

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

WHITNEY STABILITY OF SOLVABILITY

JOHN KELLY BEEM AND PHILLIP E. PARKER

WHITNEY STABILITY OF SOLVABILITY

JOHN K. BEEM AND PHILLIP E. PARKER

The set of symbols which are both of real principal type and pseudoconvex is shown to be open in the Whitney topology on the space of symbols of order k . This yields sufficient conditions for the stability of solvability of pseudodifferential equations.

1. Introduction. In a previous paper [3] we studied the stability of solvability for pseudodifferential equations of real principal type in the $F\mathcal{D}$ topology of Michor [9] and in an analogous topology we defined, the $F\mathcal{S}$ topology. In particular, we considered the stability of real principal type and of pseudoconvexity in the space of principal symbols of order k . These two conditions together imply solvability and hence their stability implies stability of solvability where the conditions hold.

We say that a condition is stable for a given topology if the set where the condition holds is an open set in that topology. A condition is stable at a point if it is satisfied on an open neighborhood of that point. Of course, stability in one topology implies stability in all finer topologies. In the present paper we consider the stability of real principal type and of pseudoconvexity in the C^r -coarse and C^r -fine topologies on the space of principal symbols of order k .

In §2 we first review the C^r -coarse and C^r -fine topologies. We then give examples showing that neither real principal type nor pseudoconvexity is stable in the C^r -coarse, $r \geq 0$, or C^0 -fine topologies, and that real principal type is not stable in any C^r -fine topology.

In §3 we first outline and then give the complete proof of Whitney or C^r -fine, $r \geq 1$, stability of real principal type and pseudoconvexity jointly. This establishes the C^r -fine stability of solvability of a pseudodifferential equation with a corresponding principal symbol which is both of real principal type and pseudoconvex.

In §4 we consider pseudoriemannian manifolds (X, β) of $\dim \geq 3$. If β is given contravariantly, then β is naturally a principal symbol of order 2. We begin §4 with some new results on sectional curvature. In general, everywhere negative timelike sectional curvature is not a C^r -fine stable condition for any $r \geq 0$. However, if the Riemann-Christoffel curvature R satisfies a nonvanishing requirement on all timelike and null planes, then

we show everywhere negative timelike sectional curvature is C^2 -fine stable. Using this result and some results from [3] we finish §4 with some applications of Whitney stability.

Most of our notations and conventions are standard, and are the same as in [3]. Except in part of §4, we regard a pseudoriemannian structure tensor β as given in contravariant form (i.e., as a $(2, 0)$ tensor). \mathcal{E} denotes the real valued smooth functions, \mathcal{D} those with compact support, and Smb_r , the principal symbols of order r . Manifolds are smooth, paracompact, connected, and usually noncompact.

2. Topologies and instability. In this section we discuss the C^r -coarse and C^r -fine topologies for $r \geq 0$. References to $F\mathcal{D}$ and $F\mathcal{S}$ topologies will be for comparison only, and we refer the reader to [3] for details. We shall give examples to show that real principal type is neither C^r -coarse nor C^r -fine stable for $r \geq 0$, and that pseudoconvexity is neither C^r -coarse, $r \geq 0$, nor C^0 -fine stable.

First we consider the C^r -coarse or *Schwartz C^r* topology. Intuitively, this is the topology of uniform convergence of the function and its derivatives up through order r on compact sets. Let $\{K_i\}$ be a countable family of compact sets in X such that $K_i \subseteq \overset{\circ}{K}_{i+1}$ and $X = \bigcup K_i$. Choose any compatible fiber metric d_r on $J^r(X)$ and define seminorms

$$\mathcal{P}_i(\phi) := \sup_{k \leq r} \sup_{K_i} d_k(j_x^k(\phi), 0_x).$$

This defines a vector topology on \mathcal{E} which makes it a nuclear Fréchet space. The usual Schwartz or C^∞ -coarse topology is the union of all these C^r -coarse topologies.

The other main topology is the C^r -fine or *Whitney C^r* topology. Whereas the Schwartz C^r topology gives no control of the convergence at ∞ , the Whitney C^r topology gives arbitrary amounts of such control. In doing so, however, it is no longer a vector topology on \mathcal{E} ; thus it cannot be described in terms of seminorms. To compare these topologies, recall that $j^r: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow C(X, J^r(X))$. Now on the latter space we can place either the compact-open or the graph topology. Via j^r , these induce the Schwartz, respectively Whitney, C^r topology on \mathcal{E} ; see [9] or [11] for details. In terms of a fiber metric d_r on $J^r(X)$, we can describe the Whitney C^r topology as follows. Let $\varepsilon \in C(X, (0, \infty))$; then

$$\mathcal{N}(\phi, r, \varepsilon) := \{ \psi \in \mathcal{E}; d_r(j^r\phi, j^r\psi) < \varepsilon \}$$

is a Whitney C^r neighborhood of ϕ , and as ε varies we obtain a basis of Whitney C^r neighborhoods of ϕ . Later we shall also need a local version

of these neighborhoods. In addition to the above data, let $V \subseteq X$ be open and define

$$\mathcal{N}(\phi, r, \varepsilon, V) := \{ \psi \in \mathcal{E}; d_r(j^r\phi, j^r\psi) < \varepsilon \text{ on } V \}.$$

When $V = X$ we shall omit it from the notation to coincide with the first definition. For convenience, we allow the following abuse of notation: if X is a bundle over Y , then V may be a subset of Y and “on V ” is replaced by “over V ”.

If we define $F\mathcal{D}^r$ and $F\mathcal{S}^r$ topologies by analogy, then we can list all four in order from coarsest to finest:

$$\text{Schwartz } C^r, F\mathcal{S}^r, \text{Whitney } C^r, F\mathcal{D}^r.$$

Thus C^r -coarse stability $\Rightarrow F\mathcal{S}^r$ stability $\Rightarrow C^r$ -fine stability $\Rightarrow F\mathcal{D}^r$ stability.

Since principal symbols are positive homogeneous in the fiber variables, the obvious C^r topologies on them are discrete. Thus we shall modify them as in [3] to take homogeneity into account. Letting h be an auxiliary complete Riemannian (positive definite) metric on X , a symbol is completely determined by its order of homogeneity k and its restriction to the h -unit cosphere bundle S^*X . Thus, given k , there is a bijection $I: \mathcal{E}(S^*X) \rightarrow \text{Smb}l_k(X)$ between the smooth functions on S^*X and the space of principal symbols of order k . We shall say that a set $U \subseteq \text{Smb}l_k(X)$ is open in a C^r topology iff the corresponding set $I^{-1}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(S^*X)$ is open in that C^r topology on $\mathcal{E}(S^*X)$.

We now proceed with the examples mentioned above and to which we referred in [3].

EXAMPLE 2.1. Real principal type is not C^r -fine stable, $r \geq 0$. Here we use the example following Proposition 3.2 in [3]. For the convenience of readers, we repeat the essential parts here. Let X_0 be the open Möbius strip $\{(x, y); -\infty < x < \infty, 0 \leq y \leq 4\}$ with the identification $(x, 0) \sim (-x, 4)$ and the metric tensor $g_0 = \xi_1\xi_2$ (i.e., $ds^2 = dx dy$). The bicharacteristic curves of g_0 lie on the null geodesics of g_0 which are the Euclidean lines $y = \text{const.}$ and the circles $|x| = \text{const.}$ The manifold X will be X_0 less the two closed half lines $L_1 = \{(x, 1); x \leq 0\}$ and $L_2 = \{(x, 3); x \geq 0\}$. The metric tensor on X will be $g = g_0|_X$. The Lorentzian manifold (X, g) has no imprisoned null geodesics and hence g is a symbol of real principal type. On the other hand, any C^r -fine neighborhood $U(g)$ of g in $\text{Smb}l_2(X)$ will contain some g' which has a periodic null geodesic.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Real principal type and pseudoconvexity are neither jointly nor separately C^0 -fine stable. Let $X = \mathbf{R}^4$ with the pseudoeuclidean

structure $\beta := \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 - \xi_3^2 - \xi_4^2$. Notice that the plane $\Pi = \{x^1 = x^3, x^2 = x^4\}$ is totally null; hence any curve of the form $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ is null. The Hamiltonian vector field of β is

$$H^\beta = \sum_{i=1}^2 2\xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \sum_{i=3}^4 2\xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},$$

which has solution curves

$$\gamma_1(t) = (2c_1t + a_1, c_1)$$

$$\gamma_2(t) = (2c_2t + a_2, c_2)$$

$$\gamma_3(t) = (2c_3t + a_3, c_3)$$

$$\gamma_4(t) = (2c_4t + a_4, c_4)$$

in induced cotangent coordinates (x, ξ) . If we choose $c_1 = -c_3, c_2 = c_4, a_1 = a_3$, and $a_2 = a_4$, then the curve

$$\gamma(t) = (2c_1t + a_1, 2c_2t + a_2, 2c_1t + a_1, 2c_2t + a_2, c_1, c_2, -c_1, -c_2)$$

is a bicharacteristic strip.

Let $\varepsilon: \mathbf{R}^4 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ define a C^0 -fine neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(\beta, 0, \varepsilon)$ of β and let $\varepsilon': \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function such that

$$0 < \varepsilon'(x^1, x^2)$$

$$< \inf \{ \varepsilon(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) ; |(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) - (x^1, x^2, x^1, x^2)| < 1 \},$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the usual Euclidean norm in \mathbf{R}^4 . Intuitively, ε' is smaller at points in \mathbf{R}^2 than ε is at all points within distance 1 of the corresponding points of $\Pi \subseteq \mathbf{R}^4$. Now choose any Riemannian (positive definite) metric $g \in \mathcal{N}(g_0, 0, \varepsilon')$, where g_0 is the usual Euclidean metric on \mathbf{R}^2 . Regard $T^*\Pi = \mathbf{R}^2 \oplus \mathbf{R}^2$ and define $\beta' = g \oplus (-g)$ on $T^*\Pi$. Then on Π ,

$$\beta' \in \mathcal{N}(\beta|_\Pi, 0, \varepsilon|_\Pi).$$

Finally extend β' from Π to \mathbf{R}^4 with $\beta' \in \mathcal{N}(\beta, 0, \varepsilon)$.

Example 5.1 of [2] can now be modified to show that g can be chosen so that β' is not of real principal type and so that \mathbf{R}^4 is not β' -pseudoconvex. We change g_0 on small discs centered at $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, and $(0, -1)$ so that a closed geodesic joining these three points is introduced, and then change g_0 on small discs D_k centered at $(k, 0)$ for $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, so that geodesics from $(0, -1)$ to $(0, 1)$ are introduced which pass through each D_k . To complete our description of g , we declare that outside these discs the geodesics are the usual straight lines. It follows that we can produce a desired β' which is C^0 -fine close to β , but not C^1 -fine close.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Real principal type is not C^r -coarse stable, $r \geq 0$. Let $X = \mathbf{R}^3$ and η the usual Minkowski structure. For any compact $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$, let B_K be a closed Euclidean tube centered on the t -axis which contains K in its interior \mathring{B}_K . Choose $\psi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ such that:

1. $\psi \equiv 0$ outside B_K ;
2. $\psi \equiv 1$ on a closed tube which contains K ;
3. $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$;
4. ψ is a function of r only, and is nonincreasing.

We are using cylindrical coordinates (t, r, θ) where r is the Euclidean distance from the t -axis. For our symbol β , we choose the line element

$$ds^2 = \psi(dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2) + (1 - \psi)(dt d\theta - dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2).$$

By adjusting the size of B_K relative to $\{\psi \equiv 1\}$ and the derivatives of ψ in between, we can produce such a β in any C^r -coarse neighborhood of η . β is not of real principal type, since it has closed null geodesics outside B_K .

EXAMPLE 2.4. Pseudoconvexity is not C^r -coarse stable, $r \geq 0$. This is obtained by using a cut-off function as in Example 2.3 to modify Example 2.2; we omit the straightforward details.

3. Whitney stability. In this section we consider the stability of real principal type and of pseudoconvexity in the C^1 -fine (Whitney) topology. Let p be a principal symbol of order $k \geq 0$ which is of real principal type and pseudoconvex. We show that all principal symbols of the same order k which are sufficiently close to p in the C^1 -fine topology are also of real principal type and pseudoconvex. Thus the set of principal symbols which are both pseudoconvex and of real principal type is open in the space of principal symbols using the C^1 -fine topology.

We always assume the manifold X is not compact because no symbol is of real principal type of a compact manifold. Also, pseudoconvexity is trivially true for symbols on compact manifolds.

Examples 2.1 and 2.2 show that the conditions of real principal type and pseudoconvexity fail to be C^0 -fine stable. The fact that real principal type fails to be stable in the C^r -fine topology for all $0 \leq r \leq \infty$ (cf. Example 2.1) is somewhat surprising since the bicharacterics come from the Hamiltonian vector field H^p which only involves the first derivatives of p . One would expect *a priori* that real principal type would be C^1 -fine stable.

The basic tool used in establishing the C^1 -fine stability of the two conditions jointly is a standard estimate from differential equations [4, p. 155]. This result implies that when p and p' are principal symbols of the

same order k which have values and first derivatives which are close on the unit cosphere bundle S^*K of some compact $K \subset X$, then bicharacteristic curves of H^p and $H^{p'}$ will remain close in K for some compact domain $[0, a]$ provided that the initial values of the corresponding bicharacteristic strips are chosen close. The idea is to use pseudoconvexity to construct an expanding sequence $\{A_n\}$ of compact sets: at each step, take the pseudoconvex hull of the preceding step; this has compact closure by pseudoconvexity; finally, enlarge it if necessary to enclose a suitable neighborhood of the previous step. Then use the differential equations estimate above and real principal type to choose a corresponding sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ of positive numbers: intuitively, ε_n measures how far p' can be from p on A_n and still be of real principal type and pseudoconvex. The pairs $\{A_n, \varepsilon_n\}$ determine C^1 -fine neighborhoods of p , any one of which will serve. The actual construction is more complicated because we must keep careful track of the bounds in order that they interweave properly. In Lemma 3.1 we show how to achieve all but one necessary bound in a uniform manner in the index n . We then choose a neighborhood, and obtain the other necessary bound in Lemma 3.2. Finally, Theorem 3.3 assembles the parts.

We now begin the technical details. Recall that the space of principal symbols of order k is denoted by $\text{Smb}_k(X)$. If γ_1 and γ_2 are two complete bicharacteristic strips of $p \in \text{Smb}_k(X)$ with $\gamma_1(0) = (x, \xi)$ and $\gamma_2(0) = (x, \lambda\xi)$ for some positive constant λ (i.e., γ_1 and γ_2 start over the same $x \in X$ and in the same codirection), then the bicharacteristic curves $\pi \circ \gamma_1$ and $\pi \circ \gamma_2$ only differ by a reparametrization. Thus for our purposes it is sufficient to consider only one bicharacteristic curve for each codirection at each point $x \in X$.

As in §2, let h be an auxiliary complete Riemannian metric on X and use it to topologize $\text{Smb}_k(X)$ with the C^1 -fine topology from $\mathcal{E}(S^*X)$. The metric tensor h induces a complete metric distance function d_h on X . The Sasaki lift of h to T^*X induces a distance function on T^*X and the restriction of this distance function to the h -unit cosphere bundle will be denoted by d_0 . There is also an induced distance function on $J'(S^*X)$ which will be denoted by d_r . As in §2, we use $\mathcal{N}(\phi, r, \varepsilon, V)$ to denote a basic C^r -fine neighborhood of ϕ over the set V . Hence,

$$\mathcal{N}(\phi, r, \varepsilon, V) = \{ \psi \in \mathcal{E}(S^*X) ; d_r(j^r\phi(x, \xi), j^r\psi(x, \xi)) < \varepsilon \\ \text{for all } (x, \xi) \in S^*V \}$$

where $\varepsilon: S^*V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous positive valued function, $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(S^*X)$, and $V \subseteq X$.

The distance function d_0 may be used to recover the topology on the cosphere bundle S^*X . The open balls of S^*X are given by $B(v_0, \delta) = \{v \in S^*X; d_0(v, v_0) < \delta\}$ where $v_0 \in S^*X$ and $\delta > 0$ are arbitrary.

The bicharacteristic equations for the principal symbol p involve the first derivatives of p with respect to x_i and ξ_i , but no higher order derivatives. Thus, if $\gamma: [0, a] \rightarrow T^*x$ is a fixed bicharacteristic strip of p in T^*X with $\gamma(0) = v_0 \in S^*X$ and if $\tilde{\gamma}: [0, a] \rightarrow T^*X$ is a bicharacteristic strip of p' with $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = v$, then $d_h(\pi \circ \gamma(t), \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t)) < 1$ for all $0 \leq t \leq a$ provided that v is chosen sufficiently close to v_0 and that p' is sufficiently close to p in the C^1 -fine topology. Using this fact and the compactness of S^*K_1 when K_1 is compact, we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume K_1 is a compact set contained in the interior of the compact set K_2 . Let V be an open set containing K_2 and let p be a symbol in $\text{Smb}_k(X)$ which is of real principal type. There exist cotangent vectors $v_1, \dots, v_m \in S^*K_1$ and positive constants $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m, a_1, \dots, a_m, \varepsilon$ such that if $p' \in \mathcal{N}(p, 1, \varepsilon, V)$ then the following hold:*

1. *if γ is a complete bicharacteristic strip of p with $\gamma(0) \in B(v_i, \delta_i)$, then $\pi \circ \gamma([0, a_i]) \subset V$ and $\pi \circ \gamma(a_i) \in V \setminus K_2$;*
2. *if $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a complete bicharacteristic strip of p' with $\tilde{\gamma}(0) \in B(v_i, \delta_i)$, then $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}([0, a_i]) \subset V$ and $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(a_i) \in V \setminus K_2$;*
3. *two complete bicharacteristic strips γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ of p and p' , respectively, with $\gamma(0), \tilde{\gamma}(0) \in B(v_i, \delta_i)$ satisfy $d_h(\pi \circ \gamma(t), \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t)) < 1$ for all $0 \leq t \leq a_i$;*
4. $\bigcup_i B(v_i, \delta_i) \supseteq S^*K_1$.

If $x_0 \in X$ and A is some subset of X , then the d_h distance from x_0 to A is given by $d_h(x_0, A) = \inf\{d_h(x_0, y); y \in A\}$. Using d_h we now define an increasing sequence A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots , of compact sets which exhausts the p -pseudoconvex space X . Fixing $x_0 \in X$, let $A_0 = \{x_0\}$ and $A_1 = \{x \in X; d_h(x_0, x) \leq 2\}$. If A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n have been defined, let A_{n+1} be a compact set containing the pseudoconvex hull of A_n with $d_h(x, X \setminus A_{n+1}) > 2$ for all $x \in A_n$; i.e., if $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow M$ is a segment of a bicharacteristic strip of p in T^*X with both endpoints $\pi \circ \gamma(a), \pi \circ \gamma(b) \in A_n$, then $\pi \circ \gamma[[a, b]$ lies in the interior of A_{n+1} . [The pseudoconvexity of p implies that the sequence $\{A_n\}$ may be constructed.]

We now construct a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ of monotonic nonincreasing positive constants. Let $\varepsilon_{-3} > 0$ and let ε_{-2} be the minimum of ε_{-3} and the ε of Lemma 3.1, using $K_1 = A_1, K_2 = A_5$ and $V = \text{interior}(A_6)$. Assume $p' \in \mathcal{N}(p, 1, \varepsilon, V)$ and $v_0 \in S^*K_1$. If γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are bicharacteristic strips of

p and p' , respectively, with $v_0 = \gamma(0)$, $\tilde{\gamma}(0) \in B(v_i, \delta_i)$ then by Lemma 3.1 both $\pi \circ \gamma(a_i)$ and $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(a_i)$ lie in $A_6 \setminus A_5$ for some a_i . Furthermore, $d_h(\pi \circ \gamma(t), \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t)) < 1$ for all $0 \leq t \leq a_i$. Using this fact and the fact that any bicharacteristic strip γ of p with $\pi \circ \gamma(t_1), \pi \circ \gamma(t_2) \in A_2$ must satisfy $\pi \circ \gamma([t_1, t_2]) \subset A_3$, we find that any bicharacteristic $\tilde{\gamma}$ of p' which satisfies $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}([0, b]) \subset A_5$, $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(0) \in A_1$, and $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(b) \in A_1$ must also satisfy $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}([0, b]) \subset A_4$.

Assume now that $\varepsilon_{-3}, \varepsilon_{-2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-3}$ have been defined. Let ε_{n-2} be the minimum of ε_{n-3} and the ε of Lemma 3.1 using $K_1 = A_{n+1}$, $K_2 = A_{n+5}$ and $V = \text{interior}(A_{n+6})$. Recursively, this defines the sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$. Let $\delta: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a positive valued continuous function such that $\delta(x) < \varepsilon_n$ for each $x \in A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$.

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume p is of real principal type and pseudoconvex, and let $p' \in \mathcal{N}(p, 1, \delta, X)$. If $\tilde{\gamma}: (a, b) \rightarrow T^*X$ is a complete bicharacteristic strip of p' , there do not exist values $a < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < b$ with $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t_1) \in A_n$, $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t_3) \in A_n$ and $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t_2) \in A_{n+4} \setminus A_{n+3}$.*

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}([t_1, t_3]) \subset A_{n+4} \setminus A_{n-1}$ and that $\tilde{\gamma}(t_1) \in S^*A_n$. Let γ be a complete bicharacteristic strip of the original symbol p with $\gamma(t_1), \tilde{\gamma}(t_1) \in B(v_i, \delta_i)$ as in (3) of Lemma 3.1. Then by the above construction of δ the inequality

$$d_h(\pi \circ \gamma(t), \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t)) < 1$$

must hold for all $t_1 \leq t \leq t_3$. Consequently $\pi \circ \gamma(t_1)$ and $\pi \circ \gamma(t_2)$ both lie in A_{n+1} . The construction of the sequence $\{A_i\}$ yields $\pi \circ \gamma([t_1, t_2]) \subset A_{n+2}$. The inequality $d_h(\pi \circ \gamma(t_2), \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t_2)) < 1$ now yields $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t_2) \in A_{n+3}$, a contradiction. \square

This lemma shows that one cannot have a segment of some bicharacteristic curve $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ of p' which leaves A_n , reaches A_{n+4} , and then returns to A_n . Consequently, a complete bicharacteristic curve $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ of p' which leaves A_n and goes to A_{n+4} must eventually reach A_{n+5} after at most returning to A_{n+1} .

We now establish the stability of pseudoconvex symbols of real principal type by showing that the set of all symbols in $\text{Smb}_k(X)$ which are both pseudoconvex and of real principal type is an open set in the C^1 -fine topology.

THEOREM 3.3. *let $p \in \text{Symb}_k(X)$ for $k \geq 0$ be a pseudoconvex symbol of real principal type. Then there is some C^1 -fine neighborhood $U(p) \subseteq \text{Smb}_k(X)$ such that each $p' \in U(p)$ is both pseudoconvex and of real principal type.*

Proof. Let $\delta, \{A_n\}, \varepsilon_n$, etc. be as above and set $U(p) = \mathcal{N}(p, 1, \delta, X)$. In order to prove $p' \in U(p)$ is of real principal type, let $\tilde{\gamma}: (a, b) \rightarrow T^*X$ be an arbitrary complete bicharacteristic strip of p' . Define m to be the smallest integer such that the image of $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ intersects A_m and assume without loss of generality that $\tilde{\gamma}(t_0) \in S^*A_m$. The definition of ε_m yields $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t_1) \in A_{m+5} \setminus A_{m+4}$ for some $t_1 > t_0$. Choose $t'_1 \in [t_0, t_1]$ with $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t'_1) \in A_{m+1}$. Lemma 3.2 and the definition of ε_{m+1} yields some $t_2 > t'_1$ with $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t_2) \in A_{m+6} \setminus A_{m+5}$. Recursively, one may construct a sequence $\{t_n\}$ with $t_n \rightarrow b^-$ and $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t_n) \in A_{m+4+n} \setminus A_{m+3+n}$. Hence p' is of real principal type.

It only remains to show that each $p' \in U(p)$ is pseudoconvex. Choose an arbitrary compact subset K of X . If $K \subseteq A_n$, then Lemma 3.2 implies that any bicharacteristic curve of p' with endpoints in K must be in the compact set A_{n+4} . \square

Using the fact that the metric tensor of a Lorentzian manifold is the principal symbol of the d'Alembertian \square , we obtain the following corollary which guarantees the C^1 -fine stability of solvability of the Klein-Gordon equation at Lorentzian metrics which are both of real principal type and pseudoconvex.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let (X, β) be a Lorentzian manifold such that β is both of real principal type and pseudoconvex. There is a C^1 -fine neighborhood $U(\beta)$ of β in the space $\text{Lor}(X)$ of all Lorentzian metrics on X such that for each $\beta' \in U(\beta)$ the Klein-Gordon equation is solvable on (X, β') .*

If (X, β) is a Lorentzian manifold, the bicharacteristic curves of β are the null geodesics of β and β is of real principal type iff each (inextendible) null geodesic fails to be imprisoned. On the other hand, β may be of real principal type and contain a null geodesic which is *partially* imprisoned in some compact set I . This geodesic will have noncompact closure, but leave and return to K an infinite number of times. Of course, if β is *both* of real principal type and pseudoconvex, then partial imprisonment of null geodesics cannot occur. Theorem 3.3 implies that if β satisfies both of these conditions, there is a C^1 -fine neighborhood $U(\beta)$ such that each $\beta' \in U(\beta)$ fails to have any partial imprisonment of null geodesics.

4. Applications. We begin with some new results on sectional curvatures in pseudoriemannian manifolds (X, β) of $\dim \geq 3$. If Π is a plane in some fiber of T^*X , we denote its sectional curvature by $K_\beta(\Pi)$.

In general, K_β is well-defined only for nondegenerate planes; when Π is degenerate, K_β is “singular” [1, p. 409]. Kulkarni [8] showed that if K_β is bounded below or above for all nondegenerate planes at $x \in X$, then X has constant curvature at x . Several other boundedness conditions which imply constant sectional curvature have also been obtained [5; 6; 10]. Generically, $|K_\beta(\Pi)| \rightarrow \infty$ as Π approaches a degenerate plane.

Let $x \in X$ and consider the Grassmann varieties of planes in T_x^*X and T_xX , denoted by $G_2(T_x^*X)$ and $G_2(T_xX)$ respectively. We frequently refer to degenerate planes as *null planes*, and denote them by $n_2(T_x^*X)$ and $n_2(T_xX)$. It is easy to check that n_2 is a codimension 1 subvariety of G_2 at each x . The varieties G_2 fit together to form the *Grassmann bundles* $G_2^*(X)$ and $G_2(X)$ and K_β is a rational function on $G_2^*(X)$, or on $G_2(X)$ if we change from β to the more usual covariant $\bar{\beta}$. Viewed this way, K_β has poles at almost all null planes; more precisely, in each fiber K_β has poles at all null planes except for at most a codimension 2 subvariety. Consider $\mathbf{R}P^1 = \mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, where one may regard this as the result of identifying $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ in the extended real numbers. If Π_0 is a pole of K_β we set $K_\beta(\Pi_0) = \infty \in \mathbf{R}P^1$. If Π_0 is a plane (necessarily null) such that $K_\beta(\Pi)$ does not converge in $\mathbf{R}P^1$ as $\Pi \rightarrow \Pi_0$, we say that K_β is *indeterminate at Π_0* or that Π_0 is an *indeterminate plane*. At each indeterminate plane the sectional curvature corresponds to the indeterminate type $0/0$.

We now temporarily adopt the more traditional viewpoint and work in the tangent bundle TX with the covariant tensor $\bar{\beta}$. Then for $\Pi \in G_2(X)$ spanned by u and v ,

$$K_\beta(\Pi) = \frac{R(u, v, u, v)}{\bar{\beta}(u, u)\bar{\beta}(v, v) - \bar{\beta}(u, v)^2}.$$

where R denotes the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor. Now $R(u, v, u, v)$ depends on the particular choice of u and v , but its *sign* depends only on the plane Π spanned by u and v ; in particular, it makes sense to say that R *vanishes* or is *nonvanishing* at Π . An indeterminate plane is always a null plane where R vanishes; however, there are null planes where R vanishes which are not indeterminate.

For completeness and the convenience of the reader, we include the following standard result; the proof is straightforward.

LEMMA 4.1. *Each pseudoriemannian metric β on X has a C^0 -fine neighborhood in $\text{Smb}_2(X)$ which contains only pseudoriemannian structures of the same signature.*

Let π denote the natural projection $G_2(X) \rightarrow X$.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $K \subseteq G_2(X)$ be a compact set on which R is nonvanishing. If W is an open neighborhood of $\pi(K)$ then there exists an open neighborhood V of K and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $\beta' \in \mathcal{N}(\beta, 2, \varepsilon, W)$ then the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R' of β' is nonvanishing on V .*

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose not. Then there exist sequences $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$, planes $\Pi_n \rightarrow \Pi \in K$, and structures $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta$ with $\beta_n \in \mathcal{N}(\beta, 2, \varepsilon_n, W)$ such that the curvature tensor R_n of β_n vanishes at Π_n . Let u and v span Π and choose sequences $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $v_n \rightarrow v$ such that u_n and v_n span Π_n for each n . Thus $0 = R_n(u_n, v_n, u_n, v_n) \rightarrow R(u, v, u, v) \neq 0$. \square

We now show that the nonvanishing of R on a closed $C \subseteq G_2(X)$ is a C^2 -fine stable property.

LEMMA 4.3. *If $C \subseteq G_2(X)$ is closed with $\pi(C) = X$ and R nonvanishing on C , then there exists an open neighborhood V of C and a C^2 -fine neighborhood $U(\beta)$ in $\text{Smb}_2(X)$ such that the Riemann-Christoffel tensor R' of β' is nonvanishing on V for each $\beta' \in U(\beta)$.*

Proof. Let $\{L_i\}$ be a locally finite compact covering of X and set $K_i = \pi^{-1}(L_i) \cap C$. Since $G_2(X)$ has compact fibers and C is closed, it follows that each K_i is compact. Using Lemma 4.2, we obtain a locally finite collection $\{V_i\}$ of open sets with $K_i \subset V_i$ and positive numbers $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ such that if $\beta' \in \mathcal{N}(\beta, 2, \varepsilon_i, \pi(V_i))$ then its curvature tensor R' is nonvanishing on V_i for each i . Choosing a continuous $\varepsilon: X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_i$ on $\pi(V_i)$, letting $U(\beta) = \mathcal{N}(\beta, 2, \varepsilon)$, and setting $V = \bigcup V_i$, the result follows. \square

In general, negative timelike sectional curvature need not be a C^r -fine stable condition for $r \geq 0$. Indeed, if (X, β) is a model space form of constant curvature (e.g., de Sitter space, Minkowski space, or anti-de Sitter space, in the Lorentzian case), then each C^r -fine neighborhood $U(\beta)$ contains β' such that the image under $K_{\beta'}$ of the timelike planes is all of \mathbf{R} . On the other hand, we can use the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R to describe manifolds for which the condition of negative timelike sectional curvature is C^2 -fine stable. Let $t_2(T_x X)$ denote the set of all timelike planes in $T_x X$, and define the bundles $t_2(X)$ and $n_2(X)$ analogous to the definition of $G_2(X)$.

LEMMA 4.4. *If R is nonvanishing on $t_2(X, \beta) \cup n_2(X, \beta)$ then there exists a C^2 -fine neighborhood $U(\beta)$ in $\text{Smb}l_2(X)$ such that each $\beta' \in U(\beta)$ has a curvature tensor R' which is nonvanishing on $t_2(X, \beta') \cup n_2(X, \beta')$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 4.3 with $C = t_2(X, \beta) \cup n_2(X, \beta)$, noticing that in each fiber $G_2(T_x X)$ the set $t_2(T_x(X, \beta)) \cup n_2(T_x(X, \beta))$ is closed. \square

We shall show elsewhere that the nonvanishing of R on $t_2 \cup n_2$ can be used to characterize the C^2 -fine stability of everywhere negative (or positive) timelike sectional curvature.

We now restrict attention to Lorentzian manifolds (X, β) . In [2] we called X *principally causal* iff no inextendible causal geodesic was imprisoned. Since the null geodesics of the Lorentzian structure β are the bicharacteristic curves of the symbol β , principally causal implies real principal type. We also called X *causally pseudoconvex* iff for each compact $K \subseteq X$ there exists a compact $K' \subseteq X$ such that each causal geodesic segment of β with endpoints in K lies in K' . Thus causally pseudoconvex implies β is a pseudoconvex symbol. These conditions are more restrictive than real principal type and pseudoconvexity, however. Indeed, the cylinder $S^1 \times \mathbf{R}$ with the Lorentzian structure β given by the line element $ds^2 = d\theta^2 - dt^2$ is not principally causal (because the timelike geodesics $t = \text{const.}$ are imprisoned) but β is of real principal type (because no inextendible null geodesic is imprisoned). Also, anti-de Sitter space [1, pp. 124f and 141f] is not causally pseudoconvex but the Lorentzian structure tensor is a pseudoconvex symbol.

The arguments used to prove Theorem 3.3 did not use the fact that the symbol vanishes along a bicharacteristic strip. Thus the same arguments can be applied to principally causal and causally pseudoconvex Lorentzian structures. We state this formally as

PROPOSITION 4.5. *If (X, β) is a Lorentzian manifold which is principally causal and causally pseudoconvex, then there exists a C^1 -fine neighborhood $U(\beta)$ consisting of principally causal pseudoconvex Lorentzian structures.*

The rest of the results in this section now follow from Lemma 4.4 and this proposition, using Theorems 2.4 and 4.6 of [2].

THEOREM 4.6. *If (X, β) is a principally causal and causally pseudoconvex Lorentzian manifold then there exists a C^1 -fine neighborhood $U(\beta)$ such*

that with respect to any $\beta' \in U(\beta)$, the causal convex hull $\llbracket K \rrbracket$ of any compact $K \subseteq X$ is compact.

THEOREM 4.7. *Let (X, β) be a principally causal and causally pseudoconvex Lorentzian manifold of $\dim \geq 3$ with everywhere negative timelike sectional curvature. If the Riemann-Christoffel tensor R is nonvanishing on $n_2(X)$ then there exists a C^2 -fine neighborhood $U(\beta)$ such that for each $\beta' \in U(\beta)$ and each $x \in X$:*

1. *the set of points which can be joined to x by a causal curve (including x) is closed;*
2. *each of the points in (1) can be joined to x by a causal geodesic (degenerate for x itself).*

REFERENCES

- [1] J. K. Beem and P. E. Ehrlich, *Global Lorentzian Geometry*, New York: Marcel Dekker, 1981.
- [2] J. K. Beem and P. E. Parker, *Klein-Gordon solvability and the geometry of geodesics*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **107** (1983), 1–14.
- [3] ———, *The geometry of bicharacteristics and stability of solvability*, in Euler Commemorative Volume (ed. G. M. Rassias), to appear.
- [4] G. Birkhoff and G. C. Rota, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, 2nd ed., Waltham: Blaisdell, 1969.
- [5] M. Dajczer and K. Nomizu, *On the boundedness of Ricci curvature of an indefinite metric*, *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.*, **11** (1980), 25–30.
- [6] S. G. Harris, *A triangle comparison theorem for Lorentz manifolds*, *Indiana Math. J.*, **31** (1982), 289–308.
- [7] M. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*, G.T.M. 33, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [8] R. S. Kulkarni, *The values of sectional curvature in indefinite metrics*, *Comm. Math. Helv.*, **54** (1979), 173–176.
- [9] P. W. Michor, *Manifolds of Differentiable Mappings*, Orpington: Shiva, 1980.
- [10] K. Nomizu, *Remarks on sectional curvature of an indefinite metric*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **89** (1983), 473–476.
- [11] F. Trèves, *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions, and Kernels*, New York: Academic Press, 1967.

Received May 25, 1983.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI
COLUMBIA, MO 65211

AND

WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY
WICHITA, KS 67208

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

ARTHUR OGUS
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

HUGO ROSSI
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

K. Adachi , Le problème de Lévi pour les fibrés grassmanniens et les variétés drapeaux	1
John MacLeod Ball , Remarks on the paper: "Basic calculus of variations"	7
John Kelly Beem and Phillip E. Parker , Whitney stability of solvability	11
Alberto Facchini , Decompositions of algebraically compact modules	25
S. S. Khare , Finite group action and equivariant bordism	39
Horst Leptin , A new kind of eigenfunction expansions on groups	45
Pei-Kee Lin , Unconditional bases and fixed points of nonexpansive mappings	69
Charles Livingston , Stably irreducible surfaces in S^4	77
Kevin Mor McCrimmon , Nonassociative algebras with scalar involution	85
Albert Milani , Singular limits of quasilinear hyperbolic systems in a bounded domain of \mathbf{R}^3 with applications to Maxwell's equations	111
Takemi Mizokami , On M -structures and strongly regularly stratifiable spaces	131
Jesper M. Møller , On the homology of spaces of sections of complex projective bundles	143
Nikolaos S. Papageorgiou , Carathéodory convex integrand operators and probability theory	155
Robert John Piacenza , Transfer in generalized prestack cohomology	185
Lance W. Small and Adrian R. Wadsworth , Integrality of subrings of matrix rings	195
James Michael Wilson , On the atomic decomposition for Hardy spaces	201