \text{Mod } (\Gamma)$ then $F(A)$ is an indecomposable T -module if and only if A is an indecomposable Γ -module.* \square

(2.10) COROLLARY. *Keeping the hypothesis of (2.9). Γ is of finite representation type $\Leftrightarrow T$ is of finite representation type.* \square

3. The general case with $\varphi = 0$. For the remainder of this section we keep the following notations:

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \varphi = 0$$

$I = \text{image of } \psi$

$$m = \text{ann } M_{A_1}$$

$$n = \text{ann}_{A_1} N.$$

(3.1) LEMMA. We have that

(a) $Im = 0$

(b) $nI = 0$.

Proof. We only prove (a).

$$Im = \psi(N \otimes_{A_2} M)m = 0. \quad \square$$

Let

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ M & A_2 & 0 \\ I & N & A_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1/m & 0 & 0 \\ M & A_2 & 0 \\ I & N & A_1/n \end{pmatrix}$$

with the obvious ring structures (see §2). We get

(3.2) PROPOSITION. For $i = 1, 2$ there are canonical additive functors $G_i: \text{Mod } (\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Mod } (T_i)$.

Proof. We identify $\text{Mod } (\Gamma)$ with $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ and for $i = 1, 2$, $\text{Mod } (T_i)$ with $\mathcal{B}(T_i)$. Since the proof is analogous with the first part of Theorem (2.5) we only define the functors on objects and leave the rest to the reader. For $i = 1$ define $G_1: \text{Mod } (\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Mod } (T_1)$ as follows: if $A = (X, Y, f, g) \in \text{Mod } (\Gamma)$ let $G_1(A) = (X, Y, \text{im } (g), f, g, h)$ where h is induced from the multiplication map $I \otimes_{A_1} X \rightarrow X$. For $i = 2$, define $G_2: \text{Mod } (\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Mod } (T_2)$ as follows: if $A = (X, Y, f, g) \in \text{Mod } (\Gamma)$ let $G_2(A) = (X/mX, Y, \text{im } (g), \bar{f}, g, h)$, where \bar{f} is induced from f and h is induced from the multiplication map $I \otimes_{A_1} X \rightarrow X$. Note $n \text{ im } (g) = 0$ since $n \text{ im } (g) = ng(N \otimes_{A_2} Y)$. □

Unfortunately, neither of these functors seem to yield much information in general. But the following result shows that when

$\psi = 0$ one can say something. Note when $\psi = 0$, T_1 is the ring

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ M & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & N & A_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3.3) THEOREM. Let $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\varphi = 0$ and $\psi = 0$. Let $T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ M & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & N & A_1 \end{pmatrix}$. There is a functor $K: \text{Mod}(T_1) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ so that if $B \in \text{Mod}(T_1)$, B is a direct summand of $G_1K(B)$. In particular, if Γ is of finite representation type then so is T_1 .

Proof. We identify $\text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ with $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ and $\text{Mod}(T_1)$ with $\mathcal{B}(T_1)$. Define $K: \text{Mod}(T_1) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ as follows: if $B = (X, Y, Z, f, g) \in \text{Mod}(T_1)$ let $K(B) = (X \oplus Z, Y, f^*, g^*)$ where $f^*: M \otimes_{A_1} (X \oplus Z) \rightarrow Y$ by $f^*(m \otimes (x, z)) = f(m \otimes x)$ and $g^*: N \otimes_{A_2} Y \rightarrow X \oplus Z$ by $g^*(n \otimes y) = (0, g(n \otimes y))$. Now let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3): B \rightarrow G_1K(B)$ be defined by $\alpha_1: X \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} X \oplus Z$, $\alpha_2: Y \xrightarrow{id} Y$, and $\alpha_3: Z \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} X \oplus Z$. Then α is a T_1 -monomorphism which is split by $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3): G_1K(B) \rightarrow B$ where $\beta_1: X \oplus Z \xrightarrow{(1,0)} X$, $\beta_2: Y \xrightarrow{id} Y$ and $\beta_3: X \oplus Z \xrightarrow{(1,0)} Z$. Thus B is a direct summand of $G_1K(B)$. The last part of the theorem follows immediately. □

By example (4.2) we see that Γ may be of infinite representation type and yet T_1 is of finite representation. We conclude this section by giving an application of Theorem (3.3).

(3.4) THEOREM. Let $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ be such that $\varphi = 0$. Then if Γ is of finite representation type so is the ring

$$T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1/I & 0 & 0 \\ M & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & N & A_1/I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since $I \subseteq m \cap n$ by (2.1) M is a right A_1/I -module and N is a left A_1/I -module. Let J be the two-sided ideal in Γ given by $J = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Note $J^2 = 0$ since $I^2 = I\psi(N \otimes M) = 0$. Let $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma/J$. Then if Γ is of finite representation type so is $\bar{\Gamma}$. Furthermore, $\bar{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1/I & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi}$ the maps induced from φ and ψ in Γ . But $\varphi = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\varphi} = 0$. Since $I = \text{im}(\psi)$, $\bar{\psi} = 0$. The result now follows from Theorem (3.3). □

Example (4.2) shows that the converse is not true.

4. Applications and examples. We begin with an application of Theorem (2.9).

(4.1) Let Ω be a left Artin ring and let P be a left projective ideal in Ω such that

(a) $\text{End}_\Omega(P)$ is a semisimple ring

(b) if Q and Q' are indecomposable projective left Ω -modules and $f: Q \rightarrow Q'$ is a nonzero Ω -morphism then f factors through $P \iff$ either Q or Q' is isomorphic to a direct summand of P .

Then Ω is of left finite representation type if and only if the ring

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \text{End}_\Omega(P) & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Hom}_\Omega(P, P^*) & \text{End}_\Omega(P^*) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Hom}_\Omega(P^*, P) & \text{End}_\Omega(P) \end{pmatrix}$$

is of finite representation type where $P \oplus P^*$ is a finitely generated projective generator for Ω such that no direct summand of P^* is isomorphic to a direct summand of P .


Proof. By the Morita theorems, $\text{Mod}(\Omega)$ is equivalent to $\text{Mod}(\text{End}_\Omega(P \oplus P^*)^{\text{op}})$ where R^{op} denotes the opposite ring of R . Now $\text{End}_\Omega(P \oplus P^*) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{End}_\Omega(P) & \text{Hom}_\Omega(P, P^*) \\ \text{Hom}_\Omega(P^*, P) & \text{End}_\Omega(P^*) \end{pmatrix}$. Writing this as $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ we see that property (a) implies A_1 is a semisimple ring and property (b) implies that the multiplication map $M \otimes_{A_1} N \rightarrow A_1$ is zero. Finally noting that a left Artin ring is of finite representation type implies that the ring is right Artin and of finite representation type, we can see the result follows from Theorem (2.9). \square

(4.2) We now give an example which verifies a number of remarks made in earlier sections.

Let $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ where $A_1 = A_2 = k[X]/(X^2)$, k is a field. Let $M = N = k$ where k is the simple $k[X]/(X^2)$ -bimodule. We write elements in $k[X]/(X^2)$ as $a + bX$ where $a, b \in k$. We define multiplication in Γ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a+bx & e \\ f & c+dx \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a'+b'x & e' \\ f' & c'+d'x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aa'+(ab'+a'b)x & ae'+ec' \\ fa'+cf' & ce'+(cd'+c'd)X \end{pmatrix}.$$


Note that the maps $\varphi: M \otimes N \rightarrow A_2$ and $\psi: N \otimes M \rightarrow A_1$ are both zero. Now Γ has Jacobson radical $\begin{pmatrix} xk & k \\ k & xk \end{pmatrix}$ and its square is zero. Thus applying [5, appendix], since the separated quiver of Γ is



Γ is of infinite representation type. We now consider T_1 of §4. Namely

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ M & A & 0 \\ 0 & N & A \end{pmatrix}.$$


The ring T_1 has radical square zero but its separated quiver is



Hence T_1 is of finite representation type [5, appendix] and we conclude that the converse of Theorem (3.3) does not hold. We now apply the results of §2. Let T be the ring

$$\begin{pmatrix} k & 0 & 0 \\ k & k[X]/X^2 & 0 \\ k & k & k \end{pmatrix}$$

as in §2. Its separated quiver is



and hence is of infinite representation type (as is also shown by Theorem (2.5)).

(4.3) We conclude with a final example. Let $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} k & k \\ k & k \end{pmatrix}$ with $\varphi = 0$ and $\psi = 0$. Let $A_2 = k$. For $i = 1, 2$ let S_i be the simple left A_i -module given by $S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{pmatrix}$. [Note that by Theorem (2.9), the study of $\text{Mod}(A_1)$ reduces to the study of $\text{Mod}(T)$ where

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 & 0 \\ k & k & 0 \\ 0 & k & k \end{pmatrix}$$

with the obvious multiplication.] Let $M = N = k$ where $M_{A_1} \cong (0, k)$

and ${}_{A_1}N \cong \begin{pmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$: Now consider the ring $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$; i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} k & k & k \\ k & k & 0 \\ 0 & k & k \end{pmatrix}$$

with multiplication given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a'_1 & a'_2 & a'_3 \\ b'_1 & b'_2 & 0 \\ 0 & c'_2 & c'_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a'_1 & a_1 a'_2 + a_2 b'_2 + a_3 c'_2 & a_1 a'_3 + a_3 c'_3 \\ b_1 a'_1 + b_2 b'_1 & b_1 a'_2 + b_2 b'_2 & 0 \\ 0 & c_1 b'_2 + c_3 c'_2 & c_3 c'_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$


We note that $\varphi: M \otimes_{{}_1} N \rightarrow A_2$ is the zero map. One easily verifies that A_1 has radical square zero and that conditions (2.3) are satisfied. Thus Theorem (2.5) implies that the study of $\text{Mod}(\Gamma)$ can be achieved by studying $\text{Mod}(T)$ where T is the ring

$$\begin{pmatrix} k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & k & k & 0 \\ k & 0 & 0 & 0 & k \end{pmatrix}.$$

We show

- (i) Γ has infinite left global dimension
- (ii) Γ is of radical cubed zero
- (iii) T is an hereditary Artin algebra (i.e., $\text{gl. dim. } T = 1$)
- (iv) T is of finite representation type
- (v) Γ is of finite representation type.

First we show (i). Consider the simple Γ -module $\begin{pmatrix} k \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = S$. (That is, $S \cong S_1$ as A_1 -modules and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix} S = 0$.) Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of Γ -modules with $P \rightarrow S$ the Γ -projective cover of S . Then $K \cong S' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where S' is the simple Γ -module which as a A -module is isomorphic to S_2 and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ M & A_2 \end{pmatrix} S' = 0$. Now let $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow Q \rightarrow S' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of Γ -modules so that $Q \rightarrow S'$ is the Γ -projective cover of S' . Then S is a direct summand of L and we conclude that the left projective dimension of S is infinite. Properties (ii) and (iii) are immediate. Applying [5, appendix], since the quiver of T is



we conclude that T is of finite representation type. Property (v) follows from (2.7). It is worthwhile noting that without Theorems (2.5) and (2.7) there is no known method of attacking the question of whether or not Artin rings of radical cubed zero are of finite representation type.

REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander, *Representation Dimension of Artin Algebras*, Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, London, 1971.
2. M. Auslander, M. I. Platzek, and I. Reiten, *Coxeter functors without diagrams*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **250** (1979), 1-46.
3. V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel, *Representations of graphs and algebras*, Memoirs of the A.M.S. No. 173, 1976.
4. R. M. Fossum, P. A. Griffith, and I. Reiten, *Trivial Extensions of Abelian Categories*, Lecture Notes in Math., No. 456, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975.
5. R. Gordon and E. L. Green, *Modules with cores and amalgamations of indecomposable modules*, Memoir of the A.M.S., No. 187, 1978.
6. E. L. Green, *The representation theory of tensor algebras*, J. Algebra, **34** (1975), 136-171.
7. E. L. Green and I. Reiner, *Integral representations and diagrams*, Michigan Math. J., **25** (1978), 53-84.
8. I. Palmer, *The global homological dimension of semi-trivial extension of rings*, Math. Scand., **37** (1975), 223-256.
9. I. Reiten, *Stable equivalence of self-injective algebras*, J. Algebra, **40** (1976), 63-74.
10. C. M. Ringel, *Representations of k -species and bimodules*, J. Algebra, **41** (1976), 269-302.

Received April 11, 1979. This research was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY
BLACKSBURG, VA 24061

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR AGUS

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARNES

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Charalambos D. Aliprantis, Owen Sidney Burkinshaw and M. Duhoux, Compactness properties of abstract kernel operators	1
Roger C. Alperin, Locally compact groups acting on trees	23
Robert F. Brown, Real homology of Lie group homomorphisms	33
Karen Chase, Maximal groups in sandwich semigroups of binary relations ...	43
W. Wistar (William) Comfort and T. Soundararajan, Pseudocompact group topologies and totally dense subgroups	61
M. Ferri and C. Gagliardi, Crystallisation moves	85
Kenneth R. Goodearl, Directly finite aleph-nought-continuous regular rings	105
Edward Lewis Green, On the representation theory of rings in matrix form	123
Walter Hengartner and Glenn E. Schober, Interpolation, continuation, and quadratic inequalities	139
Kenneth Kunen and Haskell Paul Rosenthal, Martingale proofs of some geometrical results in Banach space theory	153
Brian William McEnnis, Shifts on indefinite inner product spaces. II	177
Roman Pol, Note on the spaces $P(S)$ of regular probability measures whose topology is determined by countable subsets	185
Joan Manuel Verdera Melenchón, Finitely generated projective extensions of uniform algebras	203
Cheng Ye You, Fixed point classes of a fiber map	217