

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SOME PROPERTIES OF ALMOST RIMCOMPACT SPACES**

BEVERLY DIAMOND

## SOME PROPERTIES OF ALMOST RIMCOMPACT SPACES

BEVERLY DIAMOND

A 0-space is a completely regular Hausdorff space possessing a compactification with zero-dimensional remainder. In a previous paper the class of almost rimcompact spaces was introduced and shown to be intermediate between the classes of rimcompact spaces and 0-spaces. In this paper some properties of almost rimcompact spaces and of 0-spaces are developed. If  $X$  is a space whose non-locally compact part has compact boundary, then  $X$  is a 0-space if and only if  $X$  is almost rimcompact. Neither perfect images or perfect preimages of rimcompact spaces need be 0-spaces. However, if the perfect preimage of an almost rimcompact space is a 0-space, then that perfect preimage is almost rimcompact. Subspaces and products are considered.

**1. Introduction and known results.** The characterization of those completely regular Hausdorff spaces possessing a compactification with zero-dimensional remainder has been considered by various researchers (see for example [7], [8] and [10]). Such a compactification will be called *0-dimensional at infinity* (denoted by O.I.); a 0-space is any space possessing a O.I. compactification. Recall that a space is *rimcompact* if it has a basis of open sets with compact boundaries ([7]). Each rimcompact space  $X$  possesses a compactification which has a basis of open sets whose boundaries are contained in  $X$  ([9], [10]), hence  $X$  is a 0-space; the converse is not true ([10]). In [2] we introduced a natural generalization of rimcompactness called almost rimcompactness and obtained the following characterization: a space  $X$  is almost rimcompact if and only if  $X$  possesses a compactification  $KX$  in which each point of  $KX \setminus X$  has a basis (in  $KX$ ) of open sets whose boundaries are contained in  $X$ . (If  $KX$  is such a compactification of  $X$ , we say that  $KX \setminus X$  is *relatively 0-dimensionally embedded* in  $KX$ .) Hence each almost rimcompact space is a 0-space; we showed in [2] that the converse is not true.

In this paper we discuss the properties of almost rimcompact spaces and of 0-spaces. In §2 we show that if the non-locally compact part of  $X$  has compact boundary, then  $X$  is a 0-space if and only if  $X$  is almost rimcompact. Such a space need not be rimcompact. In §3 we show that any closed subspace of a 0-space (respectively, almost rimcompact space)

is a 0-space (respectively, almost rimcompact). This statement does not hold for open subspaces. In §4 we indicate that neither perfect images nor perfect preimages of rimcompact spaces need be 0-spaces. However, if the perfect preimage of an almost rimcompact space is a 0-space, then that perfect preimage is almost rimcompact.

In the remainder of this section, we present our notation and terminology and some known results. All spaces are assumed to be completely regular and Hausdorff. The notions used from set theory are standard. The symbol  $\omega_\alpha$  is used to denote the  $\alpha$ th cardinal. For any set  $X$ ,  $|X|$  denotes the cardinality of  $X$ . A *map* is a continuous surjection. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is *closed* if whenever  $F$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , then  $f[F]$  is a closed subset of  $Y$ . A closed function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is *perfect* if for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact.

The family  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  (of equivalence classes of) compactifications of  $X$  is partially ordered in the usual way:  $JX \leq KX$  if there is a map  $f: KX \rightarrow JX$  such that  $f(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;  $KX$  is *equivalent* to  $JX$  if  $f$  is a homeomorphism. For background information on compactifications the reader is referred to [1] or [4]. The maximum element of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , the *Stone-Čech compactification* of  $X$ , is denoted by  $\beta X$ . In the sequel, if  $KX \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , the natural map from  $\beta X$  into  $KX$  is denoted by  $Kf$ .

The following is an easy consequence of 3.2.1 of [3].

**1.1. PROPOSITION (Taimanov's theorem).** *Let  $KX$  and  $KY$  be compactifications of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively, and  $f$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . There is a map  $f': KX \rightarrow KY$  such that  $f'|_X = f$  if and only if for  $A, B \subset Y$ ,  $\text{Cl}_{KY} A \cap \text{Cl}_{KY} B = \emptyset$  implies  $\text{Cl}_{KX} f^{-1}[A] \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} f^{-1}[B] = \emptyset$ .*

The next result follows from 1.5 of [6].

**1.2. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $X, Y, KX, KY$  and  $f$  be as in 1.1. If  $f$  is perfect, and if  $f'$  exists, then  $f'(KX \setminus X) = KY \setminus Y$ .*

We often call  $KX \setminus X$  the *remainder* of  $KX$ . For any space  $X$ , the *residue* of  $X$  (denoted by  $R(X)$ ) is the set of points at which  $X$  is not locally compact. If  $KX$  is any compactification of  $X$ , then  $\text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X) = R(X) \cup (KX \setminus X)$ .

The first of the following results combines Theorems 1 and 4 of [5]; the second is 6.7 of [4].

**1.3. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  be a set of pseudocompact spaces. Then:*

(i) *If  $\prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  is pseudocompact, then  $\beta[\prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}] = \prod\{\beta X_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ .*

(ii) *If  $X_\alpha$  is locally compact for all but one  $\alpha \in A$ , then  $\prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  is pseudocompact.*

**1.4. PROPOSITION.** *If  $X$  is any space, and  $X \subset T \subset \beta X$ , then  $\beta T = \beta X$ .*

If  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$ , and  $\delta X \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , then  $Ex_{\delta X}U$  is defined to be  $\delta X \setminus Cl_{\delta X}(X \setminus U)$ . The set  $Ex_{\delta X}U$  is often called the *extension* of  $U$  in  $\delta X$ . It is an easy exercise to verify (i), (ii), and (iii) of the following proposition. Statement (iv) is implicit in the proof of Lemma 2 of [10].

**1.5. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\delta X \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ .*

(i) *If  $W$  is open in  $\delta X$ , then  $W \subset Ex_{\delta X}(W \cap X)$ .*

(ii) *If  $U$  and  $V$  are open in  $X$ , then  $Ex_{\delta X}(U \cap V) = (Ex_{\delta X}U) \cap (Ex_{\delta X}V)$ .*

(iii) *If  $U$  is open in  $X$ , then  $(Ex_{\delta X}U) \cap X = U$ , hence  $Cl_{\delta X}U = Cl_{\delta X}Ex_{\delta X}U$ .*

(iv) *If  $U$  and  $V$  are open in  $X$ , then*

$$Ex_{\delta X}(U \cup V) \setminus (Ex_{\delta X}U \cup Ex_{\delta X}V) \subset Cl_{\delta X}U \cap Cl_{\delta X}V.$$

If  $U$  is any open subset of  $X$ , then it follows from 1.5(i) that  $Ex_{\delta X}U$  is the largest open subset of  $\delta X$  whose intersection with  $X$  is the set  $U$ . The collection  $\{Ex_{\delta X}U: U \text{ is an open subset of } X\}$  of open sets of  $\delta X$  is easily seen to be a basis for the topology of  $\delta X$ .

If  $B \subset X$ , the *boundary* of  $B$  in  $X$ , denoted by  $bd_X B$ , is defined to be the set  $Cl_X B \cap Cl_X(X \setminus B)$ . A compactification  $\delta X$  of  $X$  is a *perfect compactification* of  $X$  if for each open subset  $U$  of  $X$ ,  $Cl_{\delta X}(bd_X U) = bd_{\delta X}(Ex_{\delta X}U)$ . According to the corollary to Lemma 1 of [10],  $\beta X$  is a perfect compactification of  $X$ .

The equivalence of (i), (ii), and (iii) of the following proposition appear in Theorems 1 and 2 of [10].

**1.6. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\delta X \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ . The following are equivalent.*

(i)  *$\delta X$  is a perfect compactification of  $X$ .*

(ii) *If  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint open sets of  $X$ , then  $Ex_{\delta X}(U \cup V) = Ex_{\delta X}U \cup Ex_{\delta X}V$ .*

(iii) *For each  $p \in \delta X$ ,  $(\delta f)^{\leftarrow}(p)$  is a connected subset of  $\beta X$ .*

The *connected component*  $C_x$  of  $x \in X$  is the union of all connected subspaces of  $X$  containing  $x$ . A space  $X$  is *totally disconnected* if  $C_x = \{x\}$  for each  $x \in X$ . The *quasi-component* of  $x \in X$  is the intersection of all closed-and-open (denoted *clopen*) subsets of  $X$  containing  $x$ . A space  $X$  is *zero-dimensional* (denoted 0-dimensional) if  $X$  has a basis of clopen sets. A space  $X$  is *strongly 0-dimensional* if any two disjoint zerosets of  $X$  are contained in disjoint clopen subsets of  $X$ .

For a detailed discussion of the disconnectedness of remainders of compactifications see [2]. Any 0-space  $X$  has a maximum O.I. compactification (which we denote by  $F_0X$ ) which is also a minimum perfect compactification of  $X$ . For each  $p \in F_0X \setminus X$ , the set  $(F_0f)^{\leftarrow}(p)$  is the connected compact quasi-component (in  $\beta X \setminus X$ ) of each element of  $(F_0f)^{\leftarrow}(p)$ .

The maximum O.I. compactification of a rimcompact space  $X$  is called the Freudenthal compactification of  $X$ , and is denoted by  $FX$ . If  $X$  is 0-dimensional then  $FX = \beta_0X$ , where  $\beta_0X$  denotes the maximum 0-dimensional compactification of  $X$ .

Following the terminology of [9] and [10], we say that an open set  $U$  of  $X$  is  $\pi$ -open in  $X$  if  $\text{bd}_X U$  is compact. The intersection and union of finitely many  $\pi$ -open sets are  $\pi$ -open, as is the complement of the closure of a  $\pi$ -open set.

1.7. DEFINITIONS. (i) If  $F_1, F_2 \subset X$ , then  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are  $\pi$ -separated in  $X$  if there is a  $\pi$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $F_1 \subset U$ , and  $\text{Cl}_X U \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ . We shall often write “ $\{x\}$  and  $F$  are  $\pi$ -separated” as “ $x$  and  $F$  are  $\pi$ -separated”. We say that  $F_1$  is  $\pi$ -contained in  $X \setminus F_2$  if  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are  $\pi$ -separated.

(ii) If  $F$  is closed in  $X$ ,  $U$  is open in  $X$ , and  $F \subset U$ , then  $F$  is *nearly  $\pi$ -contained* in  $U$  if there is a compact subset  $K$  of  $F$  so that whenever  $F'$  is a closed subset of  $F$ , and  $F' \cap K = \emptyset$ ,  $F'$  is  $\pi$ -contained in  $U$ .

(iii) A space  $X$  is *nearly rimcompact* if whenever  $U$  is open in  $X$ , and  $x \in U$ , there is an open set  $W$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in W$  and  $\text{Cl}_X W$  is nearly  $\pi$ -contained in  $U$ .

(iv) A space  $X$  is *quasi-rimcompact* if for any  $x \in X$ , there is a compact set  $K_x$  of  $X$ , so that whenever  $F$  is a closed subset of  $X$  and  $F \cap K_x = \emptyset$ , then  $x$  and  $F$  are  $\pi$ -separated.

(v) A space  $X$  is *almost rimcompact* if  $X$  is nearly rimcompact and quasi-rimcompact.

The following is 2.18 of [2].

1.8. THEOREM. *For any space  $X$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  *$X$  is almost rimcompact.*
- (ii)  *$X$  is a 0-space, and  $F_0 X$  has relatively 0-dimensionally embedded remainder.*
- (iii)  *$X$  has a compactification with relatively 0-dimensionally embedded remainder.*
- (iv)  *$X$  is quasi-rimcompact, and has a compactification with totally disconnected remainder.*

The following is justified in 3.5 of [2].

1.9. EXAMPLE. Let  $Y$  be any 0-dimensional non-strongly 0-dimensional space, and let  $KY$  be any perfect compactification of  $Y$ . If  $X = (KY \times (\omega_1 + 1)) \setminus (Y \times \{\omega_1\})$ , then  $X$  is almost rimcompact.  $X$  is rimcompact if and only if  $KY = \beta_0 Y$ .

**2. 0-spaces whose residues have compact boundary.** We begin by listing some straightforward results concerning  $\pi$ -open subsets of  $X$  and related open subsets of compactifications of  $X$ .

2.1. DEFINITION. Let  $KX \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , and let  $W$  be open in  $KX$ . If  $\text{bd}_{KX} W \subset X$ ,  $W$  is said to be a *small boundary* (denoted by sb) subset of  $KX$ .

2.2. LEMMA. *Let  $KX \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ .*

(i) *The intersection (union) of finitely many sb open subsets of  $KX$  is an sb open subset of  $KX$ .*

*If  $W$  is an sb open subset of  $KX$ , then*

(ii)  *$W \cap X$  is  $\pi$ -open in  $X$ .*

(iii)  *$W = \text{Ex}_{KX}(W \cap X)$ .*

(iv)  *$KX \setminus \text{Cl}_{KX} W$  is sb in  $KX$ .*

(v) *If  $U$  is  $\pi$ -open in  $X$ , and  $KX$  is a perfect compactification of  $X$ , then  $\text{Cl}_{KX} U \cap (KX \setminus X) = \text{Ex}_{KX} U \cap (KX \setminus X)$ ; that is,  $\text{Ex}_{KX} U$  is an sb open subset of  $KX$ .*

The straightforward proof of 2.2 is left to the reader.

We consider separately the cases where  $X$  is nowhere locally compact, and where  $X$  has compact residue.

2.3. LEMMA. *Suppose that  $X$  is nowhere locally compact, and that  $KX$  is a O.I. compactification of  $X$ . Then  $KX \setminus X$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $KX$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $p \in KX \setminus X$ , and that  $p \in W$ , where  $W$  is an open subset of  $KX$ . Since  $KX \setminus X$  is 0-dimensional, there is a clopen subset  $V$  of  $KX \setminus X$  such that  $p \in V \subset W \cap (KX \setminus X)$ , and  $\text{Cl}_{KX} V \subset W$ . Let  $U$  be any open subset of  $KX$  such that  $U \cap (KX \setminus X) = V$ . Since  $KX \setminus X$  is dense in  $KX$ ,  $\text{Cl}_{KX} U = \text{Cl}_{KX}(U \cap (KX \setminus X)) = \text{Cl}_{KX} V$ . Then

$$(\text{Cl}_{KX} U) \cap (KX \setminus X) = \text{Cl}_{KX} V \cap (KX \setminus X) = \text{Cl}_{KX \setminus X} V = V.$$

It follows that  $\text{bd}_{KX} U = \text{Cl}_{KX} U \setminus U \subset X$ , hence  $U$  is an sb open subset of  $KX$ . Since  $\text{Cl}_{KX} U \subset W$ ,  $p$  has a basis in  $KX$  of sb open sets of  $KX$ . Thus  $KX \setminus X$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $KX$ .  $\square$

We make the following easily proved result explicit.

2.4. LEMMA. *Suppose that  $S, T$  are closed subsets of  $X$ , and that  $S \cap (T \cup R(X)) = \emptyset$ . If  $S$  is compact, then there is an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $\text{Cl}_X U$  is compact,  $S \subset U$ , and  $T \cap \text{Cl}_X U = \emptyset$ .*

2.5. LEMMA. *Let  $X$  be a space, and let  $KX \in \mathcal{X}(X)$ . Suppose that  $T$  is a closed subset of  $KX$ , that  $W$  is a compact clopen subset of  $\text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X)$  and that  $T \cap W = \emptyset$ . Then there is an sb open set  $U$  of  $KX$  such that  $\text{bd}_{KX} U \subset X \setminus R(X)$ ,  $W = U \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X)$ , and  $T \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} U = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* If  $W$  is a compact clopen subset of  $\text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X)$ , then  $W' = \text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X) \setminus W$  is a compact clopen subset of  $\text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X)$ . There are disjoint open sets  $U_1, U_1'$  of  $KX$  such that  $W \subseteq U_1$ ,  $W' \subseteq U_1'$  and  $\text{Cl}_{KX} U_1 \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} U_1' = \emptyset$ . Then  $\text{bd}_{KX} U_1 \subseteq X \setminus R(X)$ , hence  $U_1$  is an sb open subset of  $KX$ . Also,  $U_1 \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X) = W$ . Since  $T \cap W = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $T \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X) \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}(U_1 \cap X) = \emptyset$ , hence  $T \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}(U_1 \cap X)$  is a compact set contained in  $X \setminus R(X)$ . According to 2.4, there is an open set  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $\text{Cl}_X V$  is a compact subset of  $X \setminus R(X)$ , and  $T \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}(U_1 \cap X) \subset V$ . Let  $U_2 = KX \setminus \text{Cl}_X V$ . Then  $U_2$  is an sb open set of  $KX$  by 2.2 (iv), and  $W \subset U_2$ . If  $U = U_1 \cap U_2$ , then  $U$  is an sb open set of  $KX$  by 2.2 (i), and  $W = U \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X)$ . Also  $\text{bd}_{KX} U \subset \text{bd}_{KX} U_1 \cup \text{bd}_{KX} U_2 \subset X \setminus R(X)$ . Since  $T \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} U \subset T \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} U_1 \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} U_2 = \emptyset$ , the statement is proved.  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a space. In the sequel,  $L(X)$  denotes the locally compact part of  $X$ ; that is  $L(X) = X \setminus R(X)$ . Note that if  $KX \in \mathcal{X}(X)$ , then  $L(X) = KX \setminus \text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X)$ , and that

$$L(KX \setminus X) = (KX \setminus X) \setminus R(KX \setminus X) = KX \setminus [X \cup \text{cl}_{KX} R(X)].$$

The following is easy to prove.

2.6. LEMMA. *If  $X$  is a space,  $KX \in \mathcal{X}(X)$ , and  $W$  is a compact clopen subset of either  $L(KX \setminus X)$  or  $KX \setminus X$ , then  $W$  is a (compact) clopen subset of  $\text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X)$ .*

2.7. LEMMA. *Suppose that  $X$  is a space in which  $R(X)$  is compact. If  $KX$  is a O.I. compactification of  $X$ , then  $KX \setminus X$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $KX$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T$  is closed in  $KX$ , and that  $p \in (KX \setminus X) \setminus T$ . As  $R(X)$  is compact, there is an open set  $U$  of  $KX$  such that  $p \in U$ , while  $[T \cup R(X)] \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} U = \emptyset$ . Since  $U \cap (KX \setminus X)$  is open in  $KX \setminus X$ , and  $KX \setminus X$  is locally compact and 0-dimensional, there is a compact clopen set  $W$  of  $KX \setminus X$  such that  $p \in W \subset U$ . Then  $W \cap T = \emptyset$ , so by 2.5 and 2.6 there is an sb open set  $V$  of  $KX$  such that  $V \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}(KX \setminus X) = W$  and  $T \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} V = \emptyset$ . Then  $p \in V$ , and  $V \cap T = \emptyset$ . Thus each point of  $KX \setminus X$  has a basis in  $KX$  of open sets whose boundaries lie in  $X$ . That is,  $KX \setminus X$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $KX$ .  $\square$

2.8. THEOREM. *If  $X$  is a space in which  $\text{bd}_X R(X)$  is compact, then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $X$  is a 0-space.
- (ii)  $X$  is almost rimcompact.
- (iii)  $X$  is a 0-space, and  $F_0 X \setminus X$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $F_0 X$ .
- (iv) *If  $KX$  is any O.I. compactification of  $X$  in which  $\text{Cl}_{KX}(\text{int}_X R(X)) \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}(X \setminus R(X)) \subset X$ , then  $KX \setminus X$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $KX$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from 1.8 that (iii) implies (ii) and (ii) implies (i).

(i) implies (iv). Suppose that  $KX$  is a O.I. compactification of  $X$  in which  $\text{Cl}_{KX}(\text{int}_X R(X)) \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}(X \setminus R(X)) \subset X$ . We claim that

$$KX \setminus X \subset \text{Ex}_{KX}(\text{int}_X R(X)) \cup \text{Ex}_{KX}(X \setminus R(X)).$$

As  $X \setminus [\text{int}_X R(X) \cup (X \setminus R(X))] = \text{bd}_X R(X)$ , which is a compact subset of  $X$ ,

$$KX \setminus X \subset \text{Ex}_{KX}[\text{int}_X R(X) \cup (X \setminus R(X))].$$

If  $U$  and  $V$  are open subsets of  $X$ , and

$$p \in \text{Ex}_{KX}(U \cup V) \setminus (\text{Ex}_{KX} U \cup \text{Ex}_{KX} V),$$



then by 1.5 (iv),  $p \in \text{Cl}_{KX} U \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} V$ . As

$$\text{Cl}_{KX} \left( \text{int}_X R(X) \right) \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} (X \setminus R(X)) \subset X,$$

it follows that  $KX \setminus X \subset \text{Ex}_{KX}(\text{int}_X R(X)) \cup \text{Ex}_{KX}(X \setminus R(X))$ , and the claim is proved.

Note that  $\text{Cl}_X \text{int}_X R(X)$  is a nowhere locally compact space. For if  $V$  is any non-empty open subset of  $\text{Cl}_X \text{int}_X R(X)$ , there is an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that

$$U \cap \text{Cl}_X \text{int}_X R(X) = V.$$

Then  $U \cap \text{int}_X R(X)$  is a non-empty open subset of  $X$ . Since  $\text{int}_X R(X)$  is nowhere locally compact,  $\text{Cl}_X(U \cap \text{int}_X R(X))$  is not compact. Then  $\text{Cl}_X V$ , which is the closure in  $\text{Cl}_X \text{int}_X R(X)$  of  $V$ , is not compact. Thus no point of  $\text{Cl}_X \text{int}_X R(X)$  has a basis (in  $\text{Cl}_X \text{int}_X R(X)$ ) of compact closed neighbourhoods, and  $\text{Cl}_X \text{int}_X R(X)$  is nowhere locally compact.

As  $\text{Cl}_{KX} \text{int}_X R(X)$  is a O.I. compactification of  $\text{Cl}_X \text{int}_X R(X)$ , it follows from 2.3 that  $\text{Cl}_{KX} \text{int}_X R(X) \setminus \text{Cl}_X \text{int}_X R(X)$ , which by our claim is just  $[\text{Ex}_{KX} \text{int}_X R(X)] \cap [KX \setminus X]$ , is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $\text{Cl}_{KX} \text{int}_X R(X)$ . Let  $p \in [\text{Ex}_{KX} \text{int}_X R(X)] \cap [KX \setminus X]$ . We show that  $p$  has a basis in  $KX$  of open sets whose boundaries lie in  $X$ . Suppose that  $p \in KX \setminus T$ , where  $T$  is a closed subset of  $KX$ . Since  $p \notin \text{Cl}_{KX}(X \setminus R(X))$ , there is an open subset  $U_1$  of  $KX$  such that  $p \in U_1$  and  $\text{Cl}_{KX} U_1 \cap [\text{Cl}_{KX}(X \setminus R(X)) \cup T] = \emptyset$ . Then  $U_1$  is open in  $\text{Ex}_{KX} \text{int}_X R(X)$ , and hence in  $\text{Cl}_{KX} \text{int}_X R(X)$ . It follows that there is an sb (with respect to  $\text{Cl}_{KX} \text{int}_X R(X)$ ) open set  $U_2$  of  $\text{Cl}_{KX} \text{int}_X R(X)$  such that  $p \in U_2 \subset U_1$ . As  $U_1 \subset \text{Ex}_{KX} \text{int}_X R(X)$ , it follows that  $U_2$  is open in  $KX$ . Since  $\text{Cl}_{KX} U_2 \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}(X \setminus R(X)) = \emptyset$ ,  $U_2$  is an sb open subset of  $KX$  which contains  $p$  and has empty intersection with  $T$ .

The subset  $\text{Cl}_X(X \setminus R(X))$  of  $X$  is a space with compact residue, so by 2.7,  $\text{Cl}_{KX}(X \setminus R(X))$  is a O.I. compactification of  $X$  with a relatively 0-dimensionally embedded remainder. If

$$p \in \text{Cl}_{KX}(X \setminus R(X)) \setminus \text{Cl}_X(X \setminus R(X))$$

(which by our earlier claim equals  $\text{Ex}_{KX}(X \setminus R(X)) \cap (KX \setminus X)$ ), then  $p \notin \text{Cl}_{KX} R(X)$ . It follows from an argument similar to that in the preceding paragraph that  $p$  has a basis in  $KX$  of sb open sets of  $KX$ . Thus each point of  $KX \setminus X$  has a basis of sb open sets of  $KX$ , hence  $KX \setminus X$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $KX$ .

(iv) implies (iii). Since  $F_0X$  is a perfect compactification of  $X$ , and  $\text{bd}_X R(X)$  is compact, by 2.2 (v) and 1.5 (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cl}_{F_0X}(\text{int}_X R(X)) \cap \text{Cl}_{F_0X}(X \setminus R(X)) \cap (F_0X \setminus X) \\ &= \text{Ex}_{F_0X} \text{int}_X R(X) \cap \text{Ex}_{F_0X}(X \setminus R(X)) \cap (F_0X \setminus X) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $F_0X$  satisfies the condition imposed on  $KX$  in (iv) and hence  $F_0X \setminus X$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $F_0X$ .  $\square$

The hypotheses of 2.8 do not imply that  $X$  is rimcompact. If in 1.9,  $Y$  is chosen to be a locally compact 0-dimensional space which is not strongly 0-dimensional, and  $\beta Y$  is chosen as the perfect compactification of  $Y$ , then  $X = (\beta Y \times (\omega_1 + 1)) \setminus (Y \times \{\omega_1\})$  is an almost rimcompact non-rimcompact space in which  $R(X)$  is compact.

**3. Subsets, supersets and products.** We outline a construction that we will use to produce many of our examples.

A collection of infinite subsets of  $\mathcal{N}$  is called *almost disjoint* if the intersection of the two distinct members is finite. Zorn's lemma implies that there exists a maximal collection of almost disjoint infinite subsets of  $\mathcal{N}$ . In the following  $\mathcal{R}$  will denote a maximal such collection. The following topology on  $\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}$  is credited to Isbell in [4]. Each point of  $\mathcal{N}$  is isolated, and  $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$  has as an open base  $\{\{\lambda\} \cup (\lambda \setminus F) : F \text{ is a finite subset of } \mathcal{N}\}$ . It is noted in 5I of [4] that such spaces  $\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}$  are first countable, locally compact, 0-dimensional and pseudocompact. The following is 2.1 of [12].

**3.1. PROPOSITION.** *Any compact metric space without isolated points is homeomorphic to the remainder  $\beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \setminus \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}$  for a suitably chosen maximal almost disjoint collection  $\mathcal{R}$ .*

As indicated in [12], 3.1 holds for any first-countable, separable, compact  $T_2$  space. We do not make use of this more general statement.

In the sequel, when we choose a maximal almost disjoint collection  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \setminus \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}$  is homeomorphic to a compact metric space  $X$  having no isolated points, we identify points of

$$\beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \setminus \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}$$

with points of  $X$  in the obvious manner, and consider  $\beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \setminus \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}$  to be the space  $X$ .

The next example shows that, as might be expected, it is not true that if a space  $X$  is rimcompact, and  $X \subset T \subset \beta X$ , then  $T$  is necessarily a 0-space.

3.2. EXAMPLE. Choose  $\mathcal{R}$  so that  $\beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \setminus \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R} = I$ , where  $I$  denotes the unit interval. Let  $X = \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}$ , and  $T = \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R} \cup \{1\}$ . Then  $X$  is rimcompact. However, the single connected component of  $\beta T \setminus T = \beta X \setminus T$  is  $[0, 1)$ , which is not compact. Thus  $T$  is not a 0-space.  $\square$

It is clear that if  $X$  is a 0-space, and  $X \subset T \subset F_0 X$ , then  $T$  is a 0-space. Recall that if  $X \subset Y \subset \beta X$ , then  $\beta Y = \beta X$ . The following indicates that the expected relationship between  $F_0 X$  and  $F_0 T$  holds.

3.3. THEOREM. *If  $X$  is a 0-space, and  $X \subset T \subset F_0 X$ , then  $T$  is a 0-space and  $F_0 X = F_0 T$ . If  $X$  is almost rimcompact (respectively, rimcompact) then  $T$  is almost rimcompact (respectively, rimcompact).*

*Proof.* Clearly  $F_0 X$  is a O.I. compactification of  $T$ . Suppose that  $KT$  is a O.I. compactification of  $T$  such that  $KT \geq F_0 X$ . Then  $KT$  is a compactification  $\delta X$  of  $X$ . Recall that  $\delta f: \beta X \rightarrow \delta X$  denotes the natural map. Define  $g: \delta X \rightarrow F_0 X$  to be the natural map. Then  $g \circ (\delta f) = F_0 f$ . Suppose that  $p \in F_0 X \setminus T$ . Since  $F_0 X$  is a perfect compactification of  $X$ , by 1.6,  $(F_0 f)^{\leftarrow}(p) = (g \circ \delta f)^{\leftarrow}(p)$  is a connected subset of  $\beta X$ . Then  $(\delta f)[(F_0 f)^{\leftarrow}(p)] = g^{\leftarrow}(p)$  is a connected subset of  $KT$  contained in  $KT \setminus T$ . Since  $KT \setminus T$  is 0-dimensional,  $|g^{\leftarrow}(p)| = 1$ . It follows that  $KT = F_0 X$ , and hence  $F_0 X = F_0 T$ .

If each point of  $F_0 X \setminus X$  has a basis of open sets of  $F_0 X$  whose boundaries are contained in  $X$ , then each point of  $F_0 X \setminus T$  has a basis of open sets of  $F_0 X = F_0 T$  whose boundaries are contained in  $T$ . Thus if  $X$  is almost rimcompact,  $T$  is almost rimcompact. A similar statement holds if  $X$  is rimcompact.  $\square$

It is tempting to attempt to shorten the proof of the preceding theorem by immediately claiming that  $KT$  as chosen is a O.I. compactification of  $X$ . However, since the union of two 0-dimensional spaces need not be 0-dimensional, it is not immediately clear that  $KT \setminus X$  is 0-dimensional, and further argument of the sort provided in the proof is necessary.

We note in passing the following special case for 3.3. If  $X$  is a 0-space, and  $X \cup \text{Cl}_{F_0 X} R(X) \subset T \subset F_0 X$ , then since  $X \cup \text{Cl}_{F_0 X} R(X)$  is almost rimcompact by 2.7,  $T$  is almost rimcompact.

We now consider subspaces of 0-spaces. It is an easy exercise to prove that an open or a closed subspace of a rimcompact space is rimcompact. This contrasts with the fact that while a closed subspace of an almost rimcompact space is almost rimcompact, an open subspace of an almost rimcompact space need not even be a 0-space.

**3.4. THEOREM.** *If  $F$  is a closed subset of a 0-space (respectively, an almost rimcompact space)  $X$ , then  $F$  is a 0-space (respectively, almost rimcompact).*

*Proof.* If  $F$  is closed in a 0-space  $X$ , and  $KX$  is any O.I. compactification of  $X$ , then  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F$  is a O.I. compactification of  $F$ . Thus  $F$  is a 0-space.

Suppose that  $KX \setminus X$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $KX$ . We show that  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F \setminus F$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F$ . Suppose that  $T$  is a closed subset of  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F$  and  $p \in (\text{Cl}_{KX}F \setminus F) \setminus T$ . Then  $T$  is closed in  $KX$ . Since  $KX \setminus X$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $KX$ , there is an sb open set  $U$  of  $KX$  such that  $p \in U$  and  $(\text{Cl}_{KX}U) \cap T = \emptyset$ . Consider the open set  $U \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}F$  of  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F$ . The boundary in  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F$  of  $U \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}F$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cl}_{KX}(U \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}F) \setminus U \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}F &\subset [\text{Cl}_{KX}(U \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}F) \setminus U] \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}F \\ &\subset [(\text{Cl}_{KX}U) \setminus U] \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}F \subset \text{bd}_{KX}U \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}F \\ &\subset X \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}F = F. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $U \cap \text{Cl}_{KX}F$  is an sb open subset of  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F$  and a neighbourhood (in  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F$ ) of  $p$ , while  $T \cap (\text{Cl}_{KX}F) \cap U = \emptyset$ . Thus each point of  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F \setminus F$  has a basis of sb open sets of  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F$ . Hence  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F \setminus F$  is relatively 0-dimensionally embedded in  $\text{Cl}_{KX}F$ . It follows from 1.8 that  $F$  is almost rimcompact.  $\square$

**3.5. EXAMPLE.** Choose  $\mathcal{R}$  to be a maximal almost disjoint collection of infinite subsets of  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \setminus (\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R})$  is homeomorphic to  $I$ . Let  $Z = [\beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \times (\omega_1 + 1)] \setminus [(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \times \{\omega_1\}]$ , and  $X = Z \setminus \{(\frac{1}{2}, \omega_1)\}$ . Then  $X$  is an open subset of  $Z$ . As demonstrated in 3.8 of [2],  $X$  is not a 0-space, while according to 1.9,  $Z$  is almost rimcompact.  $\square$

For completeness we include the following example which illustrates that the product of two rimcompact spaces need not be a 0-space. We mention that it is straightforward to show that a space possessing a compactification with countable remainder is rimcompact.

3.6. EXAMPLE. Choose  $\mathcal{R}$  to be a family such that  $\beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \setminus \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R} = I$ . Let  $P, Q$  denote the irrationals and rationals in  $I$ , respectively. If  $Y = \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R} \cup P$ , then  $\beta Y \setminus Y = Q$ , hence  $Y$  is rimcompact. According to 1.3  $\beta((\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \times (\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R})) = \beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \times \beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R})$ , so by 1.4  $\beta(Y \times Y) = \beta Y \times \beta Y$ . Let  $Z = \beta(Y \times Y) \setminus (Y \times Y)$ . If  $q \in Q$ , let  $C_{(q, q)}$  denote the connected component of  $(q, q)$  in  $Z$ . We show that  $C_{(q, q)}$  is not compact, hence  $Y \times Y$  is not a 0-space. Now  $q \times I$  is a connected subset of  $Z$ . For each  $q' \in Q$ ,  $I \times q'$  is a connected subset of  $Z$  which intersects  $q \times I$ , hence  $\bigcup_{q' \in Q} (I \times q') \subseteq C_{(q, q)}$ . The smallest compact connected set containing  $\bigcup_{q' \in Q} (I \times q')$  is  $I \times I$ . However,  $(I \times I) \cap (Y \times Y) \neq \emptyset$ , hence  $C_{(q, q)}$  is not compact.  $\square$

4. Images and preimages. Continuous images and preimages of rimcompact spaces need not be rimcompact, even if the map is perfect. In fact, since any completely regular space is the image of an extremally disconnected space (i.e., a space in which disjoint open sets have disjoint closures) under a perfect irreducible map (see [11]), the perfect image of a rimcompact space need not even be a 0-space. The next example shows that the perfect preimage of a rimcompact space need not be a 0-space. However, we show in 4.3 that if the perfect preimage of an almost rimcompact space is a 0-space, then that preimage is almost rimcompact.

4.1. EXAMPLE. Let  $Y = I \times \{0, 1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots\}$ , and

$$X = [Y \times (\omega_1 + 1)] \setminus [I \times \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\} \times \{\omega_1\}].$$

It is shown in 3.7 of [2] that  $X$  is not a 0-space. Let

$$f: I \times \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\} \times (\omega_1 + 1) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\} \times (\omega_1 + 1)$$

be the projection map. Then  $f$  is closed, since  $I$  is compact. Let

$$S = [\{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\} \times (\omega_1 + 1)] \setminus [\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\} \times \{\omega_1\}].$$

Since  $f^{-1}(y) = I \times \{y\}$ , for  $y \in S$ ,  $f$  is a perfect map from  $X$  onto  $S$ . The space  $S$ , being a subspace of  $\{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\} \times (\omega_1 + 1)$ , is 0-dimensional (and hence rimcompact).  $\square$

The following is 1.2 of [6].

4.2. LEMMA. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect map. If  $S$  is a compact subset of  $Y$ , then  $f^{-1}[S]$  is a compact subset of  $X$ .

4.3. THEOREM. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect map. If  $X$  is a 0-space, and  $Y$  is almost rimcompact, then  $X$  is almost rimcompact.

*Proof.* We show that  $X$  is quasi-rimcompact. It then follows from 1.8 that  $X$  is almost rimcompact. If  $x \in R(X)$ , let  $K_x = f^{-1}[K]$ , where  $K$  is the compact subset of  $Y$  witnessing the fact that  $Y$  is quasi-rimcompact at  $f(x)$ . According to 4.2,  $K_x$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . Suppose that  $F$  is a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $F \cap K_x = \emptyset$ . Then  $K \cap f[F] = \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  is a closed map, it follows from our choice of  $K$  that there is a  $\pi$ -open subset  $W$  of  $Y$  such that  $f(x) \in W \subseteq \text{Cl}_Y W \subset Y \setminus f[F]$ . As  $f$  is a perfect map, and  $\text{bd}_Y W$  is compact, according to 4.2  $f^{-1}[\text{bd}_Y W]$  is compact. Since  $\text{bd}_X f^{-1}[W] \subset f^{-1}[\text{bd}_Y W]$ ,  $f^{-1}[W]$  is a  $\pi$ -open subset of  $X$ . Also,  $x \in f^{-1}[W]$ , and  $F \cap \text{Cl}_X f^{-1}[W] = \emptyset$ . Thus  $x$  and  $F$  are  $\pi$ -separated. Hence  $X$  is quasi-rimcompact, and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

In 4.3,  $X$  and  $Y$  can be chosen so that  $X$  is not rimcompact and  $Y$  is rimcompact.

4.4. EXAMPLE. Choose  $\mathcal{R}$  to be a family such that  $\beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \setminus \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}$  is homeomorphic to  $I$ . Then  $F(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) = \omega(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R})$ , the one-point compactification of  $\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}$ . If

$$X = [\beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \times (\omega_1 + 1)] \setminus [(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \times \{\omega_1\}],$$

then according to 1.9,  $X$  is almost rimcompact but is not rimcompact. Let

$$f: \beta(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \times (\omega_1 + 1) \rightarrow \omega(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \times (\omega_1 + 1)$$

be the natural map, and let

$$Z = [\omega(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \times (\omega_1 + 1)] \setminus [(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}) \times \{\omega_1\}].$$

If  $z \in Z$ , then  $f^{-1}(z) = \{z\}$  or  $f^{-1}(z) = I \times \{p\}$  for some  $p \in (\omega_1 + 1)$ . Also  $f^{-1}[Z] = X$ , so  $f|_X$  is a perfect map from  $X$  into  $Z$ . The space  $Z$  is 0-dimensional (and hence rimcompact).  $\square$

It is well known that if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map, where  $X$  and  $Y$  are 0-dimensional, then  $f$  extends to  $g \in C(FX, FY) = C(\beta_0 X, \beta_0 Y)$ . The following generalizes this fact.

4.5. THEOREM. Suppose that  $X$  is a space,  $Y$  is 0-dimensional and  $KX$  is a perfect compactification of  $X$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map, then  $f$  extends to  $g \in C(KX, \beta_0 Y)$ .

*Proof.* Subsets  $C$  and  $D$  of  $Y$  have disjoint closures in  $\beta_0 Y$  if and only if  $C$  and  $D$  are contained in disjoint clopen subsets  $U$  and  $Y \setminus U$  of  $Y$  respectively. Since  $f^{-1}[U]$ ,  $f^{-1}[Y \setminus U]$  are then disjoint clopen subsets of

$X$ , and  $KX$  is a perfect compactification of  $X$ , it follows that  $\text{Cl}_{KX} f^{\leftarrow}[U] \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} f^{\leftarrow}[Y \setminus U] = \emptyset$ . Then  $\text{Cl}_{KX} f^{\leftarrow}[C] \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} f^{\leftarrow}[D] = \emptyset$ ; thus by 1.1,  $f$  extends to  $g \in C(KX, \beta_0 Y)$ .  $\square$

4.6. DEFINITION. A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is *monotone* if  $f^{\leftarrow}(y)$  is connected for each  $y \in Y$ .

The following answers a question communicated verbally to R. G. Woods (Topology Conference, 1980) by D. Bellamy.

4.7. THEOREM. *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a monotone quotient map, and let  $KX, KY$  be perfect compactifications of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. If  $f$  extends to  $g \in C(KX, KY)$ , then  $g$  is monotone.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there is  $p \in KY$  such that  $g^{\leftarrow}(p)$  is not connected. Write  $g^{\leftarrow}(p) = G_1 \cup G_2$ , where  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $g^{\leftarrow}(p)$ . Since  $g^{\leftarrow}(p)$  is compact,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are disjoint compact subsets of  $KX$ ; hence there are open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  of  $X$  such that  $G_i \subset \text{Ex}_{KX} U_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $\text{Cl}_{KX} U_1 \cap \text{Cl}_{KX} U_2 = \emptyset$ . Since  $g$  is a closed map, there is an open set  $V$  of  $Y$  such that  $g^{\leftarrow}(p) \subset g^{\leftarrow}[V] \subset \text{Ex}_{KX} U_1 \cup \text{Ex}_{KX} U_2$ . Let  $W_i = g^{\leftarrow}[V] \cap U_i = f^{\leftarrow}[V \cap Y] \cap U_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are disjoint open subsets of  $X$ , and  $W_1 \cup W_2 = f^{\leftarrow}[V \cap Y]$ . Since  $f^{\leftarrow}(y)$  is connected for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $W_i = f^{\leftarrow}[V_i]$  for some subset  $V_i$  of  $Y$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Since  $f$  is a quotient map,  $V_i$  is open in  $Y$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then  $V \cap Y = V_1 \cup V_2$ , while  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ . It follows from 1.5 (i) and (ii), and 1.6 that  $p \in \text{Ex}_{KY} V = \text{Ex}_{KY} V_1 \cup \text{Ex}_{KY} V_2$ , while  $\text{Ex}_{KY} V_1 \cap \text{Ex}_{KY} V_2 = \emptyset$ . Suppose without loss of generality that  $p \in \text{Ex}_{KY} V_1$ . Since  $g^{\leftarrow}[\text{Ex}_{KY} V_1]$  is an open subset of  $KX$  containing  $f^{\leftarrow}[V_1]$ ,

$$g^{\leftarrow}(p) \subset g^{\leftarrow}[\text{Ex}_{KY} V_1] \subset \text{Ex}_{KX} f^{\leftarrow}[V_1] = \text{Ex}_{KX} W_1 \subset \text{Ex}_{KX} U_1,$$

which contradicts the fact that  $g^{\leftarrow}(p) \cap \text{Ex}_{KX} U_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $g^{\leftarrow}(p)$  is connected for each  $p \in KY$ .  $\square$

4.8. COROLLARY. *Suppose that  $X$  is a 0-space and  $Y$  is 0-dimensional. If there is a perfect monotone map from  $X$  into  $Y$ , then  $X$  is almost rimcompact and  $F_0 X \setminus X$  is homeomorphic to  $FY \setminus Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect monotone map. Then  $f$  extends to  $g \in C(F_0 X, FY)$  by 4.5. Since  $f$  is perfect,  $g^{\leftarrow}[FY \setminus Y] = F_0 X \setminus X$ . As  $f$  is monotone, it follows from 4.7 that  $g^{\leftarrow}(y)$  is connected for each  $y \in FY \setminus Y$ . Since  $F_0 X \setminus X$  is 0-dimensional, and  $g^{\leftarrow}(y) \subset F_0 X \setminus X$ ,

$|g^{-1}(y)| = 1$ . Thus  $g|_{F_0 X \setminus X}: F_0 X \setminus X \rightarrow FY \setminus Y$  is a closed continuous one-to-one map, hence  $g$  is a homeomorphism. The fact that  $X$  is almost rimcompact follows from 4.3.  $\square$

Example 4.1 shows that the perfect monotone preimage  $X$  of a 0-dimensional space need not be a 0-space, while Example 4.4 shows that even if  $X$  is a 0-space,  $X$  need not be rimcompact.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. E. Chandler, *Hausdorff compactifications*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics No. 23, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1976.
- [2] B. Diamond, *Almost rimcompact spaces*, submitted.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977.
- [4] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
- [5] I. Glicksberg, *Stone-Cech compactification of products*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **90** (1959), 369–382.
- [6] M. Henriksen and J. R. Isbell, *Some properties of compactifications*, Duke Math. J., **25** (1958), 83–105.
- [7] J. R. Isbell, *Uniform spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Math. Surveys No. 12 (1962).
- [8] J. R. McCartney, *Maximum zero-dimensional compactifications*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **68** (1970), 653–661.
- [9] K. Morita, *On bicompatifications of semibcompact spaces*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku Sec. A vol. 4, **94** (1952).
- [10] E. G. Sklyarenko, *Some questions in the theory of bicompatifications*, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans., **58** (1966), 216–244.
- [11] D. P. Strauss, *Extremally disconnected spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1967), 305–309.
- [12] J. Terasawa, *Spaces  $\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{R}$  and their dimensions*, Topology Appl., **11** (1980), 93–102.

Received October 3, 1983 and in revised form January 9, 1984. This research was partially supported by a scholarship from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and constitutes a portion of the author's doctoral dissertation.

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA  
WINNIPEG, MANITOBA R3T 2N2  
CANADA





# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI  
University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

HUGO ROSSI  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

<b>Dan Amir</b> , On Jung's constant and related constants in normed linear spaces ...	1
<b>Abdul Aziz</b> , On the location of the zeros of certain composite polynomials ...	17
<b>Joseph Barback</b> , On hereditarily odd-even isols and a comparability of summands property .....	27
<b>Matthew G. Brin, Klaus Johansson and Peter Scott</b> , Totally peripheral 3-manifolds .....	37
<b>Robert F. Brown</b> , A topological bound on the number of distinct zeros of an analytic function .....	53
<b>K. C. Chattopadhyay</b> , Not every Lodato proximity is covered .....	59
<b>Beverly Diamond</b> , Some properties of almost rimcompact spaces .....	63
<b>Manfred Dugas and Rüdiger Göbel</b> , On radicals and products .....	79
<b>Abdelouahab El Kohen</b> , A hyperbolic problem .....	105
<b>Harry Gonshor</b> , Remarks on the Dedekind completion of a nonstandard model of the reals .....	117
<b>William H. Kazez</b> , On equivalences of branched coverings and their action on homology .....	133
<b>Darrell Conley Kent</b> , On the Wallman order compactification .....	159
<b>Martin Andrew Magid</b> , Lorentzian isoparametric hypersurfaces .....	165
<b>Milan Miklavčič</b> , Stability for semilinear parabolic equations with noninvertible linear operator .....	199
<b>Richard Dean Neidinger and Haskell Paul Rosenthal</b> , Norm-attainment of linear functionals on subspaces and characterizations of Tauberian operators .....	215
<b>Johannes Vermeer</b> , Closed subspaces of $H$ -closed spaces .....	229