

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ELEMENTARY PROOFS OF BERNDT'S RECIPROCITY LAWS

TOM M. (MIKE) APOSTOL AND THIENNU H. VU

ELEMENTARY PROOFS OF BERNDT'S RECIPROCITY LAWS

TOM M. APOSTOL AND THIENNU H. VU

Using analytic functional equations, Berndt derived three reciprocity laws connecting five arithmetical sums analogous to Dedekind sums. This paper gives elementary proofs of all three reciprocity laws and obtains them all from a common source, a polynomial reciprocity formula of L. Carlitz.

1. Introduction. The classical Dedekind sums

$$s(h, k) = \sum_{r \bmod k} \left(\left(\frac{r}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{hr}{k} \right) \right),$$

where h and k are integers, $k > 0$, $((x)) = x - [x] - 1/2$ if $x \neq \text{integer}$, and $((x)) = 0$ for integer x , occur in the transformation formula for the logarithm of the Dedekind eta function

$$\eta(\tau) = e^{\pi i \tau / 12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n \tau}). \quad (\text{Im}(\tau) > 0)$$

Dedekind's formula which describes the behavior of $\log \eta(\tau)$ under a unimodular substitution implies a reciprocity law relating $s(h, k)$ and $s(k, h)$ when $(h, k) = 1$. (See [1], Chapter 3.)

Berndt [2] derived transformation formulas for the logarithm of the theta function

$$\theta(\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n \tau})(1 + e^{(2n-1)\pi i \tau})^2$$

and related functions, and introduced five new arithmetical sums which are analogous to (but quite different from) the Dedekind sums, and showed that the analytic functional equations imply reciprocity laws for these sums. The sums in question are

$$(1) \quad S(h, k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{r+1+[hr/k]},$$

$$(2) \quad s_1(h, k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{[hr/k]} \left(\left(\frac{r}{k} \right) \right),$$

$$(3) \quad s_2(h, k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r \left(\left(\frac{r}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{hr}{k} \right) \right),$$

$$(4) \quad s_3(h, k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r \left(\left(\frac{hr}{k} \right) \right),$$

and

$$(5) \quad s_4(h, k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{[hr/k]}.$$

Berndt's reciprocity laws, which occur, respectively, as Theorems 4.2, 6.2, and 8.2 in [2], can be stated as follows:

THEOREM 1. *If h and k have opposite parity and $(h, k) = 1$, then*

$$(6) \quad S(h, k) + S(k, h) = 1.$$

THEOREM 2. *If h is odd, k is even, and $(h, k) = 1$, then*

$$(7) \quad 2s_2(h, k) - s_1(k, h) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{hk} + \frac{h}{k} - 1 \right).$$

THEOREM 3. *If k is odd and $(h, k) = 1$, then*

$$(8) \quad 2s_3(h, k) - s_4(k, h) = 1 - \frac{h}{k}.$$

Since these theorems concern arithmetical sums, it seems desirable to have proofs independent of the theory of theta functions. An elementary proof of (6) has been given by Berndt, Evans and others [3]. This paper gives elementary proofs of all three reciprocity laws and, moreover, obtains them all from a common source, a polynomial reciprocity formula of L. Carlitz ([4], Eq. (5.11)) which states that

$$(9) \quad (u-1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} u^{k-r-1} v^{[hr/k]} - (v-1) \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} v^{h-r-1} u^{[kr/h]} = u^{k-1} - v^{h-1}.$$

Here h and k are coprime positive integers and u, v are arbitrary complex numbers.

In [4] Carlitz gives an elementary proof of (9). We give a different elementary proof involving lattice points in a triangle and then use (9) to deduce Theorems 1, 2 and 3. We also show that in the cases not covered by Berndt's theorems the sums in question vanish. Thus we have the following companion theorems.

THEOREM 1a. *If both h and k are odd and $(h, k) = 1$, then*

$$(10) \quad S(h, k) = S(k, h) = 0.$$

THEOREM 2a. *If k is odd and $(h, k) = 1$, then*

$$(11) \quad s_2(h, k) = s_1(k, h) = 0.$$

THEOREM 3a. *If k is even and $(h, k) = 1$, then*

$$(12) \quad s_3(h, k) = s_4(k, h) = 0.$$

2. Proof of Carlitz's reciprocity formula (9). We have

$$\begin{aligned} u^{k-1} - v^{h-1} &= (u^{k-1} - 1) - (v^{h-1} - 1) \\ &= (u - 1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} u^{k-1-r} - (v - 1) \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} v^{h-1-r}. \end{aligned}$$

This identity reduces to (9) if, and only if, we have

$$(13) \quad (u - 1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} u^{k-r-1} (1 - v^{[hr/k]}) = (v - 1) \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} v^{h-r-1} (1 - u^{[kr/h]}).$$

Now if $hr/k \geq 1$ we have

$$1 - v^{[hr/k]} = (1 - v) \sum_{n=0}^{[hr/k]-1} v^n$$

and there is a corresponding formula for $1 - u^{[kr/h]}$ if $kr/h \geq 1$. Hence (13) is equivalent to the identity

$$(14) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ hr/k \geq 1}}^{[hr/k]-1} u^{k-1-r} v^n = \sum_{s=1}^{h-1} \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ ks/h \geq 1}}^{[ks/h]-1} v^{h-1-s} u^m.$$

Because of symmetry in h and k , we can assume that $h < k$ so the condition $ks/h \geq 1$ is automatically satisfied. Let L denote the left member of (14). In the sum over r introduce a new index of summation, $m = k - 1 - r$. Then

$$\left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] = \left[\frac{h(k-1-m)}{k} \right] = h - 1 - \left[\frac{h(1+m)}{k} \right]$$

and we get

$$L = \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} \sum_{n=0}^{h-1-[h(1+m)/k]} u^m v^n.$$

Now replace the index n by $s = h - 1 - n$. This gives

$$L = \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} \sum_{s=[h(1+m)/k]}^{h-1} u^m v^{h-1-s}.$$

This double sum is extended over the lattice points (m, s) in the xy -plane which lie inside or on the boundary of the right triangle bounded by the lines

$$x = 0, \quad y = h - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad y = h(1 + x)/k.$$

Interchanging the order of summation we find

$$L = \sum_{s=1}^{h-1} \sum_{m=0}^{[ks/h]-1} u^m v^{h-1-s},$$

which proves (14), and hence (9).

3. Proof of Theorems 1 and 1a. Taking $u = v = -1$ in (9) and dividing by $2(-1)^{k+1}$ we obtain

$$\sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{r+1+[hr/k]} + (-1)^{h-k+1} \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{r+1+[kr/h]} = \frac{1 - (-1)^{k+h}}{2}.$$

If h and k have opposite parity this implies Berndt's Theorem 1, and if h and k have the same parity (both odd since $(h, k) = 1$), we obtain

$$S(h, k) - S(k, h) = 0.$$

But if h and k are both odd we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(h, k) &= \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{(k-r)+1+[h(k-r)/k]} \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{-r+1+h-1-[hr/k]} = (-1)^{k+h-1} S(h, k) = -S(h, k), \end{aligned}$$

so $S(h, k) = 0$ and hence also $S(k, h) = 0$.

4. Proof of Theorems 3 and 3a. We differentiate each member of (9) with respect to v and then put $v = 1$ to obtain

$$(15) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (u^{k-r} - u^{k-r-1}) \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} u^{[kr/h]} = 1 - h.$$

When $u = -1$ this becomes

$$(16) \quad 2(-1)^k \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] - s_4(k, h) = 1 - h.$$

But $[hr/k] = hr/k - 1/2 - ((hr/k))$ so

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] &= \frac{h}{k} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r r - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r - s_3(h, k) \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} \frac{h}{k} \left[\frac{k}{2} \right] + \frac{(-1)^k + 1}{4} - s_3(h, k) \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r r = \left[\frac{k}{2} \right] (-1)^{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^r = -\frac{(-1)^h + 1}{2}.$$

Using (17) in (16) we obtain

$$2(-1)^{k-1}s_3(h, k) - s_4(k, h) = 1 - h + \frac{2h}{k} \left[\frac{k}{2} \right] - \frac{1 + (-1)^k}{2}.$$

When k is odd this gives Berndt's Theorem 3, and when k is even it gives

$$(18) \quad -2s_3(h, k) - s_4(k, h) = 0.$$

But when k is even it is easy to see that $s_4(k, h) = 0$ because

$$\begin{aligned} s_4(k, h) &= \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{[kr/h]} = \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{[k(h-r)/h]} = \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{k-1-[kr/h]} \\ &= - \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{[kr/h]} = -s_4(k, h). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $s_4(k, h) = 0$ and (18) shows that $s_3(h, k) = 0$ when k is even.

5. Proof of Theorems 2 and 2a. Start with Equation (15) and rewrite it as follows:

$$(u-1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} u^{k-r-1} \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} u^{[kr/h]} = 1 - h.$$

Replace r by $k-r$ in the first sum and note that $[h(k-r)/k] = h-1-[hr/k]$ to obtain

$$(u-1)(h-1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} u^{r-1} - (u-1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} u^{r-1} \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} u^{[kr/h]} = 1 - h,$$

or

$$(19) \quad (u-1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} u^{r-1} \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] + \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} u^{[kr/h]} = u^{k-1}(h-1).$$

Differentiate with respect to u and multiply by u to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (u-1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} r u^{r-1} \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} u^{r-1} \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] + \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} \left[\frac{kr}{h} \right] u^{[kr/h]} \\ = (k-1)u^{k-1}(h-1). \end{aligned}$$

Now multiply by $(u-1)$ and use (19) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (u-1)^2 \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} r u^{r-1} \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} u^{[kr/h]} + (u-1) \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} \left[\frac{kr}{h} \right] u^{[kr/h]} \\ = u^{k-1}(h-1)\{(k-1)(u-1)-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

When $u = -1$ this gives us

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad 4 \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{r-1} r \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] - s_4(k, h) - 2 \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{[kr/h]} \left[\frac{kr}{h} \right] \\ = (-1)^k(h-1)(2k-1). \end{aligned}$$

If k is even, $s_4(k, h) = 0$ by Theorem 3a, and (20) becomes

$$(21) \quad 4 \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{r-1} r \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] - 2 \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{[kr/h]} \left[\frac{kr}{h} \right] = (h-1)(2k-1).$$

Theorem 2 now follows at once from (21) and the following lemma:

LEMMA. *If k is even and h is odd, $(h, k) = 1$, then we have*

$$(22) \quad -2 \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{[kr/h]} \left[\frac{kr}{h} \right] = 1 - \frac{1}{h} - 2ks_1(k, h),$$

and

$$(23) \quad 4 \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{r-1} r \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] = 4ks_2(h, k) + 2hk - 2h - k.$$

To prove (22) we evaluate the sum

$$t(h, k) = 2 \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{[kr/h]} \left(\left(\frac{kr}{h} \right) \right)$$

in two ways. On the one hand we have (since $s_4(k, h) = 0$ if k is even)

$$\begin{aligned} t(h, k) &= 2 \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{[kr/h]} \left(\frac{kr}{h} - \left[\frac{kr}{h} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= -2 \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{[kr/h]} \left[\frac{kr}{h} \right] + 2k \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{[kr/h]} \left(\left(\frac{r}{h} \right) \right) \\ &= -2 \sum_{r=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{[kr/h]} \left[\frac{kr}{h} \right] + 2ks_1(k, h). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have, since k is even,

$$t(h, k) = 2 \sum_{r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{h}} (-1)^{[kr/h]} \left(\left(\frac{kr}{h} \right) \right).$$

Write $kr = qh + \rho$, where $q = [kr/h]$ and $0 < \rho < h$. Since k is even and h is odd we have $qh + \rho \equiv q + \rho \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ so $(-1)^\rho = (-1)^q$. Hence

$$t(h, k) = 2 \sum_{\rho=1}^{h-1} (-1)^\rho \left(\left(\frac{\rho}{h} \right) \right) = 2 \sum_{\rho=1}^{h-1} (-1)^\rho \left(\frac{\rho}{h} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{h-1}{h} = 1 - \frac{1}{h}.$$

Equating the two expressions for $t(h, k)$ we obtain (22).

To prove (23) we write

$$4ks_2(h, k) = 4k \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r \left(\frac{r}{k} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{hr}{k} - \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -4 \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r r \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] + 2k \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] + \frac{4h}{k} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r r^2 \\
&\quad - 2(h+1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r r + k \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r .
\end{aligned}$$

Now if k is even we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r r^2 &= -\frac{k(k-1)}{2}, & \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r r &= -\frac{k}{2}, \\
\text{and } \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r &= -1,
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$(24) \quad 4ks_2(h, k) = -4 \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r r \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] + 2k \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] + 2h - hk.$$

Let S denote the second sum on the right. Replacing r by $k-r$ we find (since k is even),

$$S = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-r} \left(h - 1 - \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] \right) = (h-1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^r - S,$$

so $2S = 1 - h$ and $2kS = k - hk$. Therefore (24) reduces to (23). This completes the proof of the lemma and also of Theorem 2.

Finally, to prove Theorem 2a we replace the index r by $k-r$ in (3) to obtain

$$s_2(h, k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-r} \left(\left(\frac{k-r}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{h(k-r)}{k} \right) \right) = (-1)^k s_2(h, k).$$

Therefore $s_2(h, k) = 0$ if k is odd and $(h, k) = 1$. A similar argument shows that $s_1(h, k) = 0$ if h is odd and $(h, k) = 1$. This implies Theorem 2a.

REFERENCES

1. Tom M. Apostol, *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory*. Graduate texts in Mathematics, 41. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
2. Bruce C. Berndt, *Analytic Eisenstein series, theta-functions, and series relations in the spirit of Ramanujan*, J. für die reine und angewandte Math. 303/304 (1978), 332-365. MR 80b, #10035.
3. Bruce C. Berndt and Ronald J. Evans, Problem E2758, American Math. Monthly, v. 86(1979), p. 128, and v. 87(1980), p. 404.
4. Leonard Carlitz, *Some polynomials associated with Dedekind sums*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungaricae, 26(1975), 311-319. MR 52, #5540.

Received January 21, 1981.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
PASADENA, CA 91125

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$102.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$51.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1982 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Humberto Raul Alagia, Cartan subalgebras of Banach-Lie algebras of operators	1
Tom M. (Mike) Apostol and Thiennu H. Vu, Elementary proofs of Berndt's reciprocity laws	17
James Robert Boone, A note on linearly ordered net spaces	25
Miriam Cohen, A Morita context related to finite automorphism groups of rings	37
Willibald Doeringer, Exceptional values of differential polynomials	55
Alan Stewart Dow and Ortwin Joachim Martin Forster, Absolute C^* -embedding of F -spaces	63
Patrick Hudson Flinn, A characterization of M -ideals in $B(l_p)$ for $1 < p < \infty$	73
Jack Emile Girolo, Approximating compact sets in normed linear spaces	81
Antonio Granata, A geometric characterization of n th order convex functions	91
Kenneth Richard Johnson, A reciprocity law for Ramanujan sums	99
Grigori Abramovich Kolesnik, On the order of $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ and $\Delta(R)$	107
Daniel Joseph Madden and William Yslas Vélez, Polynomials that represent quadratic residues at primitive roots	123
Ernest A. Michael, On maps related to σ -locally finite and σ -discrete collections of sets	139
Jean-Pierre Rosay, Un exemple d'ouvert borné de \mathbb{C}^3 "taut" mais non hyperbolique complet	153
Roger Sherwood Schlafly, Universal connections: the local problem	157
Russel A. Smucker, Quasidiagonal weighted shifts	173
Eduardo Daniel Sontag, Remarks on piecewise-linear algebra	183
Jan Sørensen, Symmetric shift registers. II	203
H. M. (Hari Mohan) Srivastava, Some biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials	235