

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE NUMBER OF EQUATIONS DEFINING POINTS IN
GENERAL POSITION**

TIMOTHY DUWAYNE SAUER

THE NUMBER OF EQUATIONS DEFINING POINTS IN GENERAL POSITION

TIM SAUER

Bounds are established for the number of generators of the graded homogeneous ideal of a set of points in generic or in uniform position in the projective plane. For $n \leq 11$, n points in uniform position must have the “general” number of generators. It is shown by example that this fails for $n = 12$.

Introduction. Let Z be a set of points in \mathbf{P}_k^2 , k algebraically closed. We say the points of Z lie in generic position if Z imposes independent conditions on curves containing it. If this holds for all subsets of Z we say that Z lies in uniform position. Given a set Z in one of these types of “general position”, one would like to count the number of equations needed to cut out Z , or more precisely, the minimal number of generators ν of the graded homogeneous ideal $I(Z)$.

This question has arisen most recently in calculations of the Cohen-Macaulay-type of singularities. For example, it is shown in [7] that if A is the local ring at a curve singularity P in \mathbf{A}_k^3 , and if the lines of the tangent cone at P correspond to a set of distinct points Z in generic position in \mathbf{P}_k^2 , then the Cohen-Macaulay-type of A is equal to $\nu(I(Z)) - 1$. It is then natural to look for geometric conditions on Z which will allow the Cohen-Macaulay-type to be computed.

Let s denote the number of points belonging to Z , d the integer such that $\binom{d+1}{2} \leq s < \binom{d+2}{2}$, and define

$$N(s) = \begin{cases} d + 1 - s + \binom{d+1}{2} & \text{if } \binom{d+1}{2} \leq s \leq \frac{d(d+2)}{2} \\ d + 2 + s - \binom{d+2}{2} & \text{if } \frac{d(d+2)}{2} \leq s < \binom{d+2}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Geramita and Maroscia [6] have shown that almost all sets of s points in \mathbf{P}^2 are defined by exactly $N(s)$ equations. We give a new proof of this fact (1.7). However, $\nu(I(Z))$ is not constant on the sets of s points in generic position. It follows from a theorem of Dubreil [4] that the best one can say is that if Z lies in generic position, then $N(s) \leq \nu(I(Z)) \leq d + 1$.

Uniform position was introduced in [7] as a more stringent condition on Z ; it is known that $\nu(I(Z)) = N(s)$ if Z is a set of s points in uniform position and $s \leq 11$. If $s = 12$, then $N(s) = 3$, but we construct in §2 a set Z of 12 points in uniform position for which $\nu(I(Z)) = 4$. Therefore, in a sense, some sets of points in uniform position are “more general” than others. We also establish an upper bound for $\nu(I(Z))$, where Z lies in uniform position:

$$N(s) \leq \nu(I(Z)) \leq d \quad \text{if } \binom{d+1}{2} < s < \binom{d+2}{2} - 1$$

$$N(s) = \nu(I(Z)) = d + 1 \quad \text{if } s = \binom{d+1}{2} \text{ or } \binom{d+2}{2} - 1.$$

For example, if $s = 12$, this result shows that $3 \leq \nu \leq 4$.

The author is grateful to W. C. Brown for many valuable conversations.

1. Bounds on the number of generators.

DEFINITION. Let Z be a set of s points contained in $\mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{P}_k^2$, k an algebraically closed field, and \mathcal{I}_Z its sheaf of ideals. We say Z lies in *generic position* if for every nonnegative integer m , $\dim H^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(m)) = \max\{0, \binom{m+2}{2} - s\}$, where $\mathcal{I}_Z(m)$ denotes \mathcal{I}_Z twisted m times by the hyperplane line bundle. We say Z lies in *uniform position* if each subset of Z (including Z itself) lies in generic position.

REMARK 1.0.1. Roughly speaking, Z lies in generic position if Z imposes independent conditions on curves containing it. The sets of s points in generic position in \mathbf{P}^2 form a Zariski-open subset of the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^s(\mathbf{P}^2)$ parametrizing subschemes of \mathbf{P}^2 of length s . The sets of s points in uniform position form an open subset of the sets of s points in generic position.

Let Z be a zero-dimensional subscheme of \mathbf{P}^2 of length s . Because the projective dimension of an ideal sheaf in \mathbf{P}^n is at most $n - 1$, \mathcal{I}_Z has a minimal projective resolution

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-t_i) \xrightarrow{A} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-r_j) \xrightarrow{(f_1, \dots, f_{\nu})} \mathcal{I}_Z \rightarrow 0$$

where A is a $(\nu - 1) \times \nu$ “relations matrix” of homogeneous forms of degrees $\alpha_{ij} = t_i - r_j$, and we arrange $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_{\nu-1}$ and $r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_{\nu}$. A standard Chern class calculation shows $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1} t_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} r_j$ and $2s = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1} t_i^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} r_j^2$. Further, the minimality of the resolution implies that

the entries of A are in the irrelevant ideal, so we have $t_1 > r_1$ and $t_{\nu-1} > r_\nu$.

LEMMA 1.1 (*Burch, [3]*). *If I is an ideal of projective dimension one in a regular local ring (R, \mathcal{M}) , then given a minimal resolution*

$$0 \rightarrow R^{n-1} \xrightarrow{A} R^n \xrightarrow{(f_1, \dots, f_n)} I \rightarrow 0$$

there exists $r \in R$ such that $f_i = r\Delta_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where the Δ_i are the maximal minors of the matrix A .

The lemma applies in our case with $R = k[x_0, x_1, x_2]_{(x_0, x_1, x_2)}$ and $I(Z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(m))$ localized at (x_0, x_1, x_2) for I . Since $\text{ht } I = 2$, r must be a unit, and we may assume in the following that $f_i = \Delta_i$.

EXAMPLE 1.1.1. If Z is a complete intersection of curves of degrees r_1 and r_2 , then the minimal resolution is the familiar Koszul complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-r_1 - r_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-r_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-r_2) \xrightarrow{(f_1, f_2)} \mathcal{I}_Z \rightarrow 0$$

and the relations matrix is $(-f_2, f_1)$. It is easy to check that the complete intersection of f_1 and f_2 lies in generic position if and only if $\deg f_i \leq 2$. More generally:

PROPOSITION 1.2. (a) *A subscheme Z of length s in \mathbf{P}^2 lies in generic position if and only if $0 \leq \alpha_{ij} \leq 2$ for a minimal resolution (1). (b) *Moreover, in this situation, $r_1 \leq r_i \leq r_1 + 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \nu$.**

Proof. (a) Consider the long exact sequence of cohomology

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(m)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(m)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(m)) \\ \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(m)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\dim H^i$ by h^i . Because $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(m)) = \binom{m+2}{2}$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(m)) = s$, it is clear that Z lies in generic position if and only if \mathcal{I}_Z has “seminatural cohomology”, i.e. for each $m \geq 0$, at most one of $H^i(\mathcal{I}_Z(m))$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, is nonzero. Since $H^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(m)) \neq 0$ if and only if $m \geq r_1$, \mathcal{I}_Z has seminatural cohomology if and only if $H^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(m)) = 0$ for $m \geq r_1$. By (1) and the fact that $t_{\nu-1} > r_\nu$, this condition is equivalent to $t_{\nu-1} \leq r_1 + 2$.

(b) In this situation, since $t_{\nu-1} > r_i$ for all i , $r_1 \leq r_i < t_{\nu-1} \leq r_1 + 2$ for all i .

REMARK 1.2.1. Fact (b) was proved in [7].

One of the advantages of generic position is that it is preserved under linkage. We say that two closed subschemes Z, Z' of \mathbf{P}^n , equidimensional and without embedded components, are *linked* via the complete intersection X containing Z and Z' if $I(Z) = I(X) : I(Z')$ and $I(Z') = I(X) : I(Z)$, where $I(Z)$ denotes the homogeneous graded ideal of Z , and so forth. The next proposition was essentially known to Apéry (see [5]) and has an elementary proof, which is provided in an appendix for lack of a reference.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let Z be a projectively Cohen-Macaulay subscheme of \mathbf{P}^n of codimension two, and let*

$$0 \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(-t_i) \xrightarrow{A} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(-r_j) \xrightarrow{(f_1, \dots, f_{\nu})} \mathcal{I}_Z \rightarrow 0$$

be a minimal projective resolution for the ideal sheaf, where f_i is the i th maximal minor of A . Suppose $\{f_i, f_j\}$ forms a regular sequence of length two in $k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$, and let Z' be the (projectively Cohen-Macaulay) subscheme of \mathbf{P}^n linked to Z via $\{f_i, f_j\}$. Then a relations matrix for a minimal resolution for $\mathcal{I}_{Z'}$ is obtained by deleting columns i and j from A and transposing.

REMARK 1.3.1. The liaison theorem ([9, Thm. 3.2]) follows directly from (1.3) and the fact that if I is an ideal in $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, a graded quotient of a polynomial ring, and I contains a non-zero-divisor, then there exists a non-zero-divisor $x \in I$ belonging to a set of minimal generators for I .

REMARK 1.3.2. If we assume $i = \nu - 1, j = \nu$ in (1.3), then $\alpha'_{kl} = \alpha_{lk}$ for $1 \leq k \leq \nu - 2, 1 \leq l \leq \nu - 1$.

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let Z be a set of points in \mathbf{P}^2 lying in generic position and f_1, f_2 two homogeneous forms with no common factor which belong to a minimal set of generators of the ideal $I(Z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(m))$. Let Z' be the scheme linked to Z via $\{f_1, f_2\}$. Then Z' lies in generic position.*

Proof. Follows from (1.2) and (1.3.2).

Next we find a lower bound on the number of generators ν of the graded ideal $I(Z)$, where Z is a length s subscheme of \mathbf{P}^2 lying in generic position. A set of generators must contain a basis for $H^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(r))$, where r

is the least degree of a curve containing Z . Generic position implies that r is the least integer such that $\binom{r+2}{2} > s$, and we have $\nu \geq k$ where $k = h^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(r)) = \binom{r+2}{2} - s$. Using (1.2) we see that a minimal resolution for \mathcal{I}_Z has the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-l-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-r-1) \oplus \sum_{i=1}^l \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-r-2) \\ \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-r) \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-k} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-r-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $l = r + 1 - k$. Since $\nu - k$ and $\nu - l - 1$ are nonnegative, $\nu \geq \max\{k, r - k + 2\}$. Set $N(s) = \max\{k, r - k + 2\}$ (clearly r and k depend only on s). Then we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} N(s) &= \max\{k, r - k + 2\} \\ &= \max\left\{\binom{r+2}{2} - s, r - \left(\binom{r+2}{2} - s + 2\right)\right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} r + 1 - s + \binom{r+1}{2} & \text{if } \binom{r+1}{2} \leq s \leq \frac{r(r+2)}{2} \\ r + 2 + s - \binom{r+2}{2} & \text{if } \frac{r(r+2)}{2} \leq s < \binom{r+2}{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $N(s)$, a number depending only on s , is a lower bound on the number of generators for the ideal $I(Z)$. To show this bound is sharp, we will exhibit for each integer s a zero-dimensional scheme of length s in generic position with exactly $N(s)$ ideal generators. By the following Lemma 1.5, having $N(s)$ ideal generators is an open condition on the set Z . It follows (1.7) that the general set of s distinct points in \mathbf{P}^2 has $N(s)$ ideal generators.

LEMMA 1.5. *Let \mathcal{U} be the open dense subset of $\text{Hilb}^s(\mathbf{P}^2)$ corresponding to schemes in generic position. For any integer N , the subset of \mathcal{U} corresponding to schemes defined by at most N equations is open in $\text{Hilb}^s(\mathbf{P}^2)$.*

Proof. The sheaf of differentials $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2}$ fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2} \rightarrow 3\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-1) \xrightarrow{(x, y, z)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathbf{P}^2 = \text{Proj } k[x, y, z]$. Let $Z \in \text{Hilb}^s(\mathbf{P}^2)$, lying in generic position. Tensor the above sequence with $\mathcal{I}_Z(r+1)$, where r is the least integer

such that $\binom{r+2}{2} > s$. The long exact sequence of cohomology yields

$$H^0(3\mathcal{I}_Z(r)) \xrightarrow{(x,y,z)} H^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(r+1)) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2} \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z(r+1)) \rightarrow 0$$

since $H^1(3\mathcal{I}_Z(r)) = 0$ by the seminatural cohomology of \mathcal{I}_Z . The dimension of $H^1(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2} \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z(r+1))$ measures the number of generators of $I(Z)$ of degree $r+1$. Thus

$$\nu(I(Z)) = \binom{r+2}{2} - s + h^1(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2} \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z(r+1)).$$

By the semicontinuity theorem ([8], Thm. III.12.8), $\nu(I(Z))$ is an upper semicontinuous function of Z in \mathcal{U} .

LEMMA 1.6 (*Buchsbaum, Eisenbud [2]*). *Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, F_j free R -modules. The complex*

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} F_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0$$

is exact if and only if for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

(a) $\text{rank } \varphi_j + \text{rank } \varphi_{j-1} = \text{rank } F_{j-1}$,

(b) *the ideal of maximal minors of φ_j contains a regular sequence of length j .*

PROPOSITION 1.7 (*Geramita, Maroscia [6]*). *If Z is a set of s points in generic position in \mathbf{P}^2 , then $\nu(I(Z)) \geq N(s)$. Moreover, equality holds for all Z in an open dense subset of $\text{Hilb}^s(\mathbf{P}^2)$.*

Proof. The first statement is proved above. For the second, it remains to construct for each s a length s scheme Z in generic position with $\nu(I(Z)) = N(s)$.

Case 1. Suppose $\binom{r+1}{2} \leq s \leq r(r+2)/2$ for some integer r . Set $m = r(r+2) - 2s$, $N = N(s) = r+1 - s + \binom{r+1}{2} > 0$. An easy calculation shows $0 \leq m \leq N-1$. Consider the $(N-1) \times N$ matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & \cdots & l_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ l_{m1} & \cdots & l_{mN} \\ c_{m+1,1} & \cdots & c_{m+1,N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{N-1,1} & \cdots & c_{N-1,N} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\deg l_{ij} = 1$ and $\deg c_{ij} = 2$. If the entries of the matrix are chosen generally it is clear that at least two of the maximal minors f_1, \dots, f_N will share no common factor, and $\text{grade } \langle f_1, \dots, f_N \rangle \geq 2$. Now (1.6) applies to show that the corresponding sequence (1) is exact with $\nu = N$, so that we have constructed a zero-dimensional scheme Z with A as relations matrix.

Counting degrees, we have $r_1 = \dots = r_n = 2N - m - 2$, $t_1 = \dots = t_m = 2N - m - 1$, and $t_{m+1} = \dots = t_{N-1} = 2N - m$. A calculation shows the length of Z is s , and by (1.2) Z lies in generic position. The resolution is minimal, so $\nu(I(Z)) = N(s)$.

Case 2. Suppose $r(r+2)/2 \leq s < \binom{r+2}{2}$ for some integer r . Set $m = \binom{r+2}{2} - s$ and $N = N(s) = s - \binom{r+2}{2} + r + 2 > 0$. Note that $0 \leq m \leq N$. Consider the $(N-1) \times N$ matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \cdots & c_{1m} & l_{1,m+1} & \cdots & l_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{N-1,1} & \cdots & c_{N-1,m} & l_{N-1,m+1} & \cdots & l_{N-1,N} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\deg l_{ij} = 1$ and $\deg c_{ij} = 2$. As before, if the entries are chosen generally there exists a minimal projective resolution of an ideal sheaf I_Z with A as relations matrix. We have

$$r_1 = \dots = r_m = N + m - 2, r_{m+1} = \dots = r_N = N + m - 1,$$

$t_1 = \dots = t_{N-1} = N + m$, showing that Z consists of s points in generic position, and $\nu(I(Z)) = N(s)$.

PROPOSITION 1.8. *Let Z be a set of s points in generic position in \mathbf{P}^2 , r the least integer such that $\binom{r+2}{2} > s$. Then $\nu(I(Z)) \leq r + 1$.*

Proof. Let Z be a length s subscheme of \mathbf{P}^2 , and r the least degree of a curve containing Z . Let A be a relations matrix for a minimal resolution (1) of \mathcal{I}_Z . We may arrange A such that $\alpha_{11} \geq \alpha_{12} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_{1\nu}$; then $\deg f_1 = r$ and f_1 is the determinant of a $(\nu - 1) \times (\nu - 1)$ matrix whose nonzero entries are of degree at least one. Therefore $r = \deg f_1 \geq \nu(I(Z)) - 1$, i.e. $\nu(I(Z)) \leq r + 1$. This is a theorem of Dubreil ([4], Thm. I).

For points in uniform position, the upper bound of (1.8) can be improved:

PROPOSITION 1.9. *Let Z be a set of s points in uniform position in \mathbf{P}^2 , such that $\binom{r+1}{2} + 1 \leq s \leq \binom{r+2}{2} - 2$ for some r . Then $\nu(I(Z)) \leq r$.*

Proof. Let $k = \binom{r+2}{2} - s$; so that $2 \leq k \leq r$. Suppose $\nu(I(Z)) = r + 1$, and let A be the relations matrix of a minimal resolution (1) of \mathcal{I}_Z .

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1,r+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{r,1} & \cdots & a_{r,r+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

By (1.2), $0 \leq \alpha_{ij} \leq 2$, and $\alpha_{ij} = \deg a_{ij}$. We may assume $\alpha_{11} \geq \alpha_{12} \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{1,r+1}$; then we have

$$(2) \quad \alpha_{i1} = \alpha_{i2} = \cdots = \alpha_{ik} = \alpha_{i,k+1} + 1 = \cdots = \alpha_{i,r+1} + 1.$$

We may also assume $\alpha_{11} \leq \alpha_{21} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{r1}$.

Since $\deg f_{r+1} = r + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r + 1 &= \alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22} + \cdots + \alpha_{rr} \\ &= \alpha_{11} + \cdots + \alpha_{kl} + (\alpha_{k+1,1} - 1) + \cdots + (\alpha_{r1} - 1) \\ &= \alpha_{11} + \cdots + \alpha_{r1} - r + k \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$(3) \quad 2r - k + 1 = \alpha_{11} + \cdots + \alpha_{r1}.$$

By (2), $\alpha_{i1} \geq 1$ for all i .

Suppose $\alpha_{k-1,1} \geq 2$. Then $2 \leq \alpha_{k-1,1} \leq \alpha_{k1} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{r1}$ and so $\alpha_{11} + \cdots + \alpha_{r1} \geq k - 2 + 2(r - k + 2) = 2r - k + 2$, contradicting (3). Therefore, $1 = \alpha_{k-1,1} = \alpha_{k-2,1} = \cdots = \alpha_{11}$.

By (2), $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, $k + 1 \leq j \leq r + 1$. Since all entries of A lie in the maximal homogeneous ideal (X_0, X_1, X_2) of $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$, $a_{ij} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, $k + 1 \leq j \leq r + 1$. A has the following form:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} \begin{matrix} * \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{matrix} & A_1 & 0 \\ \hline \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ * \end{matrix} & A_2 & A_3 \end{array} \right) \begin{matrix} \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} * \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{matrix}} \right\} & k - 1 \\ \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} \vdots \\ * \end{matrix}} \right\} & r - k + 1 \end{matrix}$$

$\begin{matrix} 1 & k - 1 & r - k + 1 \end{matrix}$

Case 1. If $s = \binom{r+1}{2} + 1$, then $k = r$, and the form of A is

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} B & \begin{matrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{matrix} \\ \hline & a_{r,r+1} \end{array} \right)$$

where $\deg a_{r,r+1} = 1$. The complete intersection of the curves $\det B = 0$ and $a_{r,r+1} = 0$ lies in Z . Since $r \geq 2$, $\deg(\det B) \geq 3$, so Z contains three

collinear points (counted with multiplicity), a contradiction to the uniform position assumption.

Case 2. If $\binom{r+1}{2} + 2 \leq s \leq \binom{r+2}{2} - 2$, then $f_1 = (\det A_1) \cdot (\det A_3)$, so f_1 is the composite of curves of degrees $k - 1 \geq 1$ and $\nu - k \geq 1$. This contradicts the following fact:

LEMMA 1.10 (*Geramita, Maroscia [6], Thm. 3.4*). *Let Z be a set of s points in uniform position in \mathbf{P}^2 where $\binom{r+1}{2} + 2 \leq s \leq \binom{r+2}{2} - 1$. Then every curve of degree r containing Z is irreducible.*

PROPOSITION 1.11. *Let Z be a set of s points in \mathbf{P}^2 , and set*

$$N(s) = \begin{cases} r + 1 - s + \binom{r+1}{2} & \text{if } \binom{r+1}{2} \leq s \leq \frac{r(r+2)}{2} \\ r + 2 + s - \binom{r+2}{2} & \text{if } \frac{r(r+2)}{2} \leq s < \binom{r+2}{2} \end{cases}.$$

(a) *If Z lies in generic position, then $N(s) \leq \nu(I(Z)) \leq r + 1$.*

(b) *If Z lies in uniform position, then*

$$N(s) \leq \nu(I(Z)) \leq r \quad \text{if } \binom{r+1}{2} < s < \binom{r+2}{2} - 1;$$

$$N(s) = \nu(I(Z)) = r + 1 \quad \text{if } s = \binom{r+1}{2} \text{ or } \binom{r+2}{2} - 1.$$

Proof. Follows from (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9).

COROLLARY 1.12. (*Geramita, Maroscia, Orecchia [6], [7]*). (a) *If Z lies in generic position and $s = \binom{r+1}{2}$ or $\binom{r+2}{2} - 1$, then $\nu(I(Z)) = r + 1$.* (b) *If Z lies in uniform position and $s = \binom{r+1}{2} + 1$ or $\binom{r+2}{2} - 2$, then $\nu(I(Z)) = r$.*

COROLLARY 1.13. *If Z lies in uniform position and $s = \binom{r+2}{2} - 3$, then $r - 1 \leq \nu(I(Z)) \leq r$.*

REMARK 1.13.1. It follows from (1.11) that if $s \leq 11$, s points in uniform position have exactly $N(s)$ ideal generators. In the next section we show that this statement fails for $s = 12$.

2. A counterexample. The following result is related to the classical Cayley-Bacharach Theorem.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let S, T be zero-dimensional subschemes of \mathbf{P}^2 linked by two curves C, D of degrees c and d , respectively, having no common components. Suppose $d \leq c + 2$. Then $h^0(\mathcal{I}_S(d - 3)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_T(c))$.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{J}_T has minimal resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-t_i) \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-r_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_T \rightarrow 0.$$

Then linkage implies ([9], Prop. 2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(r_j - c - d) \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(t_i - c - d) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-c) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_S \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is a projective resolution for \mathcal{J}_S . By the long exact sequences of cohomology,

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(\mathcal{J}_S(d-3)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1} h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(t_i - c - 3)) - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(r_j - c - 3)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1} h^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(c - t_i)) - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} h^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(c - r_j)) \\ &= h^1(\mathcal{J}_T(c)). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose P_1, \dots, P_{13} are points in \mathbf{P}^2 such that no three are collinear, and suppose $\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\}$ is linked to $\{P_1, \dots, P_{13}\}$ via two quartic curves. Then every subset of $\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, P_1, \dots, P_{10}\}$ imposes independent conditions on quartics.*

Proof. Set $T = \{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, P_1, \dots, P_{10}\}$ and $S = \{P_{11}, P_{12}, P_{13}\}$ in (2.1). Since P_{11}, P_{12}, P_{13} are not collinear, $h^1(\mathcal{J}_T(4)) = 0$, i.e. T imposes independent conditions on quartics. Since each point imposes at most one condition, it follows that every subset of T imposes independent conditions on quartics. \square

According to (1.13) a set of 12 points in uniform position must have either 3 or 4 ideal generators. We know almost all have 3 ideal generators (1.7). If $\nu(I(Z)) = 3$, then the minimal resolution for \mathcal{J}_Z must be

$$0 \rightarrow 2\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-6) \xrightarrow{A} 3\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-4) \xrightarrow{(f_1 f_2 f_3)} \mathcal{J}_Z \rightarrow 0$$

and A has form

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\deg c_{ij} = 2$.

Suppose there are two quartic curves with no common component containing Z . We may assume they are given by $f_1 = 0$ and $f_2 = 0$. By (1.3), Z is linked via f_1 and f_2 to the complete intersection of the two conics $c_{13} = 0$ and $c_{23} = 0$.

EXAMPLE 2.3. A set of 12 points in uniform position in \mathbf{P}^2 with $\nu(I(Z)) = 4$.

We will construct a set Z in uniform position that is linked via two quartics to four points, three of which are collinear. By the preceding argument, we conclude $\nu(I(Z)) = 4$.

The following criterion for uniform position will be used below.

LEMMA 2.4 (*Brun*, [1]). *A set of points Z in \mathbf{P}^2 is in uniform position if and only if*

- (a) *at most $\binom{r+2}{2} - 1$ points of Z lie on a degree r curve, for each r , and*
- (b) *for each $z \in Z$, there exists a degree d curve Y such that $Y \cap Z = Z \setminus \{z\}$, where d is the smallest integer such that $\binom{d+2}{2} \geq s$.*

Construction. Fix a nonsingular quartic C in \mathbf{P}^2 and three distinct collinear points Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 on C . We will show that the general quartic passing through Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 , intersects C in $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, P_1, \dots, P_{13}$ such that any twelve of P_1, \dots, P_{13} lie in uniform position.

The family of quartics in \mathbf{P}^2 is parametrized by \mathbf{P}^{14} , and an 11-dimensional subfamily S passes through Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 , since it is clear that none of these three points is a base point for quartics through the other two.

The quartics in S missing the point Q_4 , the residual intersection of C and the line L connecting Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 , form again an 11-dimensional family S' . Suppose $C' \in S'$ and $C \cap C' = \{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, P_1, \dots, P_{13}\}$, counting multiplicities, and that P_1, P_2, P_3 are collinear. None of the P_i lie on L . P_3 is not a base point for quartics through $\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, P_1, P_2\}$: for example, set $L_1 = \overline{P_1 Q_1}$, where we choose $Q_i \notin \overline{P_1 P_2}$, and L_2, L_3, L_4 lines containing P_2, Q_j, Q_k , respectively, where $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then there exists a quartic $L_1 L_2 L_3 L_4$ containing $\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, P_1, P_2\}$ but not P_3 . Similar arguments show that none of the six points $\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ is a base point for quartics through the other five, so that the six points induce independent conditions on quartics.

Thus there are 8 dimensions of quartics through $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\}$. The choice of a set of collinear points $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ on $C \setminus L$ is 2-dimensional (since there is a finite choice (four) of three-point sets

associated with each line in \mathbf{P}^2). Therefore there is a 10-dimensional set of quartics C' belonging to S' such that the remaining 13 points of the complete intersection $C \cap C'$ contain three collinear points. We conclude that the general quartic through Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 is such that the residual intersection with C does not contain three collinear points.

Showing the general residual intersection contains no six on a conic and no ten on a cubic requires similar arguments; we argue only the latter. Suppose C' is a general quartic such that $C \cap C' = \{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, P_1, \dots, P_{13}\}$ where $\{P_1, \dots, P_{10}\}$ are situated on a cubic. Since no three of P_1, \dots, P_{13} are collinear, (2.2) applies to show $\{Q_1, \dots, P_{10}\}$ imposes independent conditions on quartics, so that the family of quartics through $\{Q_1, \dots, P_{10}\}$ is one-dimensional. The family of 10-point sets on C lying on a cubic is at most 9-dimensional, therefore the family of quartics through Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 such that the residual 13 points of $C \cap C'$ contain 10 cocubic points is at most 10-dimensional. We conclude that the general quartic through Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 intersects C in 13 residual points that have no three collinear, no six on a conic, and no ten on a cubic.

Let C' be such a general quartic. We will show that any twelve of P_1, \dots, P_{13} satisfy the criterion of (2.4). Condition (a) is verified; for (b) we reason as follows. Given any point z among a subset of twelve, choose a cubic containing nine of the rest and a line containing the other two. The point z cannot lie on this reducible quartic Y , therefore $Y \cap Z = Z \setminus \{z\}$. Such a set Z of twelve points lies in uniform position and is linked via C and C' to a set of four points, three of which are collinear, so $\nu(I(Z)) = 4$.

REMARK 2.4.1. It is clear, at least if $\text{char } k = 0$, that by Bertini's theorem the general such C' intersects C transversally, i.e. in the terminology of [6], provides sets of 12 points in "transversal uniform position" and $\nu = 4$.

Appendix. We present a proof of (1.3). Let R be a commutative ring and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1\nu} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{\nu-1,1} & \cdots & a_{\nu-1,\nu} \end{pmatrix}$$

be a matrix whose entries lie in R . Set

$$f_i = (-1)^{i+1} \det \begin{pmatrix} (\nu-1) \times (\nu-1) \text{ matrix} \\ \text{obtained by deleting} \\ \text{column } i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = (-1)^{i+j+k+1} \det \begin{pmatrix} (\nu-2) \times (\nu-2) \text{ matrix} \\ \text{obtained by deleting} \\ \text{columns } i, j \text{ row } k \end{pmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 1. *If $i < j$, then*

$$(\Gamma_{ij}^1, \dots, \Gamma_{ij}^{\nu-1}) \cdot A = \left(0, \dots, 0, f_j, 0, \dots, 0, -f_i, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

LEMMA 2. *If $1 \leq i < j \leq \nu$, $l \neq i$ or j , then*

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k f_l = \Gamma_{il}^k f_j - \Gamma_{jl}^k f_i \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, \nu-1.$$

Proof. For $l \neq i$ or j , multiply the equation in Lemma 1 on the right by the matrix

$$B_l = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{il}^1 & \Gamma_{il}^2 & \dots & \Gamma_{il}^{\nu-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \Gamma_{l-1,l}^1 & \Gamma_{l-1,l}^2 & \dots & \Gamma_{l-1,l}^{\nu-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\Gamma_{l+1,l}^1 & -\Gamma_{l+1,l}^2 & \dots & -\Gamma_{l+1,l}^{\nu-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\Gamma_{\nu l}^1 & -\Gamma_{\nu l}^2 & \dots & -\Gamma_{\nu l}^{\nu-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{M}) be a regular local ring, A a $(\nu-1) \times \nu$ matrix with entries in \mathfrak{M} . If $\{f_i, f_j\}$ is a regular sequence in \mathfrak{M} , then $(f_i, f_j): (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_\nu) = (\Gamma_{ij}^1, \dots, \Gamma_{ij}^{\nu-1})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, $(\Gamma_{ij}^1, \dots, \Gamma_{ij}^{\nu-1}) \subseteq (f_i, f_j): (f_1, \dots, f_\nu)$. On the other hand, let $r \in (f_i, f_j): (f_1, \dots, f_\nu)$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_i \\ \vdots \\ f_j \\ \vdots \\ f_\nu \end{pmatrix} r = C \begin{pmatrix} f_i \\ f_j \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{\nu 1} & c_{\nu 2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_{ij} \in R,$$

and we may assume $c_{i1} = r$, $c_{i2} = 0$, $c_{j1} = 0$, $c_{j2} = r$. Multiply on the left by A :

$$0 = AC \begin{pmatrix} f_i \\ f_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

AC is a $(\nu - 1) \times 2$ matrix whose first column is divisible by f_j and whose second column is divisible by f_i , since $\{f_i, f_j\}$ form a regular sequence. So write

$$AC = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 f_j & -d_1 f_i \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ d_{\nu-1} f_j & -d_{\nu-1} f_i \end{pmatrix}$$

where $d_k \in R$ for all $k = 1, \dots, \nu - 1$. Multiplying on the left by the matrix $(\Gamma_{ij}^1, \dots, \Gamma_{ij}^{\nu-1})$ and using Lemma 1, we get

$$r = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu-1} d_k \Gamma_{ij}^k \in (\Gamma_{ij}^1, \dots, \Gamma_{ij}^{\nu-1}).$$

Proposition (1.3) follows from

LEMMA 4. *Let (R, \mathcal{M}) be a regular local ring, I an ideal with a minimal resolution*

$$0 \rightarrow R^{\nu-1} \xrightarrow{A} R^{\nu} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}} I \rightarrow 0,$$

f_i the maximal minors of A . Suppose $\{f_i, f_j\}$ is a regular sequence in R , and let $J = (f_i, f_j): (f_1, \dots, f_{\nu})$ be the ideal linked to I by $\{f_i, f_j\}$. Then J has a minimal resolution

$$0 \rightarrow R^{\nu-2} \xrightarrow{B} R^{\nu-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{ij}^{\nu-1} \\ \vdots \\ \Gamma_{ij}^1 \end{pmatrix}} J \rightarrow 0$$

where B is the transpose of the matrix obtained by eliminating columns i and j from A .

Proof. Easy to check that

$$B \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{ij}^{\nu-1} \\ \vdots \\ \Gamma_{ij}^1 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$0 \rightarrow R^{\nu-2} \xrightarrow{B} R^{\nu-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{ij}^{\nu-1} \\ \vdots \\ \Gamma_{ij}^1 \end{pmatrix}} J \rightarrow 0$$

is a complex. Rank $A = \nu - 1 \Rightarrow \text{rank } B = \nu - 2$, so the complex is exact on the left. It is exact on the right by Lemma 3. The grade of J is 2 by ([9], Prop. 1.3), so (1.6) applies to prove exactness in the middle. Since the entries of B lie in \mathcal{M} , this exact sequence is a minimal resolution of J .

Note added in proof. An example with the properties of Example 2.3, constructed by different techniques, is included in a later version of [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Brun, *Les fibrés de rang deux sur \mathbf{P}_2 et leurs sections*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **108** (1979), 457–473.
- [2] D. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, *What makes a complex exact?* J. Algebra, **25** (1973), 259–268.
- [3] L. Burch, *A note on ideals of homological dimension one in local domains*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **63**, (1967), 661–662.
- [4] P. Dubreil, *Sur quelques propriétés des systèmes de points dans le plan et des courbes gauches algébriques*. Bull. Soc. Math. France, **61** (1933), 258–283.
- [5] F. Gaeta, *Quelques progrès récents dans la classification des variétés algébriques d'un espace projectif*. Deuxieme Colloque de Geometrie Algébrique Liège, C.B.R.M. (1952), 145–181.
- [6] A. V. Geramita and P. Maroscia, *The ideal of forms vanishing at a finite set of points in \mathbf{P}^n* , Queen's Mathematical Preprint No. 1981-5.
- [7] A. V. Geramita and F. Orecchia, *Minimally generating ideals defining certain tangent cones*, J. Algebra, **78** (1982), 36–57.
- [8] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math. **52**, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer, 1977.
- [9] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, *Liaison des variétés algébriques I*, Inventiones Math., **26** (1974), 271–302.

Received April 6, 1984.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
EAST LANSING, MI 48824

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Ulrich F. Albrecht , A note on locally A-projective groups	1
Marilyn Breen , A Krasnosel'skiĭ-type theorem for unions of two starshaped sets in the plane	19
Anthony Carbery , Sun-Yung Alice Chang and John Brady Garnett , Weights and $L \log L$	33
Joanne Marie Dombrowski , Tridiagonal matrix representations of cyclic self-adjoint operators. II	47
Heinz W. Engl and Werner Römisch , Approximate solutions of nonlinear random operator equations: convergence in distribution	55
P. Ghez , R. Lima and J. E. Roberts , W^*-categories	79
Barry E. Johnson , Continuity of homomorphisms of Banach G-modules ...	111
Elyahu Katz and Sidney Allen Morris , Free products of topological groups with amalgamation. II	123
Neal I. Koblitz , p-adic integral transforms on compact subgroups of C_p	131
Albert Edward Livingston , A coefficient inequality for functions of positive real part with an application to multivalent functions	139
Scott Carroll Metcalf , Finding a boundary for a Hilbert cube manifold bundle	153
Jack Ray Porter and R. Grant Woods , When all semiregular H-closed extensions are compact	179
Francisco José Ruiz and José Luis Torrea , A unified approach to Carleson measures and A_p weights. II	189
Timothy DuWayne Sauer , The number of equations defining points in general position	199
John Brendan Sullivan , Universal observability and codimension one subgroups of Borel subgroups	215
Akihito Uchiyama , Extension of the Hardy-Littlewood-Fefferman-Stein inequality	229