

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A PROPERTY OF SOME FOURIER-STIELTJES TRANSFORMS

HIROSHI YAMAGUCHI

A PROPERTY OF SOME FOURIER-STIELTJES TRANSFORMS

HIROSHI YAMAGUCHI

Helson and Lowdenslager extended the classical F. and M. Riesz theorem as follows:

Let G be a compact abelian group with the ordered dual \hat{G} . Let μ be a bounded regular measure on G which is of analytic type. Then μ_a and μ_s are of analytic type.

Doss extended this theorem for a LCA group with the algebraically ordered dual. On the other hand, deLeeuw and Glicksberg obtained an analogous result for a compact abelian group G such that there exists a nontrivial homomorphism from \hat{G} into \mathbb{R} . In this paper, we prove that the theorem of Helson and Lowdenslager is satisfied for a LCA group with partially ordered dual.

1. Introduction. Let G be a LCA group with the dual group \hat{G} . We denote by m_G the Haar measure on G . Let $M(G)$ be the Banach algebra of bounded regular measures on G under convolution multiplication and the total variation norm. $M_s(G)$ and $L^1(G)$ denote the closed subspace of $M(G)$ consisting of measures which are singular with respect to m_G and the closed ideal of $M(G)$ consisting of measures which are absolutely continuous with respect to m_G respectively. We denote by $\text{Trig}(G)$ the set of all trigonometric polynomials on G . For a subset E of \hat{G} , $M_E(G)$ denotes the space of measures in $M(G)$ whose Fourier-Stieltjes transforms vanish off E . E^- (or \bar{E}) means the closure of E . Let $M^+(G)$ be the subset of $M(G)$ consisting of positive measures. For $\mu \in M(G)$, μ_a and μ_s denote the absolutely continuous part and the singular part of μ respectively. For a subgroup H of G , H^\perp means the annihilator of H .

Helson and Lowdenslager extended the classical F. and M. Riesz theorem as follows:

THEOREM A (*cf. [8], 8.2.3. Theorem*). *Let G be a compact abelian group with ordered dual, i.e., there exists a semigroup P in \hat{G} such that (i) $P \cup (-P) = \hat{G}$ and (ii) $P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$. Let μ be a measure in $M(G)$ such that $\hat{\mu}(\gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma < 0$. Then*

- (I) $\hat{\mu}_a(\gamma) = \hat{\mu}_s(\gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma < 0$;
- (II) $\hat{\mu}_s(0) = 0$.

In [3] and [4], Doss extended Theorem A for a LCA group.

THEOREM B ([4], Lemma 1). *Let G be a LCA group such that \hat{G} is algebraically ordered, i.e., there exists a semigroup P in \hat{G} such that (i) $P \cup (-P) = \hat{G}$ and (ii) $P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$ (we do not assume the closedness of P). Let μ be a measure in $M(G)$ such that $\hat{\mu}(\gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma < 0$. Then*

- (I) $\hat{\mu}_a(\gamma) = \hat{\mu}_s(\gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma < 0$;
- (II) $\hat{\mu}_s(0) = 0$.

REMARK 1.1. In Theorem B, when G is noncompact, (II) is obtained from (I) and the fact that 0 is an accumulation point of P^c .

On the other hand, deLeeuw and Glicksberg in [2] obtained an analogous result of Theorem A for a compact abelian group G such that there exists a nontrivial homomorphism ψ from \hat{G} into R (the reals). That is,

THEOREM C (cf. [2], Proposition 5.1, p. 189). *Let G be a compact abelian group and ψ a nontrivial homomorphism from \hat{G} into R . Put $M^a(G) = \{\mu \in M(G); \hat{\mu}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for } \gamma \in \hat{G} \text{ with } \psi(\gamma) < 0\}$. Let μ be a measure in $M^a(G)$. Then μ_a and μ_s belong to $M^a(G)$.*

REMARK 1.2. In general, however, the conclusion of Theorem C can not be strengthened to " $\hat{\mu}_s(0) = 0$ ".

Our purpose in this paper is to prove that an analogous result of Theorem C is satisfied for a LCA group with partially ordered dual. We state the main theorem of this paper.

MAIN THEOREM. *Let G be a LCA group and P a closed semigroup in \hat{G} such that $P \cup (-P) = \hat{G}$. Let μ be a measure in $M_{P^c}(G)$. Then μ_a and μ_s belong to $M_{P^c}(G)$.*

COROLLARY. *Let G be a LCA group and P a semigroup in \hat{G} such that $P \cup (-P) = \hat{G}$. Then the following are satisfied:*

- (I) *for $\mu \in M_P(G)$, μ_a and μ_s belong to $M_P(G)$;*
- (II) *for $\mu \in M_{P^c}(G)$, μ_a and μ_s belong to $M_{P^c}(G)$.*

Proof of Corollary. Since (II) is easily obtained from the Main Theorem, we only prove (I). We note the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \hat{\mu} = 0 && \text{on } (-P \setminus P) \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \hat{\mu} = 0 && \text{on } \gamma - P \text{ for all } \gamma \in (-P) \setminus P \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (\gamma\mu)^\wedge = 0 && \text{on } -P \text{ for all } \gamma \in P \setminus (-P) \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (\gamma\mu)^\wedge = 0 && \text{on } (-P)^- \text{ for all } \gamma \in P \setminus (-P).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the Main Theorem and the fact that $(\gamma\mu)_a = \gamma\mu_a$, we obtain the corollary.

In §2, we prove Main Theorem for a σ -compact metrizable locally compact abelian group by using the theory of disintegration. In §3 we prove the theorem for a general locally compact abelian group by using a certain lemma which is due to Pigno and Saeki ([7], Lemma 4). The author would like to thank the referee for his valuable advice.

2. σ -compact metrizable case. In this section, we prove Main Theorem for a σ -compact metrizable locally compact abelian group. We need the theory of disintegration. The following lemma can be found in ([1], Théorème 1, p. 58).

LEMMA 2.1. *Let G be a σ -compact metrizable LCA group and H a closed subgroup of G . Let π be the natural homomorphism from G onto G/H . Let μ be a positive measure in $M(G)$ and put $\eta = \pi(\mu)$ (continuous image under π). Then there exists a family $\{\lambda_{\dot{x}}\}_{\dot{x} \in G/H}$ consisting of positive measures in $M(G)$ with the following properties:*

- (1) $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}(f)$ is a Borel measurable function for each bounded Borel measurable function f on G ,
- (2) $\text{supp}(\lambda_{\dot{x}}) \subset \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$,
- (3) $\|\lambda_{\dot{x}}\| \leq 1$,
- (4) $\mu(g) = \int_{G/H} \lambda_{\dot{x}}(g) d\eta(\dot{x})$ for each bounded Borel measurable function g on G .

Conversely, let $\{\lambda'_{\dot{x}}\}_{\dot{x} \in G/H}$ be a family of positive measures in $M(G)$ which satisfies (1), (2) and (4). Then we have

- (5) $\lambda_{\dot{x}} = \lambda'_{\dot{x}}$ a.a. $\dot{x}(\eta)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let G , H and π be as in Lemma 2.1. Let μ be a positive measure in $M(G)$ and put $\eta = \pi(\mu)$. By (2) of Lemma 2.1, $\lambda_{\dot{x}}$ can be represented as follows:*

- (1) $\lambda_{\dot{x}} = \nu_{\dot{x}} * \delta_x$ for some $\nu_{\dot{x}} \in M^+(H)$ and $x \in G$ with $\pi(x) = \dot{x}$. If $\nu_{\dot{x}} \in M_s(H)$ a.a. $\dot{x}(\eta)$, we have $\mu \in M_s(G)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the lemma when μ has compact support, so we can assume η supported by K compact. Suppose $\{f_n\} \subset C_0(G)$ is dense. Let ε be a positive real number. Then for each n Lusin's theorem says $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}(f_n)$ is continuous on a compact subset E_n of K with $\eta(K \setminus E_n) < \varepsilon/2^n$. We put $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Then E is compact, $\eta(K \setminus E) < \varepsilon$

and $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}(f_n)$ is continuous on E for all n . Hence $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}(h)$ is continuous on E for all $h \in C_0(G)$. By the hypothesis we may assume that $\|\lambda_{\dot{x}}\| = 1$ and $\nu_{\dot{x}} \in M_s(H)$ for all $\dot{x} \in E$. Hence for $\dot{x} \in E$ we can choose $f = f_{\dot{x}} \in C_c(G)$ with $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $1 = \|\lambda_{\dot{x}}\| < \lambda_{\dot{x}}(f) + \varepsilon$ and $\delta_{\dot{x}} * m_H(f) < \varepsilon$ ($x \in \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$). Then both inequalities are held on some neighborhood of x in E , say $N_{\dot{x}}$. Since E lies in $N_{\dot{x}_1}, \dots, N_{\dot{x}_k}$, with f_1, \dots, f_k the corresponding f 's, we set $g = f_1$ on $\pi^{-1}(N_{\dot{x}_1})$, $= f_2$ on $\pi^{-1}(N_{\dot{x}_2} \setminus N_{\dot{x}_1})$, \dots , $= f_k$ on $\pi^{-1}(N_{\dot{x}_k} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} N_{\dot{x}_j})$ and $= 0$ on $\pi^{-1}(E^c)$. Then g is a Borel measurable function on G with $0 \leq g \leq 1$ satisfying $1 - \varepsilon < \lambda_{\dot{x}}(g)$ and $\delta_{\dot{x}} * m_H(g) < \varepsilon$ for all $\dot{x} \in E$ ($x \in \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$). Thus $\mu(g) = \int_{G/H} \lambda_{\dot{x}}(g) d\eta(\dot{x}) > 1 - 2\varepsilon$ and $m_G(g) < \varepsilon m_{G/H}(K)$. Since this holds for each $\varepsilon > 0$, μ is necessarily singular.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let G be a LCA group and H a closed subgroup of G . Let π be the natural homomorphism from G onto G/H . Let μ be a measure in $M^+(G)$. If $\pi(\mu)$ belongs to $M_s(G/H)$, μ is singular with respect to the Haar measure on G .*

Proof. Since $\pi(\mu) \in M_s(G/H)$, there exists a σ -compact subset \tilde{E} of G/H such that $\pi(\mu)(\tilde{E}^c) = 0$ and $m_{G/H}(\tilde{E}) = 0$. Then μ is concentrated on $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{E})$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{E})$ is a locally null set. For a compact set K in G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_G(K \cap \pi^{-1}(\tilde{E})) &= \int_G \chi_K(x) \chi_{\pi^{-1}(\tilde{E})}(x) dm_G(x) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H \chi_K(\dot{x} + y) \chi_{\pi^{-1}(\tilde{E})}(\dot{x} + y) dm_H(y) dm_{G/H}(\dot{x}) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \chi_{\tilde{E}}(\dot{x}) \int_H \chi_K(\dot{x} + y) dm_G(y) dm_{G/H}(\dot{x}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{E})$ is a locally null set and the proof is complete.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let G be a σ -compact metrizable LCA group and P a closed semigroup in \hat{G} such that $P \cup (-P) = \hat{G}$. Put $\Lambda = P \cap (-P)$ and $H = \Lambda^\perp$. Let π be the natural homomorphism from G onto G/H . For a measure $\mu \in M(G)$, we assume that there exists a family $\{\lambda_{\dot{x}}\}_{\dot{x} \in G/H}$ in $M(G)$ with the following properties:*

(1) $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}(f)$ is a Borel measurable function for each bounded Borel function f on G ,

(2) $\text{supp}(\lambda_{\dot{x}}) \subset \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$,

$$(3) \|\lambda_{\dot{x}}\| \leq 1,$$

$$(4) \mu(g) = \int_{G/H} \lambda_{\dot{x}}(g) d\eta(\dot{x}) \text{ for each bounded Borel measurable function } g \text{ on } G,$$

where $\eta = \pi(|\mu|)$. Then the following is satisfied:

$$(5) \text{ If } \hat{\mu}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ on } P, \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{x}}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ on } P \text{ a.a. } \dot{x}(\eta).$$

Proof. First we note

$$(6) \quad P + \Lambda \subset P.$$

For $f \in L^1(\hat{G})$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subset P$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad 0 &= \int_{\hat{G}} \hat{\mu}(\gamma) f(\gamma) d\gamma \\ &= \int_G \hat{f}(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \lambda_{\dot{x}}(\hat{f}) d\eta(\dot{x}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $\gamma_* \in \Lambda$, by (6), we have $\text{supp}(f_{\gamma_*}) \subset P$, where $f_{\gamma_*}(\gamma) = f(\gamma - \gamma_*)$. Hence, by (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{G/H} \lambda_{\dot{x}}(\hat{f}_{\gamma_*}) d\eta(\dot{x}) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_G \hat{f}_{\gamma_*}(x) d\lambda_{\dot{x}}(x) d\eta(\dot{x}) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_G (-x, \gamma_*) \hat{f}(x) d\lambda_{\dot{x}}(x) d\eta(\dot{x}) \\ &= \int_{G/H} (-\dot{x}, \gamma_*) \int_G \hat{f}(x) d\lambda_{\dot{x}}(x) d\eta(\dot{x}) \quad (\text{by (2) and } \gamma_* \in \Lambda) \\ &= \int_{G/H} (-\dot{x}, \gamma_*) \lambda_{\dot{x}}(\hat{f}) d\eta(\dot{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Since γ_* is an arbitrary element in Λ , we have

$$(8) \quad 0 = \int_{G/H} p(\dot{x}) \lambda_{\dot{x}}(\hat{f}) d\eta(\dot{x}) \quad \text{for all } p(\dot{x}) \in \text{Trig}(g/H).$$

Since $\text{Trig}(G/H)$ is dense in $L^1(\eta)$ and $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}(\hat{f})$ is a bounded Borel measurable function, we have

$$(9) \quad \lambda_{\dot{x}}(\hat{f}) = 0 \text{ a.a. } \dot{x}(\eta) \quad \text{for } f \in L^1(\hat{G}) \text{ with } \text{supp}(f) \subset P.$$

Hence, for $f \in L^1(\hat{G})$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subset P$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad 0 &= \int_{\hat{G}} \hat{f}(x) d\lambda_{\dot{x}}(x) \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{x}}(\gamma) f(\gamma) d\gamma \quad \text{a.a. } \dot{x}(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since \hat{G} is σ -compact and metrizable, there exists a countable subset $\mathcal{Q} = \{f_n\}$ of $L^1(P) = \{f \in L^1(\hat{G}); \text{supp}(f) \subset P\}$ such that it is dense in $L^1(P)$. By (10), for each $m \in N$ (the natural numbers), there exists a Borel set \tilde{K}_m in G/H such that $\eta(\tilde{K}_m^c) = 0$ and

$$(11) \quad 0 = \int_{\hat{G}} \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{x}}(\gamma) f_m(\gamma) d\gamma \quad \text{for } \dot{x} \in \tilde{K}_m.$$

Put $K = \bigcap_1^\infty \tilde{K}_m$. Then $\eta(\tilde{K}^c) = 0$ and

$$(12) \quad 0 = \int_{\hat{G}} \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{x}}(\gamma) f_m(\gamma) d\gamma \quad \text{for all } \dot{x} \in \tilde{K} \text{ and } f_m \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Hence,

$$(13) \quad 0 = \int_{\hat{G}} \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{x}}(\gamma) f(\gamma) d\gamma \quad \text{for all } \dot{x} \in \tilde{K} \text{ and } f \in L^1(P),$$

which yields

$$\hat{\lambda}_{\dot{x}}(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{on } P \text{ a.a. } \dot{x}(\eta).$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let G be a σ -compact metrizable LCA group and H a closed subgroup of G . Let π be the natural homomorphism from G onto G/H . Let $\{\lambda_{\dot{x}}\}_{\dot{x} \in G/H}$ be a family in $M^+(G)$ with the following properties:*

- (1) $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}(f)$ is a Borel measurable function for each bounded Borel measurable function f on G ,
- (2) $\text{supp}(\lambda_{\dot{x}}) \subset \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$,
- (3) $\|\lambda_{\dot{x}}\| \leq 1$.

By (2), $\lambda_{\dot{x}} = \nu_{\dot{x}}^* \delta_x$ for some $\nu_{\dot{x}} \in M^+(H)$ and $x \in G$ with $\pi(x) = \dot{x}$. We define measures $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^a, \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s \in M^+(G)$ as follows:

$$(4) \quad \lambda_{\dot{x}}^a = \nu_{\dot{x}}^a * \delta_x, \quad \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s = \nu_{\dot{x}}^s * \delta_x,$$

where $\nu_{\dot{x}}^a$ and $\nu_{\dot{x}}^s$ are the absolutely continuous part and the singular part of $\nu_{\dot{x}}$ with respect to m_H respectively. Then the following is satisfied:

- (5) $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}^a(f)$ and $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s(f)$ are Borel measurable functions for each bounded Borel function f on G .

Proof. For $\dot{x} \in G/H$, let $L^1(\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\}))$ be the space of functions on $\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$ which are integrable with respect to $m_{\dot{x}}$, where $m_{\dot{x}}$ is the measure on the coset $\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$ which is given by translating m_H on $\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$.

Step 1. There exists a countable dense subset \mathcal{Q} of $L^1(G)$ such that $\mathcal{Q}|_{\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})}$ is dense in $L^1(\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\}))$ for each $\dot{x} \in G/H$.

Since G is σ -compact and metrizable, there exist open sets U_n in G with compact closures such that $\bar{U}_n \subset U_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_1^\infty U_n = G$. Then, for each $n \in N$, there exists a countable set \mathcal{Q}_n in $C_c(G)$ such that

(6) $\text{supp}(f) \subset U_n$ for $f \in \mathcal{Q}_n$, $\mathcal{Q}_n|_{U_n}$ is dense in $C_c(U_n)$ with respect to the supremum norm.

Now we put $\mathcal{Q} = \bigcup_1^\infty \mathcal{Q}_n$. Then, by (6), \mathcal{Q} is a countable dense subset of $L^1(G)$. Put $S_{n,\dot{x}} = \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\}) \cap U_n$ and $B_{n,\dot{x}} = \{u \in C_c(\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})); \text{supp}(u) \subset S_{n,\dot{x}}\}$.

Claim 1. $\mathcal{Q}_n|_{S_{n,\dot{x}}}$ is dense in $B_{n,\dot{x}}$.

In fact, let u be a function in $B_{n,\dot{x}}$ and ε a positive real number. By Tietze's extension theorem, there exists a bounded continuous function k_n on G such that $k_n|_{\bar{S}_{n,\dot{x}}} = u|_{\bar{S}_{n,\dot{x}}}$, where $\bar{S}_{n,\dot{x}}$ is the closure of $S_{n,\dot{x}}$ in $\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$. We choose an open set V_n in G and a nonnegative continuous function p_n on G with the compact support such that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{V}_n &\subset U_n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp}(u) \subset V_n, \\ p_n &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in \bar{V}_n, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin U_n \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and $\|p_n\|_\infty \leq 1$. Put $u_n(x) = k_n(x)p_n(x)$. Then u_n is a continuous function on G such that $\text{supp}(u_n) \subset U_n$. Moreover, by the construction of u_n , we have $u_n|_{S_{n,\dot{x}}} = u|_{S_{n,\dot{x}}}$. Since $\mathcal{Q}_n|_{U_n}$ is dense in $C_c(U_n)$, there exists a function f_n in \mathcal{Q}_n such that $\|f_n|_{U_n} - u_n|_{U_n}\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n|_{S_{n,\dot{x}}} - u|_{S_{n,\dot{x}}}\|_\infty &= \|f_n|_{S_{n,\dot{x}}} - u_n|_{S_{n,\dot{x}}}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|f_n|_{U_n} - u_n|_{U_n}\|_\infty \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Claim is proved.

We return to the proof of Step 1. Let f be a function in $L^1(\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\}))$ and ε a positive real number. Since $\bigcup_1^\infty S_{n,\dot{x}} = \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$, there exists a positive integer n such that $\int_{(S_{n,\dot{x}})^c} |f(y)| dm_{\dot{x}}(y) < \varepsilon/3$. We can also

choose a function $f_n \in B_{n,\dot{x}}$ such that $\int_{S_{n,\dot{x}}} |f(y) - f_n(y)| dm_{\dot{x}}(y) < \varepsilon/3$. By Claim 1, there exists a function $g_n \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ such that $\|g_n|_{S_{n,\dot{x}}} - f_n|_{S_{n,\dot{x}}}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon/3(m_{\dot{x}}(S_{n,\dot{x}}) + 1)$. Noting $g_n|_{\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})}(y) = 0$ if $y \in \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\}) \setminus S_{n,\dot{x}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})} |f(y) - g_n(y)| dm_{\dot{x}}(y) \\ &= \int_{\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\}) \setminus S_{n,\dot{x}}} |f(y)| dm_{\dot{x}}(y) + \int_{S_{n,\dot{x}}} |f(y) - g_n(y)| dm_{\dot{x}}(y) \\ &< \varepsilon/3 + \int_{S_{n,\dot{x}}} |f(y) - f_n(y)| dm_{\dot{x}}(y) \\ &\quad + \int_{S_{n,\dot{x}}} |f_n(y) - g_n(y)| dm_{\dot{x}}(y) \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Step 1 is proved. In order to prove the lemma, it is sufficient to show that $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s(f)$ is a Borel measurable function for each $f \in C_0(G)$.

Step 2. For a nonnegative function $f \in C_0(G)$, $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s(f)$ is a Borel measurable function.

Let \mathcal{Q} be the countable subset of $L^1(G)$ obtained in Step 1 and \mathfrak{B} a countable dense subset of $C_0(G)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s(f) &= \|f\lambda_{\dot{x}}^s\| \\ &= \inf_{g \in \mathcal{Q}} \|f\lambda_{\dot{x}} - \chi_{\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})}g\| \\ &= \inf_{g \in \mathcal{Q}} \sup_{\substack{h \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \\ \|h\|_{\infty} \leq 1}} |\lambda_{\dot{x}}(fh) - (\chi_{\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})}g)(h)| \\ &= \inf_{g \in \mathcal{Q}} \sup_{\substack{h \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \\ \|h\|_{\infty} \leq 1}} \left| \lambda_{\dot{x}}(fh) - \int_{\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})} g(z)h(z) dm_{\dot{x}}(z) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\int_{\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})} g(z)h(z) dm_{\dot{x}}(z) = \int_H g(\dot{x} + y)h(\dot{x} + y) dm_H(y)$. Hence, $\dot{x} \mapsto \int_{\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})} g(z)h(z) dm_{\dot{x}}(z)$ is a continuous function on G/H . Therefore, by (1) and (8), Step 2 is proved.

By Step 2, $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s(f)$ is a Borel measurable function for each bounded Borel measurable function f on G . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let G be a σ -compact metrizable LCA group and P a closed semigroup in \hat{G} such that $P \cup (-P) = \hat{G}$. Let μ be a measure in $M_{pc}(G)$. Then μ_a and μ_s belong to $M_{pc}(G)$.*

Proof. Put $\Lambda = P \cap (-P)$ and $H = \Lambda^\perp$. Let π be the natural homomorphism from G onto G/H , and put $\eta = \pi(|\mu|)$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a family $\{\xi_{\dot{x}}\}_{\dot{x} \in G/H}$ in $M^+(G)$ with the following properties:

- (1) $\dot{x} \mapsto \xi_{\dot{x}}(f)$ is a Borel measurable function for each bounded Borel measurable function f on G ,
- (2) $\text{supp}(\xi_{\dot{x}}) \subset \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$,
- (3) $\|\xi_{\dot{x}}\| \leq 1$,
- (4) $|\mu|(g) = \int_{G/H} \xi_{\dot{x}}(g) d\eta(\dot{x})$ for each bounded Borel measurable function g on G .

Let h be a unimodular Borel measurable function on G such that $\mu = h|\mu|$. By (2), there exists a measure $\nu_{\dot{x}} \in M^+(H)$ and $x \in G$ such that $\pi(x) = \dot{x}$ and $\xi_{\dot{x}} = \nu_{\dot{x}} * \delta_x$. Let $\nu_{\dot{x}}^a$ and $\nu_{\dot{x}}^s$ be the absolutely continuous part and the singular part of $\nu_{\dot{x}}$ with respect to m_H respectively. We define measures $\xi_{\dot{x}}^a$ and $\xi_{\dot{x}}^s$ in $M^+(G)$ by $\xi_{\dot{x}}^a = \nu_{\dot{x}}^a * \delta_x$ and $\xi_{\dot{x}}^s = \nu_{\dot{x}}^s * \delta_x$. Put $\eta = \eta_a + \eta_s$, where $\eta_a \in L^1(G/H) \cap M^+(G/H)$ and $\eta_s \in M_s(G/H) \cap M^+(G/H)$. Then, by Lemma 2.5, we can define $\Phi_{aa}, \Phi_{sa}, \Phi_s \in M^+(G)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad \Phi_{aa}(f) &= \int_{G/H} \xi_{\dot{x}}^a(f) d\eta_a(\dot{x}), \\
 \Phi_{sa}(f) &= \int_{G/H} \xi_{\dot{x}}^s(f) d\eta_a(\dot{x}), \\
 \Phi_s(f) &= \int_{G/H} \xi_{\dot{x}}(f) d\eta_s(\dot{x}) \quad \text{for } f \in C_0(G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Claim 1. $\Phi_{sa} \in M_s(G) \cap M^+(G)$.

We define a measure $\zeta_{\dot{x}}^s \in M_s(G) \cap M^+(G)$ as follows:

$$\zeta_{\dot{x}}^s = \begin{cases} (1/\|\xi_{\dot{x}}^s\|)\xi_{\dot{x}}^s & \text{if } \|\xi_{\dot{x}}^s\| \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \|\xi_{\dot{x}}^s\| = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\Phi_{sa}(f) = \int_{G/H} \zeta_{\dot{x}}^s(f) \|\xi_{\dot{x}}^s\| d\eta_a(\dot{x})$ for $f \in C_0(G)$. By Lemma 2.5, we can define a measure $\eta'_a \in L^1(G/H) \cap M^+(G/H)$ by $\eta'_a(\tilde{E}) = \int_{\tilde{E}} \|\xi_{\dot{x}}^s\| d\eta_a(\dot{x})$ for a Borel set \tilde{E} in G/H . Then we have $\pi(\Phi_{sa}) = \eta'_a$. In

fact, for $g \in C_0(G/H)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi(\Phi_{sa})(g) &= \int_G g \circ \pi(x) d\Phi_{sa}(x) \\
 &= \int_{G/H} \zeta_{\dot{x}}^s(g \circ \pi) \|\xi_{\dot{x}}^s\| d\eta_a(\dot{x}) \\
 &= \int_{G/H} g(\dot{x}) \|\xi_{\dot{x}}^s\| d\eta_a(\dot{x}) \\
 &= \int_{G/H} g(\dot{x}) d\eta'_a(\dot{x}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\{\zeta_{\dot{x}}^s\}_{\dot{x} \in G/H}$ and η'_a , we have

- (6) $\pi(\Phi_{sa}) = \eta'_a$,
- (7) $\dot{x} \mapsto \zeta_{\dot{x}}^s(f)$ is a Borel measurable function for each bounded Borel function f on G ,
- (8) $\text{supp}(\zeta_{\dot{x}}^s) \subset \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$,
- (9) $\|\zeta_{\dot{x}}^s\| \leq 1$,
- (10) $\Phi_{sa}(g) = \int_{G/H} \zeta_{\dot{x}}^s(g) d\eta'_a(\dot{x})$ for each bounded Borel measurable function g on G

and

- (11) $\zeta_{\dot{x}}^s * \delta_{-x} \in M_s(H)$, where x is an element in G such that $\pi(x) = \dot{x}$.

Hence, by (6)–(11) and Lemma 2.2, Claim 1 is proved.

Claim 2. $\Phi_s \in M_s(G) \cap M^+(G)$.

This is obtained from Lemma 2.3.

Claim 3. $\Phi_{aa} \in L^1(G)$.

Let E be a Borel measurable set in G such that $m_G(E) = 0$. Then, since

$$0 = m_G(E) = \int_{G/H} \int_H \chi_E(\dot{x} + y) dm_H(y) dm_{G/H}(\dot{x}),$$

there exists a Borel set \tilde{F} in G/H with $m_{G/H}(\tilde{F}) = 0$ such that $m_{\dot{x}}(E \cap \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})) = 0$ if $\dot{x} \notin \tilde{F}$, where $m_{\dot{x}}$ is the measure on the coset $\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$ translated m_H on $\pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_{aa}(E) &= \int_{G/H} \xi_{\dot{x}}^a(\chi_E) d\eta_a(\dot{x}) \\
 &= \int_{\tilde{F}} \xi_{\dot{x}}^a(\chi_E) d\eta_a(\dot{x}) + \int_{\tilde{F}^c} \xi_{\dot{x}}^a(\chi_E) d\eta_a(\dot{x}). \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus Claim 3 is proved.

We define a measure $\lambda_{\dot{x}} \in M(G)$ by $\lambda_{\dot{x}}(f) = \xi_{\dot{x}}(hf)$ for $f \in C_0(G)$, where h is the unimodular Borel function on G such that $\mu = h \mid \mu \mid$. Then the following are satisfied:

- (12) $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}(f)$ is a Borel measurable function for each bounded Borel measurable function f on G ,
- (13) $\text{supp}(\lambda_{\dot{x}}) = \text{supp}(\xi_{\dot{x}}) \subset \pi^{-1}(\{\dot{x}\})$,
- (14) $\|\lambda_{\dot{x}}\| \leq 1$,
- (15) $\mu(g) = \int_{G/H} \lambda_{\dot{x}}(g) d\eta(\dot{x})$ for each bounded Borel measurable function g on G .

We define measures $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^a, \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s \in M(G)$ by $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^a = h\xi_{\dot{x}}^a$ and $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^s = h\xi_{\dot{x}}^s$ respectively. Then we have

$$\lambda_{\dot{x}} = \lambda_{\dot{x}}^a + \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s \quad \text{for } \dot{x} \in G/H, \text{ and}$$

- (16) $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^a$ and $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^s$ are absolutely continuous and singular with respect to $m_{\dot{x}}$ respectively.

By (13), there exist an element x in G with $\pi(x) = \dot{x}$ and a measure $\omega_{\dot{x}} \in M(H)$ such that $\lambda_{\dot{x}} = \omega_{\dot{x}} * \delta_x$, $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^a = \omega_{\dot{x}}^a * \delta_x$ and $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^s = \omega_{\dot{x}}^s * \delta_x$, where $\omega_{\dot{x}}^a$ and $\omega_{\dot{x}}^s$ are the absolutely continuous part and the singular part of $\omega_{\dot{x}}$ with respect to m_H respectively. Since $\hat{\mu}(\gamma) = 0$ on P , by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(17) \quad \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{x}}(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{on } P \text{ a.a. } \dot{x}(\eta),$$

hence

$$(18) \quad \hat{\omega}_{\dot{x}}(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{on } P \text{ a.a. } \dot{x}(\eta).$$

Let β be the natural homomorphism from \hat{G} onto \hat{G}/Λ . Then $\beta(P)$ is a closed semigroup in \hat{G}/Λ . We note that $\beta(P)$ induces a totally order on \hat{G}/Λ , and moreover, $\beta(P) = \{\beta(\gamma) \in \hat{G}/\Lambda; \beta(\gamma) \geq 0\}$. Hence, by (18) and Theorem B, we have

$$(19) \quad \omega_{\dot{x}}^a(\gamma) = \omega_{\dot{x}}^s(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{on } P \text{ a.a. } \dot{x}(\eta),$$

hence

$$(20) \quad \lambda_{\dot{x}}^a(\gamma) = \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{on } P \text{ a.a. } \dot{x}(\eta).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.5 and the construction of $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^a$ and $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^s$, $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}^a(f)$ and $\dot{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s(f)$ are Borel measurable functions for each bounded Borel measurable function f on G . Hence we can define measures

$\mu_i \in M(G)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (21) \quad \mu_1(f) &= \int_{G/H} \lambda_{\dot{x}}^a(f) d\eta_a(\dot{x}), \\
 \mu_2(f) &= \int_{G/H} \lambda_{\dot{x}}^s(f) d\eta_a(\dot{x}), \\
 \mu_3(f) &= \int_{G/H} \lambda_{\dot{x}}(f) d\eta_s(\dot{x}) \quad \text{for } f \in C_0(G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3$, and, by the construction of $\lambda_{\dot{x}}$, $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^a$ and $\lambda_{\dot{x}}^s$, we have

$$\mu_1 \ll \Phi_{aa}, \quad \mu_2 \ll \Phi_{sa} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_3 \ll \Phi_s.$$

Therefore, by Claims 1–3, we have $\mu_a = \mu_1$ and $\mu_s = \mu_2 + \mu_3$. By (20) and (21), we can easily verify that $\mu_i \in M_{pc}(G)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Hence we have $\mu_a, \mu_s \in M_{pc}(G)$ and the proof is complete.

3. Proof of Main Theorem.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let G be a metrizable LCA group and P a proper closed semigroup in \hat{G} such that $P \cup (-P) = \hat{G}$. Let μ be a measure in $M(G)$. Then there exists a σ -compact open subgroup G_1 of G such that (1) $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset G_1$ and (2) $G_1^\perp \subset P \cap (-P)$.*

Proof. Put $\Lambda = P \cap (-P)$, and let β be the natural homomorphism from \hat{G} onto \hat{G}/Λ . Then $\beta(P)$ is a closed semigroup in \hat{G}/Λ such that (i) $\beta(P) \cup (-\beta(P)) = \hat{G}/\Lambda$ and (ii) $\beta(P) \cap (-\beta(P)) = \{0\}$. Hence, by ([8], 8.1.5. Theorem), we have

$$(3) \quad \hat{G}/\Lambda = D, \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{G}/\Lambda = R \oplus D,$$

where D is a discrete abelian group which is torsion-free. Put $H = \Lambda^\perp$. Then, by (3), H is a σ -compact closed subgroup of G . Since μ is regular, there exists a σ -compact open subgroup G_0 of G such that $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset G_0$. We put $G_1 = G_0 + H$. Then G_1 is a σ -compact open subgroup of G which satisfies (1) and (2). This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let G be a metrizable LCA group and P a closed semigroup in \hat{G} such that $P \cup (-P) = \hat{G}$. Let μ be a measure in $M_{pc}(G)$. Then μ_a and μ_s belong to $M_{pc}(G)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $P \subset \hat{G}$. Let G_1 be the σ -compact open subgroup of G obtained in Lemma 3.1. Let π be the natural homomorphism from \hat{G} onto \hat{G}/G_1^\perp . Then, by (2) in Lemma 3.1, $\pi(P)$ is a closed semigroup in \hat{G}/G_1^\perp such that $\pi(P) \cup (-\pi(P)) = \hat{G}/G_1^\perp$. We can regard μ as a measure in $M_{\pi(P)^c}(G_1)$. Since G_1 is σ -compact and metrizable, by Lemma 2.6, we have $\mu_a, \mu_s \in M_{\pi(P)^c}(G_1)$, which yields $\mu_a, \mu_s \in M_{P^c}(G)$. This completes the proof.

Now we prove the main theorem of this paper.

THEOREM 3.3 (Main Theorem). *Let G be a LCA group and P a closed semigroup in \hat{G} such that $P \cup (-P) = \hat{G}$. Let μ be a measure in $M_{P^c}(G)$. Then μ_a and μ_s belong to $M_{P^c}(G)$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\mu_s \in M_{P^c}(G)$. Let γ_0 be an element in P . Since μ_s is a singular measure, there exists a σ -compact subset E of G such that $m_G(E) = 0$ and $|\mu_s|(E^c) = 0$. Then, by ([7], Lemma 4), there exists a σ -compact open subgroup Γ of \hat{G} containing γ_0 such that

$$(1) \quad m_G(E + \Gamma^\perp) = 0.$$

Let π be the natural homomorphism from G onto G/Γ^\perp . Then, by (1), we have

$$(2) \quad \pi(\mu)_s = \pi(\mu_s).$$

Put $P_\Gamma = P \cap \Gamma$. Then P_Γ is a closed semigroup in Γ such that $P_\Gamma \cup (-P_\Gamma) = \Gamma$, and $\pi(\mu)$ belongs to $M_{P_\Gamma^c}(G/\Gamma^\perp)$. Since G/Γ^\perp is metrizable, by (2) and Lemma 3.2, we have $\pi(\mu_s) = \pi(\mu)_s \in M_{P_\Gamma^c}(G/\Gamma^\perp)$, so that $\hat{\mu}_s(\gamma_0) = \pi(\mu_s)^\wedge(\gamma_0) = 0$. Since γ_0 is an arbitrary element in P , we have $\mu_s \in M_{P^c}(G)$. This completes the proof.

REMARK 3.4. In the proof of Lemma 2.6, when \hat{G}/Λ is not discrete, we needed Theorem B. However, in this case, we have $\hat{G}/\Lambda \cong R \oplus D$ and $\beta(P) \cong \{(x, d) \in R \oplus D; d > 0, \text{ or } d = 0 \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$, where D is a discrete ordered group (cf. [8], 8.1.5. Theorem). Using Theorem A and our method, we can prove Theorem B if P is closed. Hence the Main Theorem can be obtained by employing only Theorem A.

Appendix. The author has recently extended Theorem A(II) as follows (cf. [10], Lemma 1.2):

THEOREM 3.5. *Let G be a LCA group and P a semigroup in \hat{G} such that $P \cup (-P) = G$. Put $\Lambda = P \cap (-P)$ and $H = \Lambda^\perp$. If P is open, then we have*

$$(*) \quad m_H^* \{M_P(G) \cap M_s(G)\} \subset M_P(G) \cap M_s(G).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Intégration*, Éléments de Mathématique, Livre VI, Ch. 6, Paris, Herman, 1959.
- [2] K. deLeeuw and I. Glicksberg, *Quasi-invariance and analyticity of measures on compact groups*, Acta Math., **109** (1963), 179–205.
- [3] R. Doss, *On the Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of singular or absolutely continuous measures*, Math. Z., **97** (1967), 77–84.
- [4] ———, *On measures with small transforms*, Pacific J. Math., **26** (1968), 257–263.
- [5] I. Glicksberg, *Fourier-Stieltjes transforms with small supports*, Illinois. J. Math., **9** (1965), 418–427.
- [6] H. Helson and D. Lowdenslager, *Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables*, Acta Math., **99** (1958), 165–202.
- [7] L. Pigno and S. Saeki, *Fourier-Stieltjes transforms which vanish at infinity*, Math. Z., **141** (1975), 83–91.
- [8] W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis On Groups*, New York, Interscience, 1962.
- [9] H. Yamaguchi, *On the product of a Riesz set and a small p set*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **81** (1981), 273–278.
- [10] ———, *Some multipliers on the space consisting of measures of analytic type, II*, (to appear in Hokkaido. Math. J.).

Received March 9, 1981 and in revised form May 10, 1982.

JOSAI UNIVERSITY,
SAKADO, SAITAMA, JAPAN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR OGUS
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN and H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Waleed A. Al-Salam and A. Verma, q -Konhauser polynomials	1
Alfred David Andrew, The Banach space JT is primary	9
Thomas E. Bengtson, Bessel functions on P_n	19
Joaquim Bruna Floris and Francesc Tugores, Free interpolation for holomorphic functions regular to the boundary	31
Peter Dierolf and Susanne Dierolf, Topological properties of the dual pair $\langle \mathfrak{B}(\Omega)', \mathfrak{B}(\Omega)'' \rangle$	51
Gerald Arthur Edgar, An ordering for the Banach spaces	83
Basil Gordon, A proof of the Bender-Knuth conjecture	99
Harold T. Hodes, A minimal upper bound on a sequence of Turing degrees which represents that sequence	115
Kuo Shih Kao, A note on M_1 -spaces	121
Frank Kost, Topological extensions of product spaces	129
Eva Lowen-Colebunders, On the convergence of closed and compact sets	133
Doron Lubinsky, Divergence of complex rational approximations	141
Warren May and Elias Hanna Toubassi, Endomorphisms of rank one mixed modules over discrete valuation rings	155
Richard Patrick Morton, The quadratic number fields with cyclic 2-classgroups	165
Roderic Murufas, Rank of positive matrix measures	177
Helga Schirmer, Fixed point sets of homotopies	191
E. Taflin, Analytic linearization of the Korteweg-de Vries equation	203
James Thomas Vance, Jr., L^p -boundedness of the multiple Hilbert transform along a surface	221
Hiroshi Yamaguchi, A property of some Fourier-Stieltjes transforms	243