Pacific Journal of Mathematics

TRIDIAGONAL MATRIX REPRESENTATIONS OF CYCLIC SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS. II

JOANNE MARIE DOMBROWSKI

Vol. 120, No. 1

September 1985

TRIDIAGONAL MATRIX REPRESENTATIONS OF CYCLIC SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS. II

J. Dombrowski

A bounded cyclic self-adjoint operator C defined on a separable Hilbert space H can be represented as a tridiagonal matrix with respect to the basis generated by the cyclic vector. An operator J can then be defined so that CJ - JC = -2iK where K also has tridiagonal form. If the subdiagonal elements of C converge to a non-zero limit and if K is of trace class then C must have an absolutely continuous part.

1. Introduction. A bounded cyclic self-adjoint operator C defined on a separable Hilbert space H has a tridiagonal matrix representation with respect to the basis generated by the cyclic vector. The spectral properties of C are studied in [1] under the assumption that the main diagonal elements in the tridiagonal representation are zeros. In this case C is the real part of a weighted shift operator, and if J is the corresponding imaginary part then CJ - JC = 2iK where K is diagonal. It is shown in [1] that C has an absolutely continuous part if K is of trace class.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the above result to tridiagonal matrices with non-zero diagonal. This extension is significant for the study of systems of orthogonal polynomials which satisfy a three term recursion formula. The coefficients in the recursion formula correspond to a unique tridiagonal matrix whose spectral measure is the measure of orthogonality for the system of polynomials. If the measure is symmetric about the origin (as in the case of the normalized Legendre polynomials) then the diagonal entries of the corresponding tridiagonal matrix will be zero. See [1] for further background.

Suppose now that C is a bounded cyclic self-adjoint operator with the following tridiagonal matrix representation with respect to the basis $\{\phi_n\}$:

(1.1)
$$C = \begin{vmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & a_2 & b_3 & a_3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{vmatrix}, \quad a_n > 0.$$

Then $\phi_n = P_n(C)\phi_1$ with $P_1(\lambda) = 1$, $P_2(\lambda) = (\lambda - b_1)/a_1$ and for n > 2, $P_n(\lambda) = \frac{(\lambda - b_{n-1})P_{n-1}(\lambda) - a_{n-2}P_{n-2}(\lambda)}{a_{n-1}}.$ It follows that C is the real part of the operator T defined by $T\phi_n = b_n\phi_n + 2a_n\phi_{n+1}$. If $J = (1/2i)(T + T^*)$ then CJ - JC = -2iK where $K = [k_{ij}]$ has tridiagonal form with

(1.2)
$$k_{ii} = -a_{i-1}^2 + a_i^2 \quad (a_0 = 0),$$
$$k_{i,i+1} = k_{i+1,i} = \frac{1}{2}a_i(b_i - b_{i+1}).$$

The aim now is to analyze the spectrum of C if $\sum |k_{ii}| < \infty$ and $\sum |k_{i,i+1}| < \infty$. Note that in this case $\lim a_n$ and $\lim b_n$ exist. If $\lim a_n = \alpha$ ($\alpha \neq 0$) and $\lim b_n = 0$ then the spectrum of C at least contains the interval $(-2\alpha, 2\alpha)$. Note also that the diagonals of K are absolutely summable whenever $K \ge 0$ so that T is hyponormal. For if $K = [k_{ij}]$ is positive then $\{a_n^2\}$ is an increasing sequence and $|k_{ij}|^2 \le |k_{ii}| |k_{jj}|$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum |a_i| |b_{i+1} - b_i| \le \left(\sum |-a_{i-1}^2 + a_i^2|\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum |-a_i^2 + a_{i+1}^2|\right)^{1/2}$$

Furthermore, the following is true:

THEOREM. The operator K in (1.2) is of trace class if and only if $\sum |k_{ii}| < \infty$ and $\sum |k_{i,i+1}| < \infty$.

Proof. Assume that the diagonals of K are absolutely summable. It follows from Jensen's Inequality that

$$\sum \left\langle \left(K^* K \right)^{1/2} \phi_n, \phi_n \right\rangle \leq \sum \left\langle K^* K \phi_n, \phi_n \right\rangle^{1/2}$$

and a direct computation shows that if $K * K = [p_{ij}]$ then

$$p_{11} = a_1^4 + \frac{1}{4}(-a_1b_1 + a_1b_2)^2,$$

$$p_{ii} = \frac{1}{4}(-a_{i-1}b_{i-1} + a_{i-1}b_i)^2 + (-a_{i-1}^2 + a_i^2)^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}(-a_ib_i + a_ib_{i+1})^2 \text{ for } i > 1.$$

Since

$$\sum p_{ii}^{1/2} \le \sqrt{2} \left(a_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| -a_1 b_1 + a_1 b_2 \right| \right) + \sqrt{3} \sum \left(\frac{1}{2} \left| -a_{i-1} b_{i-1} + a_{i-1} b_i \right| + \left| -a_{i-1}^2 + a_i^2 \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| -a_i b_i + a_i b_{i+1} \right| \right)$$

K is of trace class.

Suppose K is of trace class. Write K = D + W where D is diagonal and W is the real part of a weighted shift operator. Since $\sum |\langle K\phi_n, \phi_n \rangle| < \infty$, D (and hence W) must be of trace class. Furthermore, W with weight sequence $\{w_i\}$ is unitarily equivalent to W' with weight sequence $\{|w_i|\}$. If V denotes the unilateral shift operator then $W'(V + V^*)$ with diagonal elements $|w_1|$, $|w_1| + |w_2|$, $|w_2| + |w_3|$,..., is of trace class. Hence the diagonals of K are absolutely summable.

2. The absolutely continuous part of C. If $C = \int \lambda \, dE_{\lambda}$ let $H_a(C)$ denote the set of x in H for which $||E_{\lambda}x||^2$ is an absolutely continuous function of λ . The subspace $H_a(C)$ reduces C and the restriction of C to $H_a(C)$ is called the absolutely continuous part of C. If C is represented as a multiplication operator on $L^2(\mu)$ these ideas are related to the decomposition of the spectral measure μ .

The following lemma is needed for the proof of the main result.

LEMMA. If

$$S_{N+1}(\lambda) = a_1^2 P_1^2(\lambda) + \sum_{n=2}^{N+1} \left[\left(-a_{n-1}^2 + a_n^2 \right) P_n^2(\lambda) + a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1} + b_n) P_{n-1}(\lambda) P_n(\lambda) \right],$$

then

$$S_{N+1}(\lambda) = a_N^2 p_N^2(\lambda) - a_N(\lambda - b_{N+1}) P_N(\lambda) P_{N+1}(\lambda) + a_{N+1}^2 P_{N+1}^2(\lambda).$$

Proof. Note that

$$S_{2}(\lambda) = a_{1}^{2}P_{1}^{2}(\lambda) + a_{1}(-b_{1} + b_{2})P_{1}(\lambda)P_{2}(\lambda)$$
$$-a_{1}(\lambda - b_{1})P_{2}(\lambda)P_{1}(\lambda) + a_{2}^{2}P_{2}^{2}(\lambda)$$
$$= a_{1}^{2}P_{1}^{2}(\lambda) + a_{1}(b_{2} - \lambda)P_{1}(\lambda)P_{2}(\lambda) + a_{2}^{2}P_{2}^{2}(\lambda).$$

Assume that the result has been verified for N = 1, ..., k and recall that $a_k P_{k+1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - b_k) P_k(\lambda) - a_{k-1} P_{k-1}(\lambda)$. Then $S_{k+1}(\lambda) = a_{k-1}^2 P_{k-1}^2(\lambda) - a_{k-1}(\lambda - b_k) P_{k-1}(\lambda) P_k(\lambda)$ $+ a_k^2 P_k^2(\lambda) - [(\lambda - b_k) P_k(\lambda) - a_{k-1} P_{k-1}(\lambda)]^2$ $+ a_{k+1}^2 P_{k+1}^2(\lambda) + (-a_k b_k + a_k b_{k+1}) P_k(\lambda) P_{k+1}(\lambda)$ $= a_k^2 P_k^2(\lambda) - (\lambda - b_k) P_k(\lambda) [(\lambda - b_k) P_k(\lambda) - a_{k-1} P_{k-1}(\lambda)]$ $+ a_{k+1}^2 P_{k+1}^2(\lambda) + (-a_k b_k + a_k b_{k+1}) P_k(\lambda) P_{k+1}(\lambda)$ $= a_k^2 P_k^2(\lambda) - a_k(\lambda - b_{k+1}) P_k(\lambda) P_{k+1}(\lambda) + a_{k+1}^2 P_{k+1}^2(\lambda).$

THEOREM. Let $\lim a_n = 1/2$ and $\lim b_n = 0$. If $\sum |-a_{n-1}^2 + a_n^2| < \infty$ and $\sum |a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1} + b_n)| < \infty$ then the spectral measure of C is absolutely continuous on (-1, 1). *Proof.* The plan is to first consider an operator obtained from C by replacing a finite number of constants in such a way as to simplify the structure of K and make use of the absolute summability of the diagonals. Given k > 1 choose R(k) such that

$$\sum_{R+1}^{\infty} \left| -a_{n-1}^2 + a_n^2 \right| \le \frac{1}{32k}, \qquad \sum_{R+1}^{\infty} \left| a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1} + b_n) \right| < \frac{1}{32k}$$

and such that if n > R then $|4a_n^2 - 1| < 1/16k$, $|b_n| < 1/16k$. Obtain C_k from C by replacing the constants b_1, \ldots, b_R by 0 and the constants a_1, \ldots, a_R by 1/2. It will now be shown that the spectral measure of C_k is absolutely continuous on (-1 + 1/k, 1 - 1/k). Since C is a trace class perturbation of C_k the same result will then hold for C.

Let Δ be a subinterval of (-1 + 1/k, 1 - 1/k) and for $C_k = \int \lambda \, dE_{\lambda}$ let $\mu(\Delta) = \|E(\Delta)\phi_1\|^2$. With J and K defined as before,

(2.1)
$$\langle KE(\Delta)\phi_{1}, E(\Delta)\phi_{1} \rangle$$

 $= a_{1}^{2} |\langle E(\Delta)\phi_{1}, \phi_{1} \rangle|^{2}$
 $+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1}+b_{n})\langle E(\Delta)\phi_{1}, \phi_{n-1} \rangle \langle E(\Delta)\phi_{1}, \phi_{n} \rangle$
 $+ (-a_{n-1}^{2}+a_{n}^{2}) |\langle E(\Delta)\phi_{1}, \phi_{n} \rangle|^{2} \right]$
 $= a_{1}^{2} |\int_{\Delta} P_{1} d\mu |^{2} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1}+b_{n}) \int_{\Delta} P_{n-1} d\mu \int_{\Delta} P_{n} d\mu + (a_{n-1}^{2}+a_{n}^{2}) \left| \int_{\Delta} P_{n} d\mu \right|^{2} \right].$

Several cases need to be considered.

Case I. Suppose $\int_{\Delta} P_n^2 d\mu \le \mu(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} P_1^2 d\mu$ for each *n*. Then

$$\begin{split} \left\langle KE(\Delta)\phi_{1}, E(\Delta)\phi_{1} \right\rangle \\ &\geq a_{1}^{2} |\mu(\Delta)|^{2} - \mu(\Delta) \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \left[|a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1}+b_{n})| \left(\int P_{n-1}^{2} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\int P_{n}^{2} d\mu \right)^{1/2} + |-a_{n-1}^{2} + a_{n}^{2}| \int P_{n}^{2} d\mu \right] \\ &\geq |\mu(\Delta)|^{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{16k} \right). \end{split}$$

Case II. Suppose $\int_{\Delta} P_n^2 d\mu \le \mu(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} P_1^2 d\mu$ except for a finite number of *n*. Then there exists N such that $\int_{\Delta} P_N^2 d\mu = \max \int_{\Delta} P_n^2 d\mu$. Adding and substracting

$$\mu(\Delta) \sum_{n=2}^{N} \int \left[a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1}+b_n) P_{n-1} P_n + \left(-a_{n-1}^2 + a_n^2\right) P_n^2 \right] d\mu$$

to the expansion of $\langle KE(\Delta)\phi_1, E(\Delta)\phi_1 \rangle$ in (2.1) and using the expression for S_N given in the lemma it follows that

$$\langle KE(\Delta)\phi_{1}, E(\Delta)\phi_{1} \rangle$$

$$= \mu(\Delta) \Big\{ \int \Big[a_{N-1}P_{N-1}(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - b_{N})P_{N}(\lambda) \Big]^{2} d\mu$$

$$+ \int \Big[a_{N}^{2} - \frac{1}{4}(\lambda - b_{N})^{2}P_{N}^{2}(\lambda) \Big] d\mu \Big\}$$

$$- \mu(\Delta) \sum_{n=2}^{N} \int \Big[a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1} + b_{n})P_{n-1}P_{n} + (-a_{n-1}^{2} + a_{n}^{2})P_{n}^{2} \Big] d\mu$$

$$+ \sum_{n=2} \Big[a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1} + b_{n}) \int_{\Delta} P_{n-1} d\mu \int_{\Delta} P_{n} d\mu$$

$$+ (-a_{n-1}^{2} + a_{n}^{2}) \Big| \int P_{n} d\mu \Big|^{2} \Big]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4} \mu(\Delta) \int \Big[4a_{N}^{2} - (\lambda - b_{N})^{2} \Big] P_{N}^{2}(\lambda) d\mu - \frac{1}{8k} \mu(\Delta) \int_{\Delta} P_{N}^{2} d\mu$$

$$\geq |\mu(\Delta)|^{2} \frac{3}{32k}.$$

Case III. Suppose there exists a subsequence $\{P_{nj}\}$ such that $\int_{\Delta} P_{nj}^2 d\mu \ge \mu(\Delta)$. Choose L sufficiently large such that

$$\sum_{L+1}^{\infty} \left[a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1}+b_n) \int_{\Delta} P_{n-1} d\mu \int_{\Delta} P_n d\mu + (-a_{n-1}^2+a_n^2) \left| \int P_n d\mu \right|^2 \right]$$

$$\leq \mu(\Delta) \sum_{L+1}^{\infty} \left[\left| a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1}+b_n) \right| + \left| -a_{n-1}^2+a_n^2 \right| \right] \leq \frac{1}{32k} \left| \mu(\Delta) \right|^2.$$

Choose M > L such that $\int_{\Delta} P_M^2 d\mu \ge \mu(\Delta)$. Then there exists N such that $\int_{\Delta} P_N^2 d\mu = \max_{1 \le n \le M} \int_{\Delta} P_n^2 d\mu$. Using the same approach as in Case II it

can be shown that

$$\begin{split} \langle KE(\Delta)\phi_{1}, E(\Delta)\phi_{1} \rangle \\ &\geq \mu(\Delta) \int_{\Delta} \left[a_{N}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} (\lambda - b_{N})^{2} \right] P_{N}^{2}(\lambda) d\mu \\ &- \mu(\Delta) \sum_{n=2}^{N} \int \left[a_{n-1} (-b_{n-1} + b_{n}) P_{n-1} P_{n} d\mu + \left(-a_{n-1}^{2} + a_{n}^{2} \right) P_{n}^{2} \right] d\mu \\ &+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[a_{n-1} (-b_{n-1} + b_{n}) \int_{\Delta} P_{n-1} d\mu \int_{\Delta} P_{n} d\mu \\ &+ \left(-a_{n-1}^{2} + a_{n}^{2} \right) \left| \int_{\Delta} P_{n} d\mu \right|^{2} \right] \\ &\geq \mu(\Delta) \int_{\Delta} \left[a_{N}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} (\lambda - b_{N})^{2} \right] P_{N}^{2}(\lambda) d\mu \\ &- \mu(\Delta) \int_{\Delta} P_{N}^{2} d\mu \sum_{2}^{N} \left[|a_{n-1} (-b_{n-1} + b_{n})| + |-a_{n-1}^{2} + a_{n}^{2}| \right] \\ &- \mu(\Delta) \int_{\Delta} P_{N}^{2} d\mu \sum_{n=2}^{N} \left[|a_{n-1} (-b_{n-1} + b_{n})| + |-a_{n-1}^{2} + a_{n}^{2}| \right] \\ &- \left| \sum_{M+1}^{\infty} \left[a_{n-1} (-b_{n-1} + b_{n}) \right] \int_{\Delta} P_{n-1} d\mu \int_{\Delta} P_{n} d\mu \\ &+ \left(-a_{n-1}^{2} + a_{n}^{2} \right) \left(\int P_{n} d\mu \right)^{2} \right| \\ &\geq \mu(\Delta) \frac{1}{32k} \int_{\Delta} P_{N}^{2} d\mu - \frac{1}{32k} |\mu(\Delta)|^{2} \geq \frac{1}{16k} |\mu(\Delta)|^{2}. \end{split}$$

In each case, therefore, $\langle KE(\Delta)\phi_1, E(\Delta)\phi_1 \rangle \ge (1/16k)|\mu(\Delta)|^2$. It can also be shown (see the proof of Theorem 2.2.4 in [4]) that

$$\left|\left\langle KE(\Delta)\phi_{1}, E(\Delta)\phi_{1}\right\rangle\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|J\| |\Delta| \|E(\Delta)\phi_{1}\|^{2}$$

where $|\Delta|$ denotes the length of Δ . It therefore follows that $|\mu(\Delta)| \leq 8k||J|||\Delta|$. Let β be a Borel subset of (-1 + 1/k, 1 - 1/k) of Lebesgue measure zero. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a pairwise disjoint sequence of intervals $\{\Delta_j\}$ such that $\Delta_j \subset (-1 + 1/k, 1 - 1/k)$, $\beta \subset \bigcup \Delta_j$ and $\sum |\Delta_j| < \varepsilon$. Since $\mu(\beta) \leq \sum \mu(\Delta_j) \leq 8k||J||\sum |\Delta_j|$ it follows that $\mu(\beta) = 0$.

Hence the spectral measure of C_k is absolutely continuous on (-1 + 1/k, 1 - 1/k). By the Kato-Rosenblum Theorem the same result holds for the spectral measure of C.

COROLLARY. Let
$$\lim a_n = \alpha$$
 ($\alpha \neq 0$) and $\lim b_n = b$. If

$$\sum \left| -a_{n-1}^2 + a_n^2 \right| < \infty$$
 and $\sum \left| a_{n-1}(-b_{n-1} + b_n) \right| < \infty$

then the spectral measure of C is absolutely continuous on $(-2\alpha + b, 2\alpha + b)$.

Finally it should be observed that the above theorem becomes false under the weaker condition that K is compact. To see this assume that the main diagonal elements of C are zero and define $a_{2n-1}^2 = 1$, $a_{2n}^2 = (n + 1)^2/n^2$ for n = 1, 2, ... Since $P_n^2(0) = (a_{n-2}^2/a_{n-1}^2)P_{n-2}^2(0)$ it follows that $P_{2n}^2(0) = 0$ and $P_{2n+1}^2(0) = 1/(n + 1)^2$. Therefore $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue. (See Stone [5].)

Note. A similar result has recently been attained by Mate and Nevai in [2] with very different techniques.

References

- [1] J. Dombrowski, Tridiagonal matrix representations of cyclic self-adjoint operators, to appear in Pacific Math.
- [2] A Mate and P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials and absolutely continuous measures, preprint.
- [3] P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 18 (1979).
- [4] C. R. Putnam, Commutation Properties of Hilbert space Operators and Related Topics, Ergebnisse der Math., 36, Springer, 1967.
- [5] M. H. Stone, Linear transformations in Hilbert space, Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1932.

Received January 20, 1984.

WRIGHT UNIVERSITY DAYTON, OH 45435

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

K. YOSHIDA

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

E. F. BECKENBACH

(1906 - 1982)

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 120, No. 1September, 1985

Ulrich F. Albrecht, A note on locally A-projective groups
Marilyn Breen, A Krasnosel'skiĭ-type theorem for unions of two starshaped
sets in the plane
Anthony Carbery, Sun-Yung Alice Chang and John Brady Garnett,
Weights and <i>L</i> log <i>L</i>
Joanne Marie Dombrowski, Tridiagonal matrix representations of cyclic
self-adjoint operators. II
Heinz W. Engl and Werner Römisch, Approximate solutions of nonlinear
random operator equations: convergence in distribution
P. Ghez, R. Lima and J. E. Roberts, W*-categories
Barry E. Johnson, Continuity of homomorphisms of Banach G-modules 111
Elyahu Katz and Sidney Allen Morris, Free products of topological groups
with amalgamation. II
Neal I. Koblitz, p-adic integral transforms on compact subgroups of C_p 131
Albert Edward Livingston, A coefficient inequality for functions of
positive real part with an application to multivalent functions
Scott Carroll Metcalf, Finding a boundary for a Hilbert cube manifold
bundle
Jack Ray Porter and R. Grant Woods, When all semiregular H-closed
extensions are compact179
Francisco José Ruiz and José Luis Torrea, A unified approach to Carleson
measures and A_p weights. II
Timothy DuWayne Sauer, The number of equations defining points in
general position
John Brendan Sullivan, Universal observability and codimension one
subgroups of Borel subgroups
Akihito Uchiyama, Extension of the Hardy-Littlewood-Fefferman-Stein
inequality