Pacific Journal of Mathematics

NONCOINCIDENCE INDEX OF MANIFOLDS

MIKE HOFFMAN

Vol. 115, No. 2

October 1984

NONCOINCIDENCE INDEX OF MANIFOLDS

MICHAEL HOFFMAN

For a connected topological manifold M we define the noncoincidence index of M, a topological invariant reflecting the abundance of fixed-point-free self-maps of M. We give some theorems on noncoincidence index and compute the noncoincidence index of the homogeneous manifold U(n)/H, where H is conjugate to $U(1)^k \times U(n-k)$.

1. Introduction. Let M be a manifold (connected locally Euclidean Hausdorff space). We define the *noncoincidence index* of M, #M, as follows. If M admits k fixed-point-free self-maps, no pair of which has a coincidence, set $\#M \ge k + 1$. If $\#M \ge i$ for all i, put $\#M = \infty$; otherwise, #M is the greatest number i with $\#M \ge i$. (This definition is inspired by [5].)

Evidently a manifold has noncoincidence index 1 if and only if it has the fixed-point property. On the other hand, if a group G acts freely on M, then $\#M \ge \operatorname{card} G$ if G is finite and $\#M = \infty$ if G is infinite. In particular, any connected nontrivial Lie group has noncoincidence index ∞ .

As we see in §2, many manifolds besides Lie groups have noncoincidence index ∞ . In §3 we show how the Lefschetz coincidence theorem can be used to put a finite upper bound on #M for certain compact oriented manifolds M. These results are used in §4 to compute the noncoincidence index of the homogeneous space U(n)/H for H conjugate to $U(1)^k \times U(n-k)$. Section 5 is devoted to proving a classification theorem for endomorphisms of $H^*(U(n)/H; \mathbf{Q})$ which is needed in §4.

I thank my colleague W. Homer for greatly improving Lemma 5.3, and I thank A. Dold for some helpful observations.

2. Sufficient conditions for $\#M = \infty$. In this section we give some sufficient conditions for a manifold M to have $\#M = \infty$. The following result gives some easily checked homological conditions.

THEOREM 2.1. Let M be a compact manifold. Then $\#M = \infty$ if either of the following is true:

1. *M* has nonzero first Betti number, or 2. $\chi(M) = 0$.

Proof. For (1), see Corollary 5.1 of [5]. Now suppose $\chi(M) = 0$. By [4], there is a map s: $[0,1] \times M \to M$ with $s(0, \cdot) = id_M$ and $s(t, \cdot)$: $M \to M$ fixed-point-free for t > 0. Let d be a metric for M, and set

$$N(t) = \inf_{x \in M} d(s(t, x), x), \qquad F(t) = \sup_{x \in M} d(s(t, x), x).$$

Then $F(t) \ge N(t) > 0$ for t > 0, and F(t), $N(t) \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. Choose $0 < t_k < t_{k-1} < \cdots < t_1 \le 1$ so that $F(t_i) < N(t_{i-1})$: then

 $x \to s(t_i, x), \qquad 1 \le i \le k,$

is a set of k fixed-point-free, noncoincident maps. Since we can do this for any k, $\#M = \infty$.

From the preceding result, we see that any odd-dimensional compact manifold has noncoincidence index ∞ . It also follows that $\#M = \infty$ for any compact surface M, except $M = S^2$ and $M = \mathbb{R}P^2$ (of course $\#\mathbb{R}P^2 = 1$, and we see in the next section that $\#S^2 = 2$).

The next result gives another useful sufficient condition for $\#M = \infty$.

THEOREM 2.2. Let M be a compact manifold which admits a fixedpoint-free nonsurjective self-map. Then $\#M = \infty$.

Proof. Let $f: M \to M$ be fixed-point-free and nonsurjective. By Theorem 1.11 of [3], there is a path field nonsingular on the image of f, i.e. a map $s: [0,1] \times M \to M$ such that $s(0, \cdot) = id_M$ and $s(t, \cdot)$ fixes no point of f(M) for t > 0. Let d be a metric for M and take $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $d(f(x), x) \ge \varepsilon$ for $x \in M$. Then there is some $t_0 > 0$ so that

$$\sup_{x\in M}d(s(t,x),x)<\varepsilon$$

for $t < t_0$. Now proceed as in the proof of 2.1; set

$$N(t) = \inf_{x \in f(M)} d(s(t, x), x), \qquad F(t) = \sup_{x \in f(M)} d(s(t, x), x)$$

(note f(M) is compact) and choose $0 < t_k < t_{k-1} < \cdots < t_1 < t_0$ such that $F(t_i) < N(t_{i-1})$. Then there are k fixed-point-free noncoincident self-maps of M given by

 $x \to s(t_i, f(x)), \quad 1 \le i \le k.$

Since k is arbitrary, $\#M = \infty$.

3. The Lefschetz coincidence theorem. In this section we show how the Lefschetz coincidence theorem can be used to put a finite upper bound on the noncoincidence index in some cases. As we see in the next section, such an upper bound combined with constructions of fixedpoint-free maps often gives the noncoincidence index exactly.

Throughout this section, M will be a compact oriented *n*-manifold. We shall use the following version of the Lefschetz coincidence theorem: for a more general statement, see [9].

THEOREM 3.1. For maps
$$f, g: M \to M$$
, set

$$L(f, g) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} \operatorname{Tr} (\Phi_{i}^{-1}g_{*}\Phi_{i}f^{*}),$$

where Φ_i : $H^i(M; \mathbf{Q}) \to H_{n-i}(M; \mathbf{Q})$ is the Poincaré duality isomorphism. If $L(f, g) \neq 0$, then f and g have a coincidence.

REMARKS. 1. It is immediate that L(f, id) = L(f), the ordinary Lefschetz number of f, so this result implies the Lefschetz fixed-point theorem for M.

2. It follows from properties of trace that $L(f, g) = (-1)^n L(g, f)$.

Let g be a self-map of M. We define the degree of g by $g_*[M] = (\deg g)[M]$, where $[M] \in H_n(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is the fundamental class of M. The following result is useful in computing the Lefschetz coincidence number.

PROPOSITION 3.2. If g is a self-map of M with deg $g \neq 0$, then g^* : $H^*(M; \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbf{Q})$ has an inverse \overline{g}^* and

$$L(f, g) = (\deg g)L(\bar{g}^*f^*)$$

for any other self-map f of M.

Proof. If deg $g \neq 0$, it follows from consideration of Poincaré duality that g^* is injective. Then g^* is an automorphism, since each vector space $H^i(M; \mathbf{Q})$ is finite-dimensional. For $u \in H^i(M; \mathbf{Q})$,

$$\Phi_1^{-1}g_*\Phi_i f^*(u) = \Phi_i^{-1}g_*(g^*\bar{g}^*f^*(u) \cap [M])$$

= $\Phi_i^{-1}(\bar{g}^*f^*(u) \cap g_*[M]) = (\deg g)\bar{g}^*f^*(u),$

and the conclusion follows from the definition of L(f, g).

By Theorem 3.1, any fixed-point-free self-map f of M must have L(f) = 0, and any pair f, g of self-maps without a coincidence must have L(f, g) = 0. We put

$$LZ(M) = \{ f^* | f \colon M \to M \text{ and } L(f) = 0 \}$$

and say f^* , $g^* \in LZ(M)$ are compatible if L(f, g) = 0. If $\chi(M) \neq 0$ and LZ(M) consists of automorphisms of $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$, we call M L-rigid. We then have the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.3. Suppose M is L-rigid. If $\#M \ge k + 1$, then LZ(M) contains a subset of k pairwise compatible elements.

Proof. By the hypothesis, there is a set S of k pairwise noncoincident fixed-point-free self-maps of M. Let $f, g \in S$. Then f^* and g^* are compatible elements of LZ(M). We have $f^* \neq g^*$, since otherwise

 $L(f,g) = L(f,f) = (\deg f)L(\operatorname{id}) = (\deg f)\chi(M) \neq 0.$

Thus, $\{f^* | f \in S\}$ is a set of k pairwise compatible elements of LZ(M).

REMARK. Note that if M is L-rigid, then any pair f^* , $g^* \in LZ(M)$ is compatible if and only if $L(\overline{g}^*f^*) = 0$.

It follows immediately from 3.3 that

(1) $\#M \le \operatorname{card} LZ(M) + 1$

when *M* is *L*-rigid and LZ(M) is finite. Thus we have, e.g., $\#S^{2n} \le 2$ for any even sphere S^{2n} (and in fact $\#S^{2n} = 2$, since the antipodal map is fixed-point-free). As we see in the next section, however, 3.3 sometimes gives a sharper upper bound than (1).

4. Noncoincidence index of some flag manifolds. Let $F(1^k, n)$ denote the homogeneous space U(n + k)/H, where H is conjugate to $U(1)^k \times U(n)$. (We can assume n = 0 or $n \ge 2$: in the former case we write $F(1^k)$ instead of $F(1^k, 0)$.) It is proved in [7] that $\#F(1^k) = k!$. In this section we compute $\#F(1^k, n)$ for all k and n.

The manifold $F(1^k, n)$ can be thought of as in the space of k-tuples of orthogonal lines in \mathbb{C}^{n+k} . Thus, there are maps

$$\pi_i: F(1^k, n) \to \mathbb{C}P^{n+k-1}, \qquad 1 \le i \le k,$$

given by picking out the *i*th line. If we let $t \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^{n+k-1}; \mathbb{Q})$ be the first Chern class of the canonical line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^{n+k-1}$ and put $t_i = \pi_i^*(t)$, we have the following description of $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbb{Q})$ [1].

$$H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q}[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k] / \{h_i | n+1 \le i \le n+k\},\$$

where h_i is the *i*th complete symmetric function in t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k , i.e.

$$h_{i} = \sum_{p_{1} + \cdots + p_{k} = i} t_{1}^{p_{1}} t_{2}^{p_{2}} \cdots t_{k}^{p_{k}}.$$

There is a free action of the symmetric group Σ_k on $F(1^k, n)$ by permutation of lines, and this action evidently permutes the t_i in cohomology.

For any $m \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$, let h_m^{σ} denote the endomorphism of $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$ given by

$$h_m^{\sigma}(t_i) = mt_{\sigma(i)}$$

The following classification theorem for endomorphisms of $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$ is proved in §5.

THEOREM 4.1. Unless k = 2 and n is a positive even number, all endomorphisms of $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$ are of the form h_m^{σ} for some $m \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$. If k = 2 and $n \ge 2$ is even, the only additional endomorphisms are

$$t_i \to (-1)^i m t_q, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

for $q \in \{1, 2\}$ *and* $m \in \mathbf{Q}$ *.*

The next result gives a formula for $L(h_m^{\sigma})$.

THEOREM 4.2. Let $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots$ be the cycle-type of $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$ (so λ_1 is the length of the longest cycle in σ , λ_2 is the length of the next longest cycle, etc.). Then h_m^{σ} : $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q}) \to H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$ has Lefschetz number

(1)
$$L(h_m^{\sigma}) = \frac{(1-m^{n+1})(1-m^{n+2})\cdots(1-m^{n+k})}{(1-m^{\lambda_1})(1-m^{\lambda_2})\cdots}$$

Proof. For h_m^{σ} : $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q}) \to H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$, let $P_{k,n}(\sigma, m)$ denote $L(h_m^{\sigma})$. From [7] we have the formula

(2)
$$P_{k,0}(\sigma, m) = \frac{(1-m)(1-m^2)\cdots(1-m^k)}{(1-m^{\lambda_1})(1-m^{\lambda_2})\cdots}$$

Now the spectral sequence of the fibration

 $F(1^k) \to F(1^k, n) \to G_k(\mathbb{C}^{n+k}),$

where $G_k(\mathbb{C}^{n+k})$ is the Grassmannian of k-planes in \mathbb{C}^{n+k} , collapses for degree reasons. Thus

$$H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q}) \cong H^*(F(1^k); \mathbf{Q}) \otimes H^*(G_k(\mathbf{C}^{n+k}); \mathbf{Q})$$

additively. Now $H^*(G_k(\mathbb{C}^{n+k}); \mathbb{Q})$ can be regarded as the invariant subring of $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbb{Q})$ under the Σ_k -action, and $H^*(F(1^k); \mathbb{Q})$ is a quotient of $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbb{Q})$ (the projection is the obvious map sending $t_i \in H^2(F(1^k, n); \mathbb{Q})$ to $t_i \in H^2(F(1^k); \mathbb{Q})$). Any endomorphism h_m^{σ} of $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$ restricts to the endomorphism of $H^*(G_k(\mathbf{C}^{n+k}); \mathbf{Q})$ which multiplies dimension 2i by m^i , and gives rise to the corresponding h_m^{σ} on $H^*(F(1^k); \mathbf{Q})$. Since trace is multiplicative on tensor products,

(3)
$$P_{k,n}(\sigma,m) = P_{k,0}(\sigma,m) \sum_{i\geq 0} m^i \dim H^{2i}(G_k(\mathbb{C}^{n+k});\mathbb{Q}).$$

It is well known (see e.g. [1]) that

$$\sum_{i\geq 0} m^{i} \dim H^{2i}(G_{k}(\mathbf{C}^{n+k}); \mathbf{Q}) = \frac{(1-m^{n+1})(1-m^{n+2})\cdots(1-m^{n+k})}{(1-m)(1-m^{2})\cdots(1-m^{k})}$$

,

and this together with (2) and (3) implies the conclusion.

We can use Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 to show that many of the manifolds $F(1^k, n)$ are L-rigid.

PROPOSITION 4.3. Suppose $k \neq 2$, *n* is odd, or n = 0. Then $F(1^k, n)$ is *L*-rigid. Further, if Γ is the set of products of $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ disjoint transpositions in Σ_k , then

$$LZ(F(1^k, n)) = \begin{cases} \{h_1^{\sigma} | \sigma \neq \mathrm{id}\} \cup \{h_{-1}^{\sigma} | \sigma \in \Sigma_k\}, & kn \text{ odd}, \\ \{h_1^{\sigma} | \sigma \neq \mathrm{id}\} \cup \{h_{-1}^{\sigma} | \sigma \notin \Gamma\}, & kn \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By 4.1, an element of $LZ(F(1^k, n))$ must be an endomorphism h_m^{σ} of $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$ with $L(h_m^{\sigma}) = 0$. The above list follows from consideration of (1) (it is easy to see that any h_m^{σ} , $m = \pm 1$, is induced by a self-map of $F(1^k, n)$). Now

$$\chi(F(1^k, n)) = k! \binom{n+k}{k}$$

and clearly h_m^{σ} is an automorphism for $m \neq 0$ (in fact deg $h_m^{\sigma} = m^d \operatorname{sgn} \sigma$, where $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} F(1^k, n)$), so $F(1^k, n)$ is *L*-rigid.

Now we can give an upper bound for $\#F(1^k, n)$ when n and k satisfy the hypothesis of the preceding result.

PROPOSITION 4.4. Suppose $k \neq 2$, *n* is odd, or n = 0. Then $\#F(1^k, n) \leq k!$ if kn is even, and $\#F(1^k, n) \leq 2k!$ if kn is odd.

Proof. The statement about $\#F(1^k, n)$ for kn odd follows immediately from 3.3, since $LZ(F(1^k, n))$ has 2k! - 1 elements by 4.3. Again by 3.3, to prove the statement about $\#F(1^k, n)$ for kn even it suffices to show that any set of pairwise compatible elements of $LZ(F(1^k, n))$ has at most k! - 1 elements in this case. Suppose kn even and let $S \subset LZ(F(1^k, n))$ be a set of compatible elements. Let

$$H = \left\{ \sigma \in \Sigma_k | h_1^{\sigma} \in S \right\} \cup \{ \mathrm{id} \}$$
$$K = \left\{ \sigma \in \Sigma_k | h_{-1}^{\sigma} \in S \right\}.$$

Then card S = card H + card K - 1. Since

$$L(h_{-1}^{\tau}, h_{1}^{\sigma}) = (\deg h_{1}^{\sigma})L(h_{1}^{\sigma^{-1}}h_{-1}^{\tau}) = \pm L(h_{-1}^{\sigma^{-1}\tau}),$$

we must have $\sigma^{-1}\tau \notin \Gamma$ for $\tau \in K$ and $\sigma \in H$ (here Γ is as in 4.3). But then, if we take $\rho \in \Gamma$, we have $\sigma \rho \notin K$ for every $\sigma \in H$: hence card H + card $K \leq k!$, and the conclusion follows.

Next we show that the inequalities of 4.4 are equalities. To do this, we construct fixed-point-free, noncoincident maps. For any even number 2r, define $J: \mathbb{C}^{2r} \to \mathbb{C}^{2r}$ by

$$J(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{2r-1}, z_{2r}) = (-\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1, \ldots, -\bar{z}_{2r}, \bar{z}_{2r-1}).$$

Then J is a conjugate-linear map of \mathbb{C}^{2r} with $J^2 = -id$. Under the evident identification $\mathbb{C}^{2r} \cong \mathbb{H}^r$, we can regard J as multiplication by the quaternion j. Any subspace of \mathbb{C}^{2r} invariant under J can be given the structure of a quaternionic vector space, and thus must be even-dimensional (cf. the proof of Theorem 1 of [6]). Further, if \langle , \rangle denotes inner product,

(4)
$$\langle Jv, Jw \rangle = \langle w, v \rangle$$
 for $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^{2r}$.

Thus J preserves orthogonality.

THEOREM 4.5. Unless k = 2 and n is a positive even number,

 $\#F(1^k, n) = \begin{cases} 2k!, & kn \ odd, \\ k!, & kn \ even. \end{cases}$

Proof. Since there is a free Σ_k -action on $F(1^k, n)$ (i.e., permutation of lines), we have $\#F(1^k, n) \ge k!$; together with 4.4, this disposes of the case kn even. Now suppose kn is odd. Then n + k is even, and we have the map $J: \mathbb{C}^{n+k} \to \mathbb{C}^{n+k}$ defined above. Consider the 2k! - 1 self-maps of $F(1^k, n)$ defined by

(5)
$$(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k) \to (l_{\pi(1)}, l_{\pi(2)}, \dots, l_{\pi(k)}), \quad \pi \in \Sigma_k - \{id\}$$

and

(6)
$$(l_1, l_2, ..., l_k) \to (Jl_{\pi(1)}, Jl_{\pi(2)}, ..., Jl_{\pi(k)}), \quad \pi \in \Sigma_k.$$

We claim these maps are fixed-point-free and pairwise noncoincident. Clearly the maps in (5) are fixed-point-free and pairwise noncoincident, and the maps in (6) are pairwise noncoincident. Suppose now we have a fixed point of a map in (6) or a coincidence between a map in (5) and one in (6), i.e., an element $(l_1, l_2, ..., l_k)$ of $F(1^k, n)$ with

$$l_{\pi(i)} = J l_{\sigma(i)}, \qquad 1 \le i \le k,$$

for some $\pi, \sigma \in \Sigma_k$. Then J fixes $l_1 \oplus l_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus l_k$. But this is impossible, since J cannot fix an odd-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{C}^{n+k} .

Finally, we dispose of the case k = 2 and $n \ge 2$ even.

THEOREM 4.6. If $n \ge 2$ is even, then $\#F(1^2, n) = \infty$.

Proof. Let $J: \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \to \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ be as defined above. Note that for $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$,

$$\langle Jv, v \rangle = \langle Jv, J^2v \rangle = -\langle Jv, v \rangle$$

by (4) above; thus $\langle Jv, v \rangle = 0$, and Jl is orthogonal to l for any line l. Define ψ : $F(1^2, n) \rightarrow F(1^2, n)$ by $\psi(l_1, l_2) = (Jl_1, l_1)$: then ψ is fixed-point-free and nonsurjective, and the conclusion follows by 2.2.

5. Proof of the endomorphism theorem. This section is devoted to a proof of Theorem 4.1. We use the notation of the previous section.

Since $t_i \in H^2(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$ is pulled back from $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^{n+k-1}; \mathbf{Q})$, we have $t_i^{n+k} = 0$. The next result gives a converse: it is proved in [8] for $k \leq n$, and in [2] without restriction.

THEOREM 5.1. If $u \in H^2(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$ and $u^{n+k} = 0$, then u is of the form at_i for some $a \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $1 \le i \le k$.

Now suppose f is an endomorphism of $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$. Then $f(t_i)^{n+k} = f(t_i^{n+k}) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le k$, and it follows from 5.1 that

$$f(t_i) = m_i t_{p(i)}, \qquad 1 \le i \le k,$$

for some function $p: \{1, 2, ..., k\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ and rational numbers m_i . We shall prove that p is a permutation and all the m_i are equal unless k = 2 and n is even.

First we prove a technical lemma. The expression $h_i(x_1, x_2, ..., x_r)$ denotes the *i*th complete symmetric function in $x_1, x_2, ..., x_r$.

LEMMA 5.2. Let $n \ge 0$ be an integer, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r real numbers, and suppose

$$h_{n+i}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) = 0, \qquad 1 \le i \le r - 1.$$

Then unless r = 2 and n is even, $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_r = 0$. If r = 2 and n is even, $a_2 = -a_1$.

Proof. Suppose first that r = 2. Then we have

(1)
$$h_{n+1}(a_1, a_2) = a_1^{n+1} + a_1^n a_2 + \cdots + a_1 a_2^n + a_2^{n+1} = 0.$$

If $a_1 = a_2$, this evidently implies $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. If $a_1 \neq a_2$, then (1) is

$$\frac{a_1^{n+2}-a_2^{n+2}}{a_1-a_2}=0,$$

from which it follows that $a_2 = -a_1$ and *n* is even.

Now suppose r = 3. We have

$$h_{n+1}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = h_{n+2}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 0.$$

Then from the relations

$$h_{n+2}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = a_1^{n+2} + a_1^{n+1}h_1(a_2, a_3) + \dots + a_1h_{n+1}(a_2, a_3) + h_{n+2}(a_2, a_3)$$

and

 $a_1h_{n+1}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = a_1^{n+2} + a_1^{n+1}h_1(a_2, a_3) + \dots + a_1h_{n+1}(a_2, a_3)$ we get

(2)
$$h_{n+2}(a_2, a_3) = 0.$$

Similarly,

(3)
$$h_{n+2}(a_1, a_3) = 0$$

and

(4)
$$h_{n+2}(a_1, a_2) = 0.$$

By the argument of the preceding paragraph, these equations imply $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$ unless *n* is odd. In this case, (2) gives $a_3 = -a_2$, (4) gives $a_2 = -a_1$, and (3) gives $a_1 = -a_3$: but then $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. It is now clear how to prove the result by induction for any r > 3.

As noted in the previous section, $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$ is the quotient of $\mathbf{Q}[t_1, \ldots, t_k]$ by the ideal generated by R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_k , where

$$R_i = h_{n+i}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k), \quad 1 \le i \le k.$$

For f to be a well-defined endomorphism of $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$ there must be relations

(5)
$$f(R_i) = N_i R_i + \sum_{1 \le |\alpha| \le i} N_i^{\alpha} t^{\alpha} R_{i-|\alpha|}, \quad 1 \le i \le k,$$

in $\mathbf{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_k]$, where the sum is over multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k$ and

$$t^{\alpha} = t_1^{\alpha_1} t_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots t_k^{\alpha_k}.$$

Then we have the following result.

LEMMA 5.3. If r of the elements $t_1, t_2, ..., t_k$ are missing from the image of f, then $f(R_i) = 0$ in $\mathbb{Q}[t_1, ..., t_k]$ for $1 \le i \le r$.

Proof. Permuting the t_i if necessary, we can assume that t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_r are missing from the image of f. Define $\pi: F(1^k, n) \to F(1^{k-r}, n+r)$ by

$$\pi(l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_k) = (l_{r+1}, \ldots, l_k).$$

Then π^* sends $t_i \in H^2(F(1^{k-r}, n+r); \mathbf{Q})$ to $t_{r+i} \in H^2(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q})$ for $1 \le i \le k - r$, and is injective since the spectral sequence of the fibration

$$F(1^r, n) \rightarrow F(1^k, n) \xrightarrow{\pi} F(1^{k-r}, n+r)$$

collapses for degree reasons. Now t_1, \ldots, t_r are missing from im f, so im $f \subset \operatorname{im} \pi^*$ in $H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbb{Q})$. Hence $f = \pi^* g$ for

$$g = (\pi^*)^{-1} f: H^*(F(1^k, n); \mathbf{Q}) \to H^*(F(1^{k-r}, n+r); \mathbf{Q}).$$

But the first nontrivial relation in $H^*(F(1^{k-r}, n+r); \mathbf{Q})$ is in dimension 2(n + r + 1), so $g(R_i) = 0$ in $\mathbf{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_{k-r}]$ for $1 \le i \le r$ and the conclusion follows.

Suppose $n \ge 2$. For each *i* from 1 to *k*, we define the *weight* of *i* to be the cardinality of $\{r | p(r) = i \text{ and } m_r \ne 0\}$, i.e., the number of t_r that *f* maps to t_i with nonzero coefficient. The following result is the key to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

PROPOSITION 5.4. Let $n \ge 2$. Then *i* has weight at most 1 for $1 \le i \le k$ unless k = 2 and *n* is even. If k = 2, *n* is even, and $q \in \{1, 2\}$ has weight 2, then *f* has the form

$$f(t_i) = (-1)^i m t_q, \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. Suppose q has weight w > 1. Then at least w - 1 of the t_i are missing from the image of f, and by 5.3

(6)
$$f(R_i) = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le w - 1,$$

in $\mathbf{Q}[t_1,\ldots,t_k]$. We can assume t_1, t_2,\ldots,t_w map to t_q with nonzero coefficient. Examine the coefficient of t_q^{n+i} in (6) to get

$$h_i(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_w) = 0, \qquad 1 \le i \le w - 1.$$

Then unless w = 2 and *n* is even, $m_1 = m_2 = \cdots = m_w = 0$ by 5.2, a contradiction. If w = 2 and *n* is even, 5.2 gives $m_2 = -m_1 \neq 0$. In this case, $f(R_1) = 0$ and $f(R_2) \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{Q}[t_1, \ldots, t_k]$: but then no *i* can have weight 1 and no more than one t_i can be missing from the image of *f*, from which follows k = 2.

REMARK. The case n = 0 is disposed of in [7], where it is proved that all endomorphisms of $H^*(F(1^k); \mathbf{Q})$ have the form h_m^{σ} .

By the preceding result, the function p of $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ can be assumed a permutation if $k \neq 2$ or n is odd. To finish the proof of 4.1, we need only show all the m_i are equal in this case. Now in $f(R_1)$ the coefficient of t_r^{n+1} is m_s^{n+1} , where p(s) = r. The coefficient of t_r^{n+1} on the right-hand side of (5) (with i = 1) is N_1 . Thus $m_s^{n+1} = N_1$ for $1 \le s \le k$. For n even, this shows all the m_s are equal. For n odd, it is also necessary to inspect the coefficients of terms $t_r^n t_s$, $r \ne s$, in equation (5) with i = 1.

References

- A. Borel, Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts, Ann. of Math., 57 (1953), 115–207.
- [2] S. A. Broughton, M. Hoffman and W. Homer, The height of two-dimensional cohomology classes of complex flag manifolds, Canad. Math. Bull., 26 (1983), 498-502.
- [3] R. F. Brown, Path fields on manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 118 (1965), 180–191.
- [4] R. F. Brown and E. Fadell, Nonsingular path fields on compact topological manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (1965), 1342–1349.
- [5] E. Fadell and L. Neuwirth, Configuration spaces, Math. Scand., 10 (1962), 111-118.
- [6] H. Glover and W. Homer, Fixed points on flag manifolds, Pacific J. Math., 101 (1982), 303-306.
- [7] M. Hoffman, On fixed point free maps of the complex flag manifold, Indiana U. Math. J., 33 (1984), 249-255.
- [8] A. Liulevicius, Homotopy rigidity of linear actions: characters tell all, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 84 (1978), 213–221.
- [9] J. Vick, Homology Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1973.

Received March 4, 1983 and in revised form April 29, 1983.

Ohio State University Columbus, OH 43210

Current address: U. S. Naval Academy Annapolis, MD 21402

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

J. DUGUNDJI University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

(1906–1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

B. H. NEUMANN

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$66.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1984 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

ARTHUR OGUS University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

Hugo Rossi University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

K. YOSHIDA

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

F. WOLF

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 115, No. 2 October, 1984

Ersan Akyildiz, Gysin homomorphism and Schubert calculus
Marilyn Breen, Clear visibility and unions of two starshaped sets in the
plane
Robert F. Brown, Retraction methods in Nielsen fixed point theory
Herbert Busemann and Bhalchandra B. Phadke, A general version of
Beltrami's theorem in the large
Gerald Arthur Edgar and Robert Francis Wheeler, Topological
properties of Banach spaces
Yaakov Friedman and Bernard Russo, Conditional expectation without
order
Robert Allen Goggins, Cobordism of manifolds with strong almost tangent
structures
Mike Hoffman, Noncoincidence index of manifolds
William H. Julian, ε -continuity and monotone operations
Gerasimos E. Ladas, Y. G. Sficas and I. P. Stavroulakis, Nonoscillatory
functional-differential equations
Arnold William Miller and Karel Libor Prikry, When the continuum has
cofinality ω_1
Jean-Leah Mohrherr, Density of a final segment of the truth-table
degrees
Carl Norman Mutchler, The flat Cauchy problem for radially hyperbolic
operators from a characteristic manifold of high codimension
Kenji Nakagawa, On the orders of automorphisms of a closed Riemann
surface
W. Ricker, Representation of vector-valued functions by Laplace
transforms
Jorge Donato Samur, On semigroups of convolution operators in Hilbert
space
Joseph Gail Stampfli, One-dimensional perturbations of operators
Andrew George Earnest and John Sollion Hsia, Correction to: "Spinor
norms of local integral rotations. II"