

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CLASSIFICATION OF THE C^* -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH MINIMAL ROTATIONS

NORBERT RIEDEL

CLASSIFICATION OF THE C^* -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH MINIMAL ROTATIONS

NORBERT RIEDEL

It is shown that the set of eigenvalues of a minimal rotation on a compact group is a complete invariant for the associated C^* -algebra.

1. Introduction. The works of Rieffel [7] and Pimsner, Voiculescu [5] taken together give a complete classification of the C^* -algebras associated with irrational rotations on the one dimensional torus. Our purpose in the present article is to generalize this result to the C^* -algebras which are associated with arbitrary minimal rotations on compact abelian metric groups. Each of these C^* -algebras is simple and it has a unique tracial state. Moreover, we shall see that the weighted shift algebras considered in [1] are contained in the class of minimal rotation C^* -algebras.

Speaking more precisely, we will show that the set of eigenvalues of a minimal rotation is a complete invariant for the C^* -algebra associated with it. In our proof of this fact we will use the results of Pimsner, Voiculescu and Rieffel.

2. Let F be a compact abelian group and let ρ be an arbitrary element in F . We denote by R_ρ the rotation associated with ρ , i.e., $R_\rho x = \rho x$ for each $x \in F$. Then R_ρ is a minimal homeomorphism and it is called a minimal rotation if and only if the cyclic group generated by ρ is dense in F (see [8], p. 121, Example (ii)). Moreover it follows from the duality theory for abelian groups that the group generated by ρ is dense in F if and only if the character χ_ρ of the dual group \hat{F} of F which is associated with ρ is faithful. If R_ρ is minimal, then this shows that R_ρ is uniquely determined by the subgroup $\chi_\rho(\hat{F})$ of the torus T . The group $\chi_\rho(\hat{F})$ is countable if and only if F is metrizable. Conversely, we can associate with each subgroup G of T a minimal rotation on the compact group \hat{G} . Namely, let $\rho_G: G \rightarrow T$ be the inclusion mapping of G . Then ρ_G is an element of \hat{G} and R_{ρ_G} is a minimal rotation. In the sequel we shall write R_G instead of R_{ρ_G} .

Now we assume that G is a countable subgroup of T . We consider the automorphism \bar{R}_G of the C^* -algebra $C(\hat{G})$ of all continuous functions on \hat{G} with values C , which is induced by R_G . Let μ be the normalized Haar measure on \hat{G} . We denote by \mathcal{A}_G the C^* -algebra which is generated by the multiplication operators on $L^2(\mu)$ which are associated with continuous functions and by the unitary operator

U_G on $L^2(\mu)$ which is induced by \bar{R}_G . For each $\lambda \in G$ we denote by $\pi_G(\lambda)$ the unitary operator on $L^2(\mu)$ which is determined by the character of \hat{G} associated with λ . Then for any $\lambda \in G$ the equality $U_G \pi_G(\lambda) = \lambda \pi_G(\lambda) U_G$ holds. We shall see later that these relations determine the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_G already up to isomorphisms. First we note the following.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *For any countable subgroup G of T the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_G is simple and \mathcal{A}_G has a unique tracial state.*

Proof. Since R_G is a minimal homeomorphism it follows from [6] that \mathcal{A}_G is simple. Moreover, it can be seen by direct calculations that \mathcal{A}_G has a unique tracial state.

REMARK. Suppose that X_1, X_2 are compact metric spaces and S_1, S_2 are minimal homeomorphisms of X_1, X_2 respectively, both having topological discrete spectrum, i.e., the set of eigenfunctions of S_i , which is given by $\{f \in C(X_i) / f \circ S_i = \lambda f \text{ for some } \lambda \in T\}$, is total in $C(X_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. An element $\lambda \in T$ satisfying $f \circ S_i = \lambda f$ for some $f \in C(X_i)$ is called an eigenvalue for S_i ($i = 1, 2$). S_1 is called topologically conjugate to S_2 if there exists a homeomorphism Φ from X_1 onto X_2 such that $\Phi \circ S_1 = S_2 \circ \Phi$. By [8], 5.9 S_1 is topologically conjugate to S_2 if and only if S_1 and S_2 have the same eigenvalues. Since the C^* -algebras associated with topologically conjugate homeomorphisms are isomorphic and since the eigenvalues of R_G as defined above are exactly the elements of G , this shows that the C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}_G are already the most general ones which may occur within our framework.

We have the following characterization of the minimal rotation algebras.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let G be an infinite countable subgroup of T . Suppose that π is a homomorphism from G into the unitary group $U(\mathcal{A})$ of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , and U is an element in $U(\mathcal{A})$ such that (2.2.1) $U\pi(\lambda)U^* = \lambda\pi(\lambda)$ holds for each $\lambda \in G$.*

Then π extends uniquely to an isomorphism from \mathcal{A}_G onto the C^ -algebra generated by U and $\{\pi(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in G}$ such that U is the image of U_G . (G will be considered to be identified with the group of characters of \hat{G} . Thus we have $G \subseteq C(\hat{G})$.)*

Proof. Since $C(\hat{G})$ is the enveloping C^* -algebra of the group G , the homomorphism π extends in a unique manner to a homomorphism $\bar{\pi}$ of the C^* -algebra $C(\hat{G})$. The relations in (2.2.1) show that the

homomorphism $\bar{\pi}$ of $C(\hat{G})$ and the unitary representation $n \mapsto U^n$ of \mathbf{Z} give rise to a covariant representation of the C^* -dynamical system associated with \bar{R}_G . This covariant representation induces a homomorphism π_0 from \mathcal{A}_G into \mathcal{A} (see [4], 7.6.6, 7.7.5). Since \mathcal{A}_G is a simple C^* -algebra and the homomorphism π_0 is nontrivial, π_0 is an isomorphism from \mathcal{A}_G onto the C^* -algebra generated by U and $\{\pi(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in G}$.

NOTATIONS. (a) For any subgroup G of T we denote by G^\dagger the subgroup $\{t \in \mathbf{R}/\exp(2\pi it) \in G\}$ of \mathbf{R} . For any subgroup G of \mathbf{R} we denote by G^\dagger the subgroup $\{\exp(2\pi it)/t \in G\}$ of T .

(b) Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra with a tracial state τ . Then τ induces a natural homomorphism $\hat{\tau}$ from the group $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ into \mathbf{R} (see [2], §8). We denote by $D_\tau(\mathcal{A})$ the image of $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to the homomorphism $\hat{\tau}$. If \mathcal{A} admits exactly one tracial state then we will also write $D(\mathcal{A})$ instead of $D_\tau(\mathcal{A})$.

EXAMPLES. (1) Suppose that G is an infinite cyclic subgroup of T . Then there exists an irrational number α such that $G^\dagger = \mathbf{Z} + \alpha\mathbf{Z}$. \mathcal{A}_G is an irrational rotation algebra, as considered in [5], [7]. We will also write \mathcal{A}_α instead of \mathcal{A}_G . It follows from [5] and [7] that $D(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) = \mathbf{Z} + \alpha\mathbf{Z}$ holds.

(2) If G is a finite subgroup of T then G is cyclic. \mathcal{A}_G is seen to be isomorphic to the C^* -algebra M_n of all $n \times n$ -matrices, where n is the order of G .

(3) If G is an infinite torsion subgroup of T then \mathcal{A}_G is a weighted shift algebra as considered by Bunce and Deddens in [1]. Conversely, each weighted shift algebra arises in this manner.

Indeed, suppose that G is an infinite torsion subgroup of T and \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. Let S be the corresponding unilateral shift, i.e., S is given by

$$Se_n = e_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

For each $\lambda \in G$ let D_λ be the diagonal operator which is given by

$$D_\lambda e_n = \lambda^n e_n, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Let \mathcal{K} be the closed ideal of compact operators on \mathcal{H} and let ν be the canonical mapping from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ into the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}$. If $\lambda \in G$ is a primitive k th root of unity then the C^* -algebra generated by S and D_λ is equal to the C^* -algebra generated by all weighted shifts of aperiod k (see [1]). Thus the C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} generated by $\nu(S)$ and $\nu(D_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in G$ is a weighted shift algebra in the sense of [1]. Moreover, since the mapping $\lambda \mapsto \nu(D_\lambda)$

is a homomorphism from G into $U(\mathcal{B})$ satisfying the identity $\nu(S)^*\nu(D_i)\nu(S) = \lambda\nu(D_i)$ for each $\lambda \in G$, we infer from 2.2 that \mathcal{B} is isomorphic to \mathcal{A}_G . It is clear that each weighted shift algebra arises in this manner.

The examples considered above have in common that the equality $D(\mathcal{A}_G) = G^\dagger$ holds for the corresponding groups. In the next section we will show that this equality is true in the general case also. Finally, let us mention that \mathcal{A}_G is not an AF -algebra if G is an infinite subgroup of T . This follows from [3], 6.6. In case G is an infinite torsion group this was shown in [1].

3. The proof of our main result will be done by showing that for each countable subgroup G of T the group G^\dagger is the intersection of certain subgroups of R of the form $D(\mathcal{A})$, where each \mathcal{A} is a suitable C^* -algebra into which \mathcal{A}_G can be embedded. We start with some preliminary results.

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra with a tracial state ψ and $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a monotonely increasing sequence of C^* -subalgebras of \mathcal{A} such that \mathcal{A} is the norm closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_n$. For each $n \in N$ let ψ_n be the restriction of ψ to \mathcal{A}_n . Then we have $D_\psi(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty D_{\psi_n}(\mathcal{A}_n)$.*

Proof. It is clear that the inclusion $D_\psi(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty D_{\psi_n}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ holds. For each $m \in N$ let $\psi^{(m)}$ be the trace on $M_m(\mathcal{A}) (\cong \mathcal{A} \otimes M_m)$ which is induced by ψ . Let λ be an arbitrary element in $D_\psi(\mathcal{A})$. There exists a positive integer m and a projection p in $M_m(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\psi^{(m)}(p) = \lambda$. Since $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty M_m(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is dense in $M_m(\mathcal{A})$ it follows from [2], A8.1 that there exists a positive integer k and a projection $q \in M_m(\mathcal{A}_k)$ such that q is equivalent to p . From this we obtain $\psi^{(m)}(p) = \psi^{(m)}(q) = \lambda \in D_{\psi_k}(\mathcal{A}_k)$. Thus we have shown that $D_\psi(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty D_{\psi_n}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ holds also.

NOTATIONS. For any two subgroups G and H of R we denote by $G \otimes H$ the subgroup which is generated by the set $\{xy/x \in G, y \in H\}$. For each subset M of R we denote by $\langle M \rangle$ the subgroup which is generated by M .

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra with a tracial state ψ , and τ is the unique tracial state on some irrational rotation C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}_\alpha (\alpha \in R \setminus Q)$. Then we have*

$$D_{\psi \otimes \tau}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\alpha) = D_\psi(\mathcal{A}) \otimes D(\mathcal{A}_\alpha).$$

Proof. It is clear that $D_{\psi}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes D(\mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ is contained in $D_{\psi \otimes \tau}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$. On the other hand it follows from [5] that \mathcal{A}_α can be embedded into an AF -algebra \mathcal{B} whose dimension group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} + \alpha\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, if φ is the unique tracial state on \mathcal{B} then $D_\varphi(\mathcal{B}) = D(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{Z} + \alpha\mathbb{Z}$ holds and the restriction of φ to \mathcal{A}_α is equal to τ . Since $D_{\psi \otimes \tau}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ is contained in $D_{\psi \otimes \varphi}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ it suffices to show that $D_{\psi \otimes \varphi}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ is contained in $D_\psi(\mathcal{A}) \otimes D(\mathcal{B})$. Let $\mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be a monotonely increasing sequence of finite dimensional C^* -subalgebras of \mathcal{B} containing the unit of \mathcal{B} such that \mathcal{B} is the norm closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{B}_n$ and let φ_n be the restriction of φ to \mathcal{B}_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathcal{B}_n is finite dimensional we have

$$D_{\psi \otimes \varphi_n}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_n) = D_\psi(\mathcal{A}) \otimes D_{\varphi_n}(\mathcal{B}_n).$$

From this and from 3.1 we conclude that $D_\psi(\mathcal{A}) \otimes D(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) = D_{\psi \otimes \tau}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ holds.

For any two countable (infinite) subgroups G_1 and G_2 of T such that $G_1 \subseteq G_2$ there is a canonical embedding of the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_{G_1} into the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_{G_2} . Namely, let the unitary operator U_{G_i} as well as the homomorphism π_{G_i} of G_i into $U(\mathcal{A}_{G_i})$ be given as in the beginning of §2, for $i = 1, 2$. Then we infer from 2.2 that there is a unique (injective) $*$ -homomorphism from \mathcal{A}_{G_1} into \mathcal{A}_{G_2} which maps U_{G_1} onto U_{G_2} and $\pi_{G_1}(\lambda)$ onto $\pi_{G_2}(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in G$. This will be used in the sequel without being mentioned explicitly.

The following lemma is crucial for the proof of our main result.

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a subfield of the real numbers containing the rational field \mathbb{Q} , and ε is a real number which is transcendent over \mathcal{F} . Then for every subgroup G of \mathbb{R} which has a basis of the form $\{1, \varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are contained in \mathcal{F} , we have $D(\mathcal{A}_G) = G$.*

Proof. The proof will be carried out by induction over the number r which occurs in the statement above. If $r = 0$ then $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{A}_G$ holds and our assertion follows from [5] and [7].

Now we suppose that $r = 1$. Then there exists a $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\{1, \varepsilon, \alpha\}$ is a basis of G . Let $G_1 = \langle \{1, \varepsilon\} \rangle \otimes \langle \{1, \alpha\} \rangle$ and $G_2 = \langle \{1, \varepsilon\} \rangle \otimes \langle \{1, \alpha + \varepsilon\} \rangle$. It follows from 3.2 and from [5] that

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{A}_\alpha) &= D(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) \otimes D(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) = G_1, \\ D(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\alpha+\varepsilon}) &= D(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) \otimes D(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha+\varepsilon}) = G_2. \end{aligned}$$

By 2.2 \mathcal{A}_G can be embedded into \mathcal{A}_{G_1} , \mathcal{A}_{G_2} , and \mathcal{A}_ε , \mathcal{A}_α can be

embedded into $\mathcal{A}_{G^\downarrow}$. Therefore we obtain, using [7], Theorem 1

$$G \subseteq D(\mathcal{A}_{G^\downarrow}) \subseteq G_1 \cap G_2.$$

We claim that $G_1 \cap G_2 = G$ holds. Indeed, if this were not true then we could find an element a in $(G_1 \cap G_2) \setminus G$. Hence there exist integers x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 and y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3 such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 + x_1\varepsilon + x_2\alpha + x_3\alpha\varepsilon &= a \\ y_0 + y_1\varepsilon + y_2\alpha + y_3\alpha\varepsilon + y_3\varepsilon^2 &= a \end{aligned}$$

and $x_3 \neq 0, y_3 \neq 0$. This implies that the elements $1, \varepsilon, \alpha, \alpha\varepsilon, \varepsilon^2$ are linearly dependent over \mathcal{Q} . However, since ε is transcendent over the field \mathcal{F} and since α is an irrational element in \mathcal{F} this is a contradiction. Thus the equalities $G = G_1 \cap G_2$ and $D(\mathcal{A}_{G^\downarrow}) = G$ hold.

Next we assume that our assertion is true for all groups of the kind described above, whose rank is not greater than $r + 2$ for some $r \geq 1$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+1}$ be elements in \mathcal{F} such that $\{1, \varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, \alpha_{r+1}\}$ is linearly independent over \mathcal{Q} and let G be the group which is generated by this set. We consider subgroups of R of the following forms. For any integer $p, 1 \leq p \leq r + 1$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(p,p)} &= \langle \{1, \varepsilon\} \cup \{\alpha_i/i \neq p\} \rangle, \\ K^{(p)} &= \langle \{1, \alpha_p\varepsilon^{-1}\} \rangle; \end{aligned}$$

and for any two integers p, q with $p \neq q, 1 \leq p, q \leq r + 1$ we set

$$H^{(p,q)} = \langle \{1, \varepsilon\} \cup \{\alpha_i/i \neq p, q\} \cup \{\alpha_q + \alpha_p\} \rangle.$$

Finally we define for each p, q with $1 \leq p, q \leq r + 1$

$$G^{(p,q)} = H^{(p,q)} \otimes K^{(p)}.$$

From our assumption, from 3.2 and from [5] we obtain for $1 \leq p, q \leq r + 1$

$$D(\mathcal{A}_{H^{(p,q)}\downarrow} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_p\varepsilon^{-1}}) = D(\mathcal{A}_{H^{(p,q)}\downarrow}) \otimes D(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_p\varepsilon^{-1}}) = G^{(p,q)}.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{G^\downarrow}$ can be embedded into $\mathcal{A}_{G^{(p,q)}\downarrow}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_{r+1}}$ can be embedded into $\mathcal{A}_{G^\downarrow}$ we obtain ([7], Theorem 1)

$$G \subseteq D(\mathcal{A}_{G^\downarrow}) \subseteq G^{(p,q)}$$

for any p, q with $1 \leq p, q \leq r + 1$. We claim that $G = \bigcap_{1 \leq p, q \leq r+1} G^{(p,q)}$ holds. Suppose that this were not true and suppose that a is an element in $\bigcap_{1 \leq p, q \leq r+1} G^{(p,q)} \setminus G$. For technical reasons we distinguish the following two different cases. (Observe that $a \in G^{(p,p)} = \langle \{1, \varepsilon\} \cup \{\alpha_i/1 \leq i \leq r + 1\} \cup \{\alpha_p\varepsilon^{-1}\} \cup \{\alpha_i\alpha_p\varepsilon^{-1}/i \neq p\} \rangle$ for $1 \leq p \leq r + 1$).

(I) There exists an integer p , $1 \leq p \leq r+1$ and some other integers $x_0, x, \bar{x}_0, x_i, \bar{x}_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r+1, i \neq p$) such that

$$x_0 + x\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} x_i \alpha_i + \bar{x}_0 \alpha_p \varepsilon^{-1} + \sum_{i \neq p} \bar{x}_i \alpha_i \alpha_p \varepsilon^{-1} = a$$

and $\bar{x}_k \neq 0$ for some k . Since a is contained in $G^{(p,k)}$ also, there exist integers $y_0, y, \bar{y}_0, y_i, \bar{y}_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r+1, i \neq p$) such that

$$y_0 + y\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} y_i \alpha_i + \bar{y}_0 \alpha_p \varepsilon^{-1} + \sum_{i \neq p} \bar{y}_i \alpha_i \alpha_p \varepsilon^{-1} + \bar{y}_k \alpha_p^2 \varepsilon^{-1} = a.$$

By subtracting one of the last two relations from the other one we obtain that the set $\{1, \varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+1}, \alpha_1 \alpha_p \varepsilon^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_{r+1} \alpha_p \varepsilon^{-1}\}$ is not linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Since $\{1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+1}\}$ is a linearly independent subset of \mathcal{F} and ε is transcendent over \mathcal{F} this is a contradiction.

(II) Now we assume that the condition in (I) is not satisfied. Since a is contained in $G^{(r+1, r+1)}$ and $G^{(r, r)}$ there exist integers $x_0, x, \bar{x}_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}$ and $y_0, y, \bar{y}_0, y_1, \dots, y_{r+1}$ such that $\bar{x}_0 \neq 0, \bar{y}_0 \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 + x\varepsilon + x_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + x_{r+1} \alpha_{r+1} + \bar{x}_0 \alpha_{r+1} \varepsilon^{-1} &= a, \\ y_0 + y\varepsilon + y_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + y_{r+1} \alpha_{r+1} + \bar{y}_0 \alpha_r \varepsilon^{-1} &= a. \end{aligned}$$

By subtracting one of these relations from the other one we obtain that the set $\{1, \varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+1}, \alpha_r \varepsilon^{-1}, \alpha_{r+1} \varepsilon^{-1}\}$ is not linearly independent. Thus we have reached a contradiction. It follows from (I) and (II) that our claim is true, i.e., $G = \bigcap_{1 \leq p, q \leq r+1} G^{(p, q)} = D(\mathcal{A}_G)$ holds.

COROLLARY 3.4. *For each finitely generated torsion free subgroup G of T we have $D(\mathcal{A}_G) = G^\dagger$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be the field which is generated by the rational numbers and by the elements of G^\dagger . Since \mathcal{F} is countable there exists a real number ε which is transcendent over \mathcal{F} . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let H_n be the group which is generated by G^\dagger and n/ε . Since G is torsion free it is clear that H_n is of the kind of groups we have considered in 3.3. Therefore we obtain from 3.3 that

$$D(\mathcal{A}_{H_n^\dagger}) = H_n.$$

Since $G^\dagger \subseteq D(\mathcal{A}_G) \subseteq H_n$ holds for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (again we use [7], Theorem 1) and $G^\dagger = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$, our assertion follows from this.

By using 3.4 we can now prove a more general version of 3.4.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *For each finitely generated subgroup G of T we have $D(\mathcal{A}_G) = G^\dagger$.*

Proof. The case of finite groups has already been considered in §1. Therefore we may assume that G is not finite. Since G is finitely generated the group G^\dagger is generated by a finite set $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ of real numbers which is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Moreover we may assume that there exists a positive integer n such that $\alpha_0 = 1/n$. For $1 \leq k \leq r$ let H_k be the group which is generated by the set $(\{1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \setminus \{\alpha_k\}) \cup \{\alpha_k + 1/n\}$. Moreover we set $H_0 = \langle \{1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \rangle$. We claim that \mathcal{A}_G can be embedded into the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}_{H_k}^\dagger \otimes M_n$ for each k . Let us fix some k , $0 \leq k \leq r$. Let the operator $U_{H_k}^\dagger \in U(\mathcal{A}_{H_k}^\dagger)$ as well as the homomorphism $\pi_{H_k}^\dagger$ from H_k^\dagger into $U(\mathcal{A}_{H_k}^\dagger)$ be given as in the beginning of §2. Suppose that $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the canonical basis in \mathbb{C}^n and that V, W are the matrices in M_n which are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} V e_l &= \exp(2l\pi i/n) e_1, & 1 \leq l \leq n, \\ W e_l &= e_{1+l}, & 1 \leq l < n; \quad W e_n = e_1. \end{aligned}$$

We set $U_0 = U_{H_k}^\dagger \otimes W$ and we denote by \mathcal{G} the set of all elements of the form $\pi_{H_k}^\dagger(\lambda) \otimes V^l$, $\lambda \in H_k^\dagger$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. It is seen that \mathcal{G} is a subgroup of $U(\mathcal{A}_{H_k}^\dagger \otimes M_n)$. Moreover, for each $\lambda \in G$ there exists a unique element V_λ in \mathcal{G} such that

$$U_0 V_\lambda U_0^* = \lambda V_\lambda$$

and the application $\lambda \mapsto V_\lambda$ is a homomorphism from G into \mathcal{G} . Therefore we infer from 2.2 that our claim is true. From this and from 3.4 we obtain

$$D(\mathcal{A}_G) \subseteq D(\mathcal{A}_{H_k}^\dagger \otimes M_n) = 1/n H_k$$

for $0 \leq k \leq r$. One can check that $G^\dagger = \bigcap_{k=0}^r 1/n H_k$ holds. This shows that the inclusion $D(\mathcal{A}_G) \subseteq G^\dagger$ is satisfied. By using [7] Theorem 1 once more it is seen that the inclusion $G^\dagger \subseteq D(\mathcal{A}_G)$ is also valid.

THEOREM 3.6. *For each countable subgroup G of T we have $D(\mathcal{A}_G) = G^\dagger$.*

Proof. First we assume that G is not a torsion subgroup of T . Since G is countable there exists a monotonely increasing sequence $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G$ of finitely generated infinite subgroups of G such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n = G$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\varphi_n: \mathcal{A}_{G_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G$ be the canonical embedding of \mathcal{A}_{G_n} into \mathcal{A}_G . Then \mathcal{A}_G is the inductive limit of

the sequence $\{\varphi_n(\mathcal{A}_{G_n})\}_{n \in N}$. Therefore, since $G^\dagger = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n^\dagger$ holds, our assertion follows from 3.5 and 3.1 in this case.

Now let G be an infinite torsion subgroup of G . Suppose that δ is an element in T which generates an infinite cyclic group. For each $n \in N$ let G_n be the group generated by G and δ^n . Since G_n is not a torsion group we have $D(\mathcal{A}_{G_n}) = G_n^\dagger$. Since \mathcal{A}_G can be embedded into \mathcal{A}_{G_n} for each $n \in N$ we obtain

$$D(\mathcal{A}_G) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty D(\mathcal{A}_{G_n}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty G_n^\dagger = G^\dagger.$$

On the other hand, since the restriction of the unique tracial state of \mathcal{A}_G to $C(\hat{G})$ coincides with the normalized Haar measure on $C(\hat{G})$ and since G is a torsion group the inclusion $D(\mathcal{A}_G) \supseteq G^\dagger$ holds too.

COROLLARY 3.7. *For any two countable subgroups G_1, G_2 of T the associated minimal rotation C^* -algebras $\mathcal{A}_{G_1}, \mathcal{A}_{G_2}$ are isomorphic if and only if G_1 is equal to G_2 .*

Proof. Since the group $D(\mathcal{A}_{G_i})$ is an isomorphism invariant for the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_{G_i} ($i = 1, 2$) the corollary is an immediate consequence of 3.6.

REFERENCES

1. J. W. Bunce and J. A. Deddens, *A family of simple C^* -algebras related to weighted shift operators*, J. Functional Analysis, **19** (1975), 13-24.
2. E. G. Effros, *Dimensions and C^* -algebras*, CBMS Regional Conference Series, No. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1981.
3. D. Olesen, G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki, *Ergodic actions of compact abelian groups*, J. Operator Theory, **3** (1980), 237-269.
4. G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their Automorphism Groups*, Academic Press, 1979.
5. M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, *Imbedding the irrational rotation C^* -algebra into an AF-algebra*, J. Operator Theory, **4** (1980), 201-210.
6. S. C. Power, *Simplicity of C^* -algebras of minimal dynamical systems*, J. London Math. Soc., **18** (1978), 534-538.
7. M. A. Rieffel, *C^* -algebras associated with irrational rotations*, Pacific J. Math., **93** (1981), 415-429.
8. P. Walters, *Ergodic Theory-Introductory lectures*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **458** (1975), Springer-Verlag.

Received March 11, 1981.

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN
MÜNCHEN, FED. REP. GERMANY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR AGUS

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARNES

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Natália Bebiano, On the evaluation of permanents	1
David Borwein and Bruce Brigham Watson, Tauberian theorems between the logarithmic and Abel-type summability methods	11
Leo George Chouinard, II, Hermite semigroup rings	25
Kun-Jen Chung, Remarks on nonlinear contractions	41
Lawrence Jay Corwin, Representations of division algebras over local fields. II	49
Mahlon M. Day, Left thick to left lumpy—a guided tour	71
M. Edelstein and Mo Tak Kiang, On ultimately nonexpansive semigroups	93
Mary Rodriguez Embry, Semigroups of quasnormal operators	103
William Goldman and Morris William Hirsch, Polynomial forms on affine manifolds	115
S. Janakiraman and T. Soundararajan, Totally bounded group topologies and closed subgroups	123
John Rowlay Martin, Lex Gerard Oversteegen and Edward D. Tymchatyn, Fixed point set of products and cones	133
Jan van Mill, A homogeneous Eberlein compact space which is not metrizable	141
Steven Paul Plotnick, Embedding homology 3-spheres in S^5	147
Norbert Riedel, Classification of the C^* -algebras associated with minimal rotations	153
Benedict Seifert, Combinatorial and geometric properties of weight systems of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of simple split Lie algebras over fields of 0 characteristic	163
James E. Simpson, Dilations on locally convex spaces	185
Paolo M. Soardi, Schauder bases and fixed points of nonexpansive mappings	193
Yoshio Tanaka, Point-countable k -systems and products of k -spaces	199
Fausto A. Toranzos, The points of local nonconvexity of starshaped sets	209
Lorenzo Traldi, The determinantal ideals of link modules. I	215
P. C. Trombi, Invariant harmonic analysis on split rank one groups with applications	223
Shinji Yamashita, Nonnormal Blaschke quotients	247