

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**BIMEASURE ALGEBRAS ON LCA GROUPS**

COLIN C. GRAHAM AND BERTRAM MANUEL SCHREIBER

## BIMEASURE ALGEBRAS ON LCA GROUPS

COLIN C. GRAHAM AND BERTRAM M. SCHREIBER

For locally compact abelian groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , with character groups  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , respectively, let  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  denote the Banach space of bounded bilinear forms on  $C_0(G_1) \times C_0(G_2)$ . Using a consequence of the fundamental inequality of A. Grothendieck, a multiplication and an adjoint operation are introduced on  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  which generalize the convolution structure of  $M(G \times H)$  and which make  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  into a  $K_G^2$ -Banach \*-algebra, where  $K_G$  is Grothendieck's universal constant. The Fourier transforms of elements of  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  are defined and characterized in terms of certain unitary representations of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ . Various aspects of the harmonic analysis of the algebras  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  are studied.

**Introduction.** Let  $S$  be the space of all doubly-indexed, bilateral, complex sequences of the form  $\langle U_1^m \xi, U_2^n \eta \rangle$ , where  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are unitary operators on a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $\xi, \eta \in H$ . In [17] it was shown that, under coordinatewise addition and multiplication,  $S$  is an algebra containing all sequences of Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients of complex Borel measures on the torus  $T^2$ . It was also shown that if

$$V = C(T) \hat{\otimes} C(T)$$

denotes the projective tensor product of the space  $C(T)$  with itself, then there is a natural embedding of  $S$  in the dual  $V^*$  of  $V$ . Namely, if  $(\alpha_{mn}) \in S$  there is a unique element  $u \in V^*$  such that

$$\alpha_{mn} = \langle e^{-in\theta} \otimes e^{-im\phi}, u \rangle, \quad -\infty < m, n < \infty.$$

The question whether every element of  $V^*$  arises from  $S$  in this way was left open in [17]. However, it was pointed out to us by G. Pisier that a positive answer to this question follows easily from the Fundamental Theorem of the Metric Theory of Tensor Products (Theorem 1.2 below) of A. Grothendieck. (See Theorem 2.4(i).) It is a pleasure to express our gratitude to him here for having communicated this fact to us and to T. Ito for a number of helpful conversations with the second author. The purpose of this paper is to extend these ideas to the context of all locally compact abelian (LCA) groups and to examine some of their ramifications. That is, we wish to initiate the study of the harmonic analysis of the space  $[C_0(G_1) \hat{\otimes} C_0(G_2)]^*$  of bimeasures on a pair  $G_1, G_2$  of LCA groups.

We shall begin with some preliminary observations about bimeasures on locally compact spaces and spaces of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms. In particular, we introduce in §1 the concepts of discrete and continuous bimeasures and show that every bimeasure is the sum of its discrete and continuous parts. These results are related to those of [25], although our methods differ from those of Saeki. The Fourier transform of a bimeasure on the LCA group  $G_1 \times G_2$  is also introduced here. We prove in §2 that if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are LCA groups, then the space of bimeasures on  $G_1 \times G_2$  has a natural Banach-algebra structure which agrees with convolution on the space of measures on  $G_1 \times G_2$ . There follows a short section on subgroups, quotients and so on. The main result obtained in §3 is an extension to the present context of the well-known result of W. F. Eberlein characterizing Fourier-Stieltjes transforms on a LCA group  $\Gamma$  as the continuous functions on  $\Gamma$  which are Fourier-Stieltjes transforms on the discrete group  $\Gamma_d$ . In §4 we study the closure of  $L^1(G_1 \times G_2)$  as a subalgebra of the bimeasure algebra on  $G_1 \times G_2$ . Section 5 is devoted to the subject of idempotent bimeasures and homomorphisms between bimeasure algebras, as well as some consequences of the fact (Theorem 5.8) that when  $G_1 = G_2 = G$  and  $\Delta$  denotes the diagonal in the dual of  $G \times G$ , then every bounded, uniformly continuous function on  $\Delta$  is the restriction of the Fourier transform of a bimeasure. We conclude in §6 with a short discussion of Sidon sets in the context of bimeasures.

A number of authors have studied tensor products in the context of Banach algebras. See [1], [2], [8], [9], [11] and [20] and the references cited in those papers. However, it should be observed that it is an easy consequence of our Theorem 5.8 cited above that, at least when  $G_1 = G_2 = G$ , the space of bimeasures on  $G \times G$  does not arise as the completion of  $M(G) \otimes M(G)$  with respect to any tensorial norm. Our approach to the algebra structure on the space of bimeasures on  $G_1 \times G_2$  is via generalization of the arguments appearing in [17].

NOTATION. The symbols  $G, G_1, G_2$  will always stand for LCA groups. The character group and the Bohr compactification of  $G, G_i$  will be denoted by  $\Gamma, \Gamma_i$  and  $bG, bG_i$ , respectively, and  $\Gamma_d, \Gamma_{id}$  denote  $\Gamma, \Gamma_i$  with the discrete topology. As is customary,  $L^1(G), M(G), A(G), B(\Gamma)$  and  $PM(\Gamma)$  denote, respectively, the group and measure (\*-)algebras of  $G$  and the algebras of Fourier transforms, Fourier-Stieltjes transforms and pseudo-measures on  $\Gamma$ . For  $\mu \in M(G)$ , the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of  $\mu$  is given by  $\hat{\mu}(\gamma) = \int_G \langle -x, \gamma \rangle d\mu(x)$ .  $X$  and  $Y$  will represent locally compact spaces, and for such a space  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(X), C(X), C_0(X)$  and  $C_{00}(X)$  are

the spaces of bounded functions on  $x$  which are, respectively, Borel measurable, continuous, continuous with limit zero at infinity, and continuous with compact support. The norm in  $C(X)$  is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_X$ .

**1. Tensor algebras and bimeasures.**

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Given locally compact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , let  $V_0 = V_0(X, Y) = C_0(X) \hat{\otimes} C_0(Y)$  be the projective tensor product of the indicated spaces of functions. Elements of the dual space of  $V_0(X, Y)$  have been referred to traditionally as *bimeasures* on  $X \times Y$ . Following [28], for example, we denote the dual of  $V_0(X, Y)$  by  $BM(X, Y)$ . Let  $V(X, Y) = C(X) \hat{\otimes} C(Y)$  and set

$$N(X, Y) = \{f \in C(X \times Y) : fg \in V_0(X, Y) \text{ for all } g \in V_0(X, Y)\}.$$

The version of the Fundamental Theorem of Grothendieck which we need is the following one. For proofs and applications in a contemporary setting, we refer the reader to [18], [8], [9], and [11]. In particular, see [11, Theorem 3.1].

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $u \in BM(X, Y)$ . There exist regular Borel probability measures  $\lambda_X$  on  $X$  and  $\lambda_Y$  on  $Y$  and  $C > 0$  such that*

$$(1) \quad |\langle f \otimes g, u \rangle| \leq C \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2, \quad f \in C_0(X), g \in C_0(Y),$$

where the  $L^2$ -norms refer to  $L^2(X, \lambda_X)$  and  $L^2(Y, \lambda_Y)$ , respectively. Let  $\|u\| = \inf\{C : (1) \text{ holds for some } \lambda_X, \lambda_Y\}$ . Then there is a universal constant  $K_G$  such that

$$\|u\| \leq \|u\| \leq K_G \|u\|, \quad u \in BM(X, Y).$$

Thus  $u$  can be extended to a bounded linear functional on  $L^2(X, \lambda_X) \hat{\otimes} L^2(Y, \lambda_Y)$ .

If  $u, \lambda_X$  and  $\lambda_Y$  are as in Theorem 1.2, we shall refer to  $\lambda_X, \lambda_Y$  as a *Grothendieck measure pair* for  $u$  and denote the norm in  $L^2(X, \lambda_X) \hat{\otimes} L^2(Y, \lambda_Y)$  by  $\|\cdot\|_{2,2}$ . Similarly, we denote the norm in  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(X) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(Y)$  by  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\infty}$ .

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *If  $u \in BM(X, Y)$  there is a unique extension of  $u$  to  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(X) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(Y)$  such that for every pair  $\lambda_X, \lambda_Y$  of Grothendieck measures for  $u$  with constant  $C$  as in (1),*

$$|\langle \phi \otimes \psi, u \rangle| \leq C \|\phi\|_2 \|\psi\|_2, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X), \psi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(Y).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_X, \lambda_Y$  and  $\lambda'_X, \lambda'_Y$  be two Grothendieck measure pairs for  $u$ . Set  $\mu_X = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_X + \lambda'_X)$  and  $\mu_Y = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_Y + \lambda'_Y)$ . Then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that (1) holds with reference to  $L^2(X, \mu_X)$  and  $L^2(Y, \mu_Y)$ . Given  $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(Y)$ , choose  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C_0(X)$  and  $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C_0(Y)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow \phi$  in  $L^2(X, \mu_X)$  and  $g_n \rightarrow \psi$  in  $L^2(Y, \mu_Y)$ . Then  $f_n \otimes g_n \rightarrow \phi \otimes \psi$  in both  $L^2(X, \lambda_X) \hat{\otimes} L^2(Y, \lambda_Y)$  and  $L^2(X, \lambda'_X) \hat{\otimes} L^2(Y, \lambda'_Y)$ , and the corollary follows.

Our first application of Theorem 1.2 concerns bimeasures with compact support. Recall that the support of a bimeasure  $u$  on  $X \times Y$  is the smallest closed set  $F$  in  $X \times Y$  for which  $\langle f, u \rangle = 0$  for all  $f \in V_0(X, Y)$  such that  $f \equiv 0$  on a neighborhood of  $F$ .

LEMMA 1.4. *The bimeasures with compact support are dense in  $BM(X, Y)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\phi_X \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$ ,  $\phi_Y \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(Y)$ ,  $u \in BM(X, Y)$  and  $\phi = \phi_X \otimes \phi_Y$ , we define

$$\langle f, \phi u \rangle = \langle \phi f, u \rangle, \quad f \in V_0(X, Y).$$

Since  $\phi f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(Y)$ , Corollary 1.3 applies, and  $\langle \phi f, u \rangle$  is well defined. Thus  $\phi u \in BM(X, Y)$ . If  $\phi_X$  and  $\phi_Y$  are continuous, then

$$\|\phi u\| \leq \|\phi_X\|_X \|\phi_Y\|_Y \|u\|.$$

Let  $u \in BM(X, Y)$ , and let  $\lambda_X, \lambda_Y$  be a Grothendieck measure pair for  $u$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose a compact set  $K_X \subset X$  such that  $\lambda_X(K_X^c) < \varepsilon^2$  and  $\phi_X \in C_{00}(X)$  such that  $0 \leq \phi_X \leq 1$  and  $\phi_X \equiv 1$  on  $K_X$ . Similarly choose  $K_Y$  and  $\phi_Y$ . Then  $\phi u$  has support in the compact set  $(\text{supp } \phi_X) \times (\text{supp } \phi_Y)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - \phi u\| &= \sup_{\|f\|_{V_0} \leq 1} |\langle f, u - \phi u \rangle| = \sup_{\|f\|_{V_0} \leq 1} |\langle f - \phi f, u \rangle| \\ &\leq C \sup_{\|f\|_{V_0} \leq 1} \|(1 - \phi)f\|_{2,2} \leq C \|1 - \phi\|_{2,2} \\ &\leq C(2\lambda_X(K_X^c)^{1/2} + \lambda_Y(K_Y^c)^{1/2}) < 3C\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows.

LEMMA 1.5. *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be closed subsets of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. There is a projection of norm one from  $BM(X, Y)$  onto  $BM(E, F)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $u \in BM(X, Y)$ , let  $v = (\chi_E \otimes \chi_F)u$ ,  $\chi_E$  and  $\chi_F$  being the characteristic functions of  $E$  and  $F$  and the product defined as in Lemma 1.4. Then  $v$  is supported on  $E \times F$ , and it is clear that the mapping  $u \rightarrow v$  is a linear projection onto  $BM(E, F)$ . To see that that mapping has norm at most one, choose a Grothendieck measure pair  $\lambda_X, \lambda_Y$  for  $u$ . For each positive integer  $n$ , choose an open set  $U_n$  in  $X$  such that  $E \subset U_n$  and  $\lambda_X(U_n) < \lambda_X(E) + n^{-1}$  and choose a function  $f_n \in C(X)$  such that  $f_n \equiv 1$  on  $E$ ,  $f_n \equiv 0$  on  $U_n^c$  and  $0 \leq f_n \leq 1$ . Then  $f_n \rightarrow \chi_E$  in  $L^2(X, \lambda_X)$ . Similarly choose  $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C(Y)$  so that  $g_n \rightarrow \chi_F$  in  $L^2(Y, \lambda_Y)$ . Then  $\|(f_n \otimes g_n)u\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $(f_n \otimes g_n)u \rightarrow v$  weak-\* in  $BM(X, Y)$ . Thus  $\|v\| \leq \|u\|$ , and the lemma is proved.

**DEFINITION 1.6.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be closed subsets of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. If  $u \in BM(X, Y)$ , then the image of  $u$  under the projection of  $BM(X, Y)$  onto  $BM(E, F)$  will be called the restriction of  $u$  to  $E \times F$  and denoted  $u|_{E \times F}$ . Note that there is a natural isometric isomorphism between  $BM(E, F)$  and the subspace of  $BM(X, Y)$  of bimeasures supported on  $E \times F$ . If  $u \in BM(X, Y)$  satisfies  $u|_{E \times F} = 0$  for all finite subsets  $E$  of  $X$  and  $F$  of  $Y$ , then we shall call  $u$  a *continuous* bimeasure. If there exist increasing sequences  $\{E_n\}$  and  $\{F_n\}$  of finite subsets of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, such that  $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u|_{E_n \times F_n}$  (norm limit), then we call  $u$  a *discrete* bimeasure. The spaces of all continuous and all discrete bimeasures on  $X \times Y$  will be denoted, respectively, by  $BM_c(X, Y)$  and  $BM_d(X, Y)$ .

**LEMMA 1.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{E}$  be the family of all finite sets of the form  $E \times F$ , where  $E \subset X$  and  $F \subset Y$ , and let  $\mathfrak{E}$  be directed by inclusion. Let  $u \in BM(X, Y)$ . Then  $u \in BM_d(X, Y)$  if and only if  $u = \lim_{E \times F \in \mathfrak{E}} u|_{E \times F}$  in the norm topology.

*Proof.* If  $u = \lim_{E \times F \in \mathfrak{E}} u|_{E \times F}$ , then it is clear that  $u \in BM_d(X, Y)$ . The opposite implication follows from the observation that if  $E \subset E'$  and  $F \subset F'$  then

$$(2) \quad \|u - u|_{E' \times F'}\| \leq 3\|u - u|_{E \times F}\|.$$

To see that this is true, set  $A = E' \cap E^c$ ,  $B = F' \cap F^c$  and  $v = u - u|_{E \times F}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u - u|_{E' \times F'} &= u - u|_{E \times F} - u|_{A \times F} - u|_{E' \times B} \\ &= v - v|_{A \times F} - v|_{E' \times B}. \end{aligned}$$

Since restrictions are norm decreasing, the inequality (2) holds. The proof of our lemma is complete.

**THEOREM 1.8.** (1)  $BM_c(X, Y)$  and  $BM_d(X, Y)$  are closed linear subspaces of  $BM(X, Y)$ .

(2) There is a norm-reducing projection from  $BM(X, Y)$  onto  $BM_d(X, Y)$  whose kernel is  $BM_c(X, Y)$ . Thus topologically,

$$BM(X, Y) = BM_c(X, Y) \oplus BM_d(X, Y).$$

*Proof.* (1) That  $BM_c(X, Y)$  is a closed linear subspace follows at once from Lemma 1.5. That  $BM_d(X, Y)$  is a linear space follows easily from Lemma 1.7. To show that  $BM_d(X, Y)$  is closed, we argue as follows. Let  $\{u_n\} \subset BM_d(X, Y)$  be such that  $u_n \rightarrow u \in BM(X, Y)$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $n_0$  so that  $\|u_{n_0} - u\| < \varepsilon$  and finite sets  $E_0 \subset X$  and  $F_0 \subset Y$  such that

$$\|u_{n_0} - u_{n_0}|_{E \times F}\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{whenever } E_0 \subset E, F_0 \subset F.$$

Then for such  $E$  and  $F$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u|_{E \times F}\| &\leq \|u - u_{n_0}\| + \|u_{n_0} - u_{n_0}|_{E \times F}\| + \|u_{n_0}|_{E \times F} - u|_{E \times F}\| \\ &\leq 2\|u - u_{n_0}\| + \|u_{n_0} - u_{n_0}|_{E \times F}\| < 3\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so  $u \in BM_d(X, Y)$ .

(2) Let  $u \in BM(X, Y)$  with Grothendieck measure pair  $\lambda_X$  and  $\lambda_Y$ . Let  $E = \{x \in X: \lambda_X(\{x\}) > 0\}$  and  $F = \{y \in Y: \lambda_Y(\{y\}) > 0\}$ . Let  $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E$  be finite sets whose union is  $E$ , and similarly choose  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F$ . Set  $v = (\chi_E \otimes \chi_F)u$  and  $v_n = (\chi_{E_n} \otimes \chi_{F_n})u = u|_{E_n \times F_n}$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $n$  so that

$$\lambda_X(E \cap E_n^c) < \varepsilon^2, \quad \lambda_Y(F \cap F_n^c) < \varepsilon^2.$$

Then a calculation similar to that in the proof of Lemma 1.4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - v_n\| &= \sup_{\|f\|_{V_0} \leq 1} \left| \langle f(\chi_E \otimes \chi_F - \chi_{E_n} \otimes \chi_{F_n}), u \rangle \right| \\ &\leq C \|\chi_{\chi_E} \otimes \chi_F - \chi_{E_n} \otimes \chi_{F_n}\|_{2,2} < 3C\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $v \in BM_d(X, Y)$  and  $\|v\| \leq \|u\|$ . If  $P$  and  $Q$  are finite sets in  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, such that  $E \cap P = F \cap Q = \emptyset$ , then  $u|_{P \times Q} = 0$ . It is now easy to check that  $w = u - v \in BM_c(X, Y)$ . So it remains only to check that

$$BM_c(X, Y) \cap BM_d(X, Y) = \{0\},$$

which follows immediately from the definitions. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.8.

EXAMPLE 1.9. It is not true in general that if  $u \in BM_c(X, Y)$  and  $v \in BM_d(X, Y)$  then  $\|u + v\| = \|u\| + \|v\|$ . Suppose  $X$  supports a continuous probability measure  $\mu$ . We may assume  $\text{supp } \mu$  is not all of  $X$ . Choose  $x \in X \setminus \text{supp } \mu$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ . For  $f \in C_0(X)$  and  $g \in C_0(Y)$ , set

$$\langle f \otimes g, u \rangle = (g(y_1) - g(y_2)) \int_X f d\mu$$

and

$$\langle f \otimes g, v \rangle = f(x)(g(y_1) + g(y_2)).$$

Then  $u \in BM_c(X, Y)$ ,  $v \in BM_d(X, Y)$ ,  $\|u\| = \|v\| = 2$ , but  $\|u + v\| = 2^{3/2}$ , as straightforward calculations show.

DEFINITION 1.10. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be LCA groups with character groups  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , respectively. Set  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ . Let  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ . We define the *Fourier transform*  $\hat{u}$  of  $u$  by the formula

$$\hat{u}(\gamma, \delta) = \langle \bar{\gamma} \otimes \bar{\delta}, u \rangle, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_1, \delta \in \Gamma_2.$$

That the formula for  $\hat{u}$  makes sense follows from Corollary 1.3, which also implies

$$\|\hat{u}\|_{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2} \leq K_G \|u\|.$$

However, since the compactly-supported bimeasures are dense in  $BM(G_1, G_2)$ , it is easy to see that

$$\|\hat{u}\|_{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2} \leq \|u\|, \quad u \in BM(G_1, G_2),$$

since, when  $u$  has compact support, each character agrees on the support of  $u$  with some  $f \hat{\otimes} g \in V_0$ , where  $\|f \otimes g\|_\infty \leq 1$ .

REMARKS 1.11. (1) Preserving the notation of Definition 1.10, let us recall the relationships between the spaces of Fourier transforms and Fourier-Stieltjes transforms on  $\Gamma$  and the spaces introduced above. Namely:

- (i)  $A(\Gamma) \subset V_0(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ .
- (ii)  $B(\Gamma) \subset N(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ .



Moreover, each of these containments represents an embedding of norm one. Indeed, it is a well-known result of Grothendieck [13] that isometrically

$$L^1(G) = L^1(G_1; L^1(G_2)) = L^1(G_1) \hat{\otimes} L^1(G_2).$$

So if  $f \in L^1(G)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  are given, then there exist  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset L^1(G_1)$  and  $\{g_n\} \subset L^1(G_2)$  such that

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)g_n(y) \quad \text{a.e. on } G$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_1 \|g_n\|_1 < (1 + \varepsilon)\|f\|_1.$$

Thus

$$\hat{f}(\gamma, \delta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}_n(\gamma)\hat{g}_n(\delta), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_1, \delta \in \Gamma_2,$$

so  $\hat{f} \in V_0(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ , and we have

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{V_0} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\hat{f}_n\|_{\Gamma_1} \|\hat{g}_n\|_{\Gamma_2} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|f\|_1.$$

Assertion (i) follows.

To see that (ii) holds, let  $\{f_\alpha\}$  be an approximate identity in  $L^1(G)$  such that for each compact set  $K \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\hat{f}_\alpha \equiv 1$  on  $K$  for some  $\alpha$  and  $\|f_\alpha\|_1 \leq 1$ . For each  $\alpha$  as above and  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,  $(f_\alpha * \mu) \in V_0(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  and  $\|(f_\alpha * \mu)\|_{V_0} \leq \|\mu\|$  by (i). Since  $C_{00}(\Gamma_1) \otimes C_{00}(\Gamma_2)$  is dense in  $V_0(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ , it follows easily that  $\hat{\mu} \in N(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  with  $\|\hat{\mu}\|_N \leq \|\mu\|$ . (The norm on  $N$  is the multiplier norm of operators on  $V_0(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ .)

(2) Suppose  $G$  is compact, and let  $P: C(G \times G) \rightarrow C(G)$  be the Herz  $P$ -mapping, namely,

$$Pf(y) = \int_G f(y - x, x) dx, \quad y \in G.$$

Then, as is well known,  $P$  maps  $C(G \times G)$  onto  $C(G)$ , and if  $A(G)$  is given its usual norm via  $l^1(\Gamma)$  then the restriction of  $P$  to  $V(G, G)$  is a norm-reducing map of  $V(G, G)$  onto  $A(G)$ . In this case,  $PM(G) = A(G)^* \cong l^\infty(\Gamma)$ . The following observation will be used in several places in the present work to transfer statements about  $PM(G)$  to analogous assertions concerning  $BM(G, G)$  when  $G$  is compact. The details are straightforward using, say, [12, 11.1.1] and will be left to the reader.

LEMMA 1.12. *Let  $G$  be compact and  $P: V(G, G) \rightarrow A(G)$  be the Herz  $P$ -map. Then  $P^*: PM(G) \rightarrow BM(G, G)$  is an isometric embedding on  $PM(G)$  carrying  $M(G)$  into  $M(G \times G)$  and  $L^1(G)$  into  $L^1(G \times G)$ , and  $\|P^*\mu\|_{M(G \times G)} = \|\mu\|_{M(G)}$ ,  $\mu \in M(G)$ . More specifically, for  $S \in PM(G)$ ,*

$$(P^*S)^\wedge(\gamma, \delta) = \begin{cases} \hat{S}(\gamma), & \gamma = \delta, \\ 0, & \gamma \neq \delta. \end{cases}$$

We conclude this section with another lemma which we shall need later.

LEMMA 1.13. *Let  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ . There is a net  $\{u_\alpha\}$  of finitely-supported bimeasures on  $G_1 \times G_2$  such that  $\|u_\alpha\| \leq \|u\|$ ,*

$$\lim_\alpha \langle f, u_\alpha \rangle = \langle f, u \rangle, \quad f \in V(G_1, G_2),$$

and  $\hat{u}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{u}$  uniformly on compact sets in  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.4, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3, we may assume  $u$  has compact support contained in  $K = K_1 \times K_2$ , where  $K_i$  is compact in  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $E$  be a compact subset of  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ . Then  $\{\gamma|_K: \gamma \in E\}$  has compact (norm) closure in  $V(K_1, K_2)$ . Thus to prove our lemma it will suffice to prove the (more general) assertion that *given  $u \in BM(K_1, K_2)$  there exists a net  $\{u_\alpha\}$  of finitely-supported bimeasures such that  $\|u_\alpha\| \leq \|u\|$  for all  $\alpha$ , and  $u_\alpha \rightarrow u$  in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets of  $V(K_1, K_2)$ .*

A standard two-epsilon argument shows that it will suffice to find a net  $\{u_\alpha\}$  of finitely supported bimeasures such that  $\|u_\alpha\| \leq \|u\|$  for all  $\alpha$  and such that for each finite set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subset V(K_1, K_2)$ ,  $\langle f_j, u_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle f_j, u \rangle, j = 1, \dots, n$ . The existence of such a net  $\{u_\alpha\}$  is the content of [12, Lemma 11.1.6]. The proof of the lemma is complete.

## 2. Bimeasure algebras and unitary representations.

DEFINITION 2.1. If  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are LCA groups, let  $S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  be the set of all functions  $\alpha$  on  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  of the form

$$(3) \quad \alpha(\gamma, \delta) = \langle \pi_1(\gamma)\xi, \pi_2(\delta)\eta \rangle,$$

where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are strongly-continuous unitary representations of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , respectively, on a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $\xi, \eta \in H$ . Clearly  $S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  consists of bounded, uniformly continuous functions on  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ .

LEMMA 2.2.  $S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  is a conjugate-closed algebra of functions on  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  is closed under complex conjugation and scalar multiplication. Let  $\alpha \in S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  be given by (3) on the Hilbert space  $H$  and  $\beta \in S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  be given as in (3) by representations  $\pi'_1$  and  $\pi'_2$  and vectors  $\xi'$  and  $\eta'$  in the Hilbert space  $K$ . On the Hilbert space  $H \oplus K$ , the representations  $\pi_1 \oplus \pi'_1$  and  $\pi_2 \oplus \pi'_2$  are unitary, and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\gamma, \delta) + \beta(\gamma, \delta) &= \langle \pi_1(\gamma)\xi, \pi_2(\delta)\eta \rangle_H + \langle \pi'_1(\gamma)\xi', \pi'_2(\delta)\eta' \rangle_K \\ &= \langle (\pi_1(\gamma)\xi, \pi'_1(\gamma)\xi'), (\pi_2(\delta)\eta, \pi'_2(\delta)\eta') \rangle_{H \oplus K} \\ &= \langle (\pi_1 \otimes \pi'_1)(\gamma)(\xi, \xi'), (\pi_2 \oplus \pi'_2)(\delta)(\eta, \eta') \rangle_{H \oplus K}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\alpha + \beta \in S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ . To show  $S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  is closed under multiplication, consider the Hilbert-space tensor product  $H \otimes K$  of  $H$  and  $K$ . The representations  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi'_1$  and  $\pi_2 \otimes \pi'_2$  are unitary on  $H \otimes K$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\gamma, \delta)\beta(\gamma, \delta) &= \langle \pi_1(\gamma)\xi, \pi_2(\delta)\eta \rangle_H \langle \pi'_1(\gamma)\xi', \pi'_2(\delta)\eta' \rangle_K \\ &= \langle \pi_1(\gamma)\xi \otimes \pi'_1(\gamma)\xi', \pi_2(\delta)\eta \otimes \pi'_2(\delta)\eta' \rangle_{H \otimes K} \\ &= \langle (\pi_1 \otimes \pi'_1)(\gamma)(\xi \otimes \xi'), (\pi_2 \otimes \pi'_2)(\delta)(\eta \otimes \eta') \rangle_{H \otimes K}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\alpha\beta \in S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ . That ends the proof of Lemma 2.2.

The following lemma is a special case of a well-known result about representations of \*-algebras. (See [19, p. 147].)

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $\pi$  be a strongly-continuous unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on the Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $f \rightarrow \pi(f)$  be the induced \*-representation of  $L^1(\Gamma)$ , namely

$$\pi(f)\xi = \int_{\Gamma} f(\gamma)\pi(\gamma)\xi \, d\gamma, \quad f \in L^1(\Gamma), \xi \in H.$$

Then  $\|\pi(f)\| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_G, f \in L^1(\Gamma)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A$  denote the closure of  $\{\pi(f): f \in L^1(\Gamma)\}$  in  $\mathfrak{B}(H)$ . Then  $A$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra; let  $\mathfrak{N}$  denote its maximal ideal space. There is a natural continuous embedding  $\Phi$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  into  $G$  such that  $\pi(f)\hat{(\phi)} = \hat{f}(\Phi(\phi)), \phi \in \mathfrak{N}$ . Thus  $\|\pi(f)\| = \|\pi(f)\|_{\mathfrak{N}} \leq \|\hat{f}\|_G$ , and our lemma is proved.

THEOREM 2.4. (i) If  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ , then  $\hat{u} \in S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ .

(ii) If  $\alpha \in S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ , then there exists a unique  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  such that  $\hat{u} = \alpha$ .

(iii) If  $\alpha \in S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  is represented as in (3) and  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  is such that  $\hat{u} = \alpha$ , then  $\|u\| \leq \|\xi\|\|\eta\|$ .

*Proof.* (i) We may assume  $u \neq 0$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  be a Grothendieck measure pair for  $u$ , so that (1) holds. Then there is an operator

$$T: L^2(G_1, \lambda_1) \rightarrow L^2(G_2, \lambda_2)$$

such that  $\langle f \otimes g, u \rangle = \langle Tf, \bar{g} \rangle$ . Let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  be the representations on  $L^2(G_1, \lambda_1)$  and  $L^2(G_2, \lambda_2)$  given, respectively, by

$$\pi_1(\gamma)f = \bar{\gamma}f, \quad \pi_2(\delta)g = \delta g.$$

Then  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are strongly-continuous unitary representations of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , respectively, and

$$\hat{u}(\gamma, \delta) = \langle \bar{\gamma} \otimes \bar{\delta}, u \rangle = \langle T\bar{\gamma}, \delta \rangle = \langle T\pi_1(\gamma)1, \pi_2(\delta)1 \rangle.$$

Let  $H$  be the Hilbert space  $L^2(G_1, \lambda_1) \oplus L^2(G_2, \lambda_2)$ , and let the extension of  $T$  to  $H$  with matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  also be denoted by  $T$ . Following [17, p. 638], let  $c = \|T\|$  and let  $W$  be a unitary dilation of  $c^{-1}T$  on the Hilbert space  $K$  containing  $H$ . Writing

$$K = L^2(G_1, \lambda_1) \oplus L^2(G_2, \lambda_2) \oplus H^\perp,$$

set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_1 &= \pi_1 \oplus I \oplus I, \\ \pi_2 &= W^*(I \oplus \pi_2 \oplus I)W, \\ \xi &= (c \cdot 1, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta = W^*(0, 1, 0).$$

Then in  $K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}(\gamma, \delta) &= \langle cW(\pi_1(\gamma)1, 0, 0), (0, \pi_2(\delta)1, 0) \rangle \\ &= \langle c(\pi_1(\gamma)1, 0, 0), W^*(0, \pi_2(\delta)1, 0) \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\pi}_1(\gamma)\xi, \tilde{\pi}_2(\delta)\eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)–(iii). Let  $\alpha \in S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ . For  $f \in A(G_1)$ ,  $g \in A(G_2)$ , let  $\phi \in L^1(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $\psi \in L^1(\Gamma_2)$  be such that  $\hat{\phi} = f$  and  $\hat{\psi} = g$ . Define

$$\langle f \otimes g, u \rangle = \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \phi(-\gamma) \psi(-\delta) \alpha(\gamma, \delta) d\gamma d\delta.$$

If  $\alpha$  is represented as in (3), then by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f \otimes g, u \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \phi(-\gamma) \psi(-\delta) \langle \pi_1(\gamma)\xi, \pi_2(\delta)\eta \rangle d\gamma d\delta \right| \\ &= \left| \left\langle \int_{\Gamma_1} \phi(-\gamma) \pi(\gamma)\xi d\gamma, \int_{\Gamma_2} \overline{\psi(-\delta)} \pi_2(\delta)\eta d\delta \right\rangle \right| \\ &= |\langle \pi_1(\overline{\phi^*})\xi, \pi_2(\psi^*)\eta \rangle| \\ &\leq \|\pi_1(\overline{\phi^*})\| \|\xi\| \|\pi_2(\psi^*)\| \|\eta\| \leq \|\xi\| \|\eta\| \|f\|_{G_1} \|g\|_{G_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u$  is clearly bilinear on  $A(G_1) \times A(G_2)$  and  $A(G_i)$  is dense in  $C_0(G_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $u$  extends to a bimeasure on  $G_1 \times G_2$  of norm at most  $\|\xi\| \|\eta\|$ , yielding (iii) once (ii) is proven.

Extend  $u$  to  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(G_1) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(G_2)$  via Corollary 1.3. We now show that  $\hat{u} = \alpha$ . Let  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_1$ ,  $\delta_0 \in \Gamma_2$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  and for each neighborhood  $U_i$  of 0 in  $\Gamma_i$ , let  $\phi_{U_i}$  be a nonnegative function in  $L^1(\Gamma_i)$  such that  $\phi_{U_i} = 0$  outside  $U_i$ ,  $\phi_{U_i} = \phi_{U_i}^*$ , and  $\int_{U_i} \phi_{U_i}(\gamma) d\gamma = 1$ . If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  is a Grothendieck measure pair for  $u$ , then for  $\sigma \in \Gamma_i$ ,

$$((\phi_{U_i})_\sigma)^\wedge(x) = (x, \sigma) \hat{\phi}_{U_i}(x) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$$

uniformly on compact sets in  $G_i$ , hence in  $L^2(G_i, \lambda_i)$ . (Here  $\phi_\sigma(\gamma) = \phi(\gamma - \sigma)$ .) For  $\chi, \zeta \in H$ , the strong continuity of  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \phi_{U_1}(\gamma) \phi_{U_2}(\delta) \langle \pi_1(\gamma)\chi, \pi_2(\delta)\zeta \rangle d\gamma d\delta - \langle \chi, \zeta \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \int_{U_2} \int_{U_1} \phi_{U_1}(\gamma) \phi_{U_2}(\delta) |\langle \pi_1(\gamma)\chi, \pi_2(\delta)\zeta \rangle - \langle \chi, \zeta \rangle| d\gamma d\delta \\ &\leq \sup_{\gamma \in U_1} \sup_{\delta \in U_2} |\langle \pi_1(\gamma)\chi, \pi_2(\delta)\zeta \rangle - \langle \chi, \zeta \rangle|, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to 0 as  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  decrease to  $\{0\}$  in  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ . Thus, setting  $U = (U_1, U_2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\gamma}_0 \otimes \bar{\delta}_0, u \rangle &= \left\langle \lim_U \left( (\phi_{U_1})_{-\gamma_0} \right)^\wedge \otimes \left( (\phi_{U_2})_{-\delta_0} \right)^\wedge, u \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_U \left\langle \left( (\phi_{U_1})_{-\gamma_0} \right)^\wedge \otimes \left( (\phi_{U_2})_{-\delta_0} \right)^\wedge, u \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_U \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} (\phi_{U_1})_{-\gamma_0}(-\gamma) (\phi_{U_2})_{-\delta_0}(-\delta) \alpha(\gamma, \delta) d\gamma d\delta \\ &= \lim_U \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \phi_{U_1}(\gamma_0 - \gamma) \phi_{U_2}(\delta_0 - \delta) \langle \pi_1(\gamma)\xi, \pi_2(\delta)\eta \rangle d\gamma d\delta \\ &= \lim_U \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \phi_{U_1}(\gamma - \gamma_0) \phi_{U_2}(\delta - \delta_0) \langle \pi_1(\gamma)\xi, \pi_2(\delta)\eta \rangle d\gamma d\delta \\ &= \lim_U \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \phi_{U_1}(\gamma) \phi_{U_2}(\delta) \langle \pi_1(\gamma)\pi_1(\gamma_0)\xi, \pi_2(\delta)\pi_2(\delta_0)\eta \rangle d\gamma d\delta \\ &= \langle \pi_1(\gamma_0)\xi, \pi_2(\delta_0)\eta \rangle = \alpha(\gamma_0, \delta_0). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $u_1, u_2 \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  are such that  $\hat{u}_1 = \hat{u}_2$ . Let  $\lambda_{11}, \lambda_{12}$  be a Grothendieck measure pair for  $u_1$  and  $\lambda_{21}, \lambda_{22}$  be a Grothendieck measure pair for  $u_2$ . As in the proof of Corollary 1.3, let  $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1i} + \lambda_{2i})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$L^2(G_i, \lambda_i) = L^2(G_i, \lambda_{1i}) \cap L^2(G_i, \lambda_{2i}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

so both  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  can be extended to  $L^2(G_1, \lambda_1) \hat{\otimes} L^2(G_2, \lambda_2)$ . Since the trigonometric polynomials are dense in  $L^2(G_i, \lambda_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we have  $u_1 = u_2$  on  $L^2(G_1, \lambda_1) \hat{\otimes} L^2(G_2, \lambda_2)$ , so in particular on  $V_0(G_1, G_2)$ , which proves the uniqueness assertion.

The proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete.

**DEFINITION 2.5.** For  $u, v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ , define  $u * v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  by  $(u * v)^\wedge = \hat{u}\hat{v}$ . By Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, this defines a commutative algebra structure on  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  which extends the algebra structure of  $M(G_1 \times G_2)$ . When  $G$  is compact and  $PM(G)$  is embedded in  $BM(G, G)$  as in Lemma 1.12, then  $PM(G)$  may be considered as a closed ideal in  $BM(G, G)$ . In fact,  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  is a  $K_G^2$ -Banach algebra, as the following theorem asserts.

**THEOREM 2.6.** For  $u, v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ ,

$$\|u * v\| \leq K_G^2 \|u\| \|v\|.$$

*Proof.* For  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ , let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  be Grothendieck measures for  $u$  such that (1) holds with  $C = K_G$ . If  $T$  is the operator defined in the proof of Theorem 2.4(i), then (1) implies  $\|T\| \leq K_G \|u\|$ . Now let  $\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_2, \xi, \eta, w$  be as in the proof of Theorem 2.4(i). Then

$$\|\xi\| \leq K_G \|u\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\eta\| \leq \|W^*\| = 1.$$

Thus, applying Theorem 2.4(ii) and (iii), there exists a Hilbert space  $H_u$ , unitary representations  $\pi_i^u$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) on  $H_u$  and  $\xi_u, \eta_u \in H_u$  such that

$$\hat{u}(\gamma, \delta) = \langle \pi_1^u(\gamma)\xi_u, \pi_2^u(\delta)\eta_u \rangle, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_1, \delta \in \Gamma_2,$$

and

$$(4) \quad \|u\| \leq \|\xi_u\| \|\eta_u\| \leq K_G \|u\|.$$

Let  $u, v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ . If we set  $H = H_u \overline{\otimes} H_v$ ,  $\xi = \xi_u \otimes \xi_v$ ,  $\eta = \eta_u \otimes \eta_v$  and  $\pi_i = \pi_i^u \otimes \pi_i^v$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), then as in the proof of Lemma 2.2,  $\alpha = \hat{u}\hat{v}$  can be represented as in (3) by means of  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \xi, \eta$  on  $H$ . So by (4) and Theorem 2.4,

$$\|u * v\| \leq \|\xi\| \|\eta\| = \|\xi_u\| \|\xi_v\| \|\eta_u\| \|\eta_v\| \leq K_G^2 \|u\| \|v\|.$$

Theorem 2.6 is proved.

**COROLLARY 2.7.**  $BM_d(G_1, G_2)$  is a closed subalgebra of  $BM(G_1, G_2)$ . If  $u \in BM_d(G_1, G_2)$  and  $v \in BM_c(G_1, G_2)$ , then  $u * v \in BM_c(G_1, G_2)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.8,  $BM_d(G_1, G_2)$  contains any bimeasure which is the limit of bimeasures (measures) with finite support. It now follows easily from Theorem 2.6 that  $BM_d(G_1, G_2)$  is closed under multiplication. It is also easy to see from Definition 2.5 and the injectivity of the Fourier transform that if  $u$  is the bimeasure defined by evaluation at a point of  $G_1 \times G_2$  and  $v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ , then  $u * v$  is a translate of  $v$ . Since the translate of a continuous bimeasure is clearly continuous, the second assertion of our corollary follows also.

**REMARK 2.8.** It is also true that the product of two continuous bimeasures on  $G_1 \times G_2$  is again continuous, i.e.,  $BM_c(G_1, G_2)$  is an ideal in  $BM(G_1, G_2)$ , as in the case for the analogous classes of measures on  $G_1 \times G_2$ . The proof will appear in the forthcoming paper by J. E. Gilbert, T. Ito and B. M. Schreiber [10]. It is easy to see via Theorem 2.10 below that the product is continuous when at least one of the factors is in the closure of  $M(G_1 \times G_2)$ . However it is not true, in general, that the

continuous measures on  $G_1 \times G_2$  are dense in  $BM_c(G_1, G_2)$ . (See Corollary 5.10 below.)

DEFINITION 2.9. For  $f \in V_0(G_1, G_2)$  and  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  set

$$\langle f, \check{u} \rangle = \langle f(-x, -y), u \rangle$$

and

$$f * u(x, y) = \langle f(x - x', y - y'), u_{(x', y')} \rangle,$$

where  $u_{(x', y')}$  means that  $u$  is to be applied to the function  $(x', y') \rightarrow f(x - x', y - y')$ , which is clearly in  $V_0(G_1, G_2)$  and has the same  $V_0$ -norm as  $f$ . Clearly,  $\|\check{u}\| = \|u\|$ .

THEOREM 2.10. Let  $f \in V_0(G_1, G_2)$  and  $u, v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ . Then  $f * u \in V_0(G_1, G_2)$ ,

$$(5) \quad \|f * u\|_{V_0} \leq K_G^2 \|f\|_{V_0} \|u\|,$$

and

$$(6) \quad \langle f, u * v \rangle = \langle f * \check{u}, v \rangle = \langle \langle f(x + x', y + y'), u_{(x', y')} \rangle, v_{(x, y)} \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $f \in A(G_1 \times G_2)$ , and write  $f = \hat{\phi}$  for  $\phi \in L^1(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f * u(x, y) &= \langle [\phi(-\gamma, -\delta)(x, \gamma)(y, \delta)]^\wedge, u \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \phi(-\gamma, -\delta)(x, \gamma)(y, \delta) \hat{u}(\gamma, \delta) d\gamma d\delta \\ &= [\phi(\gamma, \delta) \hat{u}(-\gamma, -\delta)]^\wedge(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

So  $f * u \in V_0(G_1, G_2)$  by Remark 1.11(1)(i). Since  $\check{u}(\gamma, \delta) = \hat{u}(-\gamma, -\delta)$ , we see that

$$f * \check{u} = (\phi \hat{u})^\wedge.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f * \check{u}, v \rangle &= \langle (\phi \hat{u})^\wedge, v \rangle = \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \phi(\gamma, \delta) \hat{u}(\gamma, \delta) \hat{v}(\gamma, \delta) d\gamma d\delta \\ &= \langle f, u * v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$



By Theorem 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * u\|_{V_0} &= \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} |\langle f * u, v \rangle| = \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} |\langle f, \check{u} * v \rangle| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{V_0} \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \|\check{u} * v\| \leq K_G^2 \|f\|_{V_0} \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let  $f \in V_0(G_1, G_2)$  and choose  $\{f_n\} \subset A(G_1, G_2)$  such that  $\|f_n - f\|_{V_0} \rightarrow 0$ . Then since (5) holds for  $f \in A(G_1, G_2)$ ,  $\{f_n * u\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $V_0(G_1, G_2)$  whose limit is clearly  $f * u$ . Hence (5) and (6) follow for  $f$  also. That completes the proof of Theorem 2.10.

There are a number of observations regarding pseudo-measures which can be translated using Lemma 1.12 into assertions about bimeasures. Theorem 2.11 is one such observation; Corollary 2.12 its bimeasure version. Theorem 2.13 contains another observation and its bimeasure version.

**THEOREM 2.11.** *Let  $G$  be compact and infinite. For each integer  $n \geq 1$  there exists  $S \in PM(G)$  such that  $S, S^2, \dots, S^n \notin M(G)$  and  $S^{n+1} \in L^1(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $n \geq 1$ . For each  $j \geq 1$  let  $g_j$  and  $h_j$  be trigonometric polynomials on  $G$  such that

$$(7) \quad \|g_j^k\|_1 \geq 2^j, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

$$(8) \quad \|g_j^{n+1}\|_1 \leq 2^{-j},$$

$$(9) \quad \|h_j\|_1 \leq 2, \quad 0 \leq \hat{h}_j \leq 1,$$

$$(10) \quad \hat{h}_j = 1 \quad \text{on } \text{supp } \hat{g}_j,$$

$$(11) \quad (\text{supp } \hat{h}_i) \cap (\text{supp } \hat{h}_j) = \emptyset \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

The  $g_j$  can be constructed by adapting, for example, [12, 9.5.3]; the existence of the  $h_j$  is well known, since the  $g_j$  are constructed to have disjoint supports.

By (8),  $\|\hat{g}_j\|_\Gamma \leq 1$ . Therefore there exists  $S \in PM(G)$  such that  $\hat{S} = \sum_j \hat{g}_j$ . For  $k = 1, \dots, n + 1$ , (10) and (11) give

$$(12) \quad h_j * S^k = g_j^k.$$

So (7) implies that if  $S^k \in M(G)$  for some  $k \leq n$  we would have

$$2^j \leq \|h_j * S^k\|_M \leq \|h_j\|_1 \|S^k\|_M \leq 2 \|S^k\|_M,$$

so that  $\|S^k\| \geq 2^j$  for all  $j$ . Thus  $S^k \notin M(G)$ . On the other hand, since  $\sum_j \|g_j^{n+1}\|_1 < \infty$ , we see from (12) that

$$S^{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} S^{n+1} * h_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g_j^{n+1} \in L^1(G).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.11 is complete.

If we now set  $u = P^*S$ , where  $P^*$  is as in Lemma 1.12, we obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.12.** *Let  $G$  be a compact, infinite abelian group. For each integer  $n \geq 1$  there exists  $u \in BM(G, G)$  such that  $u, u^2, \dots, u^n \notin M(G \times G)$  and  $u^{n+1} \in L^1(G \times G)$ .*

Our final application of Lemma 1.12 in this section concerns the relationship between the maximal ideal spaces  $\mathfrak{M}(M(G \times G))$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(BM(G, G))$  for  $G$  compact. Let

$$\rho': \mathfrak{M}(BM(G, G)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(M(G \times G))$$

and

$$\rho: \mathfrak{M}(M(G)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(PM(G)) = \beta\Gamma$$

be the canonical (restriction) mappings induced by the corresponding algebra embeddings  $P^*$ . Let  $\partial(G)$  and  $\partial'(G)$  denote the Šilov boundary of  $M(G)$  and  $M(G \times G)$ , respectively. The symbol  $\tilde{\phantom{x}}$  will denote a Gelfand transform.

**THEOREM 2.13.** *Let  $G$  be compact and infinite. Then the image of  $\rho$  does not contain  $\partial(G)$  and hence the image of  $\rho'$  does not contain  $\partial'(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu \in M(G)$  be such that  $\|\hat{\mu}\|_{\Gamma} \leq 1$  and the spectral radius  $\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{\mathfrak{M}(M(G))} = 2$ . Then  $\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{\mathfrak{M}(PM(G))} \leq 1$ , but there exists  $\phi \in \partial(G)$  such that  $|\tilde{\mu}(\phi)| = 2$ . Hence  $\phi \notin \rho(\mathfrak{M}(PM(G)))$ . If  $P^*$  is the map defined in Lemma 1.12, then  $P^*$  is an isometric algebra isomorphism of  $PM(G)$  and  $M(G)$  onto closed ideals in  $BM(G, G)$  and  $M(G \times G)$ , respectively. Thus

$$\|(P^*\mu)\tilde{\phantom{x}}\|_{\mathfrak{M}(M(G \times G))} = 2, \quad \|(P^*\mu)\tilde{\phantom{x}}\|_{\mathfrak{M}(BM(G, G))} \leq 1$$

also, so the second assertion of our theorem follows.

### 3. Subgroups, quotients and Bohr compactifications.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\Lambda_i$  be a closed subgroup of  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then*

$$S(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) = \left\{ \alpha|_{\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2} : \alpha \in S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* If  $\alpha \in S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ , then clearly

$$\alpha|_{\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2} \in S(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2).$$

Let  $H_i = \Lambda_i^\perp$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and pick  $u \in BM(G_1/H_1, G_2/H_2)$ . We must show there exists  $v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  such that

$$(13) \quad \hat{v}|_{\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2} = \hat{u}.$$

By Lemma 1.13 there is a net

$$\{u_\alpha\} \subset BM(G_1/H_1, G_2/H_2)$$

such that each  $u_\alpha$  has finite support and norm bounded by  $\|u\|$ , and

$$\lim_\alpha \langle f, u_\alpha \rangle = \langle f, u \rangle, \quad f \in V(G_1/H_1, G_2/H_2).$$

If

$$\phi: G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow (G_1/H_1) \times (G_2/H_2)$$

is the canonical map, for each  $\alpha$  let  $F_\alpha = F_{\alpha_1} \times F_{\alpha_2}$  be a finite set in  $G_1 \times G_2$  such that  $\phi(F_\alpha) = \text{supp } u_\alpha$  and  $F_{\alpha_i} \rightarrow G_i/H_i$  is one-to-one. Then it is easy to see that there is a bimeasure (measure)  $v_\alpha$  on  $G_1 \times G_2$  with support  $F_\alpha$  such that  $\|v_\alpha\| = \|u_\alpha\|$  and

$$(14) \quad \langle f, u_\alpha \rangle = \langle f \circ \phi, v_\alpha \rangle, \quad f \in V_0(G_1/H_1, G_2/H_2).$$

Let  $v$  be a weak-\* limit point of  $\{v_\alpha\}$  so  $\|v\| \leq \|u\|$ . If  $u$  has compact support, then we may assume all the  $F$  lie in some compact set in  $G_1 \times G_2$  and, hence,  $v$  has compact support. (Cf. Def. 1.6.) In this case (14) persists with  $u$  and  $v$  replacing  $u_\alpha$  and  $v_\alpha$ , and then (13) follows.

In general, it follows from Lemma 1.4 that there is a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of bimeasures with compact supports such that

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\| < \infty.$$

For each  $n$  choose  $v_n \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  such that  $v_n$  has compact support, (14) holds with  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  replacing  $u_\alpha$  and  $v_\alpha$ , and  $\|v_n\| \leq \|u_n\|$ . Set

$v = \sum v_n$ . The it follows from Corollary 1.3 and Theorem 1.2 that

$$\langle f, v \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, v_n \rangle, \quad f \in V(G_1, G_2).$$

Thus we can again replace  $u_\alpha$  and  $v_\alpha$  in (14) by  $u$  and  $v$  and obtain (13).

**LEMMA 3.2.** *The canonical embedding of  $G_1 \times G_2$  in  $bG_1 \times bG_2$  induces an isometric algebra embedding of  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  into  $BM(bG_1, bG_2)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  then

$$\|u\| = \sup |\langle f, u \rangle|,$$

the supremum being taken over all trigonometric polynomials  $f$  such that  $\|f\|_{\infty, \infty} \leq 1$ . This follows easily from Corollary 1.3 and Lemma 1.4. The remainder of the argument needed to prove the Lemma is clear.

The following theorem is the extension to the present context of the well-known characterization of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms due to W. F. Eberlein [6], [24, Thm. 1.9.1].

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $u \in BM(bG_1, bG_2)$ . Then  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  if and only if  $\hat{u}$  is continuous on  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ . Equivalently,*

$$(15) \quad S(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) = S(\Gamma_{1d}, \Gamma_{2d}) \cap C(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2).$$

*Proof.* If  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ , then  $\hat{u}$  is continuous and  $u$  may be considered as an element of  $BM(bG_1, bG_2)$  by Lemma 3.2. Hence the left-hand side of (15) is contained in the right-hand side. (This may also be observed by neglecting the continuity of representations at first.) The reverse containment remains to be established.

Let  $u \in BM(bG_1, bG_2)$  be such that  $\hat{u}$  is continuous on  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ . We shall show that for  $f \in L^1(\Gamma_1)$  and  $g \in L^1(\Gamma_2)$ ,

$$(16) \quad \left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\gamma)g(\delta)\hat{u}(\gamma, \delta) d\gamma d\delta \right| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_{G_1} \|\hat{g}\|_{G_2} \|u\|.$$

It will then follow that there exists an element  $v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  such that

$$\langle \hat{f}, v \rangle = \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\gamma, \delta)\hat{u}(\gamma, \delta) d\gamma d\delta$$

for all  $f \in L^1(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$ . Since  $A(G_1 \times G_2)$  is dense in  $V_0(G_1, G_2)$ ,  $\hat{v} = \hat{u}$ , so  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  as asserted. It remains to establish (16).

Note that if  $p$  and  $q$  are trigonometric polynomials on  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , respectively, then

$$|\langle p \otimes q, u \rangle| \leq \|p\|_{G_1} \|q\|_{G_2} \|u\|,$$

since  $u \in BM(bG_1, bG_2)$ . Of course, since  $q$  is a trigonometric polynomial and  $\hat{u}$  is continuous, the function  $\gamma \rightarrow \langle \gamma \otimes q, u \rangle$  is continuous. By Eberlein's Theorem, there exists a regular Borel measure  $\mu_q$  on  $G_1$  such that

$$\langle p \otimes q, u \rangle = \int_{G_1} p \, d\mu_q$$

for all trigonometric polynomials  $p$  on  $G_1$ . Therefore, if  $f \in L^1(\Gamma_1)$ , then

$$(17) \quad \left| \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\gamma) \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_2} \hat{q}(\delta) \hat{u}(\gamma, \delta) \, d\gamma \right| = \left| \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\gamma) \hat{\mu}_q(\gamma) \, d\gamma \right| \\ = \left| \int_{G_1} \hat{f} \, d\mu_q \right| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_{G_1} \|\mu_q\| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_{G_1} \|q\|_{G_2} \|u\|.$$

For  $f \in L^1(\Gamma_1)$  and  $\delta \in \Gamma_2$ , set

$$\phi_f(\delta) = \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\gamma) \hat{u}(\gamma, \delta) \, d\gamma.$$

Then  $\phi_f$  is continuous on  $\Gamma_2$  by a standard argument based on the fact that  $\delta \rightarrow \hat{u}(\gamma, \delta)$  is equicontinuous over compact sets of  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ . Furthermore, the function  $q \rightarrow \sum_{\delta} \hat{q}(\delta) \phi_f(\delta)$  is linear on trigonometric polynomials on  $G_2$ , and by (17),

$$\left| \sum_{\delta} \hat{q}(\delta) \phi_f(\delta) \right| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_{G_1} \|q\|_{G_2} \|u\|.$$

Hence we may apply Eberlein's Theorem again to obtain a measure  $\nu_f \in M(G_2)$  such that for all trigonometric polynomials  $q$  on  $G_2$ ,

$$\sum_{\delta} \hat{q}(\delta) \phi_f(\delta) = \int_{G_2} q \, d\nu_f$$

and

$$\|\nu_f\| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_{G_1} \|u\|.$$

Therefore, if  $g \in L^1(\Gamma_2)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\gamma)g(\delta)\hat{u}(\gamma, \delta) d\gamma d\delta \right| &= \left| \int_{\Gamma_2} g(\delta)\hat{v}_f(\delta) d\delta \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{G_2} \hat{g} dv_f \right| \leq \|\hat{g}\|_{G_2} \|v_f\| \leq \|\hat{g}\|_{G_2} \|\hat{f}\|_{G_1} \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes (16), and Theorem 3.3 is proved.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $H_i$  be a closed subgroup of  $G_i$  and  $\Lambda_i = H_i^\perp \subset \Gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ . Then  $u$  is supported on  $H_1 \times H_2$  if and only if  $\hat{u}$  is constant on cosets of  $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $u \in BM(H_1, H_2)$  and  $u$  is considered as a bimeasure on  $G_1 \times G_2$ , then clearly  $\hat{u}$  is constant on cosets of  $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$ . On the other hand, assume  $\hat{u} \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  and  $\hat{u}$  is constant on cosets of  $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$ . If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are compact, then clearly  $u$  defines a bimeasure  $\tilde{u}$  on  $H_1 \times H_2$  by

$$\langle \tilde{\gamma} \otimes \tilde{\delta}, \tilde{u} \rangle = \langle \gamma \otimes \delta, u \rangle,$$

where  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\delta}$  are the restrictions of  $\gamma$  to  $H_1$  and  $\delta$  to  $H_2$ , respectively. If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are not both compact, we pass to the Bohr compactifications. Since the embeddings  $bH_i \hookrightarrow bG_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , induce an embedding of  $BM(bH_1, bH_2)$  in  $BM(bG_1, bG_2)$ , we shall consider  $u$  as an element of  $BM(bG_1, bG_2)$  such that  $\hat{u}$  is continuous on  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  and is constant on cosets of  $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$ . Let  $\tilde{u}$  be the induced bimeasure, as above, on  $bH_1 \times bH_2$ . Then  $\hat{\tilde{u}}$  is continuous on  $(\Gamma_1/\Lambda_1) \times (\Gamma_2/\Lambda_2)$ , so  $\tilde{u} \in BM(H_1, H_2)$  by Theorem 3.3, and the Corollary is proved.

#### 4. The closure of $L^1$ .

**DEFINITION 4.1.** Let  $BM_a(G_1, G_2)$  denote the closure of  $L^1(G_1 \times G_2)$  (considered as the space of absolutely continuous measures on  $G_1 \times G_2$ ) in  $BM(G_1, G_2)$ . Elements of  $BM_a(G_1, G_2)$  might be called “absolutely continuous bimeasures”, for as we shall see below,  $BM_a(G_1, G_2)$  plays a role in  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  similar to that played by  $L^1(G_1 \times G_2)$  in  $M(G_1 \times G_2)$ . For  $f \in L^1(G_1 \times G_2)$ , let  $u_f$  denote the bimeasure determined by integration against  $f$ .

REMARKS 4.2. (i) Clearly  $BM_a(G_1, G_2)$  is the closure of each of the spaces  $C_{00}(G_1 \times G_2)$ ,  $C_{00}(G_1) \otimes C_{00}(G_2)$ ,  $L^1(G_1 \times G_2)$  or the trigonometric polynomials when  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are compact.

(ii)  $BM_a(G_1, G_2)$  is a closed subalgebra of  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  on which the Gelfand transform is the Fourier transform and whose maximal ideal space is  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  with its usual topology. All this is easy to see from the results in §2. For example, each character in  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  defines a nonzero complex homomorphism on  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  by the definition of the multiplication on  $BM(G_1, G_2)$ , and every nonzero complex homomorphism on  $BM_a(G_1, G_2)$  is nonzero on  $L^1(G_1 \times G_2)$  and hence is given by a character.

(iii) From (ii) we have  $\hat{u} \in C_0(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$  for all  $u \in BM_a(G_1, G_2)$ .

LEMMA 4.3. *Let  $v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  and  $\phi \in V_0(G_1, G_2)$  both have compact support. Then  $\phi * v \in C_{00}(G_1 \times G_2)$  and*

$$u_\phi * v = u_{\phi * v}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.10,  $\phi * v \in V_0(G_1, G_2)$ . Definition 2.9 easily implies that  $\phi * v = 0$  off support  $\phi + \text{support } v$ , so  $\phi * v \in C_{00}(G_1 \times G_2)$ . It is a straightforward calculation to check that

$$(u_{\phi * v})^\wedge = \hat{\phi} \hat{v} = (u_\phi * v)^\wedge,$$

from which the Lemma follows by Theorem 2.4(ii).

THEOREM 4.4.  $BM_a(G_1, G_2)$  is a (closed) ideal in  $BM(G_1, G_2)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.4 and Remark 4.2(i) it suffices to check that

$$u_\phi * v \in BM_a(G_1, G_2)$$

for  $\phi \in C_{00}(G_1) \otimes C_{00}(G_2)$  and  $v$  with compact support. But then  $u_\phi * v = u_\psi$  for  $\psi \in C_{00}(G_1 \times G_2)$  by Lemma 4.3, and the Theorem follows.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ . Then  $u \in BM_a(G_1, G_2)$  if and only if the function  $(x, y) \rightarrow u_{(x,y)}$  (translation) is norm-continuous.*

*Proof.* Since translation by  $(x, y)$  induces an isometry on  $BM(G_1, G_2)$ , and translation is continuous on  $L^1(G_1 \times G_2)$  in the  $L^1$ -norm which dominates the bimeasure norm, it is clear that translation is continuous on elements of  $BM_a(G_1, G_2)$ . Thus let  $v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  be such that  $(x, y) \rightarrow v_{(x,y)}$  is norm-continuous. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose a neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $G_1 \times G_2$  with compact closure such that  $\|v - v_{(x,y)}\| < \varepsilon$  if  $(x, y) \in U$ , and let  $\phi \in C_{00}(G_1) \otimes C_{00}(G_2)$  be such that  $\phi \geq 0$ ,  $\phi$  vanishes off  $U$ , and

$$\int_{G_2} \int_{G_1} \phi(x, y) \, dx \, dy = 1.$$

Then considering functions  $f \in C_{00}(G_1) \otimes C_{00}(G_2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - u_\phi * v\| &= \sup_{\|f\|_{V_0} \leq 1} |\langle f, v - u_\phi * v \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{V_0} \leq 1} \left| \int_{G_2} \int_{G_1} \langle f, v \rangle \phi(x, y) \, dx \, dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left\langle \int_{G_2} \int_{G_1} f_{(-x,-y)} \phi(x, y) \, dx \, dy, v \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{V_0} \leq 1} \left| \int_{G_2} \int_{G_1} [\langle f, v \rangle - \langle f_{(-x,-y)}, v \rangle] \phi(x, y) \, dx \, dy \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{V_0} \leq 1} \left| \int_{G_2} \int_{G_1} \langle f, v - v_{(x,y)} \rangle \phi(x, y) \, dx \, dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_U \|v - v_{(x,y)}\| \phi(x, y) \, dx \, dy < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.4,  $v \in BM_a(G_1, G_2)$ .

Our next result is the analog of the multiplier theorem of Helson and Edwards [15], [7], [24, Thm. 3.8.1].

**THEOREM 4.6.** *Let  $T: BM_a(G_1, G_2) \rightarrow BM(G_1, G_2)$  be a multiplier. Then there exists  $v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  such that  $Tu = u * v$  for all  $u \in BM_a(G_1, G_2)$ , and  $\|v\| \leq \|T\|$ .*

*Proof.* There is a continuous function  $\phi$  on  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  such that  $(Tu)^\hat{=} = \phi \hat{u}$  for all  $u \in BM_a(G_1, G_2)$ . Given  $f \in C_{00}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose



$h \in L^1(G_1 \times G_2)$  such that  $\hat{h} = 1$  on  $\text{supp } f$  and  $\|h\|_1 < 1 + \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\gamma, \delta) \phi(\gamma, \delta) \, d\gamma \, d\delta \right| &= \left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\gamma, \delta) \hat{h}(\gamma, \delta) \phi(\gamma, \delta) \, d\gamma \, d\delta \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\gamma, \delta) \hat{u}_h(\gamma, \delta) \phi(\gamma, \delta) \, d\gamma \, d\delta \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\gamma, \delta) (Tu_h) \hat{\cdot}(\gamma, \delta) \, d\gamma \, d\delta \right| = |\langle \hat{f}, Tu_h \rangle| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_{v_0} \|Tu_h\| \\ &\leq \|\hat{f}\|_{v_0} \|T\| \|h\|_1 < \|\hat{f}\|_{v_0} \|T\| (1 + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists  $v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  with  $\hat{v} = \phi$  and  $\|v\| \leq \|T\|$ . Theorem 4.6 is proved.

There is one significant way in which the role played by  $BM_a(G_1, G_2)$  in  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  differs from its analog in  $M(G_1 \times G_2)$ . Namely, as the following theorem shows, there is, in general, no decomposition of  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  into what might be called “absolutely continuous” and “singular” bimeasures.

**THEOREM 4.7.** *Let  $G$  be a nondiscrete LCA group. There is no bounded projection from  $BM(G, G)$  onto  $BM_a(G, G)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $G$  is compact. If  $P^*: PM(G) \rightarrow BM(G, G)$  is the map considered in Lemma 1.12, then  $P^*(L^1(G)) \subset BM_a(G, G)$ . Of course, for  $S \in PM(G)$ ,  $\hat{S} \in C_0(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $S \in L^1(G)$  (cf. Thm. 5.8). Suppose there exists a bounded projection

$$(18) \quad Q: BM(G, G) \rightarrow BM_a(G, G).$$

For  $S \in PM(G)$ , set

$$\tilde{Q}(\hat{S})(\gamma) = (QP^*S)\hat{\cdot}(\gamma, \gamma), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Then it is easy to see from Lemma 1.12 and our previous observation that  $\tilde{Q}$  is a bounded projection from  $l^\infty(\Gamma)$  onto  $c_0(\Gamma)$ . But by [21] no such projection exists, so no  $Q$  as above could exist.

If  $G$  is neither discrete nor compact, then the Main Structure Theorem for LCA groups says that there exists an open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $H \cong \mathbf{R}^n \times K$  for some  $n \geq 0$  and compact group  $K$ . If  $\iota: BM(H, H) \rightarrow BM(G, G)$  is the natural injection of  $BM(H, H)$  onto the bimeasures supported on  $H \times H$ , and  $\rho: BM(G, G) \rightarrow BM(H, H)$  is the operator of

restriction to  $H \times H$ , then  $\rho \circ \iota = I_{BM(H, H)}$ . It follows that if  $Q$ , as in (18), is a projection, then  $\rho Q \iota$  is a bounded projection from  $BM(H, H)$  onto  $BM_a(H, H)$ . Thus to prove that no such  $Q$  exists, we may assume  $G = \mathbf{R}^n \times K$ .

If  $n = 0$  then we are in the first case above. Otherwise, we shall show next that it suffices to prove our assertion for the case  $G = \mathbf{R}^n$ . For  $f \in V_0(G, G)$ , let us suppress some parentheses and write

$$(19) \quad (\sigma f)(\xi, \eta) = \int_K \int_K f(\xi, k, \eta, k') dk dk', \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

It is well known that if  $f, g \in C_0(G)$  then  $\sigma(f \otimes g) = \phi \otimes \psi$ , where  $\phi, \psi \in C_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $\|\phi\| \leq \|f\|$  and  $\|\psi\| \leq \|g\|$ . Hence one can verify directly that  $\sigma$  is a norm-reducing operator from  $V_0(G, G)$  to  $V_0(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)$ . On the other hand, if  $g \in V_0(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)$ , define  $\tau(g) = \tilde{g}$  by

$$(20) \quad \tilde{g}(\xi, k, \eta, k') = g(\xi, \eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^n, k, k' \in K.$$

Then  $\tau(g) \in V_0(G, G)$  with

$$\|\tau(g)\|_{V_0(G, G)} \leq \|g\|_{V_0(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Consider  $\sigma^*: BM(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow BM(G, G)$ . If  $g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ , let  $\tilde{g}$  be defined by (20) so that  $\tilde{g} \in L^1(G \times G)$ . For  $\phi, \psi \in C_0(G)$ , a straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi \otimes \psi, \sigma^*(u_g) \rangle &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \sigma(\phi \otimes \psi)(\xi, \eta) g(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ &= \int_G \int_G \phi(x) \psi(y) \tilde{g}(x, y) dx dy = \langle \phi \otimes \psi, u_{\tilde{g}} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\sigma^*(u_g) = u_{\tilde{g}}$ . In particular,

$$\sigma^*(BM_a(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)) \subset BM_a(G, G).$$

Now consider  $\tau^*: BM(G, G) \rightarrow BM(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)$ . On  $M(G \times G)\tau^*$  is the classical norm-reducing operator onto  $M(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ ; in particular, if  $f \in L^1(G \times G)$  then  $\tau^*(u_f) = u_g$ , where  $g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ , and is given by the integral in (19). Thus if  $g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ , then

$$\tau^* \sigma^*(u_g) = \tau^*(u_{\tilde{g}}) = u_g.$$

Hence if  $Q$  as in (18) is a bounded projection, then  $\tau^* Q \sigma^*$  is a bounded projection from  $BM(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)$  onto  $BM_a(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)$ . Thus we have shown that we may assume  $G = \mathbf{R}^n$ .

We shall show that for  $G = \mathbf{R}^n$  no projection as in (18) exists. To accomplish this we shall show that if  $Q$  is such a projection, then  $Q$

induces a projection from  $BM(\mathbf{T}^n, \mathbf{T}^n)$  onto  $BM_a(\mathbf{T}^n, \mathbf{T}^n)$ . An appeal to the compact case discussed above then completes the proof of Theorem 4.7.

Let  $I^n$  denote the closed unit cube, and define  $\psi: I^n \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^n$  by

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_n}).$$

Let  $J^n = \{x \in I^n: x_i \neq 1 \text{ for all } i\}$ . Then  $\psi|_{J^n}$  is injective; let us denote its inverse by  $\psi^{-1}$ . If  $f \in V_0(G, G)$  then

$$f \circ (\psi^{-1} \times \psi^{-1}) \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbf{T}^n) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbf{T}^n).$$

So appealing to Corollary 1.3, if  $u \in BM(\mathbf{T}^n, \mathbf{T}^n)$  there is a unique bimeasure  $\Psi(u) \in BM(G, G)$  supported on  $I^n$  of norm at most  $K_G \|u\|$  such that

$$\langle f, \Psi(u) \rangle = \langle f \circ (\psi^{-1} \times \psi^{-1}), u \rangle, \quad f \in V_0(G, G).$$

The bimeasure  $u$  lies in  $BM_a(\mathbf{T}^n, \mathbf{T}^n)$  if and only if  $\Psi(u) \in BM_a(G, G)$ , and the restriction  $\Phi$  of  $\Psi$  to  $BM_a(\mathbf{T}^n, \mathbf{T}^n)$  is a Banach-space isomorphism onto  $\{u \in BM_a(G, G): u \text{ is supported on } I^n \times I^n\}$ . If  $\rho$  denotes the operator of restriction of bimeasures on  $G \times G$  to  $I^n \times I^n$ , then it is clear that  $\Phi^{-1} \rho \Psi$  is the required projection on  $BM(\mathbf{T}^n, \mathbf{T}^n)$ , and our Theorem is proved.

**5. Idempotents, homomorphisms and restriction to diagonals.** We begin this section by showing that the connection between homomorphisms between measure algebras and idempotents observed by P. J. Cohen [3], [24, Thm. 4.4.3] also holds for homomorphisms between bimeasure algebras. However, one must use some care in defining the “graph” associated with a given homomorphism.

**DEFINITION 5.1.** Let  $\Psi: BM_a(G_1, G_2) \rightarrow BM(G'_1, G'_2)$  be an algebra homomorphism. Since  $BM(G'_1, G'_2)$  is semi-simple,  $\Psi$  is bounded. Let

$$N_\Psi = \{(\gamma', \delta') \in \Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_2: \Psi(u) \hat{=} (\gamma', \delta') \neq 0 \text{ for some } u \in BM(G_1, G_2)\},$$

so  $N_\Psi$  is an open set in  $\Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_2$ . Let

$$\Psi^*: N_\Psi \rightarrow \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$$

be the continuous map defined by

$$(21) \quad \Psi(u) \hat{=} (\gamma', \delta) = \hat{u}(\Psi^*(\gamma', \delta')),$$

$$u \in BM_a(G_1, G_2), \quad \gamma' \in \Gamma'_1, \delta' \in \Gamma'_2.$$

LEMMA 5.2. *Let  $\Psi$  be as in Definition 5.1. There is a (unique) extension of  $\Psi$  to a homomorphism of  $BM(G_1, G_2)$  into  $BM(G'_1, G'_2)$  with norm at most  $K_G^2 \|\Psi\|$  such that (21) holds for all  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows lines similar to those exploited in the proof of Theorem 4.6. Let  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ , and set

$$(22) \quad \phi(\gamma', \delta') = \begin{cases} \hat{u} \circ \Psi^*(\gamma', \delta'), & (\gamma', \delta') \in N_\Psi, \\ 0, & (\gamma', \delta') \notin N_\Psi. \end{cases}$$

Given  $f \in C_{00}(\Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_2)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $h \in L^1(G_1 \times G_2)$  such that  $\hat{h} = 1$  on  $\Psi^*(N_\Psi \cap \text{supp } f)$  and  $\|h\|_1 < 1 + \varepsilon$ . Let  $v = u * u_h$ . Then  $v \in BM_a(G_1, G_2)$ , and

$$\hat{v} \circ \Psi^*(\gamma', \delta') = \hat{h} \circ \Psi^*(\gamma', \delta') \phi(\gamma', \delta'), \quad \gamma' \in \Gamma'_1, \delta' \in \Gamma'_2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma'_2} \int_{\Gamma'_1} f(\gamma', \delta') \phi(\gamma', \delta') \, d\gamma' \, d\delta' \\ &= \int_{\Gamma'_2} \int_{\Gamma'_1} f(\gamma', \delta') \hat{v} \circ \Psi^*(\gamma', \delta') \, d\gamma' \, d\delta' = \langle \hat{f}, \Psi(v) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So by Theorem 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma'_2} \int_{\Gamma'_1} f(\gamma', \delta') \phi(\gamma', \delta') \, d\gamma' \, d\delta' \right| &\leq \|\Psi(v)\| \|\hat{f}\|_{v_0} \\ &\leq \|\Psi\| \|v\| \|\hat{f}\|_{v_0} < K_G^2(1 + \varepsilon) \|\Psi\| \|u\| \|\hat{f}\|_{v_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we see there exists  $w \in BM(G'_1, G'_2)$  such that  $\hat{w} = \phi$  and  $\|w\| \leq K_G^2 \|\Psi\| \|u\|$ , which proves our Lemma.

COROLLARY 5.3.  *$N_\Psi$  is an open and closed subset of  $\Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_2$  which is the support of the Fourier transform of an idempotent in  $BM(G'_1, G'_2)$ .*

*Proof.* We already observed that  $N_\Psi$  is open, which is clear from its definition. On the other hand,  $\Psi(\delta_{(0,0)})$  is an idempotent in  $BM(G'_1, G'_2)$  which acts as the identity for  $\Psi(BM(G_1, G_2))$ , from which it follows that

$$N_\Psi = \{(\gamma', \delta') \in \Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_2: \Psi(\delta_{(0,0)})^\wedge(\gamma', \delta') \neq 0\}.$$

Since  $\Psi(\delta_{(0,0)})^\wedge$  is continuous,  $N_\Psi$  is closed.

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Let  $\Psi$  be as in Definition 5.1. There is a (unique) extension of  $\Psi$  to a homomorphism of  $BM(bG_1, bG_2)$  into  $BM(bG'_1, bG'_2)$  of norm at most  $K_G^2\|\Psi\|$  such that (21) holds for all  $u \in BM(bG_1, bG_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in BM(bG_1, bG_2)$  and let  $\phi$  be defined by (22). Note that, since  $G_i$  is dense in  $bG_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , the points  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in bG_1$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in bG_2$  in the proof of Lemma 1.13 could in this case be chosen in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , respectively. Hence given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $(\gamma'_1, \delta'_1), \dots, (\gamma'_n, \delta'_n) \in \Gamma'_2$ , there exists  $v \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  with  $\|v\| \leq \|u\|$  such that if  $\psi$  is defined as in (22) for  $v$  then

$$|\phi(\gamma'_i, \delta'_i) - \psi(\gamma'_i, \delta'_i)| < \varepsilon, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

By Lemma 5.2,  $\psi \in S(\Gamma', \Gamma') \subset S(\Gamma'_{1,d}, \Gamma'_{2,d})$  and  $\psi = \hat{w}$ , where  $w \in BM(G'_1, G'_2)$  with  $\|w\| \leq K_G^2\|\Psi\|\|u\|$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, it is easy to see (by considering trigonometric polynomials on  $bG'_1$  and  $bG'_2$ ) there exists  $z \in BM(bG'_1, bG'_2)$  such that  $\hat{z} = \phi$  and  $\|z\| \leq K_G^2\|\Psi\|\|u\|$ , completing the proof.

**DEFINITION 5.5.** Let  $G_1, G_2, G'_1$  and  $G'_2$  be compact groups, and let  $\Psi: BM(G_1, G_2) \rightarrow BM(G'_1, G'_2)$  be an algebra homomorphism. Let  $\Psi^*$  and  $N_\Psi$  be defined as in Definition 5.1. By the *graph* of  $\Psi^*$  we shall mean the set

$$\{(\gamma, \gamma', \delta, \delta') \in \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma_2 \times \Gamma'_2: (\gamma', \delta') \in N_\Psi, (\gamma, \delta) = \Psi^*(\gamma', \delta')\}.$$

For  $x \in G_1, y \in G_2$ , set  $u(x, y) = \Psi(\delta_{(x,y)})$ . Then  $u(x, y) \in BM(G'_1, G'_2)$ ,  $\|u(x, y)\| \leq \|\Psi\|$  and

$$u(x, y)\hat{\ }(\gamma', \delta') = \begin{cases} ((-x, -y), \Psi^*(\gamma', \delta')), & (\gamma', \delta') \in N_\Psi, \\ 0, & (\gamma', \delta') \notin N_\Psi. \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 5.6.** *Let  $G_1, G_2, G'_1, G'_2$  and  $\Psi$  be as in Definition 5.5, and let  $\chi$  denote the characteristic function of the graph of  $\Psi^*$ . Then there exists  $u \in BM(G_1 \times G'_1, G_2 \times G'_2)$  such that  $\|u\| \leq K_G^2\|\Psi\|$  and  $\hat{u} = \chi$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is an adaptation of the argument on pp. 84–85 of [24]. Let  $p$  be a trigonometric polynomial on  $G'_1 \times G'_2$ . Consider  $p$  as a

trigonometric polynomial on  $G_1 \times G'_1 \times G_2 \times G'_2$  which does not depend on the first and third variables. So now

$$\hat{p}(\gamma, \gamma', \delta, \delta') = \hat{p}(\gamma', \delta').$$

For  $x \in G_1, x' \in G'_1, y \in G_2, y' \in G'_2$ , set

$$\phi(x, x', y, y') = \sum_{(\gamma', \delta') \in N_\Psi} \hat{p}(\gamma', \delta')((x, y), \Psi^*(\gamma', \delta'))(x', \gamma')(y', \delta').$$

Then  $\phi$  is a trigonometric polynomial whose Fourier transform is  $\hat{p}\chi$ . Defining  $u(x, y)$  as in Definition 5.5, we see from Definition 2.9 that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, x', y, y') &= \sum_{\delta' \in \Gamma'_2} \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma'_1} \hat{p}(\gamma', \delta')(x', \gamma')(y', \delta')u(-x, -y)(\gamma', \delta') \\ &= \sum_{\delta' \in \Gamma'_2} \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma'_1} \hat{p}(\gamma', \delta')\langle (x' - s', \gamma')(y' - t', \delta'), u(-x, -y)_{(s', t')} \rangle \\ &= p * u(-x, -y)(x', y'). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.3, we see that

$$u_p * u_{(-x, -y)} = u_p * u(-x, -y)$$

as elements of  $BM(G'_1, G'_2)$ . Hence in  $BM(G'_1, G'_2)$

$$\|u_p * u_{(-x, -y)}\| \leq K_G^2 \|u_p\| \|\Psi\| \leq K_G^2 \|\Psi\| \|p\|_1.$$

Let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma_1, \gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_m \in \Gamma'_1, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \Gamma_2, \delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_n \in \Gamma'_2$ , and let  $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n$  be complex numbers. Set

$$q_1(x, x') = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(-x, \gamma_i)(-x', \gamma'_i)$$

and

$$q_2(y, y') = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(-y, \delta_j)(-y', \delta'_j).$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $p$  be a trigonometric polynomial on  $G'_1 \times G'_2$  such that  $\|p\|_1 < 1 + \varepsilon$  and  $\hat{p}(\gamma'_i, \delta'_j) = 1, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_j \chi(\gamma_i, \gamma'_i, \delta_j, \delta'_j) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_j \hat{p}(\gamma_i, \gamma'_i, \delta_j, \delta'_j) \chi(\gamma_i, \gamma'_i, \delta_j, \delta'_j) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_j \hat{\phi}(\gamma_i, \gamma'_i, \delta_j, \delta'_j) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{G_1} \int_{G_2} \int_{G'_1} \int_{G'_2} q_1(x, x') q_2(y, y') \phi(x, x', y, y') dy' dx' dy dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{G_1} \int_{G_2} \langle q_1(x, x') \otimes q_2(y, y'), (u_{p * u(-x, -y)})_{(x', y')} \rangle dy dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{G_1} \int_{G_2} \|q_1(x, \cdot)\|_{G'_1} \|u_{p * u(-x, -y)}\| dy dx \\ &\leq \|q_1\|_{G_1 \times G'_1} \|q_2\|_{G_2 \times G'_2} K_G^2 \|\Psi\| \|p\|_1 \\ &< \|q_1\|_{G_1 \times G'_1} \|q_2\|_{G_2 \times G'_2} K_G^2 \|\Psi\| (1 + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, our Theorem is proved.

REMARKS 5.7. Theorem 5.6 tells us that in order to characterize homomorphisms between bimeasure algebras, it behooves us to identify the supports of the Fourier transforms of idempotent bimeasures. However, our next results shows that, in fact, this is not an easy task. For if  $\Gamma$  is totally disconnected, then  $BM(G, G)$  has many idempotents which are not measures.

For any LCA group  $\Gamma$ , let  $\Delta$  denote the diagonal in  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ , i.e.,

$$\Delta = \{(\gamma, \gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

For the group  $\mathbf{Z}$ , the following theorem appears in [17]. In fact, when  $\Gamma$  is discrete, it is just a restatement of Lemma 1.12.

THEOREM 5.8. *Given any bounded uniformly continuous function  $f$  on  $\Gamma$ , there exists  $\alpha \in S(\Gamma, \Gamma)$  such that*

$$(23) \quad \alpha(\gamma, \gamma) = f(\gamma), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

There exists  $u \in BM_a(G, G)$  such that  $\alpha = \hat{u}$  satisfies (23) if and only if  $f \in C_0(\Gamma)$ . If  $\Gamma$  is discrete, we can also require that  $\alpha(\gamma, \delta) = 0$  if  $(\gamma, \delta) \notin \Delta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C_u(\Gamma)$  denote the bounded uniformly continuous functions on  $\Gamma$ . By [16, (32.45)(b)],  $L^1(\Gamma) * L^\infty(\Gamma) = C_u(\Gamma)$ . So if  $f \in C_u(\Gamma)$ , choose  $g \in L^1(\Gamma)$  and  $h \in L^\infty(\Gamma)$  such that  $f = g * h$ . Write  $g^* = g_1 g_2$  with  $g_1, g_2 \in L^2(\Gamma)$ . Let  $\pi(\gamma)$  be the operator of translation by  $\gamma$  on  $L^2(\Gamma)$ :  $\pi(\gamma)\phi(\delta) = \phi(\delta - \gamma)$ ,  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ , and let  $M_h$  denote the operator of multiplication by  $h$ :  $M_h\phi = h\phi$ . Then for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\gamma) &= g * h(\gamma) = \int_{\Gamma} h(\delta)g(\gamma - \delta) d\delta = \int_{\Gamma} h(\delta)\overline{g^*(\delta - \gamma)}d\delta \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} h(\delta)\overline{g_1(\delta - \gamma)}\overline{g_2(\delta - \gamma)}d\delta = \langle M_h\pi(\gamma)\bar{g}_1, \pi(\gamma)g_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.6, we can pass to a Hilbert space containing  $L^2(\Gamma)$  and a unitary dilation of  $\|h\|_\infty^{-1}M_h$  to obtain a function  $\alpha \in S(\Gamma, \Gamma)$  satisfying (23).

If  $\Gamma$  is discrete, then since  $\Delta$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma$  there is an idempotent measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  such that  $\hat{\mu}$  is the characteristic function of  $\Delta$ . Thus  $\hat{\mu}\alpha$  is the desired function in this case. However, a more direct proof in case  $\Gamma$  is discrete comes from consideration of the function

$$\alpha(\gamma, \lambda) = \langle M_f\pi(\gamma)\delta_0, \pi(\lambda)\delta_0 \rangle,$$

which has the desired properties.

Returning now the general case, let  $f \in C_0(\Gamma)$ , and write  $f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n$ , where  $f_n \in C_{00}(\Gamma)$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|f_n\| < \infty$ . By the Open Mapping Theorem there exists  $C > 0$  and  $u_n \in BM(G, G)$  such that  $\hat{u}_n(\gamma, \gamma) = f_n(\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\|u_n\| \leq C\|f_n\|$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . For each  $n$ , let  $h_n \in L^1(G \times G)$  such that  $\|h_n\| < 2$  and  $\hat{h}_n(\gamma, \gamma) = 1$  if  $f_n(\gamma) \neq 0$ . Set  $v_n = u_n * u_{h_n}$ . Then  $v_n \in BM_a(G, G)$ ,  $\|v_n\| \leq 2CK_G^2\|f_n\|$ , and  $\hat{v}_n(\gamma, \gamma) = f_n(\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus

$$v = \sum_{n=1}^\infty v_n \in BM_a(G, G),$$

and  $\alpha = \hat{v}$  satisfies (23).

**COROLLARY 5.9.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a LCA group, and  $U$  an open and closed subset of  $\Gamma$  such that the characteristic function  $\chi_U$  is uniformly continuous on  $\Gamma$ . Let*

$$\tilde{U} = \{(\gamma, \gamma) : \gamma \in U\} \subset \Delta.$$

*Then there exists an idempotent  $\alpha \in S(\Gamma, \Gamma)$  such that  $\alpha|_{\tilde{\Delta}} = \chi_{\tilde{U}}$ .*



*Proof.* Note that the uniform continuity of  $\chi_U$  is equivalent to the existence of an open subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $U$  is a union of cosets of  $\Lambda$ . For  $U$  and  $U^c$  must be unions of cosets of the identity component of  $\Gamma$ , so it suffices to consider the case when  $\Gamma$  is totally disconnected. In this case it is easy to see that uniform continuity means there is a compact open subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $U$  is a union of cosets of  $\Lambda$ .

If  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Lambda$  is the canonical homomorphism, then

$$\bar{\alpha} = \chi_{(\phi \times \phi)(\tilde{U})} \in S(\Gamma/\Lambda, \Gamma/\Lambda)$$

by Theorem 5.8. Now, if we set

$$\alpha(\gamma, \delta) = \bar{\alpha}(\phi(\gamma), \phi(\delta)), \quad \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma,$$

then  $\alpha \in S(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ ,  $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ , and  $\alpha|_{\Delta} = \chi_{\tilde{U}}$ .

**COROLLARY 5.10.** *If  $G$  is nondiscrete, then  $M(G \times G)$  is not dense in  $BM(G, G)$ , neither in the  $BM$ -norm topology, nor in the topology of uniform convergence of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms on  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mu \in M(G \times G)$ , then since  $\Delta$  is a closed subgroup of  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ ,  $\hat{\mu}|_{\Delta} \in B(\Delta)$ . But not every bounded uniformly continuous function on  $\Delta$  lies in the uniform closure  $B(\Delta)^-$  of  $B(\Delta)$ . Since

$$\|\hat{u}\|_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} \leq \|u\|, \quad u \in BM(G, G),$$

our assertion follows from Theorem 5.8.

**REMARK 5.11.** A characterization of the space  $B(\Gamma)^-$  for any LCA group appears in [22].

As an application of Corollary 5.10 we can obtain a proof of the following theorem of Saeki [25, Thm. 3] in the spirit of the present work.

**THEOREM 5.12.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be locally compact spaces. Then  $M(X \times Y) = C_0(X \times Y)^*$  is dense in  $BM(X, Y)$  if and only if either  $X$  or  $Y$  contains no nonvoid perfect set.*

*Proof.* Suppose both  $X$  and  $Y$  contain nonvoid perfect sets. Let  $D_2 = (\mathbf{Z}_2)^\omega$  denote the Cantor group. Then there exist compact perfect sets  $E \subset X$  and  $F \subset Y$  and surjective continuous maps

$$\phi: E \rightarrow D_2, \quad \psi: F \rightarrow D_2.$$

Define

$$\Phi: BM(E, F) \rightarrow BM(D_2, D_2)$$

by

$$\langle f, \Phi(u) \rangle = \langle f \circ (\phi \times \psi), u \rangle, \quad f \in V(D_2, D_2).$$

Then  $\Phi$  is easily seen to be a norm-reducing linear map which maps  $M(E \times F)$  onto  $M(D_2 \times D_2)$ . If  $M(X \times Y)$  is dense in  $BM(X, Y)$ , then  $M(E \times F)$  is dense in  $BM(E, F)$  (cf. Definition 1.6), which then implies  $M(D_2 \times D_2)$  is dense in  $BM(D_2, D_2)$ . But Corollary 5.10 says this is not the case.

Conversely, suppose, say,  $X$  contains no nonvoid perfect sets. Since the support of a continuous regular Borel measure is obviously a perfect set, we see that every regular Borel measure on  $X$  is discrete. In particular, if  $u \in BM(X, Y)$  and  $\lambda_X, \lambda_Y$  are a Grothendieck measure pair for  $u$ , then  $\lambda_X$  is discrete. So given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $C > 0$  as in (1), it follows easily from (1) that there is a finite set  $E \subset X$  such that

$$\|u - u|_{E \times Y}\| < C\varepsilon,$$

and  $u|_{E \times Y}$  is clearly a measure.

**6. Some thin sets associated with bimeasures.** Throughout this section we shall restrict our attention to *compact groups*  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  and their discrete duals and study the notion of a Sidon set relative to the bimeasure algebra. Extensions to noncompact groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , including the notion of a *BM-Helson set*, may be supplied by the informed reader.

**DEFINITION 6.1.** A subset  $E$  of  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  is called a *BM-Sidon set* if for every bounded, complex-valued function  $f$  on  $E$  there exists  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  such that  $\hat{u} = f$  on  $E$ . Note that, as in the case of measures, if  $E$  is a *BM-Sidon set*, there exists  $C > 0$  such that, given  $f$  as above, the bimeasure  $u$  can be chosen so that  $\|u\| \leq C\|f\|_E$ . The smallest such  $C$  is called the *BM-Sidon constant* of  $E$ .

Just as in the case of measures, there is a series of conditions on a set  $E$  which are equivalent to the assertion that  $E$  is a *BM-Sidon set*. The proof of the following theorem rests on standard arguments such as may be found in [24, §5.7] and ideas developed earlier in this work. We shall omit the details. Recall that a function  $f \in L^1(G_1 \times G_2)$  is called an *E-function* if  $\hat{f}(\gamma, \delta) = 0$  for all  $(\gamma, \delta) \notin E$  [24, 5.7.1].

**THEOREM 6.2.** *The following conditions on a subset  $E$  of  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E$  is a  $BM$ -Sidon set with  $BM$ -Sidon constant  $C$ .
- (ii) For every trigonometric  $E$ -polynomial  $f$  on  $G_1 \times G_2$ ,

$$(24) \quad \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in E} |\hat{f}(\gamma, \delta)| \leq C \|f\|_V.$$

- (iii) Condition (24) holds for every  $E$ -function  $f \in V(G_1, G_2)$ .
- (iv) For every  $E$ -function  $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(G_1) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(G_2)$ ,

$$\sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in E} |\hat{f}(\gamma, \delta)| \leq C \|f\|_{\infty, \infty}.$$

Moreover,  $E$  is a  $BM$ -Sidon set if and only if there exists  $C' > 0$  such that for every  $f \in C_0(E)$  there exists  $u \in BM_a(G_1, G_2)$  such that  $\hat{u} = f$  on  $E$  and  $\|u\| \leq C' \|f\|_E$ . If  $K$  is the infimum of all such numbers  $C'$ , and  $E$  satisfies (i), then  $C \leq K \leq 2K^2C$ .

We know from Lemma 1.12 or Theorem 5.8 that if  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma$  then the diagonal  $\Delta$  in  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$  is a  $BM$ -Sidon set with  $BM$ -Sidon constant 1. We shall now extend this result, thereby exhibiting more  $BM$ -Sidon sets that are not  $M(G_1 \times G_2)$ -Sidon sets.

**THEOREM 6.3.** *Let  $\phi: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  be any mapping such that*

$$(25) \quad \max_{\delta \in \Gamma_2} |\phi^{-1}(\delta)| = n < \infty.$$

*Then the graph  $E = \{(\gamma, \phi(\gamma)): \gamma \in \Gamma_1\}$  of  $\phi$  is a  $BM$ -Sidon set with  $BM$ -Sidon constant at most  $n^{1/2}$ .*

*Proof.* We shall verify condition (ii) of Theorem 6.2. Let  $f$  be a trigonometric  $E$ -polynomial and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Choose trigonometric polynomials  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  on  $G_1$  and  $h_1, \dots, h_m$  on  $G_2$  such that  $f = \sum_1^m g_j \otimes h_j$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \|g_j\|_{G_1} \|h_j\|_{G_2} < (1 + \varepsilon) \|f\|_V.$$

It follows from (25) that  $\|\hat{h}_j \circ \phi\|_2 \leq n^{1/2} \|\hat{h}_j\|_2$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in E} |\hat{f}(\gamma, \delta)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in E} |\hat{g}_j(\gamma)| |\hat{h}_j(\delta)| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1} |\hat{g}_j(\gamma)| |\hat{h}_j(\phi(\gamma))| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \|\hat{g}_j\|_2 \|\hat{h}_j \circ \phi\|_2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m n^{1/2} \|g_j\|_2 \|h_j\|_2 \leq n^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^m \|g_j\|_{G_1} \|h_j\|_{G_2} \\ &< n^{1/2}(1 + \epsilon) \|f\|_\nu, \end{aligned}$$

and our Theorem follows.

EXAMPLES 6.4. (i) Let  $a$  and  $b$  be nonzero integers, and set  $E = \{(m, n): m, n \in \mathbf{Z}, am + bn = 0\}$ . Then by Theorem 6.3  $E$  is a  $BM$ -Sidon set in  $\mathbf{Z}^2$ .

(ii) If  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are infinite, then it is easy to see that for any  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_1$  and  $\delta_0 \in \Gamma_2$ ,  $\{(\gamma, \delta_0): \gamma \in \Gamma_1\}$  and  $\{(\gamma_0, \delta): \delta \in \Gamma_2\}$  are not  $BM$ -Sidon sets. For if  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$ , then it is clear that the functions  $\phi(\gamma) = \hat{u}(\gamma, \delta_0)$  and  $\psi(\delta) = \hat{u}(\gamma_0, \delta)$  are Fourier-Stieltjes transforms. Hence some condition like (25) is necessary if the conclusion of Theorem 6.3 is to be valid.

REMARK 6.5. We conclude our discussion of  $BM$ -Sidon sets with some remarks regarding the union of such sets. It is a straightforward exercise to modify the argument of Drury [4], [5, §5.5] by substituting bimeasures for measures; we shall omit the details. If this is done and the fact that  $M(G_1 \times G_2)$  is a Banach algebra is replaced by Theorem 2.6, one arrives at the quantitative result embodied in the following theorem. A standard argument then leads to Corollary 6.7 below. We have explored the possibility of adapting to the context of bimeasures Rider’s proof [23] of the union theorem for Sidon sets, which proof uses the notion of “almost surely continuous” functions. If that adaptation could be accomplished, a better estimate (on the order of  $C^3$ ) of the norm in Theorem 6.6 would follow. However, there are several places in the proof of Rider where such an adaptation appears difficult to achieve.

THEOREM 6.6. *Let  $E$  be a  $BM$ -Sidon set in  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  with  $BM$ -Sidon constant  $C$ . There exists  $u \in BM(G_1, G_2)$  such that  $\hat{u} = 1$  on  $E$ ,  $|\hat{u}| \leq 1/2$  outside  $E$ , and  $\|u\| \leq 128K_G^6 C^4$ .*

COROLLARY 6.7. *If  $E$  and  $F$  are BM-Sidon sets in  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ , then  $E \cup F$  is a BM-Sidon set.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. K. Carne, *Tensor products and Banach algebras*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **17** (1978), 480–488.
- [2] ———, *Representation theory for tensor products of Banach algebras*, (preprint).
- [3] P. J. Cohen, *On homomorphisms of group algebras*, Amer. J. Math., **82** (1960), 213–226.
- [4] S. W. Drury, *Sur les ensembles de Sidon*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B, **271** (1970), A162–A163.
- [5] C. F. Dunkl and D. E. Ramirez, *Topics in Harmonic Analysis*, Appleton-Century-Crofts, New York, 1971.
- [6] W. F. Eberlein, *Characterizations of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms*, Duke Math. J., **22** (1955), 465–468.
- [7] R. E. Edwards, *On factor functions*, Pacific J. Math., **5** (1955), 367–378.
- [8] J. E. Gilbert, *Harmonic Analysis and the Grothendieck Fundamental Theorem*, Symposia Math., **22** (1977), 393–420.
- [9] ———, *On the tensor product of Banach algebras which are  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -spaces*, (preprint).
- [10] J. E. Gilbert, T. Ito and B. M. Schreiber, *Bimeasure algebras on locally compact groups*, J. Funct. Anal., to appear.
- [11] J. E. Gilbert and T. J. Leih, *Factorization, Tensor Products and Bilinear Forms in Banach Space Theory*, Notes in Banach Spaces, H. E. Lacey, ed., Univ. of Texas Press, Austin, Texas, 1980, pp. 182–305.
- [12] C. C. Graham and O. C. McGehee, *Essays in Commutative Harmonic Analysis*, Grund. der Math. Wissen. No. 238, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1979.
- [13] A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1955.
- [14] ———, *Resumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo, **8** (1956), 1–79.
- [15] H. Helson, *Isomorphisms of abelian group algebras*, Ark. Mat., **2** (1953), 475–487.
- [16] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Vols. I, II*, Grundle. der Math. Wissen. Nos. 115, 152, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1963, 1970.
- [17] T. Ito and B. M. Schreiber, *A space of sequences given by pairs of unitary operators*, Proc. Japan Acad., **46** (1970), 637–641.
- [18] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pełczyński, *Absolutely summing operators in  $\mathcal{L}$ -spaces and their applications*, Studia Math., **29** (1968), 275–326.
- [19] L. H. Loomis, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey, 1953.
- [20] H. K. Milne, *The injective tensor product of Banach algebras which are  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -spaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **8** (1976), 261–262.
- [21] R. S. Phillips, *On linear transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **48** (1940), 516–541.
- [22] D. E. Ramirez, *Uniform approximation by Fourier-Stieltjes transforms*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **64** (1968), 323–333.
- [23] D. Rider, *Randomly continuous functions and Sidon sets*, Duke Math. J., **42** (1975), 752–764.

- [24] W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math No. 12 Wiley, New York, 1962.
- [25] S. Saeki, *Tensor products of  $C(X)$ -spaces and their conjugate spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **28** (1976), 33–47.
- [26] R. Schatten, *A Theory of Cross Spaces*, Annals of Math. Studies No. 26, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1950.
- [27] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Analyse Harmonique des Opérateurs de L'espace de Hilbert*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1967.
- [28] N. Th. Varopoulos, *Tensor algebras and harmonic analysis*, Acta Math., **119** (1968), 51–112.

Received January 3, 1983 and in revised form April 15, 1983. Research of both authors was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants MCS-7801815 for the first author and MCS-8001534 for the second.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY  
EVANSTON, IL 60201

AND

WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY  
DETROIT, MI 48202

*Current address of C. Graham:* Dept. of Mathematics  
University of British Columbia  
Vancouver BC V6T 1W5, Canada



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721

C. C. MOORE  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

ARTHUR OGUS  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

HUGO ROSSI  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON



Carlos Andradas Heranz and José Manuel Gamboa Mutuberría, A note on projections of real algebraic varieties .....	1
Jürgen Appell and Maria Patrizia Pera, Noncompactness principles in nonlinear operator approximation theory .....	13
Timothy John Carlson, Extending Lebesgue measure by infinitely many sets .....	33
Donald S. Coram and Paul Frazier Duvall, Jr., Non-cell-like decompositions of $S^3$ .....	47
Edward Norman Dancer, Order intervals of selfadjoint linear operators and nonlinear homeomorphisms .....	57
Ralph Jay De Laubenfels, Well-behaved derivations on $C[0, 1]$ .....	73
D. Feyel and A. de La Pradelle, Sur certaines extensions du théorème d'approximation de Bernstein .....	81
Colin C. Graham and Bertram Manuel Schreiber, Bimeasure algebras on LCA groups .....	91
Richard Howard Hudson, Class numbers of imaginary cyclic quartic fields and related quaternary systems .....	129
Carl Groos Jockusch, Jr. and Iraj Kalantari, Recursively enumerable sets and van der Waerden's theorem on arithmetic progressions .....	143
J. F. McClendon, On noncontractible valued multifunctions .....	155
Akihiko Miyachi, Weak factorization of distributions in $H^p$ spaces .....	165
Ezzat S. Noussair and Charles Andrew Swanson, Global positive solutions of semilinear elliptic problems .....	177
Jon Christopher Snader, Strongly analytic subspaces and strongly decomposable operators .....	193
Boguslaw Tomaszewski, A construction of inner maps preserving the Haar measure on spheres .....	203
Akihito Uchiyama, The Fefferman-Stein decomposition of smooth functions and its application to $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .....	217