# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## ON STRONGLY DECOMPOSABLE OPERATORS

I. ERDÉLYI AND SHENG-WANG WANG

Vol. 110, No. 2

October 1984

## ON STRONGLY DECOMPOSABLE OPERATORS

## I. ERDELYI AND WANG SHENGWANG

A strongly decomposable operator, as defined by C. Apostol, is a bounded linear operator T which, for every spectral maximal space Y, induces two decomposable operators: the restriction  $T \mid Y$  and the coinduced T/Y on the quotient space X/Y. In this paper, we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for an operator to be strongly decomposable.

Throughout the paper, T is a bounded linear operator acting on an abstract Banach space X over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers.  $T^*$  denotes the conjugate of T on the dual space  $X^*$ . For a set S,  $S^c$  is the complement,  $\overline{S}$  is the closure,  $\overline{S}^w$  is the weak\*-closure in  $X^*$ ,  $S^{\perp}$  is the annihilator of  $S \subset X$  in  $X^*$ ,  ${}^{\perp}S$  is the annihilator of  $S \subset X^*$  in X and Int S represents the interior of S. We write  $\sigma(T)$  for the spectrum,  $\rho(T)$  for the resolvent set of T and  $R(\cdot; T)$  for the resolvent operator. If T is endowed with the single valued extension property (SVEP), then for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\sigma_T(x)$  denotes the local spectrum. For  $S \subset C$ , we shall extensively use the spectral manifold

$$X_T(S) = \{ x \in X : \sigma_T(x) \subset S \}.$$

We say that T satisfies condition  $\alpha$ , if

(a) T has the SVEP, and (b)  $X_T(F)$  is closed for every closed  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

Two special types of subspaces, invariant under the given operator T, enter the theory of decomposable operators: (1) spectral maximal spaces [7]; (2) analytically invariant subspaces [9].

- 1. PROPOSITION. Let Y be a spectral maximal space of T. (i) [9, Proposition 1] If T has the SVEP then, for any  $x \in X$ ,
- (1)  $\sigma_T(x) = [\sigma_T(x) \cap \sigma(T|Y)] \cup \sigma_{\hat{T}}(\hat{x}), \quad \hat{x} = x + Y, \, \hat{T} = T/Y.$

(ii) [2, Lemma 1.4]. If T is decomposable, then

(2) 
$$\sigma(T/Y) = \overline{\sigma(T) - \sigma(T|Y)}.$$

(iii) [7, Theorem 2.3]. If T satisfies condition  $\alpha$ , then  $Y = X_T[\sigma(T | Y)]$ .

(iv) [3, Proposition I.3.2]. If  $Z \subset Y$  is a spectral maximal space of T, then Y/Z is a spectral maximal space of T/Z.

(v) [7, Lemma 2.1]. If T is decomposable and  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  is open, then  $\sigma(T) \cap G \neq \emptyset$  implies that  $X_T(\overline{G}) \neq \{0\}$ .

(vi) [7, Theorem 2.3]. If T satisfies condition  $\alpha$ , then for every closed  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X_T(F)$  is a spectral maximal space of T and

(3) 
$$\sigma[T|X_T(F)] \subset F.$$

(vii) [12, Corollary 1(c)]. For T decomposable and for any closed  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\sigma[T/X_T(F)] \subset (\operatorname{Int} F)^{\mathrm{c}}.$$

(viii) [8, Theorem 1]. If T is decomposable then, for every closed  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X_T(F^c)^{\perp}$  is a spectral maximal space of  $T^*$  and  $X_T(F^c)^{\perp} = X_{T^*}^*(F)$ .

(ix) [9, Theorem 2]. If T has the SVEP, then Y is analytically invariant under T.

**REMARK.** More generally than in the original versions, properties (iii) and (vi) hold without the restriction of T being decomposable.

2. PROPOSITION. Let Y be an analytically invariant subspace under T. Then

(i) [9, Theorem 1]. T/Y has the SVEP (the converse property is also true).

(ii) [4, Lemma 3.4]. If T has the SVEP then, for every  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\sigma_{T|Y}(y) = \sigma_T(y).$$

(iii) [9, Theorem 3]. If T is decomposable then, for every open  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\overline{X_T(G)}$  is analytically invariant under T.

3. THEOREM. The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) T is strongly decomposable;

(ii) (a) T satisfies condition  $\alpha$ ;

(b) for every spectral maximal space Y of T and any  $x \in X$ ,

(4) 
$$\sigma_{\hat{T}}(\hat{x}) = \overline{\sigma_T(x) - \sigma(T|Y)}, \qquad \hat{T} = T/Y, \, \hat{x} = x + Y;$$

(c) for every special maximal space Y of T and any open  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $G \cap \sigma(T | Y) \neq \emptyset$  implies that  $X_T[\overline{G} \cap \sigma(T | Y)] \neq \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* (i) 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (ii). (a) is evident. (b). (1) implies  
 $\sigma_{\hat{T}}(\hat{x}) \supset \sigma_T(x) - [\sigma_T(x) \cap \sigma(T|Y)] = \sigma_T(x) - \sigma(T|Y)$ 

and hence

$$\sigma_{\widehat{T}}(\widehat{x}) \supset \overline{\sigma_T(x) - \sigma(T \mid Y)}.$$

To obtain the opposite inclusion, for  $x \in X$ , put

(5) 
$$F = \sigma_T(x) \cup \sigma(T|Y)$$

and for the decomposable  $T | X_T(F)$  use (2) and (3) as follows:

$$\sigma[\hat{T}|X_T(F)/Y] = \overline{\sigma[T|X_T(F)] - \sigma(T|Y)} \subset \overline{F - \sigma(T|Y)}$$
$$= \overline{\sigma_T(x) - \sigma(T|Y)}.$$

By (5),  $x \in X_T(F)$  and hence  $\hat{x} = x + Y \in X_T(F)/Y$ . Consequently,

$$\sigma_{\hat{T}}(\hat{x}) \subset \sigma[\hat{T}|X_T(F)/Y] \subset \overline{\sigma_T(x) - \sigma(T|Y)}$$

and this establishes (4).

Since  $T \mid Y$  is decomposable, (c) is a consequence of Proposition 1 (v).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let Y be a spectral maximal space of T. By (a) and Proposition 1 (iii), Y has a representation  $Y = X_T[\sigma(T | Y)]$ .

Let  $G \subset \mathbf{C}$  be open and put  $Z = X_T(\overline{G})$ . We shall prove inclusion

(6) 
$$\overline{G \cap \sigma(T | Y)} \subset \sigma(T | Y \cap Z).$$

If  $G \cap \sigma(T | Y) = \emptyset$ , then (6) is evident. Therefore, assume

 $G \cap \sigma(T|Y) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\lambda_0 \in G \cap \sigma(T | Y)$  and let  $\delta_0 \subset G$  be a neighborhood of  $\lambda_0$ . Then, since  $\delta_0 \cap (T | Y) \neq \emptyset$ , (c) implies that  $X_T[\overline{\delta_0} \cap \sigma(T | Y)] \neq \{0\}$  and hence

 $\sigma(T|X_T[\bar{\delta_0} \cap \sigma(T|Y)]) \neq \varnothing.$ 

Let  $\lambda_1 \in \sigma(T | X_T[\bar{\delta_0} \cap \sigma(T | Y)])$ . Then  $\lambda_1 \in \bar{\delta_0}$  and it follows from

 $X_{T}\left[\bar{\delta_{0}} \cap \sigma(T|Y)\right] \subset X_{T}\left[\bar{G} \cap \sigma(T|Y)\right] = X_{T}\left[\sigma(T|Y)\right] \cap Z = Y \cap Z$ that  $\lambda_{1} \in \bar{\delta_{0}} \cap \sigma(T|Y \cap Z)$ . Thus,

$$ar{\delta_0} \cap \sigma(T | Y \cap Z) 
eq arnothing$$

and since  $\delta_0$  is an arbitrary neighborhood of  $\lambda_0$ , we must have  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(T | Y \cap Z)$ . By the definition of  $\lambda_0$ , inclusion (6) holds. Finally, we shall conclude the proof by showing that T | Y is decomposable. The subspace  $W = Y \cap Z$  is a spectral maximal space of T. By denoting  $\tilde{T} = T/W$  and for  $x \in Y$ ,  $\tilde{x} = x + W$ , with the help of condition (b) and inclusion (6),

we obtain successively

(7) 
$$\sigma_{\tilde{T}}(\tilde{x}) = \overline{\sigma_{T}(x) - \sigma(T | W)} \subset \overline{\sigma_{T}(x) - [G \cap \sigma(T | Y)]}$$
$$\subset \overline{\sigma(T | Y) - [G \cap \sigma(T | Y)]} = \overline{\sigma(T | Y) - G} \subset G^{c}.$$

Since Y is a spectral maximal space of T and W is a spectral maximal space of  $T \mid Y$ , Proposition 1 (iv) implies Y/W is a spectral maximal space of T/W. Then, with the help of (7) and [13, Theorem 1.1 (g)], we obtain

$$\sigma[\hat{T}|(Y/W)] = \bigcup_{\tilde{x} \in Y/W} \sigma_{\tilde{T}}(\tilde{x}) \subset G^{c}.$$

Consequently,  $T \mid Y$  is decomposable by [5, Theorem 12] and [1] (or [11]), (see also [10]).

If one slightly strengthens condition (b) in Theorem 3, then (c) becomes redundant.

- 4. THEOREM. The following assertions are equivalent:
  - (I) T is strongly decomposable;
- (II) (A) *T* satisfies condition  $\alpha$ ; (B) for every closed  $F \subset \mathbf{C}$ , and each  $x \in X$ ,

(8) 
$$\sigma_{\hat{T}}(\hat{x}) = \overline{\sigma_T(x) - F}$$

where  $\hat{T} = T/X_T(F), \, \hat{x} = x + X_T(F).$ 

(III) (A) T satisfies condition α;
(C) For every pair F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> of closed sets in C,

(9) 
$$\sigma[(T/Y_2) | X_T(F_1 \cup F_2)/Y_2] \subset F_1, \text{ where } Y_2 = X_T(F_2).$$

*Proof.* (I)  $\Rightarrow$  (III). Let  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  be closed in **C**. Since *T* is strongly decomposable,  $T | X_T(F_1 \cup F_2)$  is decomposable. Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be open sets in **C** such that  $F_1 \cup F_2 \subset G_1 \cup G_2$ ,  $F_1 \subset G_1$  and  $\overline{G_2} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ . For  $x \in X_T(F_1 \cup F_2)$ , we have a representation

$$x = x_1 + x_2$$
 with  $x_i \in X_T(F_1 \cup F_2) \cap X_T(\overline{G}_i), i = 1, 2.$ 

It follows from

$$\sigma_T(x_2) \subset (F_1 \cup F_2) \cap \overline{G}_2 = F_2 \cap \overline{G}_2 \subset F_2$$

that  $x_2 \in X_T(\underline{F_2}) = Y_2$ .

Let  $\lambda_0 \notin \overline{G_1}$ . Then  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T | X_T[(F_1 \cup F_2) \cap \overline{G_1}])$  and hence there is  $y \in X_T[(F_1 \cup F_2) \cap \overline{G_1}]$  verifying

$$(\lambda_0 - T)y = x_1.$$

By the natural homomorphism  $X \to X/Y_2$ , we obtain

$$(\lambda_0 - T/Y_2)\hat{y} = \hat{x}_1 = \hat{x},$$

and hence  $\lambda_0 - (T/Y_2) | X_T(F_1 \cup F_2)/Y_2$  is surjective. Since  $T/Y_2$  has the SVEP by Proposition 1 (vi), (ix) and Proposition 2 (i), we have  $\lambda_0 \in \rho[(T/Y_2) | X_T(F_1 \cup F_2)/Y_2]$  by [6, Theorem 2]. By the definition of  $\lambda_0$ , we have

$$\sigma[(T/Y_2) | X_T(F_1 \cup F_2)/Y_2] \subset \overline{G}_1$$

and since  $G_1 \supset F_1$  is arbitrary, inclusion (9) holds.

(III)  $\Rightarrow$  (II): Let  $x \in X$  and  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$  be closed. For  $F_1 = \overline{\sigma_T(x) - F}$  and  $Y = X_T(F)$ , (9) implies

$$\sigma[(T/Y) | X_T(F_1 \cup F)/Y] \subset F_1 = \overline{\sigma_T(x) - F}.$$

It follows from the definition of  $F_1$  that  $x \in X_T(F_1 \cup F)$ . Consequently, for  $\hat{x} = x + Y$  and  $\hat{T} = T/Y$ , we have

$$\sigma_{\widehat{T}}(\widehat{x}) \subset \sigma[\widehat{T}|X_T(F_1 \cup F)/Y] \subset \overline{\sigma_T(x) - F}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 1 (i) that

$$\sigma_{\widehat{T}}(\widehat{x}) \supset \overline{\sigma_T(x) - \sigma(T \mid Y)} \supset \overline{\sigma_T(x) - F}$$

and hence (8) holds.

(II)  $\Rightarrow$  (I). In view of Theorem 3, we only have to prove that, for every open G and spectral maximal space  $Y = X_T[\sigma(T | Y)]$ ,

(10) 
$$G \cap \sigma(T | Y) \neq \emptyset$$

implies that  $X_T[\overline{G} \cap \sigma(T | Y)] \neq \{0\}$ . Choose an open G verifying (10), denote  $Z = X_T[\overline{G} \cap \sigma(T | Y)]$  and for  $x \in X$ , let  $\tilde{x} = x + Z$ . If  $Z = \{0\}$ , then

(11) 
$$\sigma_{\tilde{T}}(\tilde{x}) = \sigma_T(x), \quad \tilde{T} = T/Z.$$

In view of (11), by hypothesis, we have

$$\sigma_T(x) = \sigma_{\tilde{T}}(\tilde{x}) = \overline{\sigma_T(x)} - \left[\overline{G} \cap \sigma(T|Y)\right]$$
$$= \overline{\left[\sigma_T(x) - \overline{G}\right]} \cup \overline{\left[\sigma_T(x) - \sigma(T|Y)\right]}.$$

Let  $x \in Y$ . Since  $\sigma_T(x) \subset \sigma(T \mid Y)$ , we have

$$\sigma_T(x) = \overline{\sigma_T(x) - \overline{G}}$$

and hence

$$\sigma_{T}(x) \cap G = \varnothing.$$

Now, with the help of [13, Theorem 1.1 (g)], Proposition 1 (v), (ix) and Proposition 2 (ii), we obtain

$$\sigma(T|Y) \cap G = \left[\bigcup_{x \in Y} \sigma_{T|Y}(x)\right] \cap G = \left[\bigcup_{x \in Y} \sigma_{T}(x)\right] \cap G$$
$$= \bigcup_{x \in Y} \left[\sigma_{T}(x) \cap G\right] = \varnothing.$$

But this contradicts hypothesis (10). Therefore,  $Z = X_T[\overline{G} \cap \sigma(T | Y)] \neq \{0\}$ .

Next, we shall obtain a characterization of a strongly decomposable operator in terms of the conjugate operator. First, we need some preparation.

5. LEMMA. Given T, let Y and Z be invariant subspaces of X with  $Z \subset Y$ . Then

(12) 
$$(T/Z)^* | (Y/Z)^{\perp} \cong T^* | Y^{\perp} .$$

*Proof.* The mapping  $X/Z \to X/Y$  is a continuous surjective homomorphism with kernel Y/Z. Therefore, the quotient spaces (X/Z)/(Y/Z) and X/Y are isomorphic. Given  $x \in X$ , we use the following notations for the equivalent classes containing x in the corresponding quotient spaces:  $\hat{x} \in X/Y$ ,  $\tilde{x} \in X/Z$ ,  $\tilde{x} \in (X/Z)/(Y/Z)$ . Note that  $u \in \hat{x}$  iff  $u - x \in Y$  iff  $(u - x)^{\tilde{v}} \in Y/Z$  iff  $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{x}$ . Since

$$\inf_{v\in\tilde{u}}\|v\|\leq\|u\|,$$

we have

(13) 
$$\|\tilde{x}\| = \inf_{\tilde{u} \in \tilde{x}} \|\tilde{u}\| = \inf_{\tilde{u} \in \tilde{x}} \inf_{v \in \tilde{u}} \|v\| \le \inf_{u \in \hat{x}} \|u\| = \|\hat{x}\|$$

On the other hand, for every  $u \in \hat{x}$ ,  $\tilde{u} = u + Z \subset u + Y = \hat{x}$  and hence  $\tilde{u} \subset \hat{x}$ . Thus,

$$\inf_{v\in\tilde{u}}\|v\|\geq\|\hat{x}\|$$

and hence

(14) 
$$\|\tilde{x}\| = \inf_{\tilde{u} \in \tilde{x}} \inf_{v \in \tilde{u}} \|v\| \ge \|\hat{x}\|.$$

Then, by (13) and (14),  $\|\tilde{x}\| = \|\hat{x}\|$ . Thus, it follows from the isometrical isomorphisms

$$(X/Y)^* \cong Y^{\perp}$$
,  $[(X/Z)/(Y/Z)^* \cong (Y/Z)]^{\perp}$ 

that the unitary equivalence (12) holds.

6. LEMMA. If T is decomposable then, for every open  $G \subset \mathbf{C}$ ,

(15) 
$$X_T(G^c)^{\perp} = \overline{X_{T^*}^*(G)}^w.$$

293

*Proof.* Let T be decomposable. By [14], for every closed  $F \subset \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$(16) JX_T(F) = JX \cap X_{T^{**}}^{**}(F)$$

where J is the natural imbedding of X into  $X^{**}$ . By Proposition 1 (viii) and the fact that T decomposable implies  $T^*$  decomposable,

(17) 
$$X_{T^{**}}^{**}(F) = X_{T^{*}}^{*}(F^{c})^{\perp}$$

Relations (16) and (17) imply

$$X_T(F) = {}^\perp X_{T^*}^*(F^c)$$

and hence, for  $F = G^{c}$ , (15) follows.

7. LEMMA. If  $T^*$  is decomposable then, for every open  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\overline{X^*_{T^*}(G)^w}$ (i.e. the weak\*-closure of  $X^*_{T^*}(G)$ ) is analytically invariant under  $T^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f^*: D \to X^*$  be analytic on an open  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  and verify condition

$$(\lambda - T^*)f^*(\lambda) \in \overline{X^*_{T^*}(G)}^{\mathsf{w}}$$
 on  $D$ .

We may assume D is connected. Put  $F = G^c$ ,  $Y = X_T(F)$ , use Lemma 6, Proposition 1 (vii) and obtain successively

$$\sigma \Big[ T^* \,|\, \overline{X^*_{T^*}(G)}^w \Big] = \sigma \big( T \,|\, Y^\perp \big) = \sigma \big[ (T/Y)^* \big] = \sigma (T/Y) \subset (\operatorname{Int} F)^c = \overline{G}.$$

First, assume  $D \subset G$ . Then  $D \subset G \subset \rho(T | Y)$  and, for every  $x \in Y$ ,  $\lambda \in D$ , we have

$$\langle x, f^*(\lambda) \rangle = \langle (\lambda - T)R(\lambda; T | Y)x, f^*(\lambda) \rangle$$
  
=  $\langle R(\lambda; T | Y)x, (\lambda - T^*)f^*(\lambda) \rangle = 0.$ 

Since  $x \in Y$  is arbitrary,  $f^*(\lambda) \in Y^{\perp} = \overline{X^*_{T^*}(G)}^{w}$  on D.

Next, assume  $D \not\subset \overline{G}$ . Then, for  $\lambda \in D - \overline{G}$ , the resolvent operator  $R[\lambda; T^* | \overline{X^*_{T^*}(G)}^w]$  is defined, and for  $h^*(\lambda) = (\lambda - T^*)f^*(\lambda)$  we have

$$(\lambda - T^*) \Big\{ f^*(\lambda) - R\Big[\lambda; T^* | \overline{X^*_{T^*}(G)}^w \Big] h^*(\lambda) \Big\} = 0.$$

Since  $T^*$  has the SVEP,

$$f^*(\lambda) = R\Big[\lambda; T^* | \overline{X^*_{T^*}(G)}^w \Big] h^*(\lambda) \in \overline{X^*_{T^*}(G)}^w$$

on  $D - \overline{G}$ , and  $f^*(\lambda) \in \overline{X^*_{T^*}(G)}^w$  on D, by analytic continuation.

8. THEOREM. The bounded operator T (resp.  $T^*$ ) is strongly decomposable iff:

(i) T (resp.  $T^*$ ) has the SVEP and for open  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $T^* | \overline{X^*_{T^*}(G)}^w$  (resp.  $T | \overline{X^*_T(G)})$  is decomposable;

(ii) for every pair G, H of open sets in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

(18) 
$$\overline{X_{T^*}^*(G \cap H)}^{w} = \overline{Y_{T^*|Y^*}^*(H)}^{w} (resp. \overline{X_T(G \cap H)} = \overline{Y_{T|Y}(H)}),$$
  
where  $Y^* = \overline{X_{T^*}^*(G)}^{w} (resp. Y = \overline{X_T(G)}).$ 

*Proof.* We confine the proof to the operator T, the proof concerning  $T^*$  being similar.

(only if): Assume T is strongly decomposable. Let  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  be open,  $F = G^c$  and  $Z = X_T(F)$ . The operator (T/Z)|(X/Z) is decomposable. Then, by Lemma 6,  $X_T(F)^{\perp} = \overline{X_{T^*}^*(G)}^w$  and hence

(19) 
$$(X/Z)^* \simeq \overline{X_{T^*}^*(G)}^{\mathrm{w}}.$$

By [8, Theorem 2] and [12],  $T^* | \overline{X^*_{T^*}(G)}^w$  is decomposable. Apply Lemma 5 to a closed  $F_1 \supset F$ , and obtain

(20) 
$$\left[X_T(F_1)/Z\right]^{\perp} \cong X_T(F_1)^{\perp}$$

Denote  $\tilde{T} = T/Z$ ,  $\tilde{X} = X/Z$ . Before embarking on the proof of (ii), we shall show that

(21) 
$$\tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}}(\overline{F_1-F}) = X_T(F_1)/Z.$$

In fact, if  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}}(\overline{F_1 - F})$ , then  $\sigma_{\tilde{T}}(\tilde{x}) \subset \overline{F_1 - F}$  and hence, for every  $x \in \tilde{x}$ ,

$$\sigma_T(x) \subset (\overline{F_1 - F}) \cup F = F_1.$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}}(\overline{F_1 - F})$  implies  $x \in X_T(F_1)$  and hence  $\tilde{x} \in X_T(F_1)/Z$ . Conversely, if  $\tilde{x} \in X_T(F_1)/Z = X_T(\overline{F_1 - F} \cup F)/Z$ , then Theorem 4 (III, C) implies

$$\sigma_{\tilde{T}}(\tilde{x}) \subset \sigma \big[ \tilde{T} | X_T \big( \overline{F_1 - F} \cup F \big) / Z \big] \subset \overline{F_1 - F}$$

and hence  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}}(\overline{F_1 - F})$ . Thus (21) is proved.

Now we are in a position to prove (ii). To simplify notation, put  $X^{\cdot} = (\tilde{X})^*$  and  $T^{\cdot} = (\tilde{T})^*$ . Let H be open and let  $F_1 = G^c \cup H^c$ . Then  $F_1 \supset F$  and  $\overline{F_1 - F} \subset H^c$ . By Lemma 6, Lemma 5, (20), (21) and (19), we obtain successively:

$$\overline{X_{T^*}^*(G \cap H)}^{\mathsf{w}} = X_T(F_1)^{\perp} \cong \left[ X_T(F_1)/Z \right]^{\perp} = \tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}} \left( \overline{F_1 - F} \right)^{\perp} \supset \left[ \tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}}(H^c) \right]^{\perp}$$
$$= \overline{X_{T^*}^*(H)}^{\mathsf{w}} = \overline{Y_{T^*|Y^*}^*(H)}^{\mathsf{w}}.$$

For the last equality, we used the equivalence

$$T^{\bullet} = \left[ T/X_T(F) \right]^* \cong T^* \mid \overline{X_{T^*}^*(G)}^{\mathsf{w}} = T^* \mid Y^*.$$

To obtain the opposite inclusion, note that if  $x^* \in X^*_{T^*}(G \cap H)$ , then

$$\sigma_{T^*}(x^*) = \subset G \cap H \subset G$$

and hence  $x^* \in X^*_{T^*}(G) \subset Y^*$ . Since  $Y^*$  is analytically invariant under  $T^*$  (Lemma 7), in view of Proposition 2 (ii), we obtain

$$\sigma_{T^*|Y^*}(x^*) = \sigma_{T^*}(x^*) \subset H$$

and hence

$$x^* \in Y^*_{T^*|Y^*}(H) \subset \overline{Y^*_{T^*|Y^*}(H)}^{\mathsf{w}}$$

Thus

$$\overline{X^*_{T^*}(G\cap H)}^{\mathsf{w}} \subset \overline{Y^*_{T^*|Y^*}(H)}^{\mathsf{w}}.$$

(if): Assume conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Let F,  $F_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  be closed. Since  $X_{T^*}^*(\mathbb{C}) = X^*$ , we conclude that  $T^*$  is decomposable and hence T is decomposable by [14, Corollary 2.8]. Therefore,  $Z = X_T(F)$  is closed. Also  $T^* | \overline{X_{T^*}^*(F^c)}^w$  is decomposable. Then, by Lemma 6,

$$T^* | \overline{X^*_{T^*}(F^c)}^{\mathsf{w}} = T^* | X_T(F)^{\perp} \cong T^*,$$

where  $\tilde{T} = T/Z$  and  $T^{\cdot} = (\tilde{T})^*$ . Thus  $T^{\cdot}$  is decomposable and hence  $\tilde{T}$  is decomposable. Therefore, letting  $\tilde{X} = X/Z$ ,  $\tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}}(F_1)$  is closed and

(22) 
$$\sigma\left[\tilde{T}\,|\,\tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}}(F_1)\right] \subset F_1.$$

Put  $G = F^c$ ,  $H = F_1^c$  and  $Y^* = \overline{X_{T^*}^*(G)}^w$ . It follows from Lemma 6 that

$$T^* | X_T (F \cup F_1)^{\perp} = T^* | \overline{X_{T^*}^* (G \cap H)}^{\mathsf{w}},$$
$$T^* | \tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}}(F_1)^{\perp} \cong T^* | \overline{Y_{T^*|Y^*}^* (H)}^{\mathsf{w}}.$$

Then (18) implies

(23) 
$$T' | \tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}}(F_1)^{\perp} \cong T^* | X_T (F \cup F_1)^{\perp}.$$

By Lemma 5 we have

(24) 
$$T' | [X_T(F \cup F_1)/Z]^{\perp} \cong T^* | X_T(F \cup F_1)^{\perp}.$$

Consequently, with the help of (24), (23) and (22), we obtain

$$\sigma\left[\tilde{T} | X_T(F \cup F_1) / Z\right] = \sigma\left\{T^{\cdot} | \left[X_T(F \cup F_1) / Z\right]^{\perp}\right\} = \sigma\left[T^{\cdot} | \tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}}(F_1)^{\perp}\right]$$
$$= \sigma\left[\tilde{T} | \tilde{X}_{\tilde{T}}(F_1)\right] \subset F_1.$$

Thus, conditions (III) of Theorem 4 are satisfied and hence T is strongly decomposable.

Acknowledgement. The authors are indebted to the referee for the suggested improvements of Lemma 5 and Theorem 8.

### References

- [1] E. Albrecht, On decomposable operators. Integral Equations, 2 (1979), 1-10.
- C. Apostol, Restrictions and quotients of decomposable operators in a Banach space, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 13 (1968), 147–150.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, Spectral decompositions and functional calculus, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 13 (1968), 1481–1528.
- [4] R. G. Bartle and C. A. Kariotis, Some localizations of the spectral mapping theorem, Duke Math. J., 40 (1973), 651-660.
- [5] I. Erdelyi and R. Lange, Operators with spectral decomposition properties. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 66 (1978), 1-19.
- [6] J. K. Finch, The single valued extension property on a Banach space, Pacific J. Math., 58 (1975), 61-69.
- [7] C. Foiaş, Spectral maximal spaces and decomposable operators in Banach spaces, Arch. Math., (Basel) 14 (1963), 341-349.
- [8] S. Frunză, A duality theorem for decomposable operators, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 16 (1971), 1055-1058.
- [9] \_\_\_\_, The single-valued extension property for coinduced operators, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 18 (1973), 1061–1065.
- [10] A. A. Jafarian and F.-H. Vasilescu, A characterization of 2-decomposable operators, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 19 (1974), 769–771.
- [11] B. Nagy, Operators with the spectral decomposition property are decomposable, to appear.
- M. Radjabalipour, Equivalence of decomposable and 2-decomposable operators, Pacific J. Math., 77 (1978), 243–247.
- [13] R. C. Sine, Spectral decomposition of a class of operators, Pacific J. Math., 14 (1964), 333-352.
- [14] Wang Shengwang and Liu Guangyu, On the duality theorems of S-decomposable operators, to appear.

Received June 4, 1982 and in revised form July 26, 1982.

Temple University Philadelphia, Pa 19122 and Nanjing University Nanjing, China

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### **EDITORS**

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

Hugo Rossi University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR OGUS University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN and H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. I (1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN F.

F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONAUNIVERUNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIAUNIVERCALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGYSTANFCUNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIAUNIVERMONTANA STATE UNIVERSITYUNIVERUNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENOUNIVERNEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITYWASHINOREGON STATE UNIVERSITYUNIVER

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$132.00 a year (6 Vol., 12 issues). Special rate: \$66.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics ISSN 0030-8730 is published monthly by the Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1984 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 110, No. 2 October, 1984

<b>Robert A. Bekes,</b> The range of convolution operators	57
<b>Dennis K. Burke and Sheldon Davis,</b> Subsets of ${}^{\omega}\omega$ and generalized metric	73
Giovanni Emmanuele. A remark on a paper: "Common fixed points of	15
nonexpansive mappings by iteration"	33
I. Erdélyi and Sheng-Wang Wang, On strongly decomposable operators 28	37
Gerhard Gierz, Injective Banach lattices with strong order units	<b>9</b> 7
Maurizio Letizia, Quotients by complex conjugation of nonsingular	
quadrics and cubics in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^3$ defined over $\mathbf{R}$	)7
P. H. Maserick and Franciszek Hugon Szafraniec, Equivalent definitions	
of positive definiteness	15
Costel Peligrad and S. Rubinstein, Maximal subalgebras of C*-crossed	
products	25
Derek W. Robinson and Sadayuki Yamamuro, Hereditary cones, order	
ideals and half-norms	35
Derek W. Robinson and Sadayuki Yamamuro, The Jordan decomposition	
and half-norms	15
Richard Rochberg, Interpolation of Banach spaces and negatively curved	
vector bundles	55
Dale Rolfsen, Rational surgery calculus: extension of Kirby's theorem	17
Walter Iaan Seaman, Helicoids of constant mean curvature and their Gauss	~ -
maps	57
Diana Shelstad, Endoscopic groups and base change C/R	¥7
Jerrold Norman Siegel and Frank Williams, Numerical invariants of	17
nomotopies into spheres	17
Alladi Sitaram, Some remarks on measures on noncompact semisimple Lie	20
groups	29
Teruhiko Soma, Atoroidal, irreducible 3-manifolds and 3-told branched	75
Lon de Viries On the C composition of and dusts	22
Jan de vries, On the G-compactification of products	+/
Hans weber, lopological Boolean rings. Decomposition of finitely additive	71
set functions	/1