Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE WALLMAN ORDER COMPACTIFICATION

DARRELL CONLEY KENT

Vol. 118, No. 1

March 1985

ON THE WALLMAN ORDER COMPACTIFICATION

D. C. Kent

The Wallman order compactification $w_0 X$ of a topological ordered space X has been constructed by Choe and Park. This paper establishes necessary and sufficient conditions for their compactification to be T_2 -ordered, in which case it coincides with the Nachbin (or Stone-Čech order) compactification.

Introduction. Let (X, \leq) be a poset. For $x \in X$, let $i(x) = \{y \in X: x \leq y\}$ and let $d(x) = \{y \in X: y \leq x\}$. If $A \subseteq X$, let $i(A) = \bigcup\{i(x): x \in A\}$, and $d(A) = \bigcup\{d(x): x \in A\}$. If A = iA (respectively, A = d(A)), then A is called an *increasing* (respectively, *decreasing*) set; a set which is either increasing or decreasing is said to be *monotone*.

A topological ordered space (X, \leq , τ) consists of a poset (X, \leq) equipped with a topology τ . If τ has an open subbase consisting of monotone sets, then the topological ordered space is said to be *convex*. Since only convex topological ordered spaces can have order compactifications which are T_2 -ordered (see below), we shall henceforth consider only spaces of this type. For brevity, a convex topological ordered space (X, \leq , τ) will be simply called a *space* and designated by "X".

Following McCartan [4], we define a space X to be T_1 -ordered if i(x) and d(x) are both closed for all $x \in X$, and T_2 -ordered if the partial order relation is a closed subset of $X \times X$. A T_1 -ordered space is T_4 -ordered (normally ordered in [5]) if, whenever A and B are closed disjoint subsets, the former decreasing and the latter increasing, there are disjoint open sets U and V, the former decreasing and the latter increasing, such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$. The " T_3 -ordered" property is defined in [4], and " $T_{3.5}$ -ordered" can be taken to mean "completely regular ordered" as defined in [5], but it will not be necessary to repeat these latter definitions here.

Nachbin has constructed a Stone-Čech type order compactification $\beta_0 X$ of an arbitrary $T_{3.5}$ -ordered space X with the property that any continuous, increasing function from X into a T_2 -ordered, compact space can be lifted to $\beta_0 X$. For details of the Nachbin compactification, see [3]. More recently, Choe and Park showed that X is T_4 -ordered whenever $w_0 X$ is T_2 -ordered, but were unable to prove the converse. Our main result establishes that $w_0 X$ is T_2 -ordered if and only if X is strongly T_4 -ordered

(this term is defined below), and consequently that $w_0 X$ and $\beta_0 X$ are equivalent compactifications of a strongly T_4 -ordered space X.

Let X be a topological ordered spae. If $A \subseteq X$, let I(A) (respectively, D(A)) be the smallest increasing (respectively, decreasing) closed set containing A, and let $A^{\hat{}} = I(A) \cap D(A)$. Let $\mathscr{C}_X = \{A \subseteq X : A = A^{\hat{}}\}$. Note that all members of \mathscr{C}_X are closed and convex; we shall call the members of $\mathscr{C}_X c$ -sets. All monotone closed sets are c-sets, and thus \mathscr{C}_X is a closed subbase for τ . One can easily verify that every set of the form $A^{\hat{}}$, for $A \subseteq X$, is a c-set, and also that \mathscr{C}_X is closed under finite intersections.

Let F(X) be the set of all filters on X; the fixed ultrafilter generated by $\{x\}$ will be denoted by \dot{x} for $x \in X$. If $\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{G} \in F(X)$, then $\mathscr{F} \lor \mathscr{G}$ will designate the filter generated by $\{F \cap G \ F \in \mathscr{G}, G \in \mathscr{G}\}$ (assuming that the latter collection does not include \varnothing).

For $\mathscr{F} \in F(X)$, we denote by $i(\mathscr{F})$ the filter generated by $\{i(F): F \in \mathscr{G}\}$; the filters $d(\mathscr{F})$, $I(\mathscr{F})$, and $D(\mathscr{F})$ are defined analogously. A filter \mathscr{F} is a *c*-filter (respectively, a *convex filter*) if it has a filter base of *c*-sets (respectively, convex sets). Note that \mathscr{F} is a *c*-filter (respectively, a convex filter) iff $\mathscr{F} = I(\mathscr{F}) \lor D(\mathscr{F})$ (respectively, $\mathscr{F} = i(\mathscr{F}) \lor d(\mathscr{F})$). A *c*-filter which is not property contained in any other *c*-filter will be called a *maximal c*-filter. A standard Zorn's Lemma argument establishes that every *c*-filter is contained in a maximal *c*-filter.

We can assume that X is a T_1 -ordered space and define $w_0(X)$ to be the set of all maximal c-filters on X. Note that the only convergent maximal c-filters are the fixed ultrafilters. It will be convenient to write $w_0 X = \{\dot{x}: x \in X\} \cup X'$, where X' is the set of all non-convergent maximal c-filters. An order relation " \leq " for $w_0 X$ is defined as follows: $\mathscr{F} \leq \mathscr{G}$ iff $I(\mathscr{F}) \subseteq \mathscr{G}$ and $D(\mathscr{G}) \subseteq \mathscr{F}$. It is a simple matter to verify that $(w_0 X, \leq)$ is a poset and that the canonical map $\varphi: (X, \leq) \to (w_0 X, \leq)$, defined by $\varphi(x) = \dot{x}$, is increasing.

We next introduce a topology on $w_0 X$. For $A \subseteq X$, define $A^* = \{ \mathscr{F} \in w_0 X : A \in \mathscr{F} \}$. Then $\mathscr{C}^* = \{ A^* : A \in \mathscr{C}_X \}$ is a closed subbase for a topology on $w_0 X$ which we shall denote by $w_0 \tau$. Clearly, $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$ for all subsets A, B of X; from this one easily deduces that $w_0 X$ is a topological ordered space. It is obvious that $A = \varphi^{-1}(A^*)$ for any $A \subseteq X$; therefore $\varphi: X \to w_0 X$ is a topological embedding, and both φ and $\varphi^{-1} | \varphi(x)$ are increasing functions.

Before proceeding further, it is desirable to compare our construction of $w_0 X$ with that of Choe and Park. They define a *bifilter* $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ on X to be a pair of filters such that \mathcal{G} has a base of decreasing closed sets, \mathcal{H} has a base of increasing closed sets, and $\mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{H}$ exists; the set of all maximal bifilters forms the underlying set for their compactification, which is also denoted by $w_0 X$. It is easy to see that, for any bifilter $(\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{H})$ on X, the filter $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{G} \lor \mathscr{H}$ is a c-filter, and that, for any c-filter \mathscr{F} , $(D(\mathscr{F}), I(\mathscr{F}))$ is a corresponding bifilter. If $(\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{H})$ is a maximal bifilter, then $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{G} \lor \mathscr{H}$ is a maximal c-filter, and $(D(\mathscr{F}), I(\mathscr{F})) = (\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{H})$; thus a bijection exists between the set of maximal bifilters on X and the set of maximal c-filters on X. A comparison of the order relation and topology defined for $w_0 X$ in [2] with our definitions given above reveals the equivalence of these spaces both as posets and as topological spaces. Thus the results obtained concerning $w_0 X$ in [2] are applicable here, albeit with appropriate terminological alterations. The next two results are obtained in this way.

PROPOSITION 1.1. For any T_1 -ordered space X, (w_0X, φ) is an order compactification of X, and w_0X is a T_1 topological space. If w_0X is T_2 -ordered, then X is T_4 -ordered.

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let X be a T_1 -ordered space, Y a T_2 -ordered compact space, and $f: X \to Y$ a continuous, increasing function. Then there is a unique, continuous, increasing function $\overline{f}: w_0 X \to Y$ such that $\overline{f} \cdot \varphi = f$.

We define a T_4 -ordered space X to be strongly T_4 -ordered if, whenever A and B are c-sets:

 $I(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ implies $I(A) \cap D(B) = \emptyset$ $D(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ implies $D(A) \cap I(B) = \emptyset$

Note that a T_4 -ordered space X is strongly T_4 -ordered iff, for a c-set A and a decreasing open set U with $A \subseteq U$, $D(A) \subseteq U$ and dually.

Priestly [6] defines a C-space to be a topological ordered space X such that, for each closed subset A, i(A) and d(A) are also closed. The class of strongly T_4 -ordered spaces includes the T_4 C-spaces, among which are the T_2 -ordered compact spaces.

PROPOSITION 1.3. A T_1 -ordered space X is strongly T_4 -ordered if and only $w_0 X$ is T_2 -ordered

Proof. In Proposition 1, page 26, [5], Nachbin shows that a space is T_2 -ordered if, whenever $a \leq b$, there is an increasing neighborhood V of a and a decreasing W of b such that $V \cap W = \emptyset$.

Assume that \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{G} are elements of $w_0 X$ such that $\mathscr{F} \leq \mathscr{G}$ is false. Then either $I(\mathscr{F}) \subseteq \mathscr{F}$ or $D(\mathscr{G}) \subseteq \mathscr{F}$ is false. In the former case, since \mathscr{G} is a

maximal c-filter, there is $F \in \mathscr{F}$ and $G \in \mathscr{G}$ such that $I(F) \cap G = \emptyset$. By the assumption that X is strongly T_4 -ordered, $I(F) \cap D(G) = \emptyset$, and so there are disjoint open neighborhoods U and V of I(F) and D(G), respectively, such that U is increasing and V decreasing. Then U* and V* are disjoint, open neighborhoods of \mathscr{F} and \mathscr{G} , respectively, in $w_0 X$, the former increasing and the latter decreasing. This $w_0 X$ is T_2 -ordered.

Conversely, assume that w_0X is T_2 -ordered. Let A, B be c-sets and suppose $I(A) \cap B = \emptyset$. Then $I(A)^* \cap B^* = \emptyset$. $I(A)^*$ is a closed, increasing subset of w_0X and $B^* = D(B)^* \cap I(B)^*$ is a closed subset of w_0X . Let $d_w(B^*) = \{\mathscr{F} \in w_0X : \mathscr{F} \leq \mathscr{G} \text{ for some } \mathscr{G} \in B^*\}$. By Proposition 4, page 44, [5], $d_w(B^*)$ is a closed subset of w_0X , and it follows that $I(A)^* \cap d_w(B^*) = \emptyset$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(I(A)^* \cap d_w(B^*)) = \varphi^{-1}(I(A)^*) \cap$ $\varphi^{-1}(d_w(B^*)) = \emptyset$. Since $\varphi^{-1}(I(A)^*) = I(A)$ and $D(B) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(d(B^*))$, it follows that $I(A) \cap D(B) = \emptyset$. A similar argument shows that if D(A) $\cap B = \emptyset$, then $D(A) \cap I(B) = \emptyset$. This conclusion that X strongly T_4 ordered now follows with the help of Proposition 1.1

COROLLARY 1.4. A T_4 -ordered space X is strongly T_4 -ordered if and only if, for any c-set A, d(A) and i(A) are both closed.

Proof. The condition is obviously sufficient. Suppose that X is strongly T_4 -ordered and $x \notin d(A)$. Then $i(x)^* \cap A^* = \emptyset$, and consequently $i(x)^* \cap d_w(A^*) = \emptyset$. It follows that $i(x) \cap \varphi^{-1}(d_w(A^*)) = \emptyset$. Since the closure of d(A) in X is a subset of $\varphi^{-1}(d_w(A^*))$, x is not in the closure of d(A). Thus d(A) is closed.

COROLLARY 1.5. Let X be $T_{3,5}$ -ordered. Then the compactifications $w_0 X$ and $\beta_0 X$ are equivalent if and only if X is strongly T_4 -ordered.

If the order relation of X is trivial, then the c-sets are simply the closed sets, and the compactification $w_0 X$ is identical with the ordinary Wallman compactification. In this case, Corollary 1.5 yields the well-known equivalence of the Wallman and Stone-Čech compactifications for T_4 topological space.

We conclude by considering the Wallman order compactification for a simple and familiar class of spaces. We define a *totally ordered space* to be a totally ordered set with its order topology. If X is a totally ordered space, then one can show that $w_0 X$ (and hence $\beta_0 X$) is a totally ordered space and a complete lattice. If X = R is the totally ordered space of real numbers, then $w_0 X$ can be identified with the extended real line $[-\infty, \infty]$. If X = Q is the space of rationals, then $w_0 X$ can also be regarded as the extended real line, but with each irrational "occurring twice"; by identifying these "irrational pairs", one obtains $w_0 R$ as a quotient space of $w_0 Q$.

REFERENCES

- T. H. Choe and Y. H. Hong, Exensions of completely regular ordered spaces, Pacific J. Math., 64 (1976), 37-48.
- T. H. Choe and Y. S. Park, Wallman's type order compactification, Pacific J. Math., 82 (1979), 339-347.
- [3] g. Hommel, *Increasing Radon measures on locally compact ordered spaces*, Rendiconti di Matematica, 9 (1976), 85–117.
- S. D. McCartan, Separation axioms for topological ordered spaces, Proc. Comb. Phil. Soc., 64 (1968), 965–973.
- [5] L. Nachbin, *Topology and Order*, Van Nostrand Mathematical Series 4, Princeton, N. J. 1965.
- [6] H. A. Priestly, Ordered topological spaces and the representation of distributive lattices, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 24 (1972), 507-530.

Received October 14, 1983 and in revised form January 12, 1984.

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY PULLMAN, WA 99164–2930

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906-1982) F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

B. H. NEUMANN

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

HUGO ROSSI University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 118, No. 1March, 1985

Dan Amir, On Jung's constant and related constants in normed linear spaces1
Abdul Aziz, On the location of the zeros of certain composite polynomials 17
Joseph Barback, On hereditarily odd-even isols and a comparability of
summands property
Matthew G. Brin, Klaus Johannson and Peter Scott, Totally peripheral
3-manifolds
Robert F. Brown, A topological bound on the number of distinct zeros of an
analytic function
K. C. Chattopadhyay, Not every Lodato proximity is covered
Beverly Diamond, Some properties of almost rimcompact spaces
Manfred Dugas and Rüdiger Göbel, On radicals and products
Abdelouahab El Kohen, A hyperbolic problem
Harry Gonshor, Remarks on the Dedekind completion of a nonstandard
model of the reals
William H. Kazez, On equivalences of branched coverings and their action
on homology
Darrell Conley Kent, On the Wallman order compactification 159
Martin Andrew Magid, Lorentzian isoparametric hypersurfaces
Milan Miklavčič, Stability for semilinear parabolic equations with
noninvertible linear operator
Richard Dean Neidinger and Haskell Paul Rosenthal, Norm-attainment of
linear functionals on subspaces and characterizations of Tauberian
operators
Johannes Vermeer, Closed subspaces of <i>H</i> -closed spaces