

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE WHITTAKER MODELS OF INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

HERVÉ JACQUET AND JOSEPH ANDREW SHALIKA

THE WHITTAKER MODELS OF INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

HERVÉ JACQUET AND JOSEPH SHALIKÀ

If F is a local non-Archimedean field, then every irreducible admissible representation π of $GL(r, F)$ is a quotient of a representation ξ induced by tempered ones. We show that ξ has a Whittaker model, even though it may fail to be irreducible.

1. Introduction and notations.

(1.1) Let F be a local non-Archimedean field and ψ an additive character of F . Let G be the group $GL(2, F)$ and B the subgroup of triangular matrices in G . If μ_1 and μ_2 are two characters of F^\times we may consider the induced representation $\xi = \text{Ind}(G, B; \mu_1, \mu_2)$. There is a nonzero linear form λ on the space V of ξ such that

$$\lambda \left[\xi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f \right) \right] = \psi(x) \lambda(f), \quad f \in V.$$

The map which sends f to the function W , defined by

$$(1) \quad W(g) = \lambda[\xi(g)f],$$

is clearly bijective if ξ is irreducible, that is, if $\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2^{-1} \neq \alpha_F^{\pm 1}$ (we denote by α_F or α the module of F). If $\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}$, the kernel of the map is one dimensional. If $\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2^{-1} = \alpha$ the map has trivial kernel. We recall the proof. Suppose more generally that $\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2^{-1} = \chi \alpha^u$ with $\chi \bar{\chi} = 1$ and $0 < u$. Then we may choose λ in such a way that

$$W \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \hat{H}(-a) \mu_2(a) |a|^{1/2}, \quad \hat{H}(a) = \int H(x) \psi(xa) dx,$$

where H is the element of $L^1(F)$ defined by

$$H(x) = f \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From the Fourier inversion formula, $W|B$ implies $H = 0$ and then, by continuity, $f = 0$. Thus we have proved the injectivity of the map $f \mapsto W$ and even the fact that the W 's are determined by their restriction to B .

(1.2) In this paper we extend this result (and its proof) to the group $G_r = GL(r, F)$, $r \geq 2$. In a precise way, let Q be the upper standard

parabolic subgroup of type (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m) , $\sum r_i = r$, in G_r . Then $Q = MU$ where U is the unipotent radical of Q and M isomorphic to $\prod \mathrm{GL}(r_i)$. Let π_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, be an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(r_i, F)$; suppose $\pi_i = \pi_{i,0} \otimes \alpha^{u_i}$, where $\pi_{i,0}$ is irreducible, unitary, *tempered* and $u_1 > u_2 > \dots > u_m$. We refer to the induced representation

$$(1) \quad \xi = \mathrm{Ind}(G_r, Q; \pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m)$$

as an induced representation of “Langlands’ type”. Let now N_r be the group of upper triangular matrices with unit diagonal and let θ or θ_r be the character of N_r defined by

$$(2) \quad \theta(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \psi(n_{i,i+1}).$$

Then there is a nonzero linear form λ on the space of ξ and, up to a scalar factor, only one such that

$$(3) \quad \lambda[\xi(n)f] = \theta(n)\lambda(f).$$

Let $\mathcal{U}(\xi; \psi)$ be the space spanned by the functions of the form (1.1.1). Our goal is to prove that the map $f \mapsto W$ is bijective, even though ξ may be reducible. In fact we prove a little more: in the terminology of [B-Z] (Theorem 4.9) the representation ξ has a Kirillov model. We remark that when all $\pi_{i,0}$ are supercuspidal, our result is a special case of Theorem 4.11 in [B-Z]. In general, one can try to reduce our result to theirs by imbedding each $\pi_{i,0}$ in a representation induced by supercuspidal ones (cf. [Z]). For instance, denote by B_r the group of upper-triangular matrices in G_r and by σ_r the (unique) invariant irreducible subspace of

$$\mathrm{Ind}(G_r, B_r; \alpha^{(r-1)/2}, \alpha^{(r-1)/2-1}, \dots, \alpha^{-(r-1)/2}).$$

Then σ_r is a square-integrable representation (ordinary special representation). Consider now the induced representation

$$\xi = \mathrm{Ind}(G_5, Q; \sigma_3 \otimes \alpha^{1/2}, \sigma_2),$$

where Q has type (3, 2). Then ξ is a subrepresentation of

$$\eta = \mathrm{Ind}(G_5, B_5; \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_5)$$

where $\rho_3 = \alpha^{-1/2}$, $\rho_4 = \alpha^{1/2}$. Since $\rho_4 = \rho_3 \otimes \alpha$, Theorem 4.11 of [B-Z] does not apply to η . Thus our result does not follow directly from Theorem 4.11 of [B-Z]; some extra work is needed.

At any rate, our approach is more direct and we use the results of Bernstein-Zelevinski only in an auxiliary way. In more detail, let P_r be the

subgroup of matrices p in G_r of the form

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} g & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g \in G_{r-1}.$$

Call τ_r the unitary representation of P_r induced (in Mackey's sense) by θ_r . Then τ_r is irreducible and the right regular representation of P_r is a multiple of τ_r ; the right regular representation of G_r has the same property, when restricted to P_r . Thus, if π is an irreducible (preunitary) square-integrable representation, then denoting by $\bar{\pi}$ the corresponding unitary representation, we see that $\bar{\pi}|P_r$ is a multiple of τ_r . (Cf., for instance, [J]). Thus π is generic, that is, there is a linear form $\lambda \neq 0$ on the space V of π satisfying (1.2.3). Since λ is unique, within a scalar factor, we see that in fact $\bar{\pi}|P_r \simeq \tau_r$. Finally if η is an induced representation of the form

$$\eta = \text{Ind}(G_r Q; \pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m),$$

where the π_i are irreducible square-integrable, then η is pre-unitary and $\bar{\eta}|P_r \simeq \tau_r$ (loc. cit.). In particular η is irreducible. This shows that if π is any irreducible pre-unitary tempered representation of G_r then $\bar{\pi}|P_r \simeq \tau_r$. This is, *essentially*, all we need to know about tempered representations (cf. §2 below).

We also remark that the problem of finding all irreducible square-integrable representations of G_r is equivalent to the problem of finding all irreducible generic ones. Indeed, if π is a square-integrable representation, then π is generic by the above remarks, thus by Theorem 9.7 of [B-Z] (classification of all generic representations) π is equivalent to an induced representation of the form

$$\xi = \text{Ind}(G_r, Q; \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m)$$

where the σ_i are “generalized special representations”. But then Casselman's criterion for square-integrability shows that, in fact, ξ is itself a generalized special representation: this is a sketch of the proof of Theorem 9.3 stated in [Z] and due to I. N. Bernstein. Conversely if ξ is a representation of the form (1.2.1) then ξ has a unique irreducible quotient $J(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m)$ (“Langlands' quotient”: cf. [B-W] XI, §2). If ξ is irreducible then our result implies that $J(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m)$ is degenerate (not generic). Since any irreducible representation π of G_r has the form $J(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_r)$ for appropriate π_i , we see that if π is generic then π must be equivalent to a representation of the form (1.2.1); that is, we have another proof of Theorem 9.7 of [B-Z].

Finally we also remark that our result and its proof apply to the case $F = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} as well. Naturally λ in (1.1.3) and (1.1.1) is then a linear form defined and continuous on an appropriate space of smooth vectors to which f belongs. One needs to duplicate the estimates of §2 and check that in (3.1.2), the linear form $f \mapsto W(e)$ can be taken to be λ , that is, is continuous. Furthermore in (3.2.15) the right-hand side does not have support in the set (3.2.16) but is “of rapid decrease for $|a_i|$ large”. Rather than dealing with these minor changes now we prefer to wait for another occasion. We also remark that, taking again into account Langlands’ classification and Theorem D of [K], we get, for $GL(r, F)$, another easy proof of the difficult Theorem 6.2 of [V].

However, on the whole, our motivations are global. In [J-P-S] Theorem (13.6) and [G-J], §4 we used this result for $GL(3)$. Similar applications are expected for higher r ’s.

(1.3) In addition to the notations already introduced we will use the following ones: q will be the cardinality of the residual field of F , \mathfrak{R} the ring of integers in F ; K_r will be the subgroup $GL(r, \mathfrak{R})$. We will denote by Z_r the center of G_r , by A_r the subgroup of diagonal matrices in G_r , by $B_r = A_r N_r$ the group of upper triangular matrices and, finally, by P_r the subgroup of matrices of the form

$$(1) \quad p = \begin{pmatrix} g & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g \in G_{r-1}.$$

2. Estimate of tempered Whittaker functions.

(2.1) Let π be an irreducible pre-unitary tempered representation of G_r . Then there is a linear form $\lambda \neq 0$ on the space V of π satisfying (1.2.3) and, within a scalar factor, only one. We denote by $\mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$ the space spanned by functions of the form (1.1.1) with f in V . We recall some known facts on the elements of $\mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$.

(2.2) If W is in $\mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$ then the integral

$$\Psi(s, W, \overline{W}, \Phi) = \int_{N_r \backslash G_r} W(g) \overline{W}(g) \Phi[(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)g] |\det g|^s dg,$$

where Φ is in the space $\mathcal{S}(F^r)$ of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on F^r , converges for $\text{Res} \gg 0$ and represents a rational fraction in q^{-s} without pole for $\text{Res} > 0$ ([J-P-S] Prop. (8.4)); in passing we note that this result is independent of the classification of all square-integrable representations.

(2.3) The unitary representation of G_r corresponding to π has the property that its restriction to the subgroup P_r is equivalent to the

representation τ_r of P_r induced (in Mackey's sense) by θ_r . It amounts to the same to say that

$$(1) \quad B(W, W') = \int_{N_{r-1} \backslash G_{r-1}} W \left[\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \overline{W'} \left[\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] dh$$

defines a G_r -invariant form on $\mathcal{U}(\pi; \psi)$ (cf. [J]). From this or Theorem (4.9) of [B-Z] it follows that any W is determined by its restriction to P_r .

(2.4) Finally, the space of these restrictions contains the space $\mathcal{K}_0(\pi; \psi)$ of functions f on G_r , transforming on the left under θ_r , right smooth and of compact support mod N_r ([G-K] (5.2)).

(2.5) We need an estimate for the elements of $\mathcal{U}(\pi; \psi)$. The quickest proof uses (2.2). Let δ_r denote the module of the Borel subgroup B_r in G_r . We will extend δ_r to a function on G_r which is K_r -invariant on the right. We remark that

$$(1) \quad \delta_r \left[\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \delta_{r-1}(g) |\det g|$$

if g is in G_{r-1} . We also define a function Λ_r on G_r by setting

$$(2) \quad \Lambda_r \left(zn \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} k \right) = |\det g|$$

for $z \in Z_r$, $n \in N_r$, $k \in K_r$, $g \in G_{r-1}$.

PROPOSITION. *Suppose π is a tempered representation of G_r and W is in $\mathcal{U}(\pi; \psi)$. Then, for any $s > 0$, there is a constant $c_s > 0$ such that $|W|^2 \leq c_s \delta_r \Lambda_r^{-s}$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi \geq 0$ be an element of $\mathcal{S}(F^r)$ which is K_r invariant on the right. Then, for $s \geq 0$, setting $\eta_r = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$, we have:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Psi(s, W, \overline{W}, \Phi) \\ &= \int_{K_r} dk \int_{A_{r-1}} |W|^2 \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} k \right] \delta_r^{-1} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] |\det a|^s d^\times a \\ & \quad \times \int_{F^\times} \Phi[\eta_r b k] |b|^s d^\times b. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the integral for $\text{Res} \gg 0$ amounts to the convergence of a power series in $x = q^{-s}$,

$$(2) \quad \Psi(s, W, \overline{W}, \Phi) = \sum_{m \geq m_0} a_m x^m,$$

say for $0 < |x| < \varepsilon$ (cf. (4.1) and (4.2) in [J-P-S]). By (2.2), the series in (2) actually converges for $0 < |x| < 1$. But then since the integrand in (1) is ≥ 0 , the integral for Ψ must actually converge for $s > 0$. In particular

$$(3) \quad \int |W|^2 \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} k \right] \delta_r^{-1} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] |\det a|^s d^\times a < +\infty$$

(for $s > 0$) for all $k \in K_r$. Fix k then and let us denote by $f(a)$, $a \in (F^\times)^{r-1} \simeq A_{r-1}$, the integrand in (3). Clearly there is an open compact subgroup, U say, of $(F^\times)^{r-1}$ such that $f(a\varepsilon) = f(a)$ for all a in $(F^\times)^{r-1}$, ε in U . We deduce at once that, for all $b \in (F^\times)^{r-1}$,

$$|f(b)| \leq c \int |f(a)| d^\times a,$$

c a positive constant. In other words the integrand in (3) is bounded. This is precisely what we wanted to prove. \square

3. Induced representations of Langlands' type.

(3.1) Consider a representation

$$(1) \quad \xi = \text{Ind}(G_r, Q; \pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m)$$

(notations as in (1.2)). A vector f in the space of ξ may be regarded as a function on G_r with values in $\otimes_{i=1}^m \mathcal{W}(\pi_i; \psi)$; it may also be regarded as a scalar function on $G_r \times G_{r_1} \times \dots \times G_{r_m}$ whose value at $(g, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m)$ we denote by $f(g; h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m)$. The integral

$$(2) \quad W(g) = \int_U f(wug; e, e, \dots, e) \bar{\theta}(u) du,$$

where

$$(3) \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1_{r_1} \\ & & 1_{r_2} & \\ & \ddots & & \\ 1_{r_m} & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and du is a Haar-measure on the unipotent radical U of the parabolic subgroup of type $(r_m, r_{m-1}, \dots, r_2, r_1)$, defines an element of $\mathcal{W}(\xi; \psi)$ provided it converges. We are going to show that it converges for all f ; in fact, we are going to obtain a majorization of the function

$$(4) \quad h \mapsto \int f(wug; e, e, \dots, e, h) du.$$

It will be sufficient to obtain an upper bound for the integral

$$(5) \quad \int |f|(wug; e, e, \dots, e, h) du.$$

This integral, finite or infinite, is equal to

$$(6) \quad |\det h|^{-(r-r_m)/2} \int |f| \left[wu \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \end{pmatrix} g; e, e, \dots, e \right] du.$$

With notation as in (2.5), let f_0 be the function defined by

$$(7) \quad f_0(g) = \delta_Q^{1/2}(q) \prod_{j=1}^m \delta_{r_j}^{1/2}(g_j) \Lambda_{r_j}(g_j)^{-s_j} |\det g_j|^{\mu_j},$$

for g of the form $g = qk$, $q \in Q$, $k \in K_r$ and q of the form

$$(8) \quad q = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & & & * \\ & g_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & g_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_i \in G_{r_i}.$$

Here (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) is an m -tuple of positive numbers to be chosen below. Next we apply Proposition (2.5) to the (quasi-) tempered representations π_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) to conclude that given $g_0 \in G_r$, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(9) \quad |f|(gg_0; e, e, \dots, e) \leq cf_0(g).$$

Thus all we need to do is to obtain an upper bound for the function

$$(10) \quad |\det h|^{-(r-r_m)/2} \int f_0 \left[wu \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \end{pmatrix} \right] du.$$

This is actually equal to

$$(11) \quad \int f_0(wu) du \delta_{r_m}^{1/2}(h) |\det h|^{\mu_m} \Lambda_{r_m}(h)^{-s_m}.$$

We are thus reduced to proving that

$$(12) \quad \int f_0(wu) du < +\infty.$$

For that let V denote the unipotent radical of the lower parabolic subgroup of G_r of type (r_1, \dots, r_m) . Then the integral (11) is the same as the integral

$$(13) \quad \int_V f_0(v) dv.$$

Next for q a diagonal matrix of the form (8), we have

$$\delta_B(q) = \delta_Q(q) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \delta_{r_j}(g_j),$$

from which we see that for $q = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$

$$(14) \quad f_0(q) = \delta_B^{1/2}(a) |a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{r_1-1}|^{u_1-s_1} |a_{r_1}|^{(r_1-1)s_1+u_1} \\ \cdot |a_{r_1+1} \cdots a_{r_1+r_2-1}|^{u_2-s_2} |a_{r_1+r_2}|^{(r_2-1)s_2+u_2} \cdots$$

We have seen then that to insure the convergence of (13) it suffices to choose the $s_i > 0$ so that

$$(15) \quad u_1 + (r_1 - 1)s_1 > u_1 - s_1 > u_2 + (r_2 - 1)s_2 > u_2 - s_2 > \cdots$$

Each inequality in (15) is either true or can be made true by making the s_i positive and sufficiently small. We have now proved that the integral in (2) is indeed convergent and, moreover, obtained the inequality

$$(16) \quad \int_U |f|(wug; e, e, \dots, e, h) du \leq c_v \delta_{r_m}^{1/2}(h) \Lambda_{r_m}(h)^{-v} |\det h|^{u_m},$$

where v is any sufficiently small positive number and w is given by (3).

(3.2) PROPOSITION. *Let ξ be the representation (3.1.1). Then the map $f \mapsto W$ from the space of ξ to $\mathcal{W}(\xi; \psi)$ defined by (3.1.2) is bijective. Moreover, if $W \in \mathcal{W}(\xi; \psi)$ then the relation $W|P_r = 0$ implies $W = 0$.*

Proof. Our assertion is trivial for $m = 1$. Thus we may assume $m > 1$ and our assertion proved for $m - 1$. Consider then the induced representation

$$(1) \quad \xi^* = \text{Ind}(G_r, Q^*; \xi', \pi_m),$$

where

$$(2) \quad \xi' = \text{Ind}(G_{r-r_m}, Q'; \pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_{m-1}),$$

where Q^* has type $(r - r_m, r_m)$ and Q' has type $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{m-1})$. Furthermore, by the induction hypothesis, we may regard ξ' as acting on $\mathcal{W}(\xi'; \psi)$. Thus we may regard an element f^* of ξ^* as a function on G_r with values in $\mathcal{W}(\xi'; \psi) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\pi_m; \psi)$, or as a scalar function on $G_r \times G_{r-r_m} \times G_{r_m}$. We denote its value at (g, h_1, h_2) by $f^*(g; h_1, h_2)$. Of course the representations ξ and ξ^* are equivalent. If f , as in (3.1), is in the space of ξ then the exact relation between f and f^* is given by

$$(3) \quad f^*[g; e, e] = \int_{V'} f \left[\begin{pmatrix} w' & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{r_m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{r_m} \end{pmatrix} g; e, e, \dots, e \right] \bar{\theta}_{r-r_m}(v) dv,$$

where

$$(4) \quad w' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1_{r_1} \\ & & & 1_{r_2} \\ & & \ddots & \\ 1_{r_{m-1}} & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and V' is the unipotent radical of the (upper) parabolic in G_{r-r_m} of type $(r_{m-1}, r_{m-2}, \dots, r_1)$. Writing (11.2) as an iterated integral, we readily find that in terms of f^* ,

$$(5) \quad W(g) = \int_{V^*} f^*[w^*vg; e, e] \bar{\theta}_r(v) dv,$$

where now

$$(6) \quad w^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \\ 1_{r_m} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and V^* is the unipotent radical of the parabolic in G_r of type $(r_m, r-r_m)$. Of course the convergence of the integral (3.1.2) implies that of both integrals (3) and (5) (for *all* $g \in G_r$). Since the map $f \mapsto f^*$ is bijective, all of our assertions will be proved if we show

$$(7) \quad W|P_r = 0 \text{ implies that } f^* = 0.$$

Assume then that $W|P_r = 0$. Explicitly this reads

$$(8) \quad \int f^* \left[w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & x \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \end{pmatrix} p; e, e \right] \psi(\text{tr}(\epsilon x)) dx = 0$$

for all $p \in P_r$. Here

$$(9) \quad \epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (r-r_m \text{ rows, } r_m \text{ columns}).$$

Replacing p by

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \end{pmatrix} p,$$

where $g_1 \in G_{r_m}$, and changing variables, we can write this condition in the form

$$(10) \quad \int f^* \left[w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & x \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \end{pmatrix} p; e, g_1 \right] \psi(\operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon g_1 x)) dx = 0,$$

for all $p \in P_r$, $g_1 \in G_{r_m}$. We can also replace g_1 by hg_1 where $h \in P_{r_m}$. Note that $\varepsilon h = \varepsilon$. Thus if we set, for $h \in G_{r_m}$,

$$(11) \quad F(h) = \int f^* \left[w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & x \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \end{pmatrix} p; e, hg_1 \right] \psi(\operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon g_1 x)) dx,$$

then we see that the function F defined on G_{r_m} has a zero restriction to P_{r_m} . At this point we may assume $u_m = 0$. We are going to show that F is actually zero. To see that we first need a majorization of F . Using (3) to express f^* in terms of f we obtain at once from (3.1.16):

$$(12) \quad |F(h)| \leq c_v \delta_{r_m}^{1/2}(h) \Lambda_{r_m}(h)^{-v},$$

again for $v > 0$ sufficiently small, and all $h \in G_{r_m}$.

Thus, for $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\pi_m; \psi)$, we have the inequality

$$(13) \quad \int_{N_{r_m-1} \setminus G_{r_m-1}} |FW'| \left[\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] dh \\ \leq c_v \int_{N_{r_m-1} \setminus G_{r_m-1}} |W'| \left[\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \delta_{r_m}^{1/2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \Lambda_{r_m} \left[\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-v} dh.$$

We claim now that both integrals are finite. It suffices to check that the integral

$$(14) \quad \int_{A_{r_m-1}} |W'| \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \delta_{r_m-1}^{-1}(a) \delta_{r_m}^{1/2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] |\det a|^{-v} d^\times a$$

is finite for any $v > 0$. Now by (2.5) we have

$$(15) \quad \left| W' \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \right| \leq c'_v \delta_{r_m}^{1/2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] |\det a|^{-v}.$$

Moreover the support of $W'[(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})]$ is contained in the set C defined by the conditions

$$(16) \quad a = \operatorname{diag}(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{r-1}, a_2 \cdots a_{r-1}, \dots, a_{r-1}), \quad |a_i| \leq c_i,$$

for suitable c_i . Since

$$\delta_{r_m} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \delta_{r_m-1}(a) |\det a|,$$

we are reduced to considering the integral $\int_c |\det a|^{1-v} d^\times a$. This is indeed finite, provided $0 < v < 1$. Our argument shows in fact that, if in

$$(17) \quad \int_{N_{r_{m-1}} \backslash G_{r_{m-1}}} F W' \left[\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] dh,$$

we replace F by its expression (11), then the resulting double integral converges. Thus (17) can be written as

$$(18) \quad \int \psi(\operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon g x)) dx \int f^* \left[w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & x \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \end{pmatrix} p; e, \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right] \cdot \overline{W'} \left[\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] dh.$$

Next, since we have taken $u_m = 0$, the representation π_m of G_r is pre-unitary. Thus (2.1.2) defines an *invariant* Hermitian form on $\mathcal{U}(\pi_m; \psi)$. Hence the inner integral in (18) can also be written as

$$\int f^* \left[w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & x \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \end{pmatrix} p; e, \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \overline{W'} \left[\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g^{-1} \right] dh.$$

Since W' is arbitrary we can replace W' by any of its right translates. We get that

$$(19) \quad \int \overline{W'} \left[\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] dh \int \psi(\operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon g x)) dx \cdot \int f^* \left[w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & x \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \end{pmatrix} p; e, \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0$$

for all $g \in G_{r_m}$ and all $p \in P_r$. Here W' can be taken arbitrary in $\mathcal{K}_0(\psi)$ (cf. (2.2.1)). Thus we finally get

$$(20) \quad \int \psi(\operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon g x)) dx f^* \left[w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & x \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \end{pmatrix} p; e, e \right] = 0,$$

again for all $g \in G_{r_m}$ and $p \in P_r$. But $\operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon g x) = y x_1$, where y is the last row of $g \in G_{r_m}$ and x_1 is the first column of x . Thus we get at first for all $y \in F^{r_m}$ nonzero, and then for all y , the relation

$$(21) \quad \int \psi(y x_1) f^* \left[w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & x \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m} \end{pmatrix} p; e, e \right] dx = 0.$$

Since the integral (21) is absolutely convergent, we may apply Fourier inversion to conclude that

$$(22) \quad \int f^* \left[w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{r-r_m-1} \end{pmatrix} p; e, e \right] dx = 0,$$

for all $p \in P_r$.

We shall now prove that, for any j with $1 \leq j \leq r - r_m - 1$, the relation

$$(23) \quad \int f^* \left[w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{r-r_m-j} \end{pmatrix} p; e, e \right] dx = 0,$$

for all $p \in P_r$, implies the same relation with j replaced by $j + 1$. For this let

$$p' = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m-j} \end{pmatrix},$$

where g is an element of G_{r_m+j} of the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{j-1} & 0 \\ z & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

z being a row of length r_m . Our hypothesis on j implies $p' \in P_{r_m}$. Thus we can replace p by $p'p$ in (23). Then, after a simple computation, we get

$$(24) \quad \int f^* \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1_j & vx & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{r-r_m-j} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{r_m} \end{pmatrix} w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{r-r_m-j} \end{pmatrix} p; e, e \right] dx = 0.$$

Here v is the $r_m \times j$ matrix given by

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since f^* belongs to the space of ξ^* , this reduces to the relation

$$(25) \quad \int f^* \left[w^* \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{r-r_m-j} \end{pmatrix} p; e, e \right] \psi(-zx_1) dx = 0;$$

as before x_1 is the first column of x . If we again use Fourier inversion, we arrive at (23) with j replaced by $j + 1$.

Thus we have now proved that $f^*[w^*p; e, e] = 0$ for all $p \in P_r$. Replacing p by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1_{r_m} & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} p, \quad g \in P_{r-r_m},$$

we get

$$(26) \quad f^*[w^*p; g, e] = 0$$

for all $g \in P_{r-r_m}$, $p \in P_{r_m}$. Since the function $g \mapsto f^*[w^*p; g, e]$ belongs to $\mathcal{W}(\xi'; \psi)$, at this point we can apply the second part of our induction hypothesis to the representation ξ' to conclude that

$$(27) \quad f^*[w^*p; g, e] = 0$$

for all $p \in P_{r_m}$ and now for all $g \in G_{r-r_m}$. But then (27) implies that $f^*[uw^*q; e, e] = 0$ for all q in the parabolic subgroup of type $(r_m, r - r_m)$ and all u in the unipotent radical of Q^* . By continuity we get $f^*[g; e, e] = 0$ for all g , that is, $f = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [B-Z] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4^e série, t. **10** (1977), 441–472.
- [B-W] A. Borel and N. Wallach, *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, Annals of Math. Studies, No. 94, Princeton University Press, 1980.
- [G-J] S. Gelbart and H. Jacquet, *A relation between automorphic representations of $GL(2)$ and $GL(3)$* , Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4^e série, t. **11** (1978), 471–542.
- [G-K] J. M. Galfand and D. A. Kazdan, *Representations of $GL(n, K)$ where K is a Local Field*, in Lie Groups and Their Representations, John Wiley and Sons, 1975, pp. 95–118.
- [J] H. Jacquet, *Generic Representations*, in Non-commutative harmonic analysis, Marseille-Luminy, 1976, Springer-Verlag, Lecture Notes No. 587, (1976), 376–378.
- [J-P-S] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and J. Shalika, *Automorphic forms in $GL(3)$ I*, II, Annals of Math., **109** (1979), 169–212 and 213–518.
- [J-P-S] ———, *On Rankin Selberg convolution*, to appear.
- [K] B. Kostant, *On Whittaker vectors and representation theory*, Inventiones Math., **48** (1978), 101–184.

- [V] D. A. Vogan, *Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for Harish-Chandra modules*, Inventiones Math., **48** (1978), 75–98.
- [Z] A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups II*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4^e série, t. **13** (1980), 165–210.

Received October 10, 1981 and in revised form July 19, 1982. This research was partially supported by National Science Foundation Grants: MCS 79-01712 and MCS 79-13799.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
NEW YORK, NY 10027

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR OGUS
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN and H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Donald George Babbitt and V. S. Varadarajan , Formal reduction theory of meromorphic differential equations: a group theoretic view	1
Jo-Ann Deborah Cohen , Norms on $F(X)$	81
Robert Fitzgerald , Witt kernels of function field extensions	89
Hervé Jacquet and Joseph Andrew Shalika , The Whittaker models of induced representations	107
Masakiti Kinukawa , Some generalizations of contraction theorems for Fourier series	121
Joseph Weston Kitchen, Jr. and David A. Robbins , Sectional representations of Banach modules	135
Victor Charles Pestien, Jr. , Weak approximation of strategies in measurable gambling	157
Richard Scott Pierce and Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler , Realizing central division algebras	165
Walter Ricardo Ferrer Santos , Cohomology of comodules	179
Marko Tadić , Harmonic analysis of spherical functions on reductive groups over p -adic fields	215
Lorenzo Traldi , The determinantal ideals of link modules. II	237
Alain J. Valette , A remark on the Kasparov groups $\text{Ext}^i(A, B)$	247