

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics


## **HOMEOMORPHIC CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN INVERSE LIMIT SPACES WITH OPEN BONDING MAPS**

WILLIAM THOMAS WATKINS

# HOMEOMORPHIC CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN INVERSE LIMIT SPACES WITH OPEN BONDING MAPS

WILLIAM THOMAS WATKINS


Let  $I = [0, 1]$ . Let  ${}^N f$  be the  $N$ th degree hat function from  $I$  to  $I$ . For example,  ${}^2 f$ ,  ${}^3 f$ , and  ${}^4 f$  are pictured below:



We are interested in classifying the spaces which are inverse limits of the unit interval using these bonding maps. In particular, for a fixed integer  $N \geq 2$ , we are interested in classifying (up to homeomorphism) the space  $D_N$ , which is  $\varprojlim \{I, {}^N f\}$ . The main result of this paper is:

**THEOREM:**  $D_N$  is homeomorphic to  $D_M$  if and only if  $M$  and  $N$  have the same prime factors.

**Overview.** Let  $D_N = \varprojlim \{I, {}^N f\}$ . These spaces are often called Knaster continua since  $D_2$  is, in fact, the Knaster Bucket Handle:



Bellamy [1] and latter Oversteegen-Rogers [2] used  $D_6$  to construct examples of tree-like continua without the fixed point property. It appears improbable that their techniques can be modified to construct a similar example from  $D_2$ . This resurrects a question raised in a paper by J. W. Rogers, Jr.—Are there three topologically different  $D_N$ 's?


The answer, as previously stated is:

**THEOREM.**  $D_N$  is homeomorphic to  $D_M$  if and only if  $M$  and  $N$  have the same prime factors. (Allowing different bonding maps we will show there are precisely  $c$  topologically different Knaster type continua.)


Assuming they have the same prime factors we will demonstrate an inverse limit homeomorphism between the two.

The objective of this section will be to outline, without proofs, the steps in showing that  $D_2$  and  $D_6$  are not homeomorphic. Subsequent sections will provide the details of the proofs.

Consider the composant of  $D_2$  and  $D_6$  containing the end-point as parameterized below.




Consider the special basis about the point 0 in  $D_2$  and  $D_6$ .



Observe that the integer points in  $U_i$  is exactly the collection  $\{2n2^i: n \text{ is a nonnegative integer}\}$ . The integer points in  $V_i$  is exactly the collection  $\{2n6^i: n \text{ is a nonnegative integer}\}$ .

If there were a homeomorphism  $h: D_2 \rightarrow D_6$  it would take the end-point-composant of  $D_2$  onto the end-point-composant of  $D_6$  in an order preserving manner. Furthermore we could construct the following infinite lattice of open sets.



Where  $h(U_{i_0}) \subset V_0$ .

We need two definitions. Suppose  $A = \{a_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  and  $B = \{b_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  are two increasing sequences of nonnegative integers, then:

$A \supset B$  if and only if  $a_0 = b_0 = 0$  and  $b_i \in A$  for every  $i$ .

$A \prec B$  if and only if  $A \supset B$  and  $b_i = a_{k_i}$  for every  $i$ .

Now we construct, from our lattice of open sets, a lattice of sequences. First we get the chain:

$$\begin{array}{c} A_0 \\ | \\ A_1 \\ | \\ A_2 \\ | \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

where  $A_n$  is the collection of integers in  $U_{i_n}$ , and for every  $i$  there is an integer  $r_i$  so that  $A_i \prec 2^{r_i} A_{i+1}$ . Each  $A_i$  is an arithmetic sequence. Unfortunately, the integers in  $V_{j_0}$  may not be mapped to integers in  $U_{i_0}$  under  $h^{-1}$ . However, each integer in  $V_{j_0}$  will be mapped into some arc component of  $U_{i_0}$  and at most one integer is mapped into any arc component. Thus, using a "nearest integer function", this allows us to construct a lattice:

$$\begin{array}{cc} A_0 & \searrow \nearrow B_0 \\ | & | \\ A_1 & \searrow \nearrow B_1 \\ | & | \\ A_2 & \searrow \nearrow B_2 \\ | & | \\ A_3 & \searrow \nearrow \vdots \\ | & | \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{array}$$


$B_n$  is the subsequence of  $A_n$  obtained by picking those integers in  $U_{i_n}$  that are on the same arc component of  $U_{i_n}$  containing some  $h^{-1}(m)$  where  $m$  is some integer point in  $V_{j_n}$ .

This lattice has the properties that for every  $i$  there exist  $r_i$  and  $s_i$  so that  $A_i \prec 2^{r_i} A_{i+1}$ ,  $B_i \prec 6^{s_i} B_{i+1}$  and  $A_i \supset B_i$  and  $B_i \supset A_{i+1}$ .

At one time I had hoped to show that no such lattice exists. I have been unable to do this.

By picking some special but very natural chainings of  $D_2$  and  $D_6$  we can establish one more useful fact. We pick a nested sequence of chainings of  $D_2 - \mathcal{A}_0 \succ \mathcal{A}_1 \succ \mathcal{A}_2 \succ \mathcal{A}_3 \succ \dots$  where  $\mathcal{A}_i \succ \mathcal{A}_{i+1}$  means  $\mathcal{A}_{i+1}$  refines  $\mathcal{A}_i$ . Further,  $U_i$  will be the first link in  $\mathcal{A}_i$ . Similarly pick a nested sequence of chainings of  $D_6 - \mathcal{B}_0 \succ \mathcal{B}_1 \succ \mathcal{B}_2 \succ \dots$ .

We could then get an infinite lattice of chaining:



Use the first link in each chaining to construct the same lattice of sequences we had earlier. Knowledge about the chainings helps establish that  $B_1$  must be an arithmetic sequence. (I don't know any way of insuring that  $B_0$  is arithmetic.)

For any arithmetic sequence  $C$  let  $\delta C$  be the difference between two consecutive elements. Since  $B_1$  is arithmetic and  $B_1 \supset 6^{s_1} B_2$  we see  $\delta B_2 = 6^{s_1} \delta B_1$ . Since  $B_2 \supset A_3$  there is some constant  $k$  so that  $\delta A_3 = k \delta B_2 = k 6^{s_1} \delta B_1$ . We know that  $\delta A_3$  is some power of 2 and this is a contradiction.

NOTATION. We begin with a very particular and convenient description of the continua under consideration. Suppose  $N$  is a fixed positive integer. Let  ${}^N f_i: [0, N^{i+1}] \rightarrow [0, N^i]$  be the "hat-function" such that  ${}^N f_i(mN^i) = 0$  whenever  $m$  is even and  ${}^N f_i(mN^i) = N^i$  whenever  $m$  is odd ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) and it is linear in between.

DEFINITION.  $D_N = \varprojlim \{[0, N^i], {}^N f_i\}$ . That is,  $D_N$  is the inverse limit of the following sequence:

$$[0, 1] \xleftarrow{{}^N f_0} [0, N] \xleftarrow{{}^N f_1} [0, N^2] \xleftarrow{{}^N f_2} [0, N^3] \xleftarrow{{}^N f_3} \dots$$

With this "parameterization" of  $D_N$  we shall have a very nice correspondence between the nonnegative real line and the composant of  $D_N$  containing the end-point  $\langle 0, 0, \dots \rangle$ . We shall call this composant the  $\bar{0}$ -composant of  $D_N$ . This composant has a particularly simple form—namely, it is

$$\{\bar{x} = \langle x_n \rangle \in D_N \mid \text{for some } k, x_k = x_{k+1} = x_{k+2} = x_{k+3} = \dots\}$$

that is the eventually constant elements of  $D_N$ . Given any non-negative real number  $x$  denote  $\bar{x}$  as the unique point on  $\bar{0}$ -composant whose coordinates are eventually all  $x$ . An integer point  $\bar{n}$  is a point whose coordinates are eventually the integer  $n$ .

Define  $\mu: \bar{0}\text{-composant} \times \bar{0}\text{-composant} \rightarrow R$ , where  $R$  is the set of real numbers, by  $\mu(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = |x - y|$ . In a sense this measures the arc length distance between  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$ .


Define  $\eta: \bar{0}$ -composant  $\rightarrow N$ , where  $N$  is the set of nonnegative integers, by  $\eta(\bar{x}) = [x + 1/2]$ , where  $[\ ]: R \rightarrow N$  is the greatest integer function.  $\eta$  is, in a sense, a nearest integer function. The effect of  $\eta$  is to find the integer point  $\bar{n}$  "closest" to  $\bar{x}$  as measured by  $\mu$  and then  $\eta(\bar{x}) = n$ .

We wish to investigate the possibility of a homeomorphism  $h: D_M \rightarrow D_N$ . Some very specific chainings of  $D_M$  and  $D_N$  will be helpful in this investigation. Let  $N_n = [0, N^n]$  and  $M_n = [0, M^n]$  be the  $n$ th coordinate of  $D_N$  and  $D_M$  respectively. Then  $\pi_n: D_M \rightarrow M_n$  is the projection of  $D_M$  onto  $M_n$ . ( $\pi_n$  will also be used to denote the projection  $\pi_n: D_N \rightarrow N_n$ . There should be no confusion when read in context, however.) Now define the special chainings of  $D_N$  and  $D_M$ :


$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_n^m &= \pi_n^{-1}\{[0, 2^{1-m}), (2^{-m}, 3 \cdot 2^{-m}), \dots, \\ &\quad (N^n - 3 \cdot 2^{-m}, N^n - 2^{-m}), (N^n - 2 \cdot 2^{-m}, N^n)]\} \\ \mathcal{M}_n^m &= \pi_n^{-1}\{[0, 2^{1-m}), (2^{-m}, 3 \cdot 2^{-m}), \dots, \\ &\quad (M^n - 3 \cdot 2^{-m}, M^n - 2^{-m}), (M^n - 2 \cdot 2^{-m}, M^n)]\}.\end{aligned}$$

There are four significant properties of these chainings:


1.  $\mathcal{N}_i^j$  is refined by  $\mathcal{N}_m^n$  (denoted  $\mathcal{N}_i^j \succ \mathcal{N}_m^n$ ) if and only if  $j \leq n$  and  $i \leq m$ . Similarly for  $\mathcal{M}_i^j$ .
2. The first link of  $\mathcal{N}_m^{n+1}$  intersects only the first link of  $\mathcal{N}_m^n$ . In general, if  $\mathcal{N}_i^j \succ \mathcal{N}_m^n$ ,  $j \leq n$ , then the first link of  $\mathcal{N}_m^n$  intersects only the first link of  $\mathcal{N}_i^j$ . Similarly for  $\mathcal{M}_i^j$ .





The gates in the 0th coordinate of  $D_2$  corresponding to the super-script 2.



The gates in the 2th coordinate of  $D_2$  corresponding to the super-script 2.



The  $2_1^3$  chaining of  $D_2$



3. For all  $i \geq 1$  the set of integer points in the first link of  $\mathcal{N}_i^j$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}_i^j$ ) is exactly  $\{\bar{n} \mid n = k2N^i \text{ (resp. } n = k2M^i) \ k \in N\}$ .

4.  $\mu(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < 1/4$  for any  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  on the same component in the first link of  $\mathcal{N}_i^4$  or  $\mathcal{M}_i^4$ .

### Non-Homeomorphic $D_N$ 's.

**THEOREM 1.** *If there exists a homeomorphism  $h: D_M \rightarrow D_N$ , then there exists an infinite sequence of chainings:*

$$\mathcal{M}_{i_0}^{j_0} \succ h^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_{n_0}^{m_0}) \succ \mathcal{M}_{i_0}^{j_0} \succ h^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_{n_1}^{m_1}) \succ \dots$$

If we denote  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$  as the first link of  $\mathcal{N}_{n_\alpha}^{m_\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  as the first link of  $\mathcal{M}_{i_\alpha}^{j_\alpha}$ , then the only link of  $\mathcal{M}_{i_n}^{j_n}$  that intersects  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_n)$  is  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and the only link of  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_{n_i}^{m_i})$  that intersects  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  is  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_i)$ .

Further,  $\mathcal{U}_0$  and  $h(\mathcal{U}_0)$  are both so small that for any  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$ , both on the same  $\bar{0}$ -composant component of  $\mathcal{U}_0$ ,  $\mu(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < 1/4$  and  $\mu(h(\bar{x}), h(\bar{y})) < 1/4$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Pick  $\mathcal{M}_{i_0}^{j_0}$  so that  $j_0 > 4$  and  $h(\mathcal{U}_0)$  is a subset of the first link of  $\mathcal{N}_1^4$ . Then  $\mu(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < 1/4$  and  $\mu(h(\bar{x}), h(\bar{y})) < 1/4$  for any  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  in the same component of  $\mathcal{U}_0$ .

Pick  $\mathcal{N}_{n_0}^{m_0}$  so that  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_0)$  is contained in the first link of  $\mathcal{M}_{i_0}^{j_0+1}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_0$  is the only link of  $\mathcal{M}_{i_0}^{j_0}$  that intersects  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ .

Pick  $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$  so that  $h(\mathcal{U}_1)$  is contained in the first link of  $\mathcal{N}_{n_0}^{m_0+1}$ . Then  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_0)$  is the only link of  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_{n_0}^{m_0})$  that intersects  $\mathcal{U}_1$ .

Continue this process indefinitely.

Define  $\alpha_n$  to be the set of integer points in  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and  $\beta_i$  to be the set of integer points in  $\mathcal{V}_i$ . Then as noted earlier:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= \{\bar{m} \mid m = k2M^{i_n}, k \text{ is a nonnegative integer}\} \\ \beta_i &= \{\bar{m} \mid m = k2N^{n_i}, k \text{ is a nonnegative integer}\}.\end{aligned}$$

**DEFINITION.** Given two increasing sequences of nonnegative integers  $A = \{a_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  and  $B = \{b_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  we say  $A \supset B$  if and only if conditions (a) and (b) hold.

- (a) for every  $b_i \in B$ ,  $b_i$  is also an element of  $A$ .
- (b)  $a_0 = b_0$ .


**DEFINITION.**  $A \prec B$  if and only if  $b_n = a_{k_n}$  for every  $n$ .

Observe that for every  $n \leq m$  there exists an  $r$  so that  $\eta(\alpha_n) \prec^r \eta(\alpha_m)$  and for every  $i \leq j$  there exists an  $s$  so that  $\eta(\beta_i) \prec^s \eta(\beta_j)$ .

**DEFINITION.**  $A_n = \eta(\alpha_n)$ ;  $B_n = \eta(h^{-1}(\beta_n))$ .



**THEOREM 2.** *If there exists a homeomorphism  $h: D_M \rightarrow D_N$ , then there exists an infinite lattice of increasing sequences of nonnegative integers so that for every  $i$  and  $j$   $b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i} = b_{1,j+1} - b_{1,j}$  and for every  $n$  there exists nonnegative integers  $r_n$  and  $s_n$  so that  $A_n M^{r_n} A_{n+1}$  and  $B_n N^{s_n} B_{n+1}$  and  $B_n \supset A_{n+1}$  and  $A_n \supset B_n$ . The first element in each sequence is 0.*




*Proof of Step 3.* We observed earlier that for every  $n$  there is some  $s_n$  so that  $\eta(\beta_n) N^{s_n} \eta(\beta_{n+1})$ . Since  $\eta \circ h^{-1}: \beta_n \rightarrow A_n$  is a one to one order preserving map  $B_n N^{s_n} B_{n+1}$ .

*Step 4.* Let  $p$  and  $q$  be two consecutive elements of  $\alpha_1$  and  $J$  be the arc from  $p$  to  $q$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a link of  $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$  other than the last link and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the last link of  $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$  then  $J \cap \mathcal{B}$  has exactly one component and  $J \cap \mathcal{A}$  has exactly two components. In particular  $J \cap \mathcal{U}_1$  has exactly two components.


*Proof of Step 4.* This is simply the observation that the  $\bar{0}$ -composant goes from the first link, through each link in order to the last. It then turns around and goes from the last link to the first in reverse order.

The following diagram may be helpful.




Consider the continuous one to one, onto function  $f$ : nonnegative reals  $\rightarrow \bar{0}$ -composant, so that  $f(x) = \bar{x}$ . Then


$f^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  looks like:



$f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$  looks like:



$f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1)$  looks like:



*Step 5.* The number of  $B_0$  elements between any two consecutive  $A_1$  elements is constant.

*Proof of Step 5.* Note that any maximal subchain of  $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$  contained in  $\mathcal{U}_0$  has only one link containing integer points. Call this link  $\mathcal{U}$ . Step 2 implies  $A_1 \subset B_0$ , so that if there exists some  $\bar{x} \in \beta_0$  and  $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{U}$  so that  $\eta(h^{-1}(\bar{x})) = \eta(\bar{y})$ , then there is some  $\bar{x}_1 \in \beta_0$  for every  $\bar{y}_1 \in \mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{U}$  so that  $\eta(h^{-1}(\bar{x}_1)) = \eta(\bar{y}_1)$ .

We know how the arc connecting two consecutive elements of  $\alpha_1$  passes through the links of  $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$ . If  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$  and  $\mathcal{U} \cap \beta_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  is not an end link then any arc connecting two consecutive elements of  $\alpha_1$  passes through  $\mathcal{U}$  exactly twice. That is there are exactly two components of  $\mathcal{U}$  that are subsets of the arc.

If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an end link then there is only one component of  $\mathcal{U}$  that is a subset of the arc.

$B_0$  either contains all or none of  $\eta(\beta_0 \cap \mathcal{U})$ . Since this hold for every  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$  where  $\mathcal{U} \cap \beta_0 \neq \emptyset$  the number of  $B_0$  elements between any two consecutive  $A_1$  elements is constant.

*Step 6.*  $b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i}$  is a constant for all  $i$ .

*Proof of Step 6.* Suppose  $b_{1,1} = b_{0,L} = a_{1,K}$ . Then  $b_{1,2} = b_{0,2L}$ , and since the number of  $B_0$  elements between two consecutive  $A_1$  elements is constant,  $b_{1,2} = a_{1,2K}$ , and in general  $b_{1,n} = a_{1,nK}$ . Since  $a_{1,(i+1)K} - a_{1,iK}$  is a constant,  $b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i}$  is also a constant.

The steps have established Theorem 2.

**THEOREM 3.** *If there exists a prime  $p$  so that  $p|N$  and  $p \nmid M$  then there does not exist a homeomorphism  $h: D_M \rightarrow D_N$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 3.* If 2 divides  $N$  and 2 does not divide  $M$  then  $D_N$  has one end point and  $D_M$  has two end points, hence  $D_M$  is not homeomorphic to  $D_N$ .

Consider the case when  $p \neq 2$ ,  $p$  divides  $N$  and  $p$  does not divide  $M$ . Theorem 2 says that  $B_1$  is arithmetic.  $B_1 N^{s_1} B_2$  then implies that  $B_2$  is arithmetic and in particular  $N^{s_1}(b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i}) = b_{2,j+1} - b_{2,j}$  for every  $i$  and  $j$ . Further, since  $A_3$  is arithmetic,  $B_2 \supset A_3$  implies there exists a fixed integer  $c$  so that  $cN^{s_1}(b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i}) = a_{3,j+1} - a_{3,j}$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . This is impossible since the left hand side is divisible

by  $p$  and the right hand side is two times some power of  $M$  and hence not divisible by  $p$ .

**Homeomorphic  $D_N$ 's.**

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $M$  and  $N$  have the same prime factors then  $D_M$  is homeomorphic to  $D_N$ .*

It will be more convenient at this point to consider  $D_M = \varprojlim \{I, {}^M f\}$  where  ${}^M f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  so that for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$   ${}^M f(n/M) = 0$  whenever  $n$  is even and  ${}^M f(n/M) = 1$  whenever  $n$  is odd.

These open functions satisfy the property:

$${}^{MN}f = {}^M f \circ {}^N f = {}^N f \circ {}^M f \quad \text{and hence} \quad {}^N f^n = {}^{N^n} f.$$

For notational convenience we will denote  ${}^M f$  by  $M$  in this section.

*Proof of Theorem 4.* It will be sufficient to show that if  $M = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} p_3^{a_3} \dots p_n^{a_n}$  is a prime factorization of  $M$  and  $R = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_n$  that  $D_M$  is homeomorphic to  $D_R$ .

**Step 1.** Suppose there is a prime  $p$  dividing  $R$  and  $M = pR$ , then  $D_M$  is homeomorphic to  $D_R$ .

*Proof of Step 1.* Construct the inverse limit map  $h: D_M \rightarrow D_R$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} I & \xleftarrow{M} & I & \xleftarrow{M} & I & \xleftarrow{M} & I & \xleftarrow{M} & I & \xleftarrow{M} & \dots \\ i \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & p^2 \downarrow & & p^3 \downarrow & & p^4 \downarrow & & \\ I & \xleftarrow{R} & I & \xleftarrow{R} & I & \xleftarrow{R} & I & \xleftarrow{R} & I & \xleftarrow{R} & \dots \end{array}$$

$h$  is an open, continuous, onto map. It is left to show that  $h$  is one to one. Under the specified conditions  $p^2$  divides  $M$  so  $M/p^2$  is an integer.

Suppose  $h(\bar{x}) = h(\bar{y})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i(\bar{x}) &= M^i(\pi_{2i}(\bar{x})) = \frac{M^i}{p^{2i}} \circ p^{2i}(\pi_{2i}(\bar{x})) = \frac{M^i}{p^{2i}} \circ p^{2i}(\pi_{2i}(\bar{y})) \\ &= M^i(\pi_{2i}(\bar{y})) = \pi_i(\bar{y}). \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the step. A simple repetition of the step proves the theorem.

**Counting the homeomorphism classes.** We now have the theorem:

**THEOREM 5.**  *$D_M$  is homeomorphic to  $D_N$  if and only if  $M$  and  $N$  have the same prime factors.*

This yields countably many distinct homeomorphism classes. By considering a slightly larger collection of spaces we can show that there are uncountably many distinct homeomorphism classes.

Consider the collection of inverse limit spaces with open bonding maps as before but not necessarily with fixed bonding maps. That is consider any sequence of primes  $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  where  $p_0 = 1$ . Define the functions  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}: [0, \prod_{i=0}^{n+1} p_i] \rightarrow [0, \prod_{i=0}^n p_i]$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}(m \prod_{i=0}^n p_i) = 0$  whenever  $m$  is even and  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}(m \prod_{i=0}^n p_i) = \prod_{i=0}^n p_i$  whenever  $m$  is odd ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p_{n+1}$ ) and linear in between. Then define  $D_{\{p_i\}} = \varprojlim \{[0, \prod_{i=0}^n p_i], \mathcal{S}_n\}$  to be the following inverse limit space:

$$[0, 1] \xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}_1} [0, p_1] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}_2} [0, p_1 p_2] \xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}_3} [0, p_1 p_2 p_3] \xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}_4} \dots$$

This generalizes the previous notion since  $D_N$  is  $D_{\{p_i\}}$  where  $p_i = N$  for all  $i \neq 0$ .

Let  $P$  represent the set of all primes and  $2^P$  represent the set of all nonempty subsets of  $P$ . The cardinality of  $2^P$  is uncountable. For each set in  $2^P$  we will now construct an inverse limit space that is not homeomorphic to any of the others.

For each  $K$  in  $2^P$  construct a sequence that repeats each element of  $K$  infinitely often. For example let  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots$  be the elements of  $K$ . (To insure a unique construction we might assume  $p_i \leq p_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ .) Construct the sequence  $1, p_1, p_2, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, \dots$  call this sequence  $\tilde{K}$ . Let  $\tilde{L}$  be the sequence  $1, q_1, q_2, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_1, q_2, \dots$ .

**THEOREM 3a.** *If  $K$  and  $L$  are distinct subsets of  $2^P$  then  $D_{\tilde{K}}$  and  $D_{\tilde{L}}$  are not homeomorphic.*

The line of proof follows the same reasoning as the previous section. That is we first investigate conditions imposed by a homeomorphism  $h: D_{\tilde{K}} \rightarrow D_{\tilde{L}}$  and then show that these conditions cannot be met when  $K$  and  $L$  are distinct subsets of  $2^P$ .

Without loss of generality we can assume that  $h(\bar{0}) = \bar{0}$ , so that the  $\bar{0}$ -composant is mapped onto the  $\bar{0}$ -composant. We consider special chaining defined exactly as before and note that they have nearly the same properties. The only change is in the third property. Now the integer points in the first link of the chain  $\mathcal{H}_i^j$  are exactly:

$$\{\bar{n} \mid n = k2 \prod_{m=1}^i p_m \text{ where } k \text{ is a nonnegative integer}\}.$$

The following theorems have almost word for word the same proofs as their counterparts in previous sections.

**THEOREM 1a.** *If there exists a homeomorphism  $h: D_{\bar{K}} \rightarrow D_{\bar{L}}$ , then there exists an infinite sequence of chainings:*

$$\mathcal{H}_{i_0}^{j_0} > h^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{n_0}^{m_0}) > \mathcal{H}_{i_1}^{j_1} > h^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{n_1}^{m_1}) > \dots$$

If we denote  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$  as the first link of  $\mathcal{L}_{n_\alpha}^{m_\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  as the first link of  $\mathcal{H}_{i_\alpha}^{j_\alpha}$ , then the only link of  $\mathcal{H}_{i_n}^{j_n}$  that intersects  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_n)$  is  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and the only link in  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{n_i}^{m_i})$  that intersects  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  is  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_i)$ .

Further,  $\mathcal{U}_0$  and  $h(\mathcal{U}_0)$  are both so small that for any  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$ , both on the same  $\bar{0}$ -composant component of  $\mathcal{U}_0$ ,  $\mu(x, y) < 1/4$  and  $\mu(h(x), h(y)) < 1/4$ .

**THEOREM 2a.** *If there exists a homeomorphism  $h: D_{\bar{K}} \rightarrow D_{\bar{L}}$ , then there exists an infinite lattice of increasing sequences of nonnegative integers so that for every  $i$  and  $j$   $b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i} = b_{1,j+1} - b_{1,j}$  and for every  $n$  there exists nonnegative integers  $r_n$  and  $s_n$  so that*

$$A_n \prod_{r_n-1}^{r_n} p_i A_{n+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_n \prod_{s_n-1}^{s_n} q_i B_{n+1}$$

and  $B_n \supset A_{n+1}$  and  $A_n \supset B_n$ . (It is easy to see that if  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  are distinct subsets of  $2^P$ , that is, there exists  $q \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{K}$ , then  $s_n$  can be chosen so that  $q$  divides  $\prod_{s_n-1}^{s_n} q_i$  for every  $n$  but  $q$  does not divide  $\prod_{r_n-1}^{r_n} p_i$  for any  $n$ .)

**THEOREM 3a.** *If there exists a prime  $q \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{K}$  then  $D_{\bar{K}}$  is not homeomorphic to  $D_{\bar{L}}$ .*

## REFERENCES

1. David P. Bellamy, *A tree-like continuum without the fixed-point property*, preprint.
2. Lex G. Oversteegen and James T. Rogers Jr., *Tree-like continua as limits of cyclic graphs*, preprint.
3. J. W. Rogers, Jr., *On mapping indecomposable continua onto certain chainable indecomposable continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **25** (1969), 449-456.

Received September 2, 1980 and in revised form January 21, 1981. The author expresses thanks to the Mathematics faculty at the University of Wyoming and especially to Ira Rosenholtz and Joe Martin for their interest.

PAN AMERICAN UNIVERSITY  
EDINBURG, TX 78539



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR AGUS

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF AAWAH  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies,

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966, Regular subscription rate: \$114.00 a year (6 Vol., 12 issues). Special rate: \$57.00 a year to individual members of supporting institution.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).  
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1982 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan



Alberto Alesina and Leone De Michele, A dichotomy for a class of positive definite functions .....	251
Kahtan Alzubaidy, Rank <sub>2</sub> $p$ -groups, $p > 3$ , and Chern classes .....	259
James Arney and Edward A. Bender, Random mappings with constraints on coalescence and number of origins .....	269
Bruce C. Berndt, An arithmetic Poisson formula .....	295
Julius Rubin Blum and J. I. Reich, Pointwise ergodic theorems in l.c.a. groups .....	301
Jonathan Borwein, A note on $\varepsilon$ -subgradients and maximal monotonicity .....	307
Andrew Michael Brunner, Edward James Mayland, Jr. and Jonathan Simon, Knot groups in $S^4$ with nontrivial homology .....	315
Luis A. Caffarelli, Avner Friedman and Alessandro Torelli, The two-obstacle problem for the biharmonic operator .....	325
Aleksander Całka, On local isometries of finitely compact metric spaces .....	337
William S. Cohn, Carleson measures for functions orthogonal to invariant subspaces .....	347
Roger Fenn and Denis Karmen Sjerve, Duality and cohomology for one-relator groups .....	365
Gen Hua Shi, On the least number of fixed points for infinite complexes .....	377
George Golightly, Shadow and inverse-shadow inner products for a class of linear transformations .....	389
Joachim Georg Hartung, An extension of Sion's minimax theorem with an application to a method for constrained games .....	401
Vikram Jha and Michael Joseph Kallaher, On the Lorimer-Rahilly and Johnson-Walker translation planes .....	409
Kenneth Richard Johnson, Unitary analogs of generalized Ramanujan sums .....	429
Peter Dexter Johnson, Jr. and R. N. Mohapatra, Best possible results in a class of inequalities .....	433
Dieter Jungnickel and Sharad S. Sane, On extensions of nets .....	437
Johan Henricus Bernardus Kemperman and Morris Skibinsky, On the characterization of an interesting property of the arcsin distribution .....	457
Karl Andrew Kosler, On hereditary rings and Noetherian $V$ -rings .....	467
William A. Lampe, Congruence lattices of algebras of fixed similarity type. II .....	475
M. N. Mishra, N. N. Nayak and Swadeenananda Pattanayak, Strong result for real zeros of random polynomials .....	509
Sidney Allen Morris and Peter Robert Nickolas, Locally invariant topologies on free groups .....	523
Richard Cole Penney, A Fourier transform theorem on nilmanifolds and nil-theta functions .....	539
Andrei Shkalikov, Estimates of meromorphic functions and summability theorems .....	569
László Székelyhidi, Note on exponential polynomials .....	583
William Thomas Watkins, Homeomorphic classification of certain inverse limit spaces with open bonding maps .....	589
David G. Wright, Countable decompositions of $E^n$ .....	603
Takayuki Kawada, Correction to: "Sample functions of Pólya processes" .....	611
Z. A. Chanturia, Errata: "On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of the classes $H^\omega \cap V[v]$ " .....	611