Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SIMPLE GROUPS AND A DIOPHANTINE EQUATION

LEO JAMES ALEX

Vol. 104, No. 2

June 1983

SIMPLE GROUPS AND A DIOPHANTINE EQUATION

LEO J. ALEX

Let G be a finite simple group whose order is of the form pm where p is a prime, (p, m) = 1, and the index of a Sylow p-subgroup in its normalizer is three in G. Suppose the degree equation for the principal p-block, $B_0(p)$, has the form $1 + 2^a = 3^b 5^c + 2^d 3^e 5^f$ where a, b, c, d, e and f are non-negative integers. In this paper it is shown that under these conditions G must be isomorphic to one of the groups L(2, 7), U(3, 3), L(3, 4) and A_8 . This is accomplished by solving the exponential Diophantine degree equation for $B_0(p)$.

1. Introduction. 1.1. In this paper finite simple groups, G, with a Sylow p-subgroup whose normalizer has order 3p such that the degree equation for the principal p-block, $B_0(p)$, has the form $1 + 2^a = 3^b 5^c + 2^d 3^e 5^f$ are studied.

In §2 several preliminary results dealing primarily with the values of the characters in $B_0(p)$ are obtained. In particular, inequalities relating the degrees of these characters are derived, so that the task of solving the degree equation for $B_0(p)$ is simplified.

In §3 the degree equation, $1 + 2^a = 3^b 5^c + 2^d 3^e 5^f$, for $B_0(p)$ is solved. This is accomplished, with computer assistance, by considering the equation modulo a sequence of prime power moduli and applying the group theoretic results from §2. The inequalities relating the characer degrees are especially useful here in bounding the size of these degrees.

In §4 the possible degree equations left after the analysis in §3 are studied, and it is shown that the only finite simple groups with a Sylow *p*-normalizer of order 3*p* and degree equation for $B_0(p)$ of the form $1 + 2^a = 3^b 5^c + 2^d 3^e 5^f$ are the groups L(2,7), U(3,3), L(3,4) and A_8 .

1.2. NOTATION. In general upper-case letters denote groups, and Sp is used to denote a Sylow *p*-subgroup. If A is a subgroup of G, then N(A), C(A), |G:A|, |A|, Z(A) denote the normalizer of A in G, the centralizer of A in G, the index of A in G, the order of A, and the center of A in G, respectively. Upper-case Greek letters are used to denote ordinary characters of G.

2. Preliminary results. Let G be a finite simple group satisfying the hypothesis

(2.1)
$$|N(Sp)| = 3p$$
, for a Sylow *p*-subgroup Sp.

Brauer's work [3] yields the following information concerning $B_0(p)$, the principal *p*-block of *G*.

The characters in $B_0(p)$ are the principal character 1, two other nonexceptional characters Λ , Γ , and (p-1)/3 exceptional characters $\chi^{(m)}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/3$. There are signs $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta' = \pm 1$ such that $\Lambda(1) \equiv \delta_1 \pmod{p}$, $\Gamma(1) \equiv \delta_2 \pmod{p}$, $\chi^{(m)}(1) \equiv -3\delta' \pmod{p}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/3$ and

(2.2)
$$1 + \delta_1 \Lambda(1) + \delta_2 \Gamma(1) + \delta' \chi^{(m)}(1) = 0.$$

In the sequel we make the additional hypothesis that the degree equation (2.2) for $B_0(p)$ has the form

$$(2.3) 1 + 2^a = 3^b 5^c + 2^d 3^e 5^f,$$

where a, b, c, d, e, f are non-negative integers.

We next list several results which are effective in obtaining information about the structure of G from the degree equation for $B_0(p)$. The first two lemmas appear in the work of Brauer and Tuan [4].

LEMMA 2.1. Let G be a simple group of order pq^br , where p and q are primes, (pq, r) = 1. Suppose G has no elements of order pq. Then for any q-block, $B(q), \Sigma \delta_i \chi_i(1) \equiv o \pmod{q^b}$, where the summation is taken over all characters in $B_0(p) \cap B(q)$.

It has become traditional to refer to Lemma 2.1 as the principle of "block separation".

LEMMA 2.2. If G is a simple group, χ is an irreducible character of G of degree q^s , q a prime, s > 0, then χ cannot be in $B_0(q)$.

The following lemma is extremely productive in eliminating possible degree equations. It is due to Keller [5]. A proof appears in [1].

LEMMA 2.3. Let G be a simple group satisfying hypothesis (2.1) with degrees equation 1 + x = y + z for $B_0(p)$ then xyz is a positive integral square.

The next two lemmas give inequalities between the degrees of the characters in $B_0(p)$. The proof of the first lemma appears in [1].

LEMMA 2.4. Let G be a simple group satisfying hypothesis (2.1) with degree equation 1 + x = y + z in $B_0(p)$.

(1) If $z \equiv 3 \pmod{p}$, then (a) $x < z^2$ when p = 7 and

(b) $x < \frac{1}{2}(z^2 - 2z)$ when p > 7.

(2) If $z \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, then (a) $x \leq \frac{1}{2}(z^3 - 3z)$ when $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and (b) $x \leq [3/(2p-2)](z^3 - 3z)$ when $x \equiv -3 \pmod{p}$.

LEMMA 2.5. Let G be a simple group satisfying hypothesis (2.1) where the degree equation for $B_0(p)$ has the form $1 + 2^a = r^b + 2^c r^d$, where r = 3or 5, then

 $(1) a > c, b \ge d,$

(2) when $r = 3, a \le 40, and$

(3) when $r = 5, a \le 41$.

Proof. Let χ , θ be characters of degree 2^a and r^b , respectively. Then by Lemma 2.2, χ is not in $B_0(2)$, and θ is not in $B_0(r)$. Then $a \ge c$, $b \ge d$ from Lemma 2.1 applied respectively to $B_0(p) \cap B_0(2)$ and $B_0(p) \cap B_0(r)$. Also if a = c then b = 0 which is not possible since G is simple. This proves (1).

When r = 3, consideration of the degree equation modulo 2^c and 3^d yields $3^b \equiv 1 \pmod{2^c}$ and $2^a \equiv 1 \pmod{3^d}$, whence 2^{c-2} divides b and 3^{d-1} divides a. Now Lemma 2.4 implies that $2^a \le 2^{3c}3^{3d}$. Thus $a \le 3c + 3d(\log 3)/(\log 2)$. Clearly $b \le a$. Thus we may conclude that

(2.4)
$$2^{c-2} + 3^{d-1} \le 2a \le 6c + 6d(\log 3)/(\log 2).$$

A short calculation involving inequalities (2.4) yields $d \le 4$ and $c \le 8$. Also when d = 4 it must be the case that $c \le 7$, and when c = 8 necessarily $d \le 3$. Then further consideration of inequalities (2.4) yields $a \le 40$. This proves (2).

Similarly when r = 5, consideration of the degree equation modulo 2^c and 5^d yields $5^b \equiv 1 \pmod{2^c}$ and $2^a \equiv -1 \pmod{5^d}$, whence 2^{c-2} divides *b* and 5^{d-1} divides *a*. In this case consideration of Lemma 2.4 yields

(2.5)
$$2^{c-2} + 5^{d-1} \le 2a \le 6c + 6d(\log 5)/(\log 2).$$

Then consideration of (2.5) yields $d \le 3$ and $c \le 8$. Also when d = 3, then $c \le 7$; and when c = 8, then $d \le 2$. Thus $a \le 41$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.

3. Solution of the degree equation. Next we apply the results of \$2 to solve the degree equation (2.3) for the principal *p*-block of *G*.

The first step in the solution process is to test the equation modulo a sequence of primes and prime powers in order to determine information regarding the exponents a, b, c, d, e, and f. The equation is tested by computer modulo 7, 13, 19, 37 and 73 in that order. The computer used for this purpose was the CDC 6600 at the University of Minnesota Computer Center. These tests yield sets of congruences on the exponents a, b, d, and e modulo 36 and on the exponents c and f the congruences are

modulo 72. This is due to the fact that the exponents of 2, 3, and 5 modulo $7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 37 \cdot 73$ are 36, 36, and 72 respectively. Next the equation is tested modulo 5, 3, 9, 27, 4, 8, and 16. Then the sets of congruences are checked to see that Lemma 2.3 is satisfied and that none of the terms 2^a , $3^b 5^c$, or $2^d 3^e 5^f$ is necessarily equal to 1. At this point there are the following 19 sets of congruences on the exponents to consider:

	a (mod 36)	b (mod 36)	c (mod 72)	d (mod 36)	e (mod 36)	$f \pmod{72}$
(1)	9	0	0	9	0	0
(2)	27	0	0	27	0	0
(3)	2	0	0	2	0	0
(4)	6	0	0	6	0	0
(5)	10	0	0	10	0	0
(6)	14	0	0	14	0	0
(7)	18	0	0	18	0	0
(8)	22	0	0	22	0	0
(9)	26	0	0	26	0	0
(10)	30	0	0	30	0	0
(11)	34	0	0	34	0	0
(12)	3	1	0	1	1	0
(13)	9	3	0	1	5	0
(14)	10	0	4	4	0	2
(15)	5	0	2	3	0	0
(16)	5	3	0	1	1	0
(17)	6	2	1	2	0	1
(18)	7	1	2	1	3	0
(19)	9	2	2	5	2	0

Now we test these 19 remaining cases by hand to determine the possible solutions to equation (2.3). In this regard, Lemma 2.5 is especially productive.

First of all in cases (1) and (2), consideration of equation (2.3) modulo 5 yields that c = 0 and f = 0. Then Lemma 2.5, (2) gives $a \le 40$, whence a = 9 in case (1), and a = 27 in case (2). But then the equations are $1 + 2^9 = 1 + 2^9$ and $1 + 2^{27} = 1 + 2^{27}$, respectively. These are not possible degree equations for a simple group. This contradiction eliminates cases (1) and (2). Similarly in cases (3)–(11), consideration of equation (2.3) modulo 3 yields that b = e = 0. Then Lemma 2.5, (3) gives $a \le 41$ whence a = 6, 10, 14, 18, 22, 26, 30, 34 in cases (4)–(11) respectively; and in case (3), a = 2 or 38. In each of these cases it is then easy to see that the equation must have the form $1 + 2^a = 1 + 2^a$, a contradiction to the simplicity of G.

Next in cases (12), (13) and (16), consideration of equation (2.3) modulo 5 gives c = f = 0. Then Lemma 2.5, (2) yields $a \le 40$. Thus cases

(12), (13) and (16) give the possible degree equations 1 + 8 = 3 + 6, 1 + 512 = 27 + 486, and 1 + 31 = 27 + 6, respectively for $B_0(p)$. Similarly in cases (14) and (15), consideration of equation (2.3) modulo 3 and Lemma 2.5, (3) give the possible degree equations 1 + 1024 = 625 + 400 and 1 + 32 = 25 + 8, respectively.

In case (17), consideration of equation (2.3) modulo 3 yields that e = 0. Then consideration modulo 27 gives b = 2. Next consideration modulo 8 yields b = 2. Finally consideration modulo 31 and 25 gives f = 1, whence a = 6. Thus the possible degree equation 1 + 64 = 45 + 20 is determined.

In case (18), considerations modulo 5, 9 and 4 yield f = 0, b = 1, and d = 1, respectively. Then consideration modulo 109 gives $c \equiv 2 \pmod{54}$ and $e \equiv 3 \pmod{54}$. Then if e > 3, consideration modulo 81 yields $a \equiv 43 \pmod{54}$. But then consideration modulo 163 gives a contradiction. Thus e = 3. Next considerations modulo 25, 11 and 101 yield $a \equiv 7 \pmod{20}$, $c \equiv 2 \pmod{20}$, and $a \equiv 7 \pmod{100}$, respectively. Then consideration modulo 125 yields c = 2, whence a = 7. Hence the possible degree equation 1 + 128 = 75 + 54 has been determined.

In the final case (19), consideration modulo 5 yields f = 0. Then consideration modulo 32, 17, and 64 gives d = 5. Next consideration modulo 27, 81, 243, 109, and 163 yield that b = 2 and e = 2. Then consideration modulo 128, 97, 257 and 1024 give a = 9. Thus c = 2, and the possible degree equation 1 + 512 = 225 + 288 is obtained.

Next we summarize our work in the form of a lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. Let G be a simple group satisfying hypothesis (2.1) with degree equation (2.3) for $B_0(p)$. Then the degree equation is one of the following: 1 + 8 = 3 + 6, 1 + 512 = 27 + 486, 1 + 32 = 27 + 6, 1 + 1024 = 625 + 400, 1 + 32 = 25 + 8, 1 + 64 = 45 + 20, 1 + 128 = 75 + 54, and 1 + 512 = 225 + 288.

4. Proof of the main theorem. Now let G be a simple group satisfying hypothesis (2.1) with degree equation (2.3) for $B_0(p)$. We will next consider the possible choices for the degree equation given by Lemma 3.1 and determine the groups involved.

In the case of the equation 1 + 8 = 6 + 3, the Brauer relatins listed above equation (2.2) imply that p = 7. Then the work [2] of Blichfeldt yields that G is isomorphic to L(2, 7). It is an easy matter to verify that L(2, 7) satisfies hypothesis (2.1) with degree equation 1 + 8 = 6 + 3 for $B_0(7)$.

For the equation 1 + 512 = 27 + 486, the Brauer relations also give p = 7. But in this case the block separation Lemma 2.1 applied to $B_0(3) \cap B_0(7)$ gives a contradiction. Thus there is no group corresponding to this equation.

In the case of the equation 1 + 32 = 27 + 6, the Brauer relations give p = 7. Then the work [6] of Lindsey yields that G is isomorphic to U(3, 3). It is an easy matter to verify that U(3, 3) satisfies hypothesis (2.1) with degree equatin 1 + 32 = 27 + 6 for $B_0(7)$.

For the equations 1 + 1024 = 625 + 400, 1 + 32 = 25 + 8, 1 + 128 = 75 + 54, and 1 + 512 = 225 + 288, there is no choice for the prime p which is consistent with the Brauer relations.

Finally in the case of the equation 1 + 64 = 20 + 45, the Brauer relations yield p = 7. Then the work [1] of Alex and Morrow shows that G is isomorphic to L(3, 4) or A_8 . It is an easy matter to verify that each of these groups satisfies hypothesis (2.1) with degree equation 1 + 64 = 20 + 45 for $B_0(7)$.

We are now in a position to state the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 4.1. Let G be a finite simple group such that |N(Sp)| = 3p, for a Sylow p-subgroup Sp. Suppose the degree equation for $B_0(p)$ has the form $1 + 2^a = 3^b 5^c + 2^d 3^e 5^f$, where a, b, c, d, e, and f are non-negative integers. Then G is isomorphic to one of the groups L(2,7), U(3,3), L(3,4), or A_8 .

References

1. Leo J. Alex and Dean C. Morrow, Simple groups with a Sylow normalizer of order 3p, J. Algebra, 61, No. 2 (1979), 311-327.

2. H. L. Blichfeldt, *Finite Collineation Groups*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1917.

3. Richard Brauer, On groups whose order contains a prime number to the first power. I, II, Amer. J. Math., 64 (1942), 401-440.

4. Richard Brauer and H. F. Tuan, On simple groups of finite order, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 51 (1945), 756-766.

5. Gordon E. Keller, Private communication.

6. J. H. Lindsey II, On a projective representation of the Hall-Janko group, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 74 (1968), 1094.

Received September 9, 1980.

STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE ONEONTA, NY 13820

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

Hugo Rossi University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR OGUS University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN and H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. Arens

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906-1982) B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$132.00 a year (6 Vol., 12 issues). Special rate: \$66.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics ISSN 0030-8730 is published monthly by the Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1983 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 104, No. 2 June, 1983

Leo James Alex, Simple groups and a Diophantine equation
singularity sets by analytic varieties
Waleed A. Al-Salam and Mourad Ismail, Orthogonal polynomials
associated with the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction
J. L. Brenner and Roger Conant Lyndon, Permutations and cubic
graphs
Ian George Craw and Susan Ross, Separable algebras over a commutative
Banach algebra
Jesus M. Dominguez, Non-Archimedean Gel'fand theory
David Downing and Barry Turett, Some properties of the characteristic of
convexity relating to fixed point theory
James Arthur Gerhard and Mario Petrich, Word problems for free
objects in certain varieties of completely regular semigroups
Moses Glasner and Mitsuru Nakai, Surjective extension of the reduction
operator
Takesi Isiwata, Ultrafilters and mappings
Lowell Duane Loveland, Double tangent ball embeddings of curves in E^3
L [*]
and generalizations of weak mixing
D H Mesorial: Applications of differentiation of \mathscr{G} functions to
semilattices 217
Wayne Bruce Powell and Constantine Tsinakis Free products in the class
of abelian <i>l</i> -groups
Bruce Reznick. Some inequalities for products of power sups
C. Ray Rosentrater. Compact operators and derivations induced by
weighted shifts
Edward Silverman. Basic calculus of variations
Charles Andrew Swanson, Criteria for oscillatory sublinear Schrödinger
equations
David J. Winter, The Jacobson descent theorem