

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ABSOLUTE C^* -EMBEDDING OF F -SPACES

ALAN STEWART DOW AND ORTWIN JOACHIM MARTIN FORSTER

ABSOLUTE C^* -EMBEDDING OF F -SPACES

ALAN DOW AND ORTWIN FÖRSTER

Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of a space X . We define \mathcal{U} to be a P -cover if each element of \mathcal{U} is a proper subset of X , \mathcal{U} is closed under countable unions and for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there is a $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that U and $X \setminus V$ are completely separated. We prove an F -space X is C^* -embedded in every F -space it is embedded in iff X has no P -covers or X is almost compact.

1. Introduction. In 1949, Hewitt [7] proved that a Tychonoff space is C^* -embedded in every Tychonoff space in which it is embedded iff X is almost compact. C. E. Aull [1] has shown that a P -space X is C^* -embedded in every P -space in which it is embedded iff X is almost Lindelöf (given disjoint zero sets of X at least one is Lindelöf). These two theorems are examples of absolute C^* -embedding theorems. In §3 of this paper we will provide the absolute C^* -embedding theorem for F -spaces. In §4 we obtain partial results concerning C^* -embeddings in basically disconnected spaces.

2. DEFINITIONS. All topological spaces will be assumed to be Tychonoff. The following theorem is useful when dealing with F -spaces and also provides a definition of F -spaces.

THEOREM 2.1 [6, 14.25]. *The following are equivalent*

- (1) X is an F -space.
- (2) βX is an F -space.
- (3) disjoint cozero subsets of X are completely separated.
- (4) cozero subsets of X are C^* -embedded.
- (5) disjoint cozero subsets of βX have disjoint closures.

X is *basically disconnected* if the closure of every cozero set is clopen. X is a P -space if every zero set of X is open. The reader is referred to [6] for background on P -spaces, F -spaces and basically disconnected spaces. X is *weakly Lindelöf* if every open cover of X contains a countable subcollection whose union is dense in X [2]. If X is a subspace of Y and \mathcal{C} is a collection of subsets of Y , we define $\mathcal{C}|_X = \{C \cap X: C \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

The cardinality of a set K is denoted by $|K|$ and the immediate successor of a cardinal α is denoted by α^+ . The cofinality of a non-

successor ordinal α , denoted by $cf(\alpha)$, is the smallest cardinal κ such that $\alpha = \sup \{\delta_\gamma: \gamma < \kappa\}$, where $\delta_\gamma < \alpha$. Our notation and terminology follows that of the Gillman-Jerison text [6].

3. Absolute C^* -embedding of F -spaces.

DEFINITION 3.1. An open cover \mathcal{C} of X is called a P -cover if each $U \in \mathcal{C}$ is a proper subset of X , \mathcal{C} is closed under countable unions and for each $U \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a V in \mathcal{C} such that U and $X \setminus V$ are completely separated in X .

It is immediate from the definition that a weakly Lindelöf space has no P -covers. In this paper we will find similarities between weakly Lindelöf F -spaces and F -spaces without P -covers, but in §5 we will give an example of an F -space without P -covers and which is not weakly Lindelöf.

DEFINITION 3.2. We will call $A \subset X$ a P -set of X if A is compact and any disjoint cozero set of X is completely separated from A . If $A = \{p\}$ is a P -set, then p (as usual) is called a P -point.

The following result motivates the use of the term “ P -cover”.

LEMMA 3.3. *There exists a P -set of βX contained in $\beta X \setminus X$ iff X has a P -cover.*

Proof. Let P be a P -set of βX which is contained in $\beta X \setminus X$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C: C \text{ is a cozero subset of } \beta X \text{ and } C \cap P = \emptyset\}$. We will show that $\mathcal{C}|_X = \{C \cap X: C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a P -cover of X . It is immediate that $\mathcal{C}|_X$ is closed under countable unions. If $U \in \mathcal{C}$, then P and U are completely separated by the definition of a P -set. Hence there is a zero set Z of βX containing P such that U and Z are completely separated in βX . Let $V = \beta X \setminus Z$; then $V \in \mathcal{C}$, and $U \cap X$ is completely separated from $Z \cap X = X \setminus V$. Also if $C \in \mathcal{C}$ then $\text{cl}_{\beta X}(C \cap X) \cap P = \emptyset$ so $C \cap X$ is a proper subset of X . Therefore $\mathcal{C}|_X$ is a P -cover of X .

For the converse, assume \mathcal{C} is a P -cover of X . Define P to be $\bigcap \{\text{cl}_{\beta X}(X \setminus C): C \in \mathcal{C}\}$. We will show that P is the required P -set. P is compact and nonempty since \mathcal{C} is closed under finite unions and therefore $\{\text{cl}_{\beta X}(X \setminus C): C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ has the finite intersection property. Also P is contained in $\beta X \setminus X$ since \mathcal{C} is a cover of X . Let U be a cozero subset of βX such that $U \cap P = \emptyset$. Then U is Lindelöf and $\bigcap \{\text{cl}_{\beta X}(X \setminus C): C \in \mathcal{C}\} \cap U = \emptyset$, therefore there is a subset $\{C_n: n < \omega\}$ of \mathcal{C} such that $\bigcap \{\text{cl}_{\beta X}(X \setminus C_n): n < \omega\} \cap U = \emptyset$. In parti-

cular, $U \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X}(X \setminus \bigcup \{C_n : n < \omega\}) = \emptyset$; so $U \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} \bigcup \{C_n : n < \omega\}$. Because \mathcal{C} is a P -cover, there is a V in \mathcal{C} such that $\bigcup \{C_n : n < \omega\}$ and $X \setminus V$ are completely separated. Since $P \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X}(X \setminus V)$ and $U \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} \bigcup \{C_n : n < \omega\}$, we have P and U are completely separated in βX . \square

LEMMA 3.4. *Let K be a compact F -space. If P is a P -set of K and q is a point of K , then the quotient space formed by collapsing $P \cup \{q\}$ to a point is an F -space.*

Proof. Let Y be the quotient space and f the quotient map. Since $P \cup \{q\}$ is compact, Y is Tychonoff. All that remains to be shown is that disjoint cozero sets C^0 and C^1 of Y can be completely separated. The cozero sets $f^{-}(C^0)$ and $f^{-}(C^1)$ of K are disjoint, so $\text{cl}_K f^{-}(C^0) \cap \text{cl}_K f^{-}(C^1) = \emptyset$. We can assume w.l.o.g. that $q \notin \text{cl}_K f^{-}(C^1)$. Since $q \notin \text{cl}_K f^{-}(C^1)$ implies $q \notin f^{-}(C^1)$, we have $(P \cup \{q\}) \cap f^{-}(C^1) = \emptyset$, and therefore $P \cap \text{cl}_K f^{-}(C^1) = \emptyset$. The function f is one-to-one on the set $K \setminus (P \cup \{q\})$ and $(P \cup \{q\}) \cap \text{cl}_K f^{-}(C^1) = \emptyset$, therefore the full preimage of $f(\text{cl}_K f^{-}(C^1))$ is $\text{cl}_K f^{-}(C^1)$. Thus $f(\text{cl}_K f^{-}(C^0))$ and $f(\text{cl}_K f^{-}(C^1))$ are disjoint compact sets of Y which contain C^0 and C^1 respectively, so C^0 is completely separated from C^1 in Y . \square

It is known that the property “weakly Lindelöf” is inherited by regular closed subspaces. Though a regular closed subspace of an F -space without P -covers may have a P -cover [3, pg. 70], we do have the following result.

LEMMA 3.5. *If C is a cozero set of an F -space X and X has no P -covers then $\text{cl}_X C$ has no P -covers.*

Proof. Assume $\text{cl}_X C$ has a P -cover. Then, by Lemma 3.3, there exists a P -set P of $\beta(\text{cl}_X C)$ contained in $\beta(\text{cl}_X C) \setminus \text{cl}_X C$. C , and therefore $\text{cl}_X C$, are C^* -embedded in X , so $P \subset \beta(\text{cl}_X C) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} C \subset \beta X$. We will show that P is a P -set of βX . Let U be a cozero set of βX such that $U \cap P = \emptyset$. Then $U \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} C$ is a cozero set of $\text{cl}_{\beta X} C$ which misses P , hence $\text{cl}_{\beta X}(U \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} C) \cap P = \emptyset$. Since $\text{cl}_{\beta X}(U \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} C)$ and P are disjoint compact sets of βX , there is a zero set Z of βX which contains $\text{cl}_{\beta X}(U \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} C)$ and misses P . $(U \setminus Z) \cap X$ and C are disjoint cozero sets of X , and have disjoint closures in βX . But now we have $Z \cup \text{cl}_{\beta X}[(U \setminus Z) \cap X]$ is a compact set containing U which misses P , so $P \cap (\text{cl}_{\beta X} U) = \emptyset$. X has a P -cover since P is a P -set of βX and $P \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} C \setminus \text{cl}_X C \subset \beta X \setminus X$. \square

THEOREM 3.6. *Let A and B be subsets of an F -space X such*

that neither A nor B have P -covers and $\text{cl}_X A \cap B = A \cap \text{cl}_X B = \phi$. Then A and B are completely separated in X .

Proof. Let $K = \text{cl}_{\beta_X}(A \cup B)$. The compact set K , as a C^* -embedded subset of an F -space, is an F -space [6, 14.26]. It will suffice to show A and B are completely separated in K .

Define $\mathcal{U} = \{U: U \text{ is a cozero set of } K \text{ and } U \text{ and } B \text{ are completely separated in } K\}$. Define $\mathcal{U}|_A = \{U \cap A: U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. By assumption, $A \cap \text{cl}_K B = \phi$, so $\mathcal{U}|_A$ is an open cover of A . If $A \in \mathcal{U}|_A$, then A and B are completely separated so we assume $A \notin \mathcal{U}|_A$ and we will arrive at a contradiction.

If $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then there exists a zero set Z of K containing U and completely separated from B . Choose a cozero set V containing Z and completely separated from B . So we now have $U \subset Z \subset V \subset K \setminus B$ and $V \in \mathcal{U}$. Since U is disjoint from the cozero set $K \setminus Z$, U is completely separated from $K \setminus V \subset K \setminus Z$. Since A has no P -covers, $\mathcal{U}|_A$ is not a P -cover, therefore there exist countably many cozero sets $\{U_i: i < \omega\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $\bigcup \{U_i \cap A: i < \omega\} \notin \mathcal{U}|_A$. Let $W = \bigcup \{U_i: i < \omega\}$.

Define $\mathcal{V} = \{V: V \text{ is a cozero set of } K \text{ and } V \cap W = \phi\}$. $\mathcal{V}|_B$ is a cover of B since $B \cap \text{cl}_K A = \phi$ and $\text{cl}_K W \subset \text{cl}_K A$. If $U \in \mathcal{V}$ then there exists a zero set Z of K containing W and completely separated from U . If $V = K \setminus Z$ then $V \in \mathcal{V}$. U is completely separated from $K \setminus V = Z$, so $U \cap B$ is completely separated from $B \setminus (V \cap B)$. $\mathcal{V}|_B$ is obviously closed under countable unions. But $\mathcal{V}|_B$ cannot be a P -cover of B , so $B \notin \mathcal{V}|_B$, therefore there exists a cozero set V of K such that $B \subset V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $V \cap W = \phi$. Therefore B and W are completely separated, which is a contradiction to $W \notin \mathcal{U}$. \square

We now state and prove the main theorem of this paper.

THEOREM 3.7. *An F -space X is C^* -embedded in every F -space it is embedded in iff X has no P -covers or X is almost compact.*

Proof. Assume that X is an F -space with no P -covers and X is embedded in an F -space Y . It will suffice to show that disjoint cozero sets of X are completely separated in Y . Let C^0 and C^1 be disjoint cozero sets of X . By Lemma 3.5, $\text{cl}_X C^0$ and $\text{cl}_X C^1$ have no P -covers. We note that $\text{cl}_Y(\text{cl}_X C^0) \cap \text{cl}_X C^1 = \phi$ and $\text{cl}_X C^0 \cap \text{cl}_Y(\text{cl}_X C^1) = \phi$, so by Theorem 3.6, they are completely separated in Y .

For the converse assume X is not almost compact and X has a P -cover. By Lemma 3.3 there is a P -set P of βX contained in $\beta X \setminus X$. Choose a point $q \in \beta X \setminus X$ such that $|P \cup \{q\}| > 1$. Then by Lemma 3.4, the quotient space $\beta X / (P \cup \{q\})$ obtained by collapsing $P \cup \{q\}$ to a point is an F -space in which X is densely embedded but not C^* -embedded. \square

The next corollary uses a construction similar to one given in [10, pg. 96]. We will show that for every space X which is embedded in an F -space Y , there is an F -space W in which

- (1) X is embedded as a closed set and
- (2) X is C^* -embedded in W iff X is C^* -embedded in Y .

COROLLARY 3.8. *An F -space X is C^* -embedded in every F -space it is embedded in as a closed set iff X has no P -covers or X is almost compact.*

Proof. Suppose X is embedded in an F -space Y . Let λ be the least ordinal of cardinality $|\beta Y|^+$. Define $A = (\lambda + 1) \setminus \{\alpha : cf(\alpha) = \omega\}$. Negrepontis [8] has shown that the product of a P -space with a compact F -space is an F -space. A is a P -space, so $A \times \beta Y$ is an F -space. Let $W = (A \times \beta Y) \setminus (\{\lambda\} \times \beta Y \setminus X)$. W is a dense C^* -embedded subspace of $A \times \beta Y$ (see Example 5.1 or [10, pg. 96]), so W is an F -space. X is homeomorphic to the closed subspace $\{\lambda\} \times X$ of W . For every continuous real-valued function f defined on W , there exists an $\alpha < \lambda$ such that for all $x \in X$, $f(\alpha, x) = f(\lambda, x)$. As a consequence, $\{\lambda\} \times X$ is C^* -embedded in W iff X is C^* -embedded in Y . This will show that Corollary 3.8 is equivalent to Theorem 3.7. \square

Note that if X is C -embedded in βX then X is pseudocompact; and a pseudocompact space is C -embedded iff it is C^* -embedded. This, along with Theorem 3.7, proves the next corollary.

COROLLARY 3.9. *An F -space X is C -embedded in every F -space it is embedded in iff X is almost compact or X is pseudocompact and has no P -covers.*

4. Absolute C^* -embedding in basically disconnected spaces. Let \mathcal{C} be a cover by cozero sets of a basically disconnected space X , and assume the union of every countable subcollection of \mathcal{C} is not dense. The set of unions of every countable subset of the open cover $\{cl_x \cup \{C_n : n < \omega\} : \{C_n : n < \omega\} \subset \mathcal{C}\}$ is easily seen to be a P -cover of X . Therefore, for a basically disconnected space X , X has a P -cover iff X is not weakly Lindelöf. By Theorem 3.7 and this

remark we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.1. *A basically disconnected space X is C^* -embedded in every F -space it is embedded in iff X is weakly Lindelöf or X is almost compact.*

DEFINITION 4.2. A space X is *almost weakly Lindelöf* if given two disjoint cozero sets of X , at least one is weakly Lindelöf.

The next lemma is similar to Lemma 3.4.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let K be a compact basically disconnected space. If P is a P -set of K , then the quotient space formed by collapsing P to a point is basically disconnected.*

Proof. Let Y be the quotient space and $f: K \rightarrow Y$ the quotient map. Since P is compact, Y is Tychonoff. Let C be a cozero set of Y . $\text{cl}_K f^{-}(C)$ is open and f is a quotient map so we will prove that $\text{cl}_Y C$ is open by showing $f^{-}(\text{cl}_Y C) = \text{cl}_K f^{-}(C)$. It is obvious that $\text{cl}_K f^{-}(C) \subset f^{-}(\text{cl}_Y C)$, so let $x \in K$ such that $f(x) \in \text{cl}_Y C = f(\text{cl}_K f^{-}(C))$. We wish to prove $x \in \text{cl}_K f^{-}(C)$. There is a $y \in \text{cl}_K f^{-}(C)$ such that $f(x) = f(y)$. If $x = y$, we are done so assume $x \neq y$. Then $\{x, y\} \subset P$. We now have $y \in P \cap \text{cl}_K f^{-}(C) \neq \emptyset$ and since P is a P -set and $f^{-}(C)$ is a cozero set, $P \cap f^{-}(C) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $x \in P \subset f^{-}(C) \subset \text{cl}_K f^{-}(C)$. \square

We now prove the main result in this section.

THEOREM 4.4. *If a basically disconnected space X is C^* -embedded in every basically disconnected space it is embedded in, then X is almost weakly Lindelöf.*

Proof. Let X be a basically disconnected space which is not almost weakly Lindelöf. Let C^0 and C^1 be disjoint cozero subsets of X neither of which is weakly Lindelöf. A cozero set of a weakly Lindelöf space is weakly Lindelöf [2, Lemma 1.2(c)], therefore $\text{cl}_X C^0$ and $\text{cl}_X C^1$ are not weakly Lindelöf, and since they are basically disconnected spaces, they both have P -covers. By the proof of Lemma 3.5 there are two disjoint P -sets, P^0 and P^1 , of βX contained in $\text{cl}_{\beta X} C^0 \setminus \text{cl}_X C^0$ and $\text{cl}_{\beta X} C^1 \setminus \text{cl}_X C^1$ respectively. Then $P^0 \cup P^1$ is a P -set and the quotient space obtained by collapsing $P^0 \cup P^1$ to a point is basically disconnected by Lemma 4.3. X is a dense subspace of the quotient space, but it is not C^* -embedded since $|P^0 \cup P^1| > 1$. \square

Unfortunately, an example in §5 will show that the property almost weakly Lindelöf is not a sufficient condition for C^* -embedding. It remains an open question to characterize the basically disconnected spaces which are C^* -embedded in every basically disconnected space in which they are embedded. But we do have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.5. *If a basically disconnected space X is embedded as an open or dense subspace of a basically disconnected space Y , then X is C^* -embedded in Y iff X is almost weakly Lindelöf.*

Proof. Assume X is almost weakly Lindelöf and is embedded in a basically disconnected space Y . If C^0 and C^1 are disjoint cozero sets of X , then we can assume that one of them, say C^0 , is weakly Lindelöf. Define $\mathcal{V} = \{V : V \text{ is a cozero set of } Y, V \cap \text{cl}_Y C^1 = \emptyset\}$. $\mathcal{V}|_{C^0}$ is a cover of C^0 , so there is a countable subcollection $\{V_n : n < \omega\}$ of \mathcal{V} such that, if $W = \bigcup \{V_n : n < \omega\}$, then $\text{cl}_Y W \supset C^0$. But if X is dense or open in Y , $\text{cl}_Y W \cap C^1 = \emptyset$. $\text{cl}_Y W$ is a clopen subset of Y and it is easily seen C^0 is completely separated from C^1 . The other part of the proof is provided by Theorem 4.4. \square

5. Some further remarks and examples.

EXAMPLE 5.1. We construct a non-weakly Lindelöf F -space which has no P -covers. Let $K = \beta\omega \setminus \omega$. Let λ be the initial ordinal of cardinality $|K|^+$. Define $D = (\lambda + 1) \setminus \{\alpha < \lambda : \text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega\}$ where $\lambda + 1$ has the order topology. D is a P -space and K is a compact F -space, so $D \times K$ is an F -space [8]. Choose a non-clopen cozero set C^0 of K [6, 6W], and let $B^0 = \text{cl}_K C^0 \setminus C^0$. Our example will be $X = \beta(D \times K) \setminus (\{\lambda\} \times B^0)$. To show X is an F -space we will first show that $Y = D \times K \setminus (\{\lambda\} \times K)$ is C^* -embedded in $D \times K$. Let f be a continuous real-valued function on Y . Modifying the arguments in [6, 9L] one has for every $k \in K$ an interval $[\alpha_k, \lambda]$ of $\lambda + 1$ such that f is constant on $([\alpha_k, \lambda] \cap D) \times \{k\}$. Let $\beta = \sup \{\alpha_k : k \in K\}$. Since $\text{cf}(\lambda) > |K|$, we have $\beta < \lambda$ and $[\beta + 1, \lambda] \cap D = V$ is a clopen neighborhood of λ in D . Define $g : K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, where \mathcal{R} is the real line, by declaring $g(k) = f(\beta, k)$. Obviously g is continuous and for all $(\delta, k) \in (V \setminus \{\lambda\}) \times K$, $f(\delta, k) = g(k)$, so f can be continuously extended to $V \times K$ and hence to $D \times K$. We now have Y is a dense C^* -embedded subspace of the F -space $D \times K$, so Y is an F -space and $Y \subset X \subset \beta Y = \beta(D \times K)$, so X is also an F -space.

Choose a cozero set C' of $\beta X = \beta(D \times K)$ such that $C' \cap (D \times K) = D \times C^0$. Then we have $C' \cap (\{\lambda\} \times B^0) = \emptyset$ and $\text{cl}_{\beta X} C' \supset (\{\lambda\} \times B^0) = \beta X \setminus X$, so there is no P -set of βX contained in $\beta X \setminus X$. By Lemma

3.3, X has no P -covers.

We now show X is not weakly Lindelöf. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{C: C \text{ is a cozero set of } \beta X, C \cap (\{\lambda\} \times B^0) = \emptyset\}$. \mathcal{U} is an open cover of X . If $C \in \mathcal{U}$ choose a continuous function $f: \beta X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that $C = \text{coz}(f)$. There is a clopen neighborhood V of λ in D and a continuous function $g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that $f(\delta, k) = g(k)$ for all $(\delta, k) \in (V \setminus \{\lambda\}) \times K$. Since nonempty zero sets of K have nonempty interior [5], $N = \text{int}_K g^{-}(0)$ is not empty. Thus $(V \setminus \{\lambda\}) \times N$ is an open set of the dense subspace $(D \setminus \{\lambda\}) \times K$ of X and it is disjoint from C , so C cannot be dense in X . Since a countable union of elements of \mathcal{U} is again an element of \mathcal{U} we have shown no union of a countable subcollection of \mathcal{U} is dense in X .

EXAMPLE 5.2. The next example shows that an almost weakly Lindelöf basically disconnected space need not be C^* -embedded in every basically disconnected space it is embedded in.

Let A be $(\omega_2 + 1) \setminus \{\alpha: cf(\alpha) = \omega\}$ where $\omega_2 + 1$ has the order topology. The space A is basically disconnected, in fact a P -space [6, 9L]. Let X be the free union of $A \setminus \{\omega_2\}$ with the countable discrete space ω . This space is almost weakly Lindelöf (see [9]) but we will construct a basically disconnected space in which it is embedded but not C^* -embedded. The product $Y = A \times \beta\omega$ is a basically disconnected space [8, Theorem 6.3]. Let q be any point of $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$. The subspace $((A \setminus \{\omega_2\}) \times \{q\}) \cup (\{\omega_2\} \times \omega)$ of Y is homeomorphic to X . The closures in Y of the sets $(A \setminus \{\omega_2\}) \times \{q\}$ and $\{\omega_2\} \times \omega$ have the point (ω_2, q) in common, so this copy of X is not C^* -embedded in Y .

Example 5.2 suggests a proof for the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.3. *A P -space X is C^* -embedded in every basically disconnected space it is embedded in iff X is Lindelöf.*

Proof. Suppose X is a P -space which is not Lindelöf. Then X is infinite and therefore not pseudocompact [6, 4K.2]. This also means that X is not almost compact. Zero sets of X are clopen so let A and B be complementary clopen subsets of X neither of which is compact. As X is not Lindelöf we can assume that A is not Lindelöf. A non-Lindelöf P -space also fails to be weakly Lindelöf and if a basically disconnected space is not weakly Lindelöf, it has a P -cover. Therefore there is a P -set P of βA contained in $\beta A \setminus A$. If we let $Y = A \cup \{P\}$ be the quotient space of $A \cup P$ obtained by collapsing P to a point then Y is also a P -space. Since B is not

compact we can choose $q \in \beta B \setminus B$. The space $Y \times \beta B$ is basically disconnected [8, Theorem 6.3] and $(A \times \{q\}) \cup (\{P\} \times B)$ is homeomorphic to X but it is not C^* -embedded in $Y \times \beta B$. The converse follows from Corollary 4.1. \square

Recall that X is an *extremally disconnected* space if the closure of every open set of X is open. The class of extremally disconnected spaces is contained in the class of basically disconnected spaces, and though the absolute C^* -embedding theorem for basically disconnected spaces is not known, the first author has proven,

THEOREM 5.4. [4] *An extremally disconnected space X is C^* -embedded in every extremally disconnected space it is embedded in iff X is weakly Lindelöf or almost compact.*

REFERENCES

1. C. E. Aull, *Absolute C^* -embedding of P -spaces*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sc., XXVI Nos 9-10 (1978), 831-836.
2. W. W. Comfort, N. Hindman, and S. Negrepontis, *F' -spaces and their product with P -spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **28** (1969), 489-502.
3. A. Dow, *Contributions to the theory of absolute C^* -embedding*, Doctoral dissertation (1979).
4. ———, *Absolute C^* -embedding of extremally disconnected spaces*, (to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.).
5. N. J. Fine and L. Gillman, *Extensions of continuous functions in βN* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **66** (1960), 376-381.
6. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1960.
7. E. Hewitt, *A note on extensions of continuous functions*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ci., **21** (1949), 175-179.
8. S. Negrepontis, *On the products of F -spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **136** (1969), 339-346.
9. E. K. van Douwen, *A basically disconnected normal space Φ with $|\beta\Phi \setminus \Phi| = 1$* , (to appear in Canad. J. Math.).
10. R. C. Walker, *The Stone-Cech Compactification*, Springer, New York, 1974.

Received January 28, 1980 and in revised form September 2, 1980.

UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS
LAWRENCE, KS 66045

AND

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA
WINNIPEG, MANITOBA, CANADA
R3T 2N2

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$102.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$51.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1982 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Humberto Raul Alagia, Cartan subalgebras of Banach-Lie algebras of operators	1
Tom M. (Mike) Apostol and Thiennu H. Vu, Elementary proofs of Berndt's reciprocity laws	17
James Robert Boone, A note on linearly ordered net spaces	25
Miriam Cohen, A Morita context related to finite automorphism groups of rings	37
Willibald Doeringer, Exceptional values of differential polynomials	55
Alan Stewart Dow and Ortwin Joachim Martin Forster, Absolute C^* -embedding of F -spaces	63
Patrick Hudson Flinn, A characterization of M -ideals in $B(l_p)$ for $1 < p < \infty$	73
Jack Emile Girolo, Approximating compact sets in normed linear spaces	81
Antonio Granata, A geometric characterization of n th order convex functions	91
Kenneth Richard Johnson, A reciprocity law for Ramanujan sums	99
Grigori Abramovich Kolesnik, On the order of $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ and $\Delta(R)$	107
Daniel Joseph Madden and William Yslas Vélez, Polynomials that represent quadratic residues at primitive roots	123
Ernest A. Michael, On maps related to σ -locally finite and σ -discrete collections of sets	139
Jean-Pierre Rosay, Un exemple d'ouvert borné de \mathbb{C}^3 "taut" mais non hyperbolique complet	153
Roger Sherwood Schlafly, Universal connections: the local problem	157
Russel A. Smucker, Quasidiagonal weighted shifts	173
Eduardo Daniel Sontag, Remarks on piecewise-linear algebra	183
Jan Sørensen, Symmetric shift registers. II	203
H. M. (Hari Mohan) Srivastava, Some biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials	235