Pacific Journal of Mathematics

REGULAR OPERATOR APPROXIMATION THEORY

PHILIP MARSHALL ANSELONE AND MIKE TREUDEN

Vol. 120, No. 2

October 1985

REGULAR OPERATOR APPROXIMATION THEORY

P. M. ANSELONE AND M. L. TREUDEN

Regular operator approximation theory applies to finite difference approximations for differential equations and numerical integration approximations for integral equations. New relationships and efficient derivations of known results are presented. The analysis is based on the systematic use of convergence and compactness properties of sequences of sets. Since the purpose is theoretical, applications are merely indicated and references are cited.

1. Introduction and Summary. Regular operator approximation theory applies to numerical solutions of differential and integral equations. Some pertinent references are Anselone and Ansorge [3, 4], Chatelin [6], Grigorieff [7, 8], Stummel [10], and Vainikko [12].

We focus here on linear equations in a Banach space setting. Approximations are defined in the same setting. This case serves to motivate ideas and results for the more complicated situation with approximations defined in different spaces related by connection maps, such as restriction and interpolation. We present particularly efficient and revealing derivations of basic convergence results and we extend the theory in several significant respects. The analysis is based on systematic use of convergence and compactness concepts for sequences of sets. Some of these ideas were exploited in nonlinear operator approximation theory in [3]. These concepts should be useful also in general approximation theory.

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. A sequence of elements in X or Y is discretely compact (d-compact for short) if every subsequence has a convergent subsequence.

Let $A, A_n \in L(X, Y)$ the space of bounded linear operators from X to Y. We shall compare equations

$$Ax = y, \qquad A_n x_n = y,$$

where $A_n \rightarrow A$ pointwise and $\{A_n\}$ is asymptotically regular, i.e., if $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and $\{A_nx_n\}$ is d-compact, then $\{x_n\}$ is d-compact. Results concern inverse operators, null spaces, and ranges. There are implications for eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

Sharper results can be given for equations

$$(I-K)x = y, \qquad (I-K_n)x_n = y,$$

where $K, K_n \in L(X)$ with $K_n \to K$ and either $\{K_n\}$ is collectively compact, i.e., $\bigcup K_n S$ is compact for any bounded set $S \subset X$, or $\{K_n\}$ is asymptotically compact, i.e., $\{K_n x_n\}$ is d-compact for any bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X. Results for the collectively compact case presented in [1] will be extended to the more general asymptotically compact case in a forthcoming sequel.

For expository continuity, the paper is largely self-contained.

2. Convergence and compactness concepts. This material is valid also in a metric space. For convenience, we stay in a Banach space X. Notation:

$$x, x_n \in X, \quad S, S_n \subset X, \quad n \in N = \{1, 2, ...\}.$$

We introduce *e*-neighborhoods of sets,

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon}(S) = \bigcup_{x \in S} \left\{ y \in X : ||x - y|| < \varepsilon \right\}, \qquad \varepsilon > 0.$$

By analogy with point convergence, $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, set convergence is defined by

$$S_n \to S$$
 if $S_n \subset \Omega_{\varepsilon}(S) \forall n \text{ large } \forall \varepsilon > 0$,

i.e., for $n \ge n_{\varepsilon}$ with some n_{ε} . Such set limits are not unique:

(2.1) $S_n \to S \subset S' \Rightarrow S_n \to S'.$

The void set \emptyset plays a special role: $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and

(2.2) $S_n \to \emptyset \Rightarrow S_n = \emptyset \quad \forall n \text{ large},$

(2.3)
$$S_n = \emptyset \forall n \text{ large} \Rightarrow S_n \rightarrow S \forall S \subset X.$$

For S compact,

(2.4)
$$S_n \to S \Leftrightarrow \forall \text{ open } \Omega \supset S, \Omega \supset S_n \quad \forall n \text{ large},$$

i.e., for $n \ge n_{\Omega}$ with some n_{Ω} .

Denote infinite subsequences of N by N', N'', etc. Sets of cluster points (limits of subsequences) of $\{x_n\}$ and $\{S_n\}$ are:

$$\{x_n\}^* = \{x \in X \colon x_n \to x, n \in N'\},$$
$$\{S_n\}^* = \{x \in X \colon x_n \to x, x_n \in S_n, n \in N'\}$$

All such cluster point sets are closed. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is *discretely* compact (d-compact) if every subsequence has a convergent subsequence, hence a cluster point. Similarly, $\{S_n\}$ is d-compact if every sequence $\{x_n: n \in N'\}$ with $x_n \in S_n$ has a convergent subsequence.

Elementary properties include (2.5) $\{S_n\}$ d-compact, $\{S_n\}^* = \emptyset \Rightarrow S_n = \emptyset \forall n$ large, (2.6) $\{\overline{S_n}\}^* = \{S_n\}^*$, (2.7) $\{\overline{S_n}\}$ d-compact $\Leftrightarrow \{S_n\}$ d-compact, (2.8) $\overline{S_n} \Rightarrow S \Leftrightarrow S_n \Rightarrow S$, (2.9) $\overline{\bigcup S_n} = (\bigcup \overline{S_n}) \cup \{S_n\}^*$, (2.10) $\overline{\bigcup S_n}$ compact $\Leftrightarrow \overline{S_n}$ compact $\forall n, \{S_n\}$ d-compact.

THEOREM 2.1.

(a) $\{S_n\}$ d-compact $\Leftrightarrow \{S_n\}^*$ compact, $S_n \to \{S_n\}^*$. (b) $\{S_n\}$ d-compact, $\{S_n\}^* \subset S \Rightarrow S_n \to S$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Prove (a).

1. Assume $\{S_n\}$ d-compact. Let $x_i \in \{S_n\}^*$ for $i \in N$. Then $\exists n_i < n_{i+1}$ and $x_{n_i} \in S_{n_i}$ such that $||x_{n_i} - x_i|| \to 0$. Since $\{S_n\}$ is d-compact, $\exists N'$ and $x \in X$ such that $x_{n_i} \to x$ with $i \in N'$. Hence, $x_i \to x$ with $i \in N'$ and $\{S_n\}^*$ is compact.

2. Assume $S_n \to \{S_n\}^*$ fails. Then $\exists \varepsilon > 0$, N' and $x_n \in S_n$ for $n \in N'$ such that $||x_n - x|| > \varepsilon$ for all $n \in N'$ and $x \in \{S_n\}^*$. Hence, $\{x_n: n \in N'\}^* = \emptyset$ and $\{S_n\}$ is not d-compact. Thus, $\{S_n\}$ d-compact $\Rightarrow S_n \to \{S_n\}^*$.

3. Assume $\{S_n\}^*$ compact and $S_n \to \{S_n\}^*$. Let $x_n \in S_n$ for $n \in N'$. Since $S_n \to \{S_n\}^*$, $\exists N'' \subset N'$ and $x^n \in \{S_n\}^*$ for $n \in N''$ such that $||x^n - x_n|| \to 0$ with $n \in N''$. Since $\{S_n\}^*$ is compact, $\exists N''' \subset N''$ and $x \in X$ such that $x^n \to x$ with $n \in N'''$. Then $x_n \to x$ with $n \in N'''$ and $\{S_n\}$ is d-compact.

For other relations involving convergence and d-compactness of sequences of sets, see [3].

Next we introduce analogues of "cover compactness" and total boundedness for sequences of sets. Let $\{S_n\}$ be asymptotically compact if for any open cover of $\bigcup S_n \exists n_0$ and a finite subcover of $\bigcup_{n \ge n_0} S_n$. Let $\{S_n\}$ be asymptotically totally bounded if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \ge n_{\varepsilon}} S_n$ has a finite ε -net. The following theorem generalizes the equivalence of relative compactness, sequential compactness and total boundedness for sets in a complete metric space.

THEOREM 2.2. (a) $\{S_n\}$ d-compact $\Leftrightarrow \{S_n\}$ asymptotically compact. (b) $\{S_n\}$ d-compact $\Leftrightarrow \{S_n\}$ asymptotically totally bounded. Proof.

1. Assume $\{S_n\}$ d-compact. Let $\overline{\bigcup S_n} \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} O_\alpha$, O_α open. By (2.9) and Theorem 2.1, $\{S_n\}^* \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} O_\alpha$ and $\{S_n\}^*$ is compact. So $\{S_n\}^* \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} O_\alpha$ for some finite $B \subset A$. By (2.8) and Theorem 2.1, $\overline{S_n} \to \{S_n\}^*$. By (2.4) and (2.9), $\overline{\bigcup_{n \geq n_0} S_n} \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} O_\alpha$ for some n_0 . Thus, $\{S_n\}$ is asymptotically compact.

2. Assume $\{S_n\}$ is not d-compact. Then $\exists x_n \in S_n, n \in N'$, such that $\{x_n\}^* = \emptyset$ and, moreover, $x_m \neq x_n$ for $m \neq n$. Then $\bigcup \{x_n\}$ is closed and $\Omega = [\bigcup \{x_n\}]^c$ is open. For each $n \in N' \exists \Omega_n$ open with $x_n \in \Omega_n$ and $x_m \notin \Omega_n$ for $m \neq n$. Now $\bigcup S_n \subset (\bigcup \Omega_n) \cup \Omega = X$. There is no finite subcover of $\bigcup_{n \ge n_0} S_n$ for any n_0 . So $\{S_n\}$ is not asymptotically compact. This proves part (a) of the theorem.

3. Assume $\{S_n\}$ d-compact. By Theorem 2.1, $\{S_n\}^*$ is compact, hence totally bounded: $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists$ a finite set $C_{\epsilon} \subset X$ such that $\{S_n\}^* \subset \Omega_{\epsilon}(C_{\epsilon})$. By Theorem 2.1, $S_n \to \{S_n\}^*$ and $S_n \subset \Omega_{\epsilon}(\{S_n\}^*) \subset \Omega_{2\epsilon}(C_{\epsilon})$ for *n* large. So $\{S_n\}$ is asymptotically totally bounded.

4. Assume $\{S_n\}$ asymptotically totally bounded. For each $m = 1, 2, ..., \exists$ a finite set $C_m \subset X$ and $n_m \in N$ such that $\bigcup_{n \ge n_m} S_n \subset \Omega_{2^{-m}}(C_m)$. Let $x_n \in S_n$ for $n \in N'$. Then

 $\begin{array}{l} \exists \ N_1 \subset N' \ \text{and} \ y_1 \in C_1 \ \text{such that} \ x_n \in \Omega_{2^{-1}}(y_1) \quad \forall \ n \in N_1, \\ \exists \ N_2 \subset N_1 \ \text{and} \ y_2 \in C_2 \ \text{such that} \ x_n \in \Omega_{2^{-2}}(y_2) \quad \forall \ n \in N_2, \end{array}$

and so on. Choose $n_1 \in N_1$ and $n_1 < n_2 \in N_2$, etc. Then $x_{n_i} \in \Omega_{2^{-i}}(y_i)$ with $y_i \in C_i$. Hence, $||x_{n_i} - x_{n_j}|| < 1/2^{i-1}$ for i < j, so $\{x_{n_i}\}$ is Cauchy. Since X is complete, $x_{n_i} \to x$ for some $x \in X$. Therefore, $\{S_n\}$ is d-compact. This proves part (b) of the theorem.

3. Dimension and codimension. Let E, E_n and F be closed subspaces of X. Let

(3.1)
$$U = \{ x \in X : ||x|| = 1 \},$$

the unit sphere in X. Recall that

(3.2) $\dim F < \infty \Leftrightarrow F \cap U \text{ compact.}$

LEMMA 3.1. Assume $\{E_n\}^* \subset E$ and either $\{E_n \cap U\}$ is d-compact or dim $F < \infty$. Then

$$E \cap F = \{0\} \Rightarrow E_n \cap F = \{0\} \quad \forall \text{ large.}$$

Proof. In either case, $\{E_n \cap F \cap U\}$ is d-compact and $\{E_n \cap F \cap U\}^* \subset E \cap F \cap U = \emptyset$.

By (2.5),

 $E_n \cap F \cap U = \emptyset \quad \forall n \text{ large.}$

Hence,

 $E_n \cap F = \{0\} \quad \forall n \text{ large.}$

THEOREM 3.2. Assume $\{E_n \cap U\}$ d-compact, $\{E_n\}^* \subset E$, and dim $E < \infty$. Then dim $E_n \leq \dim E \forall n$ large.

Proof. Since dim $E < \infty$, there is a closed subspace F such that $X = E \oplus F$. Thus, $E \cap F = \{0\}$. By Lemma 3.1, $E_n \cap F = \{0\} \forall n$ large. Hence, dim $E_n \le \text{codim } F = \text{dim } E \forall n$ large.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $\{E_n\}^* \subset E$.

- (a) $\operatorname{codim} E < \infty \Rightarrow \operatorname{codim} E_n \ge \operatorname{codim} E \quad \forall \ n \ large.$
- (b) $\operatorname{codim} E = \infty \Rightarrow \operatorname{codim} E_n \to \infty \quad as \ n \to \infty.$

Proof. Let dim $F < \infty$ and $E \cap F = \{0\}$. By Lemma 3.1, $E_n \cap F = \{0\} \forall n$ large. Therefore,

 $\operatorname{codim} E \ge m \Rightarrow \operatorname{codim} E_n \ge m \quad \forall n \text{ large.}$

This implies both (a) and (b).

4. Operator fundamentals. We record for later use some basic facts about bounded linear operators. Let N(A) and R(A) denote the null space and range of $A \in L(X, Y)$. Recall $||A|| = \sup\{||Ax||: x \in U\}$.

- $(4.1) \exists A^{-1} \Leftrightarrow N(A) = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow 0 \notin AU.$
- (4.2) $\exists A^{-1}$ bounded $\Leftrightarrow 0 \notin \overline{AU}$.
- (4.3) AU closed, $\exists A^{-1} \Rightarrow A^{-1}$ bounded.

(4.4) Let $\exists A^{-1}$. Then A^{-1} bounded $\Leftrightarrow R(A)$ closed.

LEMMA 4.1. Assume dim $N(A) < \infty$. Then there is a closed subspace $F \subset X$ such that $X = N(A) \oplus F$. Let $A_F = A|_F$. Then $\exists A_F^{-1}$, $R(A_F) = R(A)$, and

$$R(A)$$
 is closed $\Leftrightarrow A_F^{-1}$ is bounded.

Let P and Q be the complementary projections in L(X) with ranges R(P) = N(A) and R(Q) = F. Then P + Q = I, AP = 0, and $A = AQ = A_FQ$.

The *index* of A is given by

$$\operatorname{ind}(A) = \dim N(A) - \operatorname{codim} R(A)$$

when the right member is well defined. Thus, $-\infty \leq ind(A) \leq +\infty$.

LEMMA 4.2 [9, 11]. Let $A = A_1 + A_2$ with $R(A_1)$ closed, $\exists ind(A_1)$, and dim $R(A_2) < \infty$. Then R(A) is closed and $ind(A) = ind(A_1)$.

5. Compact operators. Two equivalent definitions of a compact operator $K \in L(X)$ are given.

K compact: S bounded $\Rightarrow \overline{KS}$ compact, $\{x_n\}$ bounded $\Rightarrow \{Kx_n\}$ d-compact.

For example, if dim $R(K) < \infty$ then K is compact. Some well-known properties of compact operators are

(5.1) dim $N(I - K) < \infty$, R(I - K) closed, and the extended Fredholm alternative:

(5.2) $R(I-K) = X \Leftrightarrow \exists (I-K)^{-1} \Rightarrow (I-K)^{-1} \in L(X),$

(5.3) ind(I - K) = 0.

6. Regular operators. Three equivalent definitions of a regular operator $A \in L(X, Y)$ are given.

A regular: S bounded, \overline{AS} compact $\Rightarrow \overline{S}$ compact, $\{x_n\}$ bounded, $\{Ax_n\}$ d-compact $\Rightarrow \{x_n\}$ d-compact, $\{x_n\}$ bounded, $Ax_n \rightarrow y \Rightarrow \{x_n\}^* \neq \emptyset$.

Examples of regular operators are

(6.1) $\exists A^{-1}$ bounded $\Rightarrow A$ regular,

(6.2) $K \in L(X)$, K compact $\Rightarrow I - K$ regular.

From the definition of a regular operator, restrictions and products of regular operators are regular, and

(6.3) A regular, $\{x_n\}$ bounded, $Ax_n \to y \Rightarrow Ax = y \forall x \in \{x_n\}^*$,

(6.4) A regular, S closed bounded \Rightarrow AS closed.

Thus, A regular \Rightarrow AU closed. By (4.3), (4.4), and (6.1),

(6.5) whenever $\exists A^{-1}$,

A regular $\Leftrightarrow A^{-1}$ bounded $\Leftrightarrow R(A)$ closed.

Тнеокем 6.1 (Wolf [**13**]).

(6.6) $A \text{ regular} \Leftrightarrow \dim N(A) < \infty, R(A) \text{ closed}.$

Proof. Let $S = U \cap N(A)$ in the definition of A regular. By (3.2), A regular $\Rightarrow \dim N(A) < \infty$. Now assume dim $N(A) < \infty$. Refer to Lemma 4.1. Since dim $R(P) < \infty$, P is compact. By (6.2), Q = I - P is regular. Since $A = A_F Q$, and restrictions and products of regular operators are regular, A regular $\Rightarrow A_F$ regular. By (6.5), A_F regular $\Rightarrow R(A_F)$ closed. Since $R(A_F) = R(A)$,

A regular $\Leftrightarrow A_F$ regular $\Leftrightarrow R(A_F)$ closed $\Leftrightarrow R(A)$ closed,

when dim $N(A) < \infty$. The theorem follows.

In other terminology, A is regular iff A is a semi-Fredholm operator with $-\infty \leq ind(A) < \infty$.

REMARK. Regular operators are related to the notion of a proper mapping. Recall that a continuous map f between topological spaces is *proper* if $f^{-1}(S)$ is compact whenever S is compact. It is not difficult to show that a linear operator A is regular iff the restriction of A to each closed, bounded set is proper. It is from this viewpoint that the previous theorem can also be attributed to Yood [14].

7. Stable convergence. We shall need a few basic facts about operator convergence. Let $A, A_n \in L(X, Y)$. Denote pointwise convergence on X by $A_n \to A$. If $A_n \to A$ then $\{A_n\}$ is bounded uniformly and there is continuous convergence: $x_n \to x \Rightarrow A_n x_n \to Ax$. Moreover,

 $(7.1) \quad A_n \to A \Rightarrow \{N(A_n)\}^* \subset N(A), \qquad \{R(A_n)\}^* \supset R(A).$

The special cases with $N(A) = \{0\}$ and R(A) = Y are worth noting.

Define

 $\{A_n\}$ stable: $\exists A_n^{-1}$ bounded uniformly $\forall n$ large,

stable convergence
$$A_n \xrightarrow{s} A$$
:

$$A_n \to A, \{A_n\}$$
 stable, $R(A_n) = Y \forall n$ large.

From (4.4)

(7.2)
$$\{A_n\}$$
 stable $\Rightarrow R(A_n)$ closed $\forall n \text{ large.}$

By analogy with (4.2),

(7.3) $\{A_n\}$ stable $\Leftrightarrow 0 \notin \{A_nU\}^*$.

Since $A_n \to A$ implies $\overline{AU} \subset \{A_nU\}^*$,

(7.4)
$$A_n \xrightarrow{s} A \Rightarrow \exists A^{-1} \text{ bounded}, R(A) \text{ closed}.$$

Since $A_n^{-1} - A^{-1} = A_n^{-1}(A - A_n)A^{-1}$ when $A^{-1}, A_n^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$, $A_n \xrightarrow{s} A, R(A) = Y, Ax = y, A_n x_n = y$

(7.5)
$$\Rightarrow A_n^{-1} \to A^{-1}, \|x_n - x\| \le \|A_n^{-1}\| \|A_n x - Ax\| \to 0.$$

For this error bound to be practical, estimates of $||A_n^{-1}||$ and $||A_nx - Ax||$ are needed. Another limitation of (7.5) is the need to assume R(A) = Y. We have no Fredholm alternative in this generality.

8. Regular operator approximation. Let $A, A_n \in L(X, Y)$. Basic properties, the first in two equivalent forms, are

 $\{A_n\} asymptotically regular:$ $\{x_n\} bounded, \{A_n x_n\} d-compact \Rightarrow \{x_n\} d-compact,$ $\{x_n\} bounded, A_n x_n \rightarrow y \Rightarrow \{x_n\}^* \neq \emptyset,$

regular convergence $A_n \xrightarrow{r} A$:

 $A_n \rightarrow A, \{A_n\}$ asymptotically regular.

Examples of regular convergence will be given later. Straightforward reasoning yields

(8.1)
$$A_n \xrightarrow{r} A \Rightarrow A$$
 regular,

(8.2)
$$A_n \xrightarrow{r} A, \{x_n\} \text{ bounded}, A_n x_n \to y$$
$$\Rightarrow y \in R(A), Ax = y \quad \forall x \in \{x_n\}^*.$$

(8.3)
$$A_n \xrightarrow{r} A$$
, S closed bounded $\Rightarrow \{A_nS\}^* = AS$.

Thus, $A_n \xrightarrow{r} A \Rightarrow \{A_n U\}^* = A U$. By (4.1) and (7.3), (8.4) when $A_n \xrightarrow{r} A : \exists A^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \{A_n\}$ stable.

Тнеокем 8.1 (cf. Vainikko [12]).

$$A_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{T}} A, \exists A^{-1}, R(A_n) = Y \quad \forall n \text{ large}$$

 $\Rightarrow A_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{s}} A, R(A) = Y, \text{ and } A_n^{-1} \rightarrow A^{-1}.$

Proof. By (8.4), $A_n \xrightarrow{s} A$. To show R(A) = Y, let $y \in Y$. Let $x_n = A_n^{-1}y$ $\forall n \text{ large. Since } \{A_n\} \text{ is stable, } \{x_n\} \text{ is bounded. By (8.2), } y \in R(A) \text{ and } R(A) = Y$. By (7.5), $A_n^{-1} \to A^{-1}$. The error bound from (7.5) is theoretical, since regular convergence does not yield an estimate for $||A_n^{-1}||$. Another limitation of Theorem 8.1 is the hypothesis that $R(A_n) = Y \forall n$ large.

Next, null spaces and ranges of A_n and A are compared with the aid of the set convergence introduced in §2. For other derivations of some of the results, see Grigorieff [7, 8] and Vainikko [12].

THEOREM 8.2. Let $A_n \xrightarrow{r} A$. (a) $N(A_n) \cap U \to N(A) \cap U$. (b) dim $N(A_n) \leq \dim N(A) \forall n \text{ large}$.

Proof. By (7.1), $\{N(A_n) \cap U\}^* \subset N(A) \cap U$. Since $\{A_n\}$ is asymptotically regular, $\{N(A_n) \cap U\}$ is d-compact. Theorem 2.1 yields (a). Theorems 3.2 and 6.1 imply (b).

THEOREM 8.3. Let $A_n \xrightarrow{r} A$ and $\exists A^{-1}$. (a) $\{R(A_n)\}^* = R(A)$. (b) dim $F < \infty$, $R(A) \cap F = \{0\} \Rightarrow R(A_n) \cap F = \{0\} \forall n \text{ large}$. (c) codim $R(A_n) \ge \text{codim } R(A) \forall n \text{ large if codim } R(A) < \infty$. (d) codim $R(A_n) \Rightarrow \infty \text{ if codim } R(A) = \infty$.

Proof. By (7.1), $R(A) \subseteq \{R(A_n)\}^*$. From (8.4) and (8.2), $\{R(A_n)\}^* \subseteq R(A)$. Thus, (a) holds. Lemma 3.1 gives (b).

THEOREM 8.4. Let $A_n \xrightarrow{r} A$. (a) $R(A_n)$ is closed $\forall n \text{ large}$. (b) $\operatorname{ind}(A_n) \leq \operatorname{ind}(A) \forall n \text{ large if } \operatorname{ind}(A) \geq -\infty$, (c) $\operatorname{ind}(A_n) \rightarrow -\infty$ if $\operatorname{ind}(A) = -\infty$.

Proof. By (8.1) and (6.6), A is regular and dim $N(A) < \infty$. Refer to Lemma 4.1. Now

$$A_n = A_n P + A_n Q,$$

$$A_n P \to A P = 0, \quad \dim R(P) < \infty \Rightarrow ||A_n P|| \to 0.$$

It follows easily that $A_n Q \xrightarrow{r} A$. By Theorem 8.2,

$$\dim N(A_n Q) \le \dim N(A) \quad \forall \ n \text{ large.}$$

The restrictions of A_n and A to F satisfy

$$A_{nF} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{r}} A_{F}, \quad \exists A_{F}^{-1}.$$

By (8.4), (7.2) and $R(A_{nF}) = R(A_nQ)$, $R(A_nQ)$ is closed $\forall n$ large. Now Theorem 8.3, $R(A_{nF}) = R(A_nQ)$ and $R(A_F) = R(A)$ imply

 $\operatorname{codim} R(A_n Q) \ge \operatorname{codim} R(A) \forall n \text{ large } \text{ if } \operatorname{codim} R(A) < \infty,$ $\operatorname{codim} R(A_n Q) \to \infty \quad \text{if } \operatorname{codim} R(A) = \infty.$

So Theorem 8.4 holds for $A_n Q \xrightarrow{r} A$. Lemma 4.2 with $A_n = A_n P + A_n Q$ implies $R(A_n)$ is closed and $ind(A_n) = ind(A_n Q)$. The theorem follows. By Theorems 6.1, 8.2, and 8.4:

THEOREM 8.5. $A_n \xrightarrow{r} A \Rightarrow A_n$ regular \forall n large.

Regular convergence applies to finite difference approximations for differential equations. See [3], [12]. It also applies to numerical integration approximations of integral equations. However, in the latter situation, sharper results can be obtained from special cases of regular convergence involving compact operators. This is the next topic.

9. Compact operator approximation. Let $K, K_n \in L(X)$ for $n \in N$. Key definitions, each expressed in two equivalent forms, are

> $\{K_n\} \text{ collectively compact:}$ $S \text{ bounded} \Rightarrow \overline{\bigcup K_n S} \text{ compact,}$ $\{x_n\} \text{ bounded} \Rightarrow \{K_{m_n} x_n\} \text{ d-compact} \forall \{m_n\},$ $\{K_n\} \text{ asymptotically compact:}$ $S \text{ bounded} \Rightarrow \{K_n S\} \text{ d-compact,}$ $\{x_n\} \text{ bounded} \Rightarrow \{K_n x_n\} \text{ d-compact.}$

It suffices if S is the unit ball in these definitions. Define

collectively compact convergence $K_n \xrightarrow{cc} K$:

 $K_n \rightarrow K, \{K_n\}$ collectively compact,

asymptotically compact convergence $K_n \xrightarrow{\text{ac}} K$:

 $K_n \rightarrow K, \{K_n\}$ asymptotically compact.

By (2.9) and (2.10),

(9.1) $\{K_n\}$ collectively compact

(9.2) $\Leftrightarrow \{K_n\} \text{ asymptotically compact, each } K_n \text{ compact,}$ $K_n \xrightarrow{\text{cc}} K \Leftrightarrow K_n \xrightarrow{\text{ac}} K, \text{ each } K_n \text{ compact,}$

(9.3)
$$K_n \xrightarrow{cc} K \Rightarrow K_n \xrightarrow{ac} K \Rightarrow K \text{ compact.}$$

The difference between $K_n \xrightarrow{cc} K$ and $K_n \xrightarrow{ac} K$ is illustrated by

(9.4)
$$||L_n|| \to 0 \Rightarrow L_n \stackrel{\mathrm{ac}}{\to} 0,$$

(9.5)
$$K_n \stackrel{cc}{\to} K, \quad ||L_n|| \to 0 \Rightarrow K_n + L_n \stackrel{ac}{\to} K,$$

but $L_n \xrightarrow{cc} 0$ and $K_n + L_n \xrightarrow{cc} K$ only when every L_n is compact. They are not compact if $L_n = c_n I$, $c_n \downarrow 0$, and dim $X = \infty$.

Consider equations

(9.6)
$$(I-K)x = y, \quad (I-K_n)x_n = y.$$

Examples with $K_n \xrightarrow{cc} K$ are integral equations and numerical integration approximations. See [1], [5]. An example with $K_n \xrightarrow{ac} K$ is a weakly singular integral equation and numerical approximations based on the singularity subtraction technique of Kantorovich and Krylov. The situation in (9.5) arises. See [2].

By an easy argument,

(9.7)
$$K_n \xrightarrow{cc} K \Rightarrow K_n \xrightarrow{ac} K \Rightarrow I - K_n \xrightarrow{r} I - K.$$

So Theorem 8.1 applies to solutions of (I - K)x = y and $(I - K_n)x_n = y$. However, in the present situation, sharper results are available.

THEOREM 9.1. Let
$$K_n \xrightarrow{cc} K$$
 or $K_n \xrightarrow{ac} K$.
(a) (Fredholm alternative) $\forall n \text{ large}$,
 $R(I - K_n) = X \Leftrightarrow \exists (I - K_n)^{-1}$
 $\Rightarrow (I - K_n)^{-1} \in L(X)$, dim $N(I - K_n) = \operatorname{codim} R(I - K_n) < \infty$.
(b) $\|(K_n - K)K\| \to 0$, $\|(K_n - K)K_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
(c) $\exists (I - K)^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \exists (I - K_n)^{-1}$ uniformly bounded $\forall n \text{ large}$,
in which case $(I - K_n)^{-1} \to (I - K)^{-1}$ on X and there are practical error
bounds.

Theorem 9.1 is presented under the collectively compact hypothesis in [1]. While many of the proofs from the classical compact operator theory [11] apply under the weaker hypothesis, a different approach will be taken in the sequel which will handle both the collectively and asymptotically compact cases with equal efficiency. The regular convergence in (9.7) will prove useful in this regard.

References

- [1] P. M. Anselone, *Collectively Compact Operator Approximation Theory*, Prentice-Hall, 1971.
- [2] ____, Singularity subtraction in the numerical solution of integral equations, J. Austral. Math. Soc., (Series B) 22 (1981), 408-418.
- [3] P. M. Anselone and R. Ansorge, *Compactness principles in nonlinear operator* approximation theory, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optim., 1 (6), (1979), 598-618.
- [4] ____, A unified framework for the discretization of nonlinear operator equations, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optim., 4 (1), (1981), 61–99.
- [5] K. E. Atkinson, A survey of numerical methods for the solution of Fredholm integral equations of the second kind, SIAM Publications, 1976.
- [6] F. Chatelin, The spectral approximation of linear operators with applications to the computation of eigenelements of differential and integral operators, SIAM Review, 23 (1981), 495–522.
- [7] R. D. Grigorieff, Zur Theorie Linearer approximations regulärer Operatoren I, Math. Nachr., 55 (1973), 233–249.
- [8] ____, Zur Theorie Linearer approximations regulärer Operatoren II, Math. Nachr., 55 (1973), 251–263.
- [9] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, 2nd Ed., Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [10] F. Stummel, Discrete convergence of mappings, Proc. Conf. Numer. Anal., Dublin, 1972, pp. 285–310, Academic Press, 1973.
- [11] A. E. Taylor and D. C. Lay, Introduction to Functional Analysis, Wiley, 1980.
- [12] G. Vainikko, Funktionalanalysis der Diskretisierungsmethoden, Teubner, Leipzig, 1976.
- [13] R. Wolf, Über lineare approximationsreguläre Operatoren, Math. Nachr., 59 (1974), 325–341.
- [14] B. Yood, Properties of linear transformations preserved under addition of a completely continuous transformation, Duke Math. J., 18 (1951), 599–612.

Received January 31, 1984.

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY CORVALLIS, OR 97331

AND

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Ames, IA 50011

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN (1906–1982)

F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$66.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1985 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 120, No. 2 October, 1985

Philip Marshall Anselone and Mike Treuden, Regular operator
approximation theory
Giuseppe Baccella, Semiprime ℵ-QF3 rings
Earl Robert Berkson and Thomas Alastair Gillespie, The generalized M.
Riesz theorem and transference
Joachim Boidol, A Galois-correspondence for general locally compact
groups
Joseph Eugene D'Atri, Josef Dorfmeister and Yan Da Zhao, The isotropy
representation for homogeneous Siegel domains
C. Debiève, On Banach spaces having a Radon-Nikodým dual 327
Michael Aaron Freedman, Existence of strong solutions to singular
nonlinear evolution equations
Francisco Jose Freniche, Grothendieck locally convex spaces of continuous
vector valued functions
Hans-Peter Künzi and Peter Fletcher, Extension properties induced by
complete quasi-uniformities
Takaŝi Kusano, Charles Andrew Swanson and Hiroyuki Usami, Pairs of
positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations in exterior domains385
Angel Rafael Larotonda and Ignacio Zalduendo, Spectral sets as Banach
manifolds
J. Martínez-Maurica and C. Pérez García, A new approach to the
Kreĭn-Milman theorem
Christian Pommerenke, On the boundary continuity of conformal maps423
M. V. Subba Rao, Some Rogers-Ramanujan type partition theorems
Stephen Edwin Wilson, Bicontactual regular maps 437
Jaap C. S. P. van der Woude, Characterizations of (H)PI extensions 453
Kichoon Yang, Deformation of submanifolds of real projective space469
Subhashis Nag, Errata: "On the holomorphy of maps from a complex to a
real manifold"