Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A GENERAL LOCAL ERGODIC THEOREM IN L_1

MUSTAFA AGAH AKCOGLU AND MEIRA FALKOWITZ (SOSHNIAK)

Vol. 119, No. 2

June 1985

A GENERAL LOCAL ERGODIC THEOREM IN L_1

M. A. AKCOGLU AND M. FALKOWITZ

Let (X, \mathscr{F}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and let L_1 denote the usual Banach space of equivalence classes of real valued integrable functions on X. We shall not distinguish between the equivalence classes and the functions themselves. Relations between functions are assumed to hold in an a.e. sense.

Throughout this paper $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ will denote a strongly continuous semigroup of linear contractions on L_1 . That is:

(i) each T_t is a linear operator on L_1 , with norm not more than 1,

(ii) $T_{s+t} = T_t T_s$ for all t, s > 0,

(iii) for all $f \in L_1$ and t > 0, $\lim_{s \to t, s > 0} ||T_s f - T_t f|| = 0$.

We will prove the pointwise local ergodic theorem for such a semi group.

THEOREM 1.1. If $f \in L_1$, then $\lim_{t\to 0^+} (1/t) \int_0^t T_s f \, ds$ exists a.e. on X.

Here $\int_0^t T_s f \, ds$ is defined as the strong limit of the usual Riemann sums. To give a meaning to the a.e. limit one either has to use the usual conventions in ergodic theory (p. 686 in [4]), or, equivalently, to avoid these conventions, has to restrict the range of t in $\lim_{t\to 0^+}$ to a countable dense subset of $(0, \infty)$, for example to the set of positive rational numbers (p. 200 in [3]). The same remarks also apply to Theorem 1.2 below.

Various special cases of this theorem have already been proved, going back to Wiener's local ergodic theorem [11], in which $\{T_i\}$ is induced by a measure preserving flow of X. The modern form of the theory started with the results of Krengel [6] and Ornstein [8], where the local ergodic theorem is proved under the following two additional assumptions on $\{T_i\}$:

(iv) Positivity: $T_t L_1^+ \subset L_1^+$ for all t > 0, where L_1^+ is the positive cone of L_1 ,

(v) Continuity at the origin: There is an operator T_0 on L_1 such that $\lim_{t\to 0^+} ||T_t f - T_0 f|| = 0$ for all $f \in L_1$.

Later the theorem has been proved assuming (iv) only [1], or assuming (v) only [5], [7], [10], in addition to (i), (ii) and (iii). Here we will prove the local ergodic theorem without any additional assumptions.

We will, in fact, prove Theorem 1.2 below, which generalizes both Theorem 1.1 and a weaker form of a differentiation theorem of Akcoglu-Krengel [3]. We define, as in [3], a T_t -additive process as a family $\{F_t\}_{t>0}$ of L_1 functions such that $F_t + T_t F_s = F_{t+s}$ for all t, s > 0. If

$$\sup_{t>0} (1/t) \|F_t\| = K < \infty$$

then $\{F_t\}$ is called a bounded additive process, and K is called the bound of the process. Note that $F_t = \int_0^t T_s f \, ds$ defines a bounded additive process for any $f \in L_1$. Another example of an additive process is $F_t = (1 - T_t) f, f \in L_1$, which may or may not be bounded.

THEOREM 1.2. If $\{F_t\}_{t>0}$ is a bounded additive process with respect to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ then there is a function $f \in L_1$ such that $\lim_{t\to 0^+} 1/tF_t = f$ a.e. on X. Furthermore, $\lim_{t\to 0^+} 1/t \int_0^t T_s f \, ds = f$ a.e.

The advantage of considering additive processes is that we can then assume the continuity of $\{T_i\}$ at the origin, without any loss of generality. To see this we first collect a few results which will also be used later in the proof Theorem 1.2.

THEOREM 1.3 ([10], [5]). Given a strongly continuous semi group $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ of L_1 -contractions, there exists a strongly continuous semi-group $\{\tau_t\}_{t>0}$ of positive L_1 -contractions such that $|T_t f| \le \tau_t |f|$ for any t > 0 and $f \in L_1$.

Such a semi group $\{\tau_t\}_{t>0}$ will be called a linear modulus of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$. Furthermore, if a linear modulus for $\{T_t\}$ is continuous at the origin then $\{T_t\}$ is also continuous at the origin (Lemma 1 in [9]).

THEOREM 1.4 ([1]). Given a strongly continuous semi group $\{\tau_t\}_{t>0}$ of positive L_1 -contractions, there exists a unique partition $\{C, D\}$ of X into two sets such that

(i) $\chi_D \tau_t f = 0$ for all t > 0 and $f \in L_1$,

(ii) the restriction of $\{\tau_d t\}_{t>0}$ to $L_1(C)$ is a strongly continuous semi group of $L_1(C)$ -contractions which is also continuous at the origin.

Here χ denotes the characteristic function of its subscript and $L_1(C) = \{ f \mid f \in L_1, \chi_D f = 0 \}.$

LEMMA 1.1. If $\{F_t\}$ is a bounded T_t -additive process and if $\{C, D\}$ is the partition of X given in Theorem 1.4 with respect to a linear modulus $\{\tau_t\}$ of $\{T_t\}$ then $\chi_D F_t = 0$ a.e. for all t > 0. *Proof.* Let $0 < \varepsilon < t$. Then

$$|F_t| \leq |F_{\varepsilon}| + |T_{\varepsilon}F_{t-\varepsilon}| \leq |F_{\varepsilon}| + \tau_{\varepsilon}|F_{t-\varepsilon}|$$

shows that $\chi_D |F_t| \le \chi_D |F_{\varepsilon}|$, since $\chi_D \tau_{\varepsilon} |F_{t-\varepsilon}| = 0$. Hence $||\chi_D| |F_t| || \le ||F_{\varepsilon}|| \le K\varepsilon$, where K is the bound of $\{F_t\}$.

This lemma shows that $F_t \in L_1(C)$. If \tilde{T}_t is the restriction of T_t to $L_1(C)$, then F_t is also a bounded \tilde{T}_t -additive process. But now $\{\tilde{T}_t\}$ is continuous at the origin, since $\{\tau_t\}$ restricted to $L_1(C)$ is a linear modulus for $\{\tilde{T}_t\}$ and is continuous at the origin. Therefore we may and do assume, in the proof of Theorem 1.2, that $\{T_t\}$ is continuous at the origin. (Note that this assumption can not be made in Theorem 1.1, because f may not be in $L_1(C)$.)

THEOREM 1.5 ([3]). Let $\{H_t\}$ be a bounded additive process with respect to a strongly continuous semigroup $\{\tau_t\}$ of positive L_1 contractions. Then there is an L_1 function h such that $\lim_{t\to 0^+} (1/t)H_t = h$ a.e. and such that $\lim_{t\to 0^+} (1/t)\int_0^t \tau_s h \, ds = h$ a.e.

Although the final conclusion of this theorem is not explicitly stated in [3], it follows easily from (3.8) of that paper.

2. Proof of the main result. Given a bounded additive process one can construct a dominating positive additive process with respect to the linear modulus. For this the continuity at the origin is not needed.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $\{F_t\}_{t>0}$ be a bounded T_t -additive process and let $\{\tau_t\}$ be a linear modulus of $\{T_t\}$. Then there is a τ_t -additive process $\{H_t\}$, such that (i) $|F_t| \leq H_t$ a.e. for each t > 0, (ii) $\{H_t\}$ has the same bound as $\{F_t\}$.

Proof. Let $K = \sup_{t>0}(1/t)||F_t||$ be the bound of $\{F_t\}$. To construct H_t for a certain fixed t, we consider the family \mathscr{P} of partitions of [0, t] of the form $P = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ with $0 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_n = t$ and $n \ge 2$.

Define

$$H_t^{(P)} = |F_{\alpha_1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_i}|.$$

The family of L_1 functions, $\{H_t^{(P)}; P \in \mathscr{P}\}$, fullfils

- (1) $\sup_{P \in \mathscr{P}} \left\| (1/t) H_t^{(P)} \right\| \le K$
- (2) if $P' \in \mathscr{P}$ refines $P \in \mathscr{P}$ then $H_t^{(P)} \leq H_t^{(P')}$.

The validity of (1) follows immediately from the definition and boundedness of $\{F_t\}$:

$$\left\|\frac{1}{t}H_{t}^{(P)}\right\| \leq \left\|F_{\alpha_{1}}\right\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\|\tau_{\alpha_{i}}\right|F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i}}\right\|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{t}K\left(\alpha_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i})\right) = K$$

In order to prove (2), let us first note that it is clearly sufficient to consider the case where $P = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is refined by adding one point, say α . If $0 < \alpha < \alpha_1$ then, indeed,

$$|F_{\alpha_1}| = |F_{\alpha} + T_{\alpha}F_{\alpha_1-\alpha}| \le |F_{\alpha}| + \tau_{\alpha}|F_{\alpha_1-\alpha}|.$$

Similarly, for the case $\alpha_i < \alpha < \alpha_{i+1}$ with $1 \le i \le n-1$,

$$\begin{split} \tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_i}| &= \tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha-\alpha_i} + T_{\alpha-\alpha_i} F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha}| \\ &\leq \tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha-\alpha_i}| + \tau_{\alpha_i} \tau_{\alpha-\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha}| \\ &= \tau_{\alpha_i} |F_{\alpha-\alpha_i}| + \tau_{\alpha} |F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha}|. \end{split}$$

Now, since for any two partitions there is one that refines both (take their union), there is a sequence $P_i \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $H_t^{(P_i)}$ is increasing and $\lim_{i \to \infty} ||H_t^{(P_i)}|| = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} ||H_t^{(P)}||$. We define

$$H_t = \lim_{i \to \infty} H_t^{(P_i)} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Clearly any other such sequence would yield the same limit. Since for any partition of the form $P = \{0, \alpha, t\}$ we have

$$|F_t| = |F_{\alpha} + T_{\alpha}F_{t-\alpha}| \le |F_{\alpha}| + \tau_{\alpha}|F_{t-\alpha}| = H_t^{(P)}$$

(i) is proved. Considering (1) above, we also have (ii). The proof of the theorem will be completed by showing the additivity of $\{H_t\}$ with respect to $\{\tau_t\}$.

Fix t > 0, s > 0 and consider arbitrary partitions $P' = \{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ and $P'' = \{\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_m\}$ with $n \ge 2$, $m \ge 2$, of [0, t] and [0, s] respectively. Denote by P'P'' the partition $\{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_n, t + \beta_i, \ldots, t + \beta_m\}$ of [0, t + s]. Then

$$H_{t+s}^{(P'P'')} = |F_{\alpha_{1}}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_{\alpha_{i}} |F_{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i}}| + \tau_{\alpha_{n}} |F_{t+\beta_{1}-\alpha_{n}}| + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \tau_{t+\beta_{i}} |F_{t+\beta_{i+1}-t-\beta_{i}}| = H_{t}^{(P')} + \tau_{t} H_{s}^{(P'')}$$

Let then $\{P'_i\}$ and $\{P''_i\}$ be sequences of partitions such that the sequences $H_t^{(P'_i)}$ and $H_s^{(P''_i)}$ are increasing and converge to H_t and H_s respectively. Since τ_t is a positive operator, $\tau_t H_s^{(P''_i)} \rightarrow \tau_t H_s$ a.e. Let us take limits, as $i \rightarrow \infty$, in

$$H_{t+s}^{(P_t'P_t'')} = H_t^{(P_t')} + \tau_t H_s^{(P_t'')}.$$

Since the left-hand side is increasing, by our definition $H_{t+s} \ge \lim_{i \to \infty} H_{t+s}^{(P'_t,P''_t)}$, and we obtain $H_{t+s} \ge H_t + \tau_t H_s$. On the other hand, given a partition P of [0, t + s], refine it (if necessary) to include the point t, and consider P' and P'', on [0, t] and [0, s] respectively: P' is the partition induced by P on [0, t] and P'' is the one induced by P on [t, t + s] and "shifted" to [0, s]. A similar argument, choosing a sequence P_i such that P_{i+1} refines P_i , $t \in P_i$ and $H_{t+s}^{(P_i)}$ converges to H_{t+s} a.e., shows

$$H_{t+s} \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} H_t^{(P_t')} + \tau_t \lim_{i \to \infty} H_s^{(P_t'')} \leq H_t + \tau_t H_s.$$

Note that in the construction above we actually use only the process $\{|F_t|\}_{t>0}$ and the semigroup $\{\tau_t\}$. The additivity of $\{F_t\}$ with respect to $\{T_t\}$ makes $\{|F_t|\}$ subadditive with respect to $\{\tau_t\}$; that is $|F_{t+s}| \le |F_t| + \tau_t |F_s|$ for all t > 0, s > 0. Thus we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. A bounded positive process, subadditive with respect to a strongly continuous semigroup of positive linear contractions, has a dominating, positive and additive process, with the same bound.

In proving Theorem 1.2 we shall find it convenient to use the following notation: $f_t = (1/t)F_t$, $h_t = (1/t)H_t$; also, w-lim and s-lim will denote the weak and strong limits in L_1 . The L_1 function f in Theorem 1.2 shall be obtained as the limit of a weakly convergent sequence. It is known that a bounded sequence in L_1 which is dominated by a fixed L_1 positive function is weakly sequentially compact (see Theorem IV.8.9 in [4]). For our purposes a certain sharpening of that result is needed.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $\phi_n \in L_1$ and $|\phi_n| \leq \psi_n \in L_1^+$ such that there exists $\psi \in L_1^+$ with $||\psi_n - \psi|| \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$. Then $\{\phi_n\}$ is weakly sequentially compact.

Proof. Let $\psi'_n = \psi_n \wedge \psi$; then $0 \le \psi'_n \le \psi_n$. Now write ϕ_n as $\phi_n = \phi'_n + \phi''_n$ where

$$\phi'_n = \left(-\psi'_n\right) \lor \left(\phi_n \land \psi'_n\right)$$

 $\phi''_n = \phi_n - \phi'_n.$

For the sequence ϕ'_n we have $|\phi'_n| \le \psi$ and by the theorem mentioned above is weakly sequentially compact. As for ϕ''_n , by definition

$$\left|\phi_{n}^{\prime\prime}\right|=\left|\phi_{n}-\phi_{n}^{\prime}\right|\leq\psi_{n}-\psi_{n}^{\prime}\leq\left|\psi_{n}-\psi\right|.$$

This implies that $\|\phi_n''\| \to 0$.

We shall also need the following fact; here again continuity at the origin is not needed.

LEMMA 2.2. For any t > 0, $F_t = \text{s-lim}_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_0^t T_s f_{\varepsilon} ds$.

Proof.

$$\int_0^t T_s f_{\varepsilon} ds = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t T_s F_{\varepsilon} ds = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (F_{s+\varepsilon} - F_s) ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} F_s ds - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t F_s ds.$$

For $\varepsilon < t$ we get

$$\int_0^t T_s f_{\varepsilon} ds = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} F_s ds - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{\varepsilon} F_s ds.$$

Now, since the process is bounded, the first term converges in norm to F_i , whereas the second term converges to zero.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let H_t be the dominating positive process for F_t , constructed in Theorem 2.1. Let $\lim_{t\to 0^+} (1/t)H_t = \lim_{t\to 0^+} h_t = h$ a.e., as given in Theorem 1.5. Define a process H'_t by

$$H_t' = \int_0^t \tau_s h \, ds$$

and consider the decomposition

$$H_t = H_t' + H_t''.$$

Then the following holds:

 H_t'' is positve and $\lim_{t \to 0^+} (1/t) H_t'' = 0$ a.e.

To see that, we take any sequence $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ and consider the sequence $\psi_n = h_{\varepsilon_n} \wedge h$. Then $0 \le \psi_n \le h$ and, obviously, $\psi_n \to h$ a.e. Being bounded by $h \in L_1$, by the dominated convergence theorem it also converges in norm:

$$\|h-\psi_n\|=\int (h-\psi_n) d\mu \mathop{\to}_{n\to\infty} 0.$$

Since $\int_0^t \tau_s g \, ds$, for a fixed t, acting on $g \in L_1$, is a bounded linear operator in L_1 , we also got

$$\operatorname{s-lim}_{n\to\infty}\int_0^t \tau_s \psi_n \, ds = \int_0^t \tau_s h \, ds$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2.2,

$$H_t = \operatorname{s-lim}_{n \to \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s h_{\varepsilon_n} \, ds \ge \operatorname{s-lim}_{n \to \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s \psi_n \, ds = \int_0^t \tau_s h \, ds = H_t'.$$

Pointwise convergence of $(1/t)H_t''$ to zero is given in Theorem 1.5.

Now we obtain the L_1 function f in Theorem 1.2. Let

$$\phi_n = (-\psi_n) \vee (f_{\varepsilon_n} \wedge \psi_n).$$

Then $|\phi_n| \leq \psi_n$, so that the sequences ϕ_n and ψ_n fullfil the condition of Lemma 2.1 (with ψ in the Lemma equal to h). Thus, by passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that ϕ_n converges weakly, say to $f^* \in L_1$. Put $f = T_0 f^*$. Define a process $F'_t = \int_0^t T_s f \, ds$ and consider the decomposition $F_t = F'_t + F''_t$. By the results in [5], [7] and [10], $\lim_{t \to 0^+} (1/t) F'_t = T_0 f = f$ a.e. Hence the proof shall be completed by showing that $\lim_{t \to 0^+} (1/t) F'_t = 0$ a.e. This will follow from $|F'_t| \leq H''_t$, which we now prove.

Observe, first, as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, that we have $|f_{\epsilon_n} - \phi_n| \le h_{\epsilon_n} - \psi_n$. To evaluate F_t'' express F_t , F_t' , H_t and H_t' as the limits of integrals. From Lemma 2.2:

$$F_t = \operatorname{s-lim}_{n \to \infty} \int_0^t T_s f_{\varepsilon_n} \, ds \quad \text{and} \quad H_t = \operatorname{s-lim}_{n \to \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s h_{\varepsilon_n} \, ds;$$

actually only weak convergence will be needed. Since $\int_0^t T_s g \, ds$ (or $\int_0^t \tau_s g \, ds$) applied to $g \in L_1$ is a bounded linear operator,

$$f^* = \operatorname{w-lim}_{n \to \infty} \phi_n \quad \text{implies}$$

$$\int_0^t T_s f \, ds = \int_0^t T_s f^* \, ds = \operatorname{w-lim}_{n \to \infty} \int_0^t T_s \phi_n \, ds, \quad \text{and}$$

$$h = \operatorname{s-lim}_{n \to \infty} \psi_n \quad \text{implies}$$

$$\int_0^t \tau_s h \, ds = \operatorname{s-lim}_{n \to \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s \psi_n \, ds \quad \left(= \operatorname{w-lim}_{n \to \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s \psi_n \, ds \right)$$

Now

$$F_t^{\prime\prime} = F_t - \int_0^t T_s f \, ds = \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{w-lim}} \int_0^t T_s f_{\varepsilon_n} \, ds - \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{w-lim}} \int_0^t T_s \phi_n \, ds$$
$$= \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{w-lim}} \int_0^t T_s (f_{\varepsilon_n} - \phi_n) \, ds.$$

Since $|f_{\varepsilon_n} - \phi_n| \le h_{\varepsilon_n} - \psi_n$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} |F_t''| &\leq \operatorname{w-lim}_{n \to \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s \big(h_{\varepsilon_n} - \psi_n \big) = \operatorname{w-lim}_00t \tau_s h_{\varepsilon_n} \, ds \\ &= \operatorname{w-lim}_{n \to \infty} \int_0^t \tau_s \psi_n \, ds = H_t - \int_0^t \tau_s h \, ds = H_t''. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

References

- M. A. Akcoglu and R. V. Chacon, A local ratio theorem, Canad. J. Math., 22 (1970), 545–552.
- [2] M. A. Akcoglu and A. del Junco, Differentiation of n-dimensional additive processes, Canad. J. Math., 33 (1981), 749-768.
- M. A. Akcoglu and U. Krengel, A differentiation theorem for additive processes, Math. Z., 163 (1978), 199-210.
- [4] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, *Part I*, Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1958.
- [5] C. Kipins, Majoration des semi-groupes de contractions de L_1 et applications, Ann. Inst. Poincaré sect. B, **10** (1974), 369–384.
- [6] U. Krengel, A local ergodic theorem, Invent. Math., 6 (1969), 329–333.
- Y. Kubokawa, Ergodic theorems for contraction semi-groups, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 27 (1975), 184–193.
- [8] D. S. Ornstein, *The sums of iterates of a positive operator*, Advances in Prob. and related topics, **2** (1970), 87-115.
- [9] R. Sato, A note on a local ergodic theorem, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 16 (1975), 1–11.
- [10] ____, Contraction semi-groups in Lebesgue space, Pacific J. Math., 78 (1978), 251-259.
- [11] N. Wiener, The ergodic theorem, Duke Math. J., 5 (1939), 1–18.

Received June 21, 1982. Research supported in part by the NSERC Grant A3974.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA M5S 1A1

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

La Jolla, CA 92093 F. WOLE K. YOSHIDA

C. C. MOORE

H. SAMELSON

HAROLD STARK

University of California

Berkeley, CA 94720

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN (1906–1982)

LF K. YOSHID

University of California, San Diego

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$66.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1985 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 119, No.	2	June,	1985
---------------	---	-------	------

Mustafa Agah Akcoglu and Meira Falkowitz (Soshniak), A general local
ergodic theorem in L_1
W. Wistar (William) Comfort and Lewis Chandlee Robertson,
Cardinality constraints for pseudocompact and for totally dense
subgroups of compact topological groups
John Morse Delaurentis and Boris G. Pittel, Random permutations and
Brownian motion
José Esteban Galé, Gel'fand theory in algebras of differentiable functions
on Banach spaces
Harry Gingold, On the location of zeroes of oscillatory solutions of
$y^{(n)} = c(x)y \dots 317$
Kei Ji Izuchi, Zero sets of interpolating Blaschke products
Mahesh Nerurkar, Ergodic continuous skew product actions of amenable
groups
R. Owens, A maximal function characterization of a class of Hardy
spaces
Judith Anne Packer, Point spectrum of ergodic abelian group actions and
the corresponding group-measure factors
Judith Anne Packer, On the embedding of subalgebras corresponding to
quotient actions in group-measure factors
Iain Raeburn and Joseph L. Taylor, The bigger Brauer group and étale
cohomology
David Rosen, The Diophantine equation $ax + by = c$ in $Q(\sqrt{5})$ and other
number fields
Mau-Hsiang Shih and Kok Keong Tan, Noncompact sets with convex
sections
Lee Barlow Whitt, Codimension two isometric immersions between
Euclidean spaces
Rodney Ian Yager, Iwasawa theory for the anticyclotomic extension 489