# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## REMARKS ON THE PAPER: "BASIC CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS"

JOHN MACLEOD BALL

Vol. 116, No. 1

November 1985

## REMARKS ON THE PAPER 'BASIC CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS'

### J. M. BALL

We show that a condition studied in E. Silverman's paper is not, as claimed, necessary for lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals in the calculus of variations.

The purpose of this note is to show that a condition studied in [7] is not, as claimed, a necessary condition for lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals in the calculus of variations. To keep things simple we consider integrals of the form

$$I_F(y) = \int_G F(y'(x)) \, dx,$$

where  $G \subset \mathbf{R}^k$  is a bounded domain,  $y: G \to \mathbf{R}^N$ ,  $y'(x) = (\partial y^i / \partial x^{\alpha})$ , and  $F: M^{N \times k} \to \mathbf{R}$  is continuous. Here  $M^{N \times k}$  denotes the linear space of real  $N \times k$  matrices. We suppose throughout that  $K \ge 2$ ,  $N \ge 2$ . In [7] F is called *T*-convex if there exists a convex function f, defined on  $\mathbf{R}'$ ,  $r = \binom{N+k}{k} - 1$ , such that

$$F(p) = f(\tau(p))$$
 for all  $p \in M^{N \times k}$ 

where  $\tau(p)$  denotes the minors of p of all orders j,  $1 \le j \le \min(k, N)$ , arranged in some prescribed order. *T*-convexity of F was studied in [1, 2, 3] under a different name, *polyconvexity*, which we shall use in the remainder of this note, and it is equivalent to a condition introduced earlier by Morrey [4, p. 49]. (These papers contain lower semicontinuity and existence theorems for polyconvex integrands of the same type as given in [7, §§4–7].) Let us say that  $I_F$  is lsc if  $I_F(y) \le \liminf_{j \to \infty} I_F(y_j)$ whenever  $y_j \to y$  uniformly on G with  $\sup_{x,\bar{x}\in G} |y_j(x) - y_j(\bar{x})| \le C < \infty$ for all j. (Equivalently, if G has sufficiently regular boundary then  $I_F$  is lsc if and only if  $I_F$  is sequentially weak\* lower semicontinuous on the Sobolev space  $W^{1,\infty}(G; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .) A consequence of [7, Theorem 3.6] is that  $I_F$ lsc implies F polyconvex; that this conclusion is false was pointed out implicitly by Morrey [4, p. 26]. Morrey's remark is based on an example due to Terpstra [8] of a quadratic form

$$Q(p) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le i, j \le N \\ 1 \le \alpha, \beta \le k}} a_{i\alpha j\beta} p_{i\alpha} p_{j\beta}$$

having constant coefficients  $a_{i\alpha i\beta}$  and with the properties

(i) (rank 1 convexity)  $Q(\lambda \otimes \mu) \ge 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}^N, \mu \in \mathbf{R}^k$ ,

(ii) there is no linear combination  $\tilde{Q}(p)$  of  $2 \times 2$  minors of p such that

$$Q(p) \geq \tilde{Q}(p)$$
 for all  $p \in M^{N \times k}$ .

Terpstra showed that such quadratic forms exist if and only if  $k \ge 3$  and  $N \ge 3$ . By Morrey [4, Theorem 5.2]  $I_Q$  is lsc if and only if Q satisfies (i). But if Q satisfies (ii) then Q is not polyconvex; more generally, we have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION.** Let F(p) = Q(p) in a neighbourhood of p = 0. If Q satisfies (ii) then F is not polyconvex.

*Proof.* Suppose F is polyconvex. By the convexity of f there exists  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}^r$  such that

$$F(p) = f(\tau(p)) \ge f(0) + \langle \theta, \tau(p) \rangle$$
 for all  $p \in M^{N \times k}$ .

We write  $\langle \theta, \tau(p) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\min(k,N)} \tilde{Q}_j(p)$ , where each  $\tilde{Q}_j(p)$  is a linear combination of  $j \times j$  minors of p. Note that F(0) = f(0) = 0. For any p and for |t| sufficiently small we thus have

$$F(tp) = t^2 Q(p) \ge \sum_{j=1}^{\min(k, N)} t^j \tilde{Q}_j(p).$$

Dividing by |t| and letting  $t \to 0$  we see that  $\tilde{Q}_1(p) \equiv 0$ . Dividing by  $t^2$  and letting  $t \to 0$  we obtain  $Q(p) \ge \tilde{Q}_2(p)$ , contradicting (ii).

Of course any Q satisfying (i) and (ii) is not bounded below. However, applying the proposition to  $F(p) = \max\{-1, Q(p)\}$  we see that if Q satisfies (i), (ii) then  $G(p) = \max\{0, 1 + Q(p)\}$  is nonnegative,  $I_G$  is lsc (it is the maximum of two lsc functionals), but G is not polyconvex.

The proof of Theorem 3.6 in [7] consists of first showing (Lemma 3.4, Corollary 3.5) that  $I_F$  lsc implies F polyconvex in the special case when  $N \ge k$  and F depends only on minors of maximal order k. This part of the proof does not appear to be complete. The general case is then reduced to the special one by adjoining new variables  $\xi: G \to \mathbf{R}^k$  such that

$$F(y') = h\left(\tau\binom{\xi'}{y'}\right)$$

for some function h depending only on k th order minors of the (N + k)  $\times k$  matrix  $\binom{\xi'}{y'}$ ; however, such a function h does not in general exist, since all k th order minors of  $\binom{\xi'}{y}$  can be zero without determining y'. The example of Terpstra is neither explicit nor elementary, and being written in German is inaccessible to some. Recently D. Serre [5, 6] has provided an explicit example, namely

$$Q_{\epsilon}(p) = H(p) - \epsilon \sum_{i, \alpha=1}^{3} (p_{i\alpha})^{2},$$
  

$$H(p) = (p_{11} - p_{23} - p_{32})^{2} + (p_{12} - p_{31} + p_{13})^{2}$$
  

$$+ (p_{21} - p_{13} - p_{31})^{2} + (p_{22})^{2} + (p_{33})^{2},$$

where N = k = 3 and  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small. To keep this note self-contained we now give a direct proof, following Serre [6], that  $Q_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies (i) and (ii). First we note that  $H(\lambda \otimes \mu) = 0$  implies that

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1 \mu_1 &- \lambda_2 \mu_3 - \lambda_3 \mu_2 = \lambda_1 \mu_2 - \lambda_3 \mu_1 + \lambda_1 \mu_3 = \lambda_2 \mu_1 - \lambda_1 \mu_3 - \lambda_3 \mu_1 \\ &= \lambda_2 \mu_2 = \lambda_3 \mu_3 = 0, \end{split}$$

and hence that  $\lambda = 0$  or  $\mu = 0$ . Thus  $\inf_{|\lambda| = |\mu| = 1} H(\lambda \otimes \mu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varepsilon_0$  is positive and (i) follows for  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ . Suppose for contradiction that

$$Q_{\varepsilon}(p) \ge \tilde{Q}(p) = -\sum_{1 \le i, \alpha \le 3} A_{i\alpha}(\operatorname{adj} p)_{i\alpha} \text{ for all } p,$$

where  $A \in M^{3 \times 3}$  is constant. Consider p of the form

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} b + d & a - c & c \\ a + c & 0 & d \\ a & b & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

adj 
$$p = \begin{pmatrix} -bd & bc & d(a-c) \\ ad & -ac & c(a+c) - d(b+d) \\ b(a+c) & a(a-c) - b(b+d) & c^2 - a^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

For such *p* we have H(p) = 0 and thus

$$\sum_{1\leq i,\,\alpha\leq 3}A_{i\alpha}(\operatorname{adj} p)_{i\alpha}-\varepsilon(a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2)\geq 0.$$

The left-hand side is a quadratic form in a, b, c, d given explicitly by

$$a^{2}(A_{32} - A_{33} - \varepsilon) + b^{2}(-A_{32} - \varepsilon) + c^{2}(A_{23} + A_{33} - \varepsilon) + d^{2}(-A_{23} - \varepsilon) + (\text{terms in } ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd).$$

For this sum to be nonnegative the coefficients of  $a^2$ ,  $b^2$ ,  $c^2$ ,  $d^2$  must be nonnegative. But the sum of these coefficients is  $-4\varepsilon$ , a contradiction.

Acknowledgment. I am grateful to R. V. Kohn and D. Serre for their comments.

#### References

- J. M. Ball, Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 63 (1977), 337–403.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, On the calculus of Variations and Sequentially Weakly Continuous Maps, in "Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations" (W. N. Everitt and B. D. Sleeman, Eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 564, pp. 13–25, Springer, Berlin/New York, 1976.
- [3] J. M. Ball, J. C. Currie and P. J. Olver, Null Lagrangians, weak continuity, and variational problems of arbitrary order, J. Functional Anal., 41 (1981), 135–174.
- [4] C. B. Morrey, Quasi-convexity and the lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals, Pacific J. Math., 2 (1952), 25–53.
- [5] D. Serre, Condition de Legendre-Hadamard; espaces de matrices des rang ≠ 1, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér I Math., 293 (1981), 23–26.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_, Formes quadratiques et calcul des variations, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, **62** (1983), 177–196.
- [7] E. Silverman, Basic calculus of variations, Pacific J. Math., 104 (1983), 471-482.
- [8] F. J. Terpstra, Die darstellung biquadratischer formen als summen von quadraten mit anwendung auf die variationsrechnung, Math. Ann., **116** (1938), 166–180.

Received April 29, 1983 and in revised form September 9, 1983. Research supported by a U. K. Science and Engineering Research Council Senior Fellowship and by the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley, California.

HERIOT-WATT UNIVERSITY EDINBURGH, EH 14 4AS SCOTLAND

#### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

J. DUGUNDJI University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721 C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

ARTHUR OGUS University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

HUGO ROSSI University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906-1982) B. H. NEUMANN

K. Yoshida

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

F. Wolf

# Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 116, No. 1November, 1985

<b>K. Adachi</b> , Le problème de Lévi pour les fibrés grassmanniens et les variétés
John MacLeod Ball. Remarks on the paper: "Basic calculus of variations" 7
John Kelly Beem and Phillip E. Parker, Whitney stability of solvability 11
Alberto Facchini, Decompositions of algebraically compact modules25
S. S. Khare, Finite group action and equivariant bordism
Horst Leptin, A new kind of eigenfunction expansions on groups
Pei-Kee Lin, Unconditional bases and fixed points of nonexpansive
mappings 69
<b>Charles Livingston, Stably irreducible surfaces in</b> $S^4$
Kevin Mor McCrimmon, Nonassociative algebras with scalar involution 85
Albert Milani, Singular limits of quasilinear hyperbolic systems in a bounded domain of $\mathbf{R}^3$ with applications to Maxwell's equations 111
Takemi Mizokami, On <i>M</i> -structures and strongly regularly stratifiable
spaces
Jesper M. Møller, On the homology of spaces of sections of complex
projective bundles
Nikolaos S. Papageorgiou, Carathéodory convex integrand operators and
probability theory
Robert John Piacenza, Transfer in generalized prestack cohomology185
Lance W. Small and Adrian R. Wadsworth, Integrality of subrings of
matrix rings
James Michael Wilson, On the atomic decomposition for Hardy spaces 201