

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**APPLICATIONS OF DIFFERENTIATION OF  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -FUNCTIONS  
TO SEMILATTICES**

P. H. MASERICK

# APPLICATIONS OF DIFFERENTIATION OF $\mathcal{L}_p$ -FUNCTIONS TO SEMILATTICES

P. H. MASERICK

Let  $S$  be a commutative semigroup with identity 1 such that  $x^2 = x$  for each  $x \in S$  (i.e.  $S$  is a semilattice). Let  $\Gamma$  denote the set of semicharacters equipped with topology of simple convergence and  $\mu$  be a fixed probability measure on  $\Gamma$ . Those real-valued functions  $f$  on  $S$  which admit disintegrations of the form  $f(x) = \int_{\Gamma} \rho(x) d\mu_f(\rho)$  where either  $d\mu_f = f' d\mu$  with  $f' \in L_p(\mu)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) or  $\mu_f$  is singular with respect to  $\mu$ , are characterized. This extends the previous characterization of Alo and Korvin from the case where  $p$  is either 1 or  $\infty$  to all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Applications of this theory to the classical  $L_p$ -spaces on the  $n$ -cube are also presented. The main applications occur upon specializing to the case where  $S$  is a Boolean algebra and the functions on  $S$  that are being disintegrated are additive. Not only is the Darst decomposition theorem easily recovered, but also the theory of  $V^p$ -spaces of set functions introduced by Bochner and extended by Leader is reproved from the point of view of "differentiation". As a by-product, it is shown that every non-atomic probability measure is in the closed convex hull (topology of simple convergence) of those zero-one-valued additive set functions which are not countably additive; a curious result when applied to Lebesgue measure.

**1. Preliminary.** For each  $x \in S$ , the shift operator  $E_x$  is defined on the class of all real-valued functions  $f|S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $(E_x f)(y) = f(xy)$ . Observe that  $E_x E_y = E_{xy}$  and  $E_1$  is the identity operator which we will also denote by  $I$ . We will be interested in certain difference operators of the form  $\Delta = E_x \prod_{j=1}^k (I - E_{x_j})$  where  $x, x_1, \dots, x_k \in S$  and introduce the notation  $\Delta f(yx; \{x_j\}) = (\Delta f)(y)$ , at all times distinguishing between the function  $\Delta f$  and its evaluation  $(\Delta f)(y)$ , at  $y$ . It follows that  $\Delta f(1)$  is the  $k$ th difference of  $f(\Delta f(x; x_1, \dots, x_k))$  as defined in [6 and 8]. Recall that a real-valued function  $f$  on  $S$  is called *completely monotonic* (CM) if  $(\Delta f)(1) \geq 0$  for all choices of  $\Delta$ . The class  $\text{CM}(S)$  of all completely monotonic functions is the same as the "positive definite functions" discussed in [12] and the difference operator  $\Delta$  can be seen to be the operator " $L$ " defined therein. Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  be a finite subset and  $\Lambda_X$  ( $\Lambda$ , when  $X$  is understood) denote the set of all  $\sigma_X$  ( $\sigma$ , when  $X$  is understood) of zero-one-valued functions on  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and let  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  denote the difference operator  $\prod_{j=1}^k (E_{x_j})^{\sigma_j} (I - E_{x_j})^{1-\sigma_j}$ , where we adopt the convention that an operator (or member of any semigroup) to the power 0 is the identity even if that member is 0 itself. If  $f$  is a real-valued function on  $S$  then, following [6], we set  $\|f\|_X = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} |\Delta_{\sigma} f(1)|$ . The triangle inequality implies  $\|f\|_X$  is an increasing function of  $X$  (ordered by inclusion) and

we set  $\|f\| = \lim_X \|f\|_X$ . Functions in the set  $BV(S) = \{f \mid \|f\| < \infty\}$  are called functions of *bounded variation* (or BV-functions) and are discussed thoroughly in [6] and [9]. Since  $\sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda} \prod_j E_{x_j}^{\sigma} (I - E_{x_j})^{1-\sigma} = \prod_j (E_{x_j} + (I - E_{x_j})) = I$  we have  $\sum_{\sigma} \Delta_{\sigma} f = f$ . Thus  $CM(S) \subset BV(S)$  and  $\|f\| = f(1)$  if  $f \in CM(S)$ .

Let  $g$  be a fixed completely monotonic function normalized by the condition  $g(1) = 1$ . Following [12] we define a real-valued function  $f$  on  $S$  to be *continuous* (with respect to  $g$ ) if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\sum_{\sigma \in T \subset \Lambda_X} |\Delta_{\sigma} f(1)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $\sum_{\sigma \in T \subset \Lambda_X} \Delta_{\sigma} g(1) < \delta$ . It follows from [11, Th. A] see also [10] or [12] that every continuous function is also of bounded variation. The set of all continuous functions with variation norm  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_1$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_1(g)$ . A BV-function  $f$  will be called *singular* (with respect to  $g$ ) if given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite subset  $X$  of  $S$  and  $T \subset \Lambda_X$  such that both  $\sum_{\sigma \in T} |\Delta_{\sigma} f| < \varepsilon$  and  $\sum_{\sigma \notin T} \Delta_{\sigma} g(1) < \varepsilon$ . It will follow from Th. 1.1 below that every BV-function  $f$  admits a unique decomposition of the form  $f_1 + f_2$  with  $f_1$  continuous and  $f_2$  singular. Moreover  $\|f\| = \|f_1\| + \|f_2\|$ .

For  $1 < p < \infty$  and with the understanding that  $0/0 = 0$ , we set  $\|f\|_{(p,X)}^p = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} |\Delta_{\sigma} f(1)|^p / [\Delta_{\sigma} g(1)]^{p-1}$ . It follows that  $\|f\|_{(p,X)}$  increases with  $p$  and  $X$  cf. [10] and we define  $\|f\|_p^p = \lim_X \|f\|_{(p,X)}^p$ . For  $p = \infty$ , we define  $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\Delta} |\Delta f(1)| / \Delta g(1)$  and set  $\mathcal{C}_p(g) = \{f \mid \|f\|_p < \infty\}$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

A non-identically zero, real-valued function  $\rho$  on  $S$  is called a *semi-character* if  $\rho(x)\rho(y) = \rho(xy)$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . The set  $\Gamma$  of all semicharacters on  $S$  will be given the topology of simple convergence. Each  $\rho \in \Gamma$  is zero-one-valued and  $\Delta \rho(x; \{x_j\}) = \rho(x) \prod_j (1 - \rho(x_j))$ . The space  $\Gamma$  is compact and it follows [6], that the collection  $\mathcal{R}$  of all sets  $R_{\Delta} = \{\rho \in \Gamma \mid (\Delta \rho)(1) = 1\}$  is a basis of open and closed subsets for  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{M}(\Gamma)$  denote the regular Borel measures on  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{M}^+(\Gamma)$  the non-negative members of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** *A real-valued function  $f$  on  $S$  admits a (necessarily unique) disintegration of the form  $f(x) = \int_{\Gamma} \rho(x) d\mu_f(\rho)$  where*

- (i)  $\mu_f \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $f$  is CM.
- (ii)  $\mu_f \in \mathfrak{M}(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $f$  is BV.
- (iii)  $d\mu_f = f' d\mu_g$  with  $f \in L_p(\mu_g, \Gamma)$  if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{C}_p(g)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ )
- (iv)  $\mu_f$  is singular with respect to  $\mu_g$  if and only if  $f$  is singular.

Moreover the spaces  $\mathcal{C}_p(g)$  and  $L_p(\mu_g, \Gamma)$  are linearly isometric via  $f \rightarrow f'$  as also are the spaces of BV-functions and bounded measures each with variation norm.

*Proof.* Direct proofs of (i) and (ii) as well as the last mentioned isometry statement are contained in [6] and [8]. Direct proofs of the

remaining part of the assertion may be found in [10]. Another proof of the theorem may be accomplished by appealing to the real algebra  $\mathcal{Q}$  generated by the shift operators on  $S$  and the set up of [9 and 11]. The set  $\tau$  which generates the positive cone  $P$  is taken to be  $\{E_x, I - E_x \mid x \in S\}$ . The idempotent operation on  $S$  allows us to restrict our attention to partitions of unity of the form  $\{\prod_j E_{x_j}^{\sigma_j}(I - E_{x_j})^{1-\sigma_j} \mid \sigma \in \Lambda_X\}$ . Reduction of the results in [11] to our setting is then accomplished upon identification of the linear functionals on  $\mathcal{Q}$  with the functions on  $S$  via  $F \rightarrow f$ , where  $F(E_x) = f(x)$ . Note that this biuniquely identifies the positive multiplicative linear functionals on  $\mathcal{Q}$  with  $\Gamma$ .

The possibilities offered by Th. 1.1 of obtaining decompositions of BV-functions from known decompositions of measures are numerous. We define a semicharacter to be a *singularity* of  $f$  if there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $|f| - \alpha\rho \in \text{CM}(S)$ . Then  $\rho$  is a singularity of a BV-function  $f$  if and only if  $\rho$  is an atom of  $\mu_f$ . The decomposition of  $\mu_f$  into its atomic and non-atomic part applies to give

$$f = f_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \rho_i,$$

where  $f_1$  has no singularities.

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *Every BV-function  $f$  admits three (unique) decompositions of the form  $f = f_1 + f_2$  with  $\|f\| = \|f_1\| + \|f_2\|$ ; each respectively satisfying*

- (i)  $f_1$  has no singularities and  $f_2$  is of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \rho_i$
- (ii)  $f_1$  and  $-f_2$  are completely monotonic
- (iii)  $f_1$  is continuous and  $f_2$  is singular.

In order to establish an  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -inversion formula to recover the density function  $f'$  of a representing measure of the form  $f' d\mu_g$  we consider the linear span of  $\{E_x g \mid x \in S\}$  and following [1] call each of its members *polygonal functions*. Since  $(E_y g)(x) = \int_{\Gamma} \rho(x) \rho(y) d\mu_g(\rho)$ , then it follows that the evaluation function  $\rho \rightarrow \rho(y)$  is the derivative of  $E_y g$ , so that linearity of the differentiation map  $f \rightarrow f'$  gives

$$(1.2.1) \quad \left( \sum_j a_j E_{x_j} g \right)'(\rho) = \sum_j a_j \rho(x_j).$$

The Stone-Weierstrass theorem implies that these derivatives are uniformly dense in the continuous functions  $C(\Gamma)$  on  $\Gamma$  and hence in  $L_p(\mu_g)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . In particular the derivatives of polygonal functions of the form

$$(1.2.2) \quad f_X = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} [\Delta_{\sigma} f(1) / \Delta_{\sigma} g(1)] \Delta_{\sigma} g$$

are computed to be

$$(1.2.3) \quad f'_X = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} [\Delta_\sigma f(1)/\Delta_\sigma g(1)] \Delta_\sigma \rho(1).$$

The following proposition is of interest and will be referred to again in §3.

**PROPOSITION 1.3.** *If  $f$  is polygonal there exists a finite set  $X$  such that  $f = f_Y$  whenever  $Y \supset X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f = \sum_j a_j E_{x_j} g$ . Set  $X = \{x_j\}_j$  then  $f = \sum_j a_j (\sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} \Delta_\sigma E_{x_j} g) = \sum_j a_j (\sum_{\sigma_j=1} \Delta_\sigma g) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} b_\sigma \Delta_\sigma g$ , where the last equality is obtained by reversing the order of summation and setting  $b_\sigma = \sum_{\sigma_j=1} a_j$ . Thus if  $X$  is a finite subset of  $S$  which contains  $X$ , we get  $f = \sum_{\sigma_X} (\sum_{\sigma_Y} b_{\sigma_X} \Delta_{\sigma_X} \Delta_{\sigma_Y} g)$  or

$$(1.3.1) \quad f = \sum_{\sigma_Y} b_{\sigma_Y} \Delta_{\sigma_Y} g,$$

where  $b_{\sigma_Y} = b_{\sigma_X}$  whenever  $\Delta_{\sigma_X} \cdot \Delta_{\sigma_Y} = \Delta_{\sigma_Y}$ . If we apply  $\Delta_{\sigma_Y}$  to both sides of (1.3.1) for a fixed  $\sigma_Y$  and evaluate at 1, then we obtain  $\Delta_{\sigma_Y} f(1) = b_{\sigma_Y} \Delta_{\sigma_Y} g(1)$  and the assertion follows.

**THEOREM 1.4.** ( $L_p$ -inversion). *If  $f \in \mathcal{L}_p(g)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  then  $\lim_X \|f' - f'_X\|_p = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Again we can appeal to the general algebraic setting of [9 and 11] as in the proof of Th. 1.1. However, Prop. 1.3 which is not available in that generality, provides a simpler and more illuminating approach and we refer the reader to [10] for the details.

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $1 < q \leq \infty$  and  $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$  then  $\mathcal{L}_p^*(g) = \mathcal{L}_q(g)$  via the pairing*

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \lim_X \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} \Delta_\sigma f(1) \Delta_\sigma h(1) / \Delta_\sigma g(1).$$

*Proof.* The Riesz representation theorem and Th. 1.1 imply  $\mathcal{L}_p^*(g) = \mathcal{L}_q(g)$  via the pairing  $\langle f', h' \rangle = \int_\Gamma f'(\rho) h'(\rho) d\mu_g(\rho)$ . But,  $\langle (\Delta g)', h' \rangle = \Delta h(1)$ , and since  $\langle \cdot, h' \rangle$  is continuous, we can apply the inversion theorem to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f', h' \rangle &= \lim_X \langle f'_X, h' \rangle = \lim_X \left\langle \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} [\Delta_\sigma f(1)/\Delta_\sigma g(1)] (\Delta_\sigma g)', h' \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_X \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} (\Delta_\sigma f(1) \Delta_\sigma h(1) / \Delta_\sigma g(1)) = \langle f, h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

## 2. Applications to differentiation and the classical Lebesgue spaces.

Let  $S$  be the closed interval  $[0, 1]$  under the semilattice operation  $xy = \min[x, y]$ , and set  $g(x) = x$ . Then  $\Delta f(x; \{x_j\}) = f(x) - f(x \max_j \{x_j\})$  and it follows that the completely monotonic functions are just the non-negative, non-decreasing functions on  $[0, 1]$ . Moreover the definitions of bounded variation and continuity (with respect to  $g$ ) given in §1 agree with the classical notions with the added restriction to the classical definition of absolute continuity that  $f(0) = 0$ . The semicharacters are the characteristic functions of the form  $1_{(x, 1]}$  and  $1_{[x, 1]}(x \in S)$  and the map  $\Pi: \Gamma \rightarrow S$  defined by  $\Pi(1_{(x, 1]}) = \Pi(1_{[x, 1]}) = x$  is seen to be continuous. Let the representing measure be  $\mu_g$  and its transformed measure,  $(\Pi\mu_g)$ , be defined on  $[0, 1]$  in the usual way by  $(\Pi\mu_g)(A) = \mu_g[\Pi^{-1}(A)]$  for each Borel set  $A$ . Since  $\mu_g$  has no atoms, an examination of  $(\Pi\mu_g)$  on the subintervals of  $[0, 1]$ , shows the transformed measure to be Lebesgue measure. Let  $Df$  denote the ordinary derivative of  $f \in \mathcal{L}_p(g)$ . Since the evaluation function  $\rho \rightarrow \rho(x)$  is seen to agree ( $\mu_g$ -almost everywhere) with the composition  $1_{[0, x]} \circ \Pi$ , standard integration theory shows  $f(x) = \int_0^x (Df) dt = \int_\Gamma \rho(x) [(Df) \circ \Pi](\rho) d\mu_g(\rho)$ , from which we get

$$(2.0.1) \quad (Df) \circ \Pi = f' \quad (\mu_g\text{-almost everywhere}).$$

Therefore

$$(2.0.2) \quad \int_\Gamma |f'(\rho)|^p d\mu_g(\rho) = \int_0^1 |(Df)(x)|^p dx \quad (1 \leq p < \infty).$$

We summarize the foregoing as follows

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then in the above notation,  $\mathcal{L}_1(g)$  is the set of all functions  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  which are absolutely continuous in the classical sense and for which  $f(0) = 0$ . The space  $\mathcal{L}_p(g)$  is isometric to the classical Lebesgue space  $L_p(dx)$  via differentiation.*

Let  $X = \{x_j\}_j$  be a finite subset of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $x_j < x_{j+1}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{L}_p(g)$ . Then the approximating polygonal function  $f_X$  used in the inversion (Th. 1.4) are of the form

$$(2.1.1) \quad f_X(x) = \sum_j \frac{f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})}{x_j - x_{j-1}} (x - x_{j-1}) 1_{(x_{j-1}, x_j]}(x).$$

That is,  $f_X$  is the linear approximation that interpolates  $f$  at each node point  $x_j$ . Note that  $Df_X$  is the expected step function approximation to  $Df$ . If  $1 < p < \infty$  then we have

$$(2.1.2) \quad \|f\|_p = \lim_X \sqrt[p]{\sum_j |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})|^p / (x_j - x_{j-1})^{p-1}},$$

$$(2.1.3) \quad \|f\|_1 = \lim_X \sum_j |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})|$$

and

$$(2.1.4) \quad \|f\|_\infty = \sup [|f(x) - f(y)|/|x - y|]$$

Condition (2.1.4) shows that  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(g)$  is in fact the space of function satisfying the usual Lipschitz condition with the additional property,  $f(0) = 0$ .

Observe that Cor. 1.2 (ii) is just the usual decomposition of a BV-function  $f$  into its two non-negative, non-decreasing parts. Part (iii) of the Corollary along with (2.0.2) imply  $f = f_1 + f_2$  with  $f_1$  absolutely continuous and  $Df_2 = 0$  a.e. It is easily verified that a function  $h \in \text{CM}(S)$  is continuous at  $x$  if and only if both  $h - \alpha 1_{(x,1]}$  and  $h - \alpha 1_{[x,1]}$  are not CM for any  $\alpha > 0$ . Using the familiar fact that a BV-function is continuous if and only if its variation is continuous, one can characterize the BV-functions with no singularities as the continuous BV-functions. Thus Cor. 1.2(i) provides the familiar decomposition of a BV-function as

$$f = f_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j 1_{(x_j,1]} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j 1_{[x_j,1]}$$

where  $f_1$  is continuous and BV.

Finally we remark that the above extends to the  $n$ -dimensional cube with coordinate-wise operations, and leave the details to the reader.

**3. Applications to Boolean algebras and finitely additive set functions.** We assume that  $S$  admits a second operation  $\vee$  under which  $S$  is a distributive lattice. Recall [2] that a valuation is a real-valued function  $f$  on  $S$  which satisfies  $f(x) + f(y) = f(xy) + f(x \vee y)$  ( $\Delta f(x \vee y; x, y) = 0$ ) for all  $x, y \in S$ . It is easily verified in [6] that every valuation satisfies

$$(3.0.1) \quad \Delta f(x; \{x_j\}) = \Delta f(x; \bigvee_j x_j).$$

Thus a valuation  $f$  is completely monotonic if and only if  $f(x) \geq 0$  and  $\Delta f(x; y) \geq 0$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . Moreover the formula for the variation of a valuation reduces to

$$(3.0.2) \quad \|f\| = \lim_X \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} |\Delta f(\prod x_j^{\sigma_j}; \bigvee x_j^{1-\sigma_j})| \quad (x_j \in X)$$

with analogous forms for  $\|f\|_p$ . While formally different, this definition of variation is seen in [6] to be equivalent to that given in Birkhoff [2, p. 74].

Let  $\Gamma_\nu$  denote the set of all  $\rho \in \Gamma$  such that  $\rho$  is a valuation.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.**  $\Gamma_\nu$  is a closed subset of  $\Gamma$ . Moreover a BV-function  $f$  is a valuation if and only if its representing measure  $\mu_f$  is supported by  $\Gamma_\nu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\rho_0 \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_\nu$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in S$  such that  $\Delta\rho_0(x \vee y; x, y) = 1$  so that  $R_\Delta = \{\rho \in \Gamma \mid \Delta\rho(x \vee y; x, y) = 1\}$  is an open (and closed) set which contains  $\rho_0$  but does not intersect  $\Gamma_\nu$ . Thus  $\Gamma_\nu$  is closed. If  $f$  is a BV-valuation then  $\mu_f(R_\Delta) = \Delta f(x \vee y; x, y) = 0$ . Thus  $\mu_f$  is supported by  $\Gamma_\nu$ . Conversely if  $\mu_f$  is supported by  $\Gamma_\nu$ , then  $\Delta f(x \vee y; x, y) = \int_\Gamma \Delta\rho(x \vee y; x, y) d\mu_f(\rho) = 0$  so that  $f$  is a valuation.

We further specialize to the case where  $S(\cdot, \vee, \cdot)$  is a Boolean algebra of subsets of a set  $\Omega$ . Now it must be remembered that each  $x, y \in S$  is a set. Further  $xy = x \cap y$ ,  $0 = \emptyset$ ,  $1 = \Omega$  and  $x' = \Omega \setminus x$ . The valuations  $f$  such that  $f(0) = 0$  are exactly the functions with the property that  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) + f(y)$  whenever  $xy = 0$ , i.e. the *additive functions*. Since

$$(3.1.1) \quad \Delta f(x; y) = f(xy'),$$

we see that an additive  $f$  is completely monotonic if and only if it is non-negative. If we introduce the notation

$$(3.1.2) \quad x_\sigma = \prod_j x_j^\sigma (x_j')^{1-\sigma}, \quad (\sigma \in \Lambda_X),$$

then  $\{x_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Lambda_X\}$  is a typical partition of  $\Omega$  into disjoint subsets by member of  $S$ . Applying (3.1.1) and (3.1.2) gives

$$\|f\|_{X \cup X'} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} |f(x_\sigma)|,$$

so that

$$(3.1.3) \quad \|f\| = \lim_X \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} |f(x_\sigma)|.$$

It follows that the definition of variation given here agrees with conventional usage for a finitely additive set function, cf. [5]. Moreover it is clear that the definitions of singularity and continuity introduced in §1 reduce to those given by Darst [4] for this special case where Cor. 1.2 (iii) has already been observed. However, even in this case the methods used under the present set up provide a simplified proof because of our access to the Lebesgue decomposition via the map  $f \rightarrow \mu_f$  cf. [10]. The additive members of  $\Gamma_\nu$  are the characteristic functions of ultrafilters. In fact, the identically 1 function is the only member of  $\Gamma_\nu$  which is not additive and it is seen to be an isolated point. It follows by the same reasoning used in Prop. 3.1



that  $\mu_f$  is supported by  $\Gamma_V \setminus \{1\}$ . In summary we assert

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $g$  be additive and non-negative and let  $f$  be continuous with respect to  $g$ , then*

(3.2.1)  *$f$  is additive and BV*

$$(3.2.2) \quad \|f\|_p^p = \lim_X \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} |f(x_\sigma)|^p / [g(x_\sigma)]^{p-1} \quad \text{for } 1 < p < \infty$$

$$(3.2.3) \quad \|f\|_\infty = \sup_x [|f(x)|/g(x)]$$

*The polygonal functions  $f_X$  are of the form:*

$$(3.2.4) \quad f_X = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} [f(x_\sigma)/g(x_\sigma)] E_{x_\sigma} g$$

$$(3.2.5) \quad \text{If } 1 < p < \infty, (1/p) + (1/q) = 1 \text{ then the dual } \mathcal{L}_p^*(g) \text{ of } \mathcal{L}_p(g) \text{ is } \mathcal{L}_q(g) \text{ under the pairing } \langle f, h \rangle = \lim_X \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} f(x_\sigma) h(x_\sigma) / g(x_\sigma).$$

**REMARK 3.3** If  $g$  is additive, the spaces  $\mathcal{L}_p(g)$  are exactly the  $V^p$  spaces. Consequently each  $V^p$ -space is isometric to the Lebesgue space  $L_p(\mu_g)$  on  $\Gamma_A$ .

Let  $L_p(g)$  denote the Lebesgue space  $\{F \mid \int_\Omega |F|^p(\omega) dg(\omega) < \infty\}$  defined for a not necessarily countable additive  $g$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), cf. [5, III.3]. Recall that  $L_p(g)$  need not be complete and observe the distinction between  $L_p(g)$  as a possibly incomplete Banach space of functions  $F$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $L_p(\mu_g)$  as a complete space of functions on  $\Gamma_A$  and  $\mathcal{L}_p(g)$  as a  $V^p$ -space of additive set functions on the Boolean algebra  $S$ . Following usual conventions we will call a function  $F \in L_1(g)$  the *Radon-Nikodým derivative* of an additive  $f \mid S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  if  $f(x) = \int_x F(\omega) dg(\omega)$ . Then  $f$  is called the *antiderivative* of  $F$ . The derivative is unique when it exists and in such cases will be denoted by  $df/dg$ . The following theorem is essentially contained in [3]. We offer this alternate proof here in part as an application of §1 and in part as motivation of our point of view concerning differentiation. In particular, it indicates the necessity of seeking the derivative of an  $\mathcal{L}_p(g)$ -function on the structure space  $\Gamma_A$  rather than  $\Omega$  when  $L_p(g)$  is incomplete.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *If  $1 \leq p < \infty$  then  $L_p(g)$  is densely embedded in  $\mathcal{L}_p(g)$  via antidifferentiation. Thus  $\mathcal{L}_p(g)$  (hence  $L_p(\mu_g)$ ) represents the completion of  $L_p(g)$ . The latter space is complete if and only if the Radon-Nikodým derivative  $df/dg$  exists for each  $f \in \mathcal{L}_p(g)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F \in L_p(g)$  and  $T|L_p(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p(g)$  be the antidifferentiation map. We apply Hölder's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} \frac{|T(F)(x_\sigma)|^p}{[g(x_\sigma)]^{p-1}} &= \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} \frac{|\int_{x_\sigma} F(\omega) dg(\omega)|^p}{[g(x_\sigma)]^{p-1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} \int_{x_\sigma} |F|^p(\omega) dg(\omega) = \|F\|_p^p \quad \text{for } p > 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\|T(F)\|_p \leq \|F\|_p$  for all  $p \geq 1$ , with equality holding when  $F$  is a simple function and  $X$  is sufficiently large. In the latter event one finds  $T(F)$  to be a polygonal function of the form

$$T(F)(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} \frac{T(F)(x_\sigma)}{g(x_\sigma)} g(xx_\sigma).$$

Thus  $T$  is a continuous linear map of  $L_p(g)$  into  $\mathcal{L}_p(g)$  which preserves the norm of each simple function. Since the simple functions are known to be dense in  $L_p(g)$  [5, p. 125],  $T$  is a norm preserving linear injection. But since the polygonal functions are dense in  $\mathcal{L}_p(g)$ , we can complete the proof of the first assertion by showing that  $df/dg$  is a simple function whenever  $f$  is polygonal. Clearly,  $dE_X g/dg = 1_x$  and it follows that  $dE_X/dg = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} [f(x_\sigma)/g(x_\sigma)] 1_{x_\sigma}$ ,  $g$ -almost everywhere. The first assertion follows since Prop. 1.3 implies every polygonal function  $f$  is of the form  $f_X$ . The remaining assertions are clear.

From the above proof we can assert

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{L}_p(g)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and the Radon-Nikodým derivative  $df/dg$  exists then the Radon-Nikodým net  $\sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_X} [f(x_\sigma)/g(x_\sigma)] 1_{x_\sigma}$  converges in the  $L_p(g)$ -metric to  $df/dg$ .*

The structure space  $\Gamma_V \setminus \{1\}$  which is just the set of characteristic functions of  $S$ -ultrafilters on  $\Omega$  will be denoted by  $\Gamma_A$ . The characteristic function of an ultrafilter which contains a smallest member of  $S$  will be called a *principal semicharacter*. A principal semicharacter is then one of the form:

$$\rho_y(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } xy = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } xy = y \end{cases}$$

Since the neighborhood  $\{\rho \in \Gamma_A \mid \rho(y) = 1\}$  only contains  $\rho_y$  we have

**REMARK 3.6.** The set  $\Gamma_p$  of principal semicharacters is discrete in  $\Gamma_A$ .

If  $x \in S$  with  $|f|(x) \neq 0$  and  $|f|(y)$  is either 0 or  $|f|(x)$  for each  $y \in S$  such that  $y \subset x$ , then  $x$  will be called an *atom* for  $f$ . If  $f$  has no atoms it will be called *non-atomic*. At the other extreme, if every  $x \in S$  for which  $|f|(x) \neq 0$ , contains an atom then  $f$  will be called *completely atomic*. It is easily verified that every additive BV-function  $f$  on  $S$  admits a unique decomposition of the form  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , where  $f_1$  is completely atomic and  $f_2$  is non-atomic.

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $f$  be additive and BV. If  $f$  is non-atomic then  $\mu_f$  is supported by  $\Gamma_A \setminus \Gamma_p$ . If  $\mu_f$  is concentrated on  $\Gamma_p$  then  $f$  is completely atomic and countably additive.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  is non-atomic and let  $\rho_y \in \Gamma_p$  in the above notation. Then  $\mu_f(\{\rho_y\}) = \mu_f\{\rho \in \Gamma_A \mid \rho(y) = 1\} = f(y) = 0$ , since  $y$  is not an atom of  $f$ . Thus  $\{\rho_y\}$  is a neighborhood of  $\rho_y$  with measure zero. Therefore  $\rho_y$  is not in the support of  $\mu_f$ . The second assertion follows because if  $\mu_f$  is concentrated on  $\Gamma_p$  then  $f$  is of the form  $\sum_{i=1} \alpha_i \rho_{y_i}$ , with  $y_i$  minimal.

In sharp contrast to the usual weak\* approximation of probability measures by point masses we offer

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *Every non-negative, non-atomic, additive function  $f$  with  $f(\Omega) = 1$  is in the closed convex hull of  $\Gamma_A \setminus \Gamma_p$ ; principal semicharacters are not.*

*Proof.* Recall that we have imposed the topology of simple convergence on the finitely additive BV-functions and the  $w^*$ -topology on  $\mathfrak{N}(\Gamma)$ . The set  $\mathfrak{N}_1^+(\Gamma_A \setminus \Gamma_p)$  of probability measures on  $\Gamma_A \setminus \Gamma_p$  is the closed convex hull of  $\{\mu_\rho \mid \rho \in \Gamma_A \setminus \Gamma_p\}$ . The first assertion follows since the theorem implies the map  $f \rightarrow \mu_f$  is an affine homeomorphism of the set of all  $f$  satisfying its first hypothesis into  $\Gamma_A \setminus \Gamma_p$ . Finally suppose  $\rho_y \in \Gamma_p$  is in the closed convex hull. Then we can find  $\alpha_j > 0$ ,  $\rho_j \in \Gamma_A \setminus \Gamma_p$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  with  $\sum_j \alpha_j = 1$  such that  $1 = \sum_j \alpha_j \rho_j(y') < \rho_y(y') + \varepsilon = \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; an obvious contradiction.

The characteristic function  $\rho_\omega$  of an ultrafilter, each of whose members contain a given singleton  $\omega \in \Omega$  will be called *point mass*  $\rho_\omega$ . Then  $\rho_\omega$  is principal when and only when  $\{\omega\} \in S$ . Since every neighborhood of a semicharacter  $\rho' \in \Gamma_A$  contains a set of the form  $\{\rho \in \Gamma_A \mid \rho(x) = 1\}$  and this set contains  $\rho_\omega$  for each  $\omega \in x$ , then it follows that the set  $\Gamma_{PM}$  of point masses is dense in  $\Gamma_A$ . If  $\{\omega\} \in S$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then Remark 3.6 shows that  $\Gamma_{PM}$  is in fact almost all of  $\Gamma_A$  in the topological sense of category. One can identify  $\Omega$  with  $\Gamma_{PM}$  via the map  $\omega \rightarrow \rho_\omega$ . It is therefore somewhat surprising that Th. 3.7 implies that the representing measure  $\mu_f$  is supported by the complement  $\Gamma_A \setminus \Gamma_{PM}$  whenever  $f$  is non-atomic.

**REMARK 3.9.** If  $\Omega$  is compact and metrizable and  $S$  is the set of Borel subsets of  $\Omega$ , then  $\rho \in \Gamma_A \setminus \Gamma_{PM}$  if and only if  $\rho$  is not countable additive. Thus Cor. 3.8 asserts that Lebesgue measure, for example, is in the closed convex hull of those zero-one-valued set functions which are not countable additive.

*Added in Proof.* In a recent preprint, D. Plachky forwarded a complete characterization of the closed convex hull of non-principal ultrafilters using entirely different techniques than those contained herein.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. A. Alo, A. de Korvin, *Functions of bounded variation on idempotent semigroups*, Math. Ann., **194** (1971), 1–11.
2. G. Birkoff, *Lattice theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquim Publication, **25**, Providence (1948).
3. S. Bochner, *Finitely additive integrals*, Ann. of Math., **40** (1939), 769–799.
4. R. B. Darst, *A decomposition of finitely additive set functions*, J. für Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, **210** (1962), 31–67.
5. N. Dunford, J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators* (I), New York, Interscience Publishers (1957).
6. J. Kist, P. H. Maserick, *BV-functions on semilattices*, Pacific J. Math., (1971), 711–723.
7. S. Leader, *The theory of  $L^p$ -spaces for finitely additive set functions*, Ann. of Math., **58** (1953), 528–543.
8. P. H. Maserick, *Moment and BV-functions on commutative semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **181** (1973), 61–75.
9. ———, *Moments of measures on convex bodies*, Pacific J. Math., **68** (1977), 135–152.
10. ———, *Differentiation of  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -functions on semilattices*, Kobenhavn Universitet Matematisk Institut. Preprint Series 1979 No. 12.
11. ———, *Disintegration with respect to  $L_p$ -density functions and singular measures*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete, **57** (1981), 311–326.
12. S. E. Newman, *Measure algebras and functions of bounded variation on idempotent semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **163** (1972), 189–205.

Received January 9, 1980 and in revised form September 24, 1980. Research for this paper was sponsored in part by the *Danish Natural Science Research Council* (Grant No. 511-10302) and in part by the *National Science Foundation* (Grant No. MCS78-03397).

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR OGUS  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN and H. SAMELSON

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$132.00 a year (6 Vol., 12 issues). Special rate: \$66.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics ISSN 0030-8730 is published monthly by the Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1983 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Leo James Alex, Simple groups and a Diophantine equation .....	257
Herbert James Alexander and John Wermer, On the approximation of singularity sets by analytic varieties .....	263
Waleed A. Al-Salam and Mourad Ismail, Orthogonal polynomials associated with the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction .....	269
J. L. Brenner and Roger Conant Lyndon, Permutations and cubic graphs .....	285
Ian George Craw and Susan Ross, Separable algebras over a commutative Banach algebra .....	317
Jesus M. Dominguez, Non-Archimedean Gel'fand theory .....	337
David Downing and Barry Turett, Some properties of the characteristic of convexity relating to fixed point theory .....	343
James Arthur Gerhard and Mario Petrich, Word problems for free objects in certain varieties of completely regular semigroups .....	351
Moses Glasner and Mitsuru Nakai, Surjective extension of the reduction operator .....	361
Takesi Isiwata, Ultrafilters and mappings .....	371
Lowell Duane Loveland, Double tangent ball embeddings of curves in $E^3$ .....	391
Douglas C. McMahon and Ta-Sun Wu, Homomorphisms of minimal flows and generalizations of weak mixing .....	401
P. H. Maserick, Applications of differentiation of $\mathcal{L}_p$ -functions to semilattices .....	417
Wayne Bruce Powell and Constantine Tsinakidis, Free products in the class of abelian $l$ -groups .....	429
Bruce Reznick, Some inequalities for products of power sums .....	443
C. Ray Rosentrater, Compact operators and derivations induced by weighted shifts .....	465
Edward Silverman, Basic calculus of variations .....	471
Charles Andrew Swanson, Criteria for oscillatory sublinear Schrödinger equations .....	483
David J. Winter, The Jacobson descent theorem .....	495