A NEW APPROACH TO THE KREĬN-MILMAN THEOREM

J. Martínez-Maurica and C. Pérez García

A NEW APPROACH TO THE
KREIN-MILMAN THEOREM

J. Martinez Maurica and C. Perez Garcia

In this paper we give a new definition of extreme points for which
we get a generalization of the Krein-Milman theorem within the general
context of locally convex spaces over valued fields.

Some generalizations of the theorem of Krein-Milman were developed
in the seventies in order to include other types of topological vector spaces
apart from the usual ones (e.g. Kalton's papers within the context of
locally p-convex spaces). However, A. F. Monna says in 1974 that no way
is known to attack problems such as the Krein-Milman theorem in
ultrametric analysis (i.e. when the real or complex field is substituted for
another valued field).

In order to give a theorem of Krein-Milman which includes the case
of locally p-convex spaces ($p \in (0,1]$) and the ultrametric case, we
propose a new definition of extreme points. The latter definition agrees
with the usual one in case the ground field is R or C and it allows us to
give a non-archimedean Krein-Milman theorem.

We are going to consider vector spaces E over any complete non-triv-
ially valued field K. For $K = R$, C, and $p \in (0,1]$ we say that $A \subset E$ is
p-convex if $\lambda A + \mu A \subset A$ for all $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ such that $\lambda^p + \mu^p = 1$. For a
non-archimedean valued field K two different kinds of convex sets will be
considered: $A \subset E$ is said to be M-convex (convex à la Monna) if
$\lambda A + \mu A + \nu A \subset A$ for all $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in K$ such that $|\lambda|, |\mu|, |\nu| \leq 1$ and
$\lambda + \mu + \nu = 1$; and for $a \in E$, the set $A \subset E$ is said to be a-convex if A is
M-convex and $a \in A$. More details over these kinds of convex sets we will
use in the sequel are in [3] (for p-convex sets) and [5] (for the non-archi-
medean case).

In the sequel we will use the term "convex" to indicate any of the
different kinds of convex sets; also $E_c(A)$ stands for the corresponding
convex hull of A.

1. Semiconvexity. Extreme points. The following definition is very
close to the weak-convexity of Monna ([5] p. 28).

DEFINITION 1. Let E be a vector space over a valued field K. A subset A of E is said to be semiconvex if $\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)A \subset A$ for every λ of K satisfying $|\lambda| < 1$.

Notice that if $K = R, C$ every semiconvex set is 1-convex and if K is non-archimedean every M-convex set and every a-convex set are semiconvex.

DEFINITION 2. Let E be a vector space over a valued field K and A a subset of E. A non-empty part S of A is said to be an extreme set of A if the following properties are verified:
  (i) S is semiconvex.
  (ii) If $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A$ and $E_c\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \cap S \neq \varnothing$, then there exists an index $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $x_i \in S$.

It is easy to verify that if A is convex, then the property (ii) is equivalent to $A - S$ is convex.

DEFINITION 3. Let E be a vector space over K and A a subset of E. A point $x \in A$ is said to be an extreme point of A if it belongs to some minimal element of

$$E_A = \{ S \subset A | S \text{ is an extreme set of } A \}.$$

Next, we prove that if $K = R, C$ this definition gives the same extreme points as the usual ones for every p-convex compact set A of a separated locally p-convex space. For that, we denote by $E_p(A)$ the set of p-extreme points of A according to the definition of Kalton [4]. (Notice that this definition is slightly different from the corresponding definition of Jarchow [3], however they agree for closed p-convex sets). Also $F_p(A)$ indicates the set of extreme points of A corresponding to our Definition 3 for p-convex sets.

THEOREM 1. *Let E be a Hausdorff locally p-convex space over $K = R, C$ and let $p \in (0, 1]$. If A is a non-empty compact p-convex set of E, then:*
  (1) *Every minimal element of E_A consists of one point.*
  (2) $F_p(A) = E_p(A)$.

Proof. (1) Let S be a minimal element of E_A and suppose x, y are different points of S. As $\overline{S}$ is semiconvex and closed, $\lambda \overline{S} + (1 - \lambda)\overline{S} \subset \overline{S}$ for every $\lambda \in K$ such that $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Consequently $2y - x \in \overline{S}$; and also for $n = 1, 2 \ldots$ $z_n = (n + 1)y - nx \in \overline{S}$. So the sequence $(z_n) \subset \overline{S}$ verifies $\lim(z_n/n) = y - x \neq 0$ and $\overline{S}$ is not bounded.

(2) This follows from (1) and the fact that $x \in E_p(A)$ if and only if $A - \{x\}$ is p-convex (see [2] p. 96 for $p = 1$ and [4] for any p).

2. Non-Archimedean extreme points. Throughout the rest of the paper, E will indicate a topological vector space over a field K, endowed with a non-trivial non-archimedean valuation. We are going to restrict ourselves to the case of K local (i.e. locally compact) (otherwise there do not exist any compact convex set with more than one point [5] p. 40).

Theorems in this section are proved for 0-convex sets; however with minor changes they remain true for the other kinds of convexities over E.

THEOREM 2. *Let E be a topological vector space over K and assume that E' separates points of E. Then, every convex and compact subset A of E has extreme points.*

Before proving the theorem we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. *Let E be a topological vector space over K and let A, B be convex sets in E with $B \subset A$. If the interior of B in A is non-empty, then B is clopen in A.*

Proof. Take $x_0 \in B$ such that B is a neighborhood of x_0 in A. Now, if $x \in B$, then $B = B - x_0 + x$; hence B is a neighborhood of x in A and B is open in A. Also, if $y \in A - B$, then $B \cap (y + B) = \varnothing$ and consequently $A - B$ is open in A.

Proof (of Theorem 2). Let A be with more than one point and define
$$CE_A = \{ S \subset A | S \text{ is a closed extreme set of } A \}.$$
CE_A is non-empty and a standard application of Zorn's lemma shows that CE_A has some minimal element.

Let S_0 be one such minimal element. First we prove that $S_0 \neq A$.

For that, choose $f \in E'$ such that $f(A)$ is not reduced to be a single point and define
$$S_f = \left\{ s \in A| \ |f(s)| = \sup_{x \in A} |f(x)| \right\}.$$
It is easy to verify that $S_f \in CE_A$ and that $S_f \neq A$. Then, $S_0 \neq A$.

Let $S \in E_A$ such that $S \subset S_0$. Applying Lemma 1 to A and $A - S$, we deduce that S is closed in E. Thus $S_0 = S$ and S_0 is a minimal element of E_A.

COROLLARY 1. *Under the assumptions of the Theorem 2, every closed extreme subset of A contains extreme points of A.*

If $A \subset E$ we will denote by $\text{Ext}(A)$ the set of extreme points of A. In the following theorem we use the terminology of [1].

THEOREM 3 (*Non-archimedean Krein-Milman theorem*). *Let E be a Hausdorff locally convex space over K. If A is a non-empty convex compact set of E, then $A = \overline{E}_c(\text{Ext}(A))$.*

Proof. For $x_0 \in A - \overline{E}_c(\text{Ext}(A))$, let H be a closed hyperplane which separates x_0 and $\overline{E}_c(\text{Ext}(A))$, and let $f(x) = \alpha$ an equation of H ($f \in E'$). As $S_f \in CE_A$ (see the preceding theorem), we can choose $x \in \text{Ext}(A) \cap S_f$. Also, $\overline{E}_c(\text{Ext}(A))$ is in one side of H, so $|f(y)| < |\alpha|$ for every $y \in \overline{E}_c(\text{Ext}(A))$. It follows that $|f(a)| \leq |f(x)| < |\alpha|$ for every $a \in A$.

Thus, A and $\overline{E}_c(\text{Ext}(A))$ are in the same side of H and, therefore, $x_0 \notin A$.

The main difference between the real or complex case and the non-archimedean case is contained in the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. *Let E be a Hausdorff topological vector space over K and let A be a convex set in E with more than one point. Then, every extreme set of A cannot be reduced to a single point.*

Proof. Suppose A to be absorbing (otherwise replace E by the linear hull of A).

First suppose that the interior of A is non-empty (i.e. A is clopen). If S is an extreme set of A with only one point, then $A - S$ is an open convex set in A. Thus (Lemma 1) $A - S$ is clopen in A and, consequently, S is open.

Now assume A to be bounded and let τ_p be the topology on E defined by the Minkowski's functional of A. If τ is the original topology in E we have $\tau \leq \tau_p$ and the interior of A with respect to τ_p is non-empty. Now apply the first result of this proof.

Finally, let A be any set in the hypotheses of the theorem. If $S = \{x\}$ is an extreme set of A, take $y \in A - \{0\}$ such that $x \in \{\lambda y \mid |\lambda| \leq 1\} = A_y$. Therefore, S ought to be an extreme set of the bounded convex set A_y.

3. **An expression of the extreme points.** First we are going to consider the case of 0-convex compact sets. Such a subset A of a Hausdorff topological vector space E can be expressed in the following way:

$$(1) \qquad A = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} x_i e_i \;\middle|\; |x_i| \leq 1 \right\}$$

where $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is a topologically independent family of elements of A. The expression of each element of A as a sum $\Sigma_{i \in I} x_i e_i$ is unique, and the convergence of the sums is in the sense of the Cauchy's filter ([1] p. 152). If $x = \Sigma_{i \in I} x_i e_i$ we put $\langle x, e_i \rangle = x_i$.

We denote $\mathrm{Ext}_0(A)$ the set of the extreme points of A for the 0-convexity. Also, p_A denotes the Minkowski's functional of A in the linear hull of A.

THEOREM 5. *Let E be a Hausdorff topological vector space over K and let A be a 0-convex compact set of E. If $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of points of A satisfying (1), then the following properties for a point $x \in A$ are equivalent:*
  (i) $x \in \mathrm{Ext}_0(A)$.
  (ii) $\sup_{i \in I} |\langle x, e_i \rangle| = 1$.
  (iii) *There exists $i_0 \in I$ such that* $|\langle x, e_{i_0} \rangle| = 1$.
  (iv) $p_A(x) = 1$.

Proof. For $i \in I$, consider

$$D_i = \left\{ x \in A \mid |\langle x, e_i \rangle| = 1 \right\}.$$

D_i is a 0-extreme set of A. Now we wish to prove that D_i is minimal. Otherwise, consider T to be a proper subset of D_i which is a 0-extreme set of A. Take $x \in D_i - T$ and define $y \in A$ in the way $\langle y, e_j \rangle = x_j$ for $j \neq i$ and $\langle y, e_i \rangle = 0$. Obviously $y \in A - T$, and being $A - T$ 0-convex, then $\langle x, e_i \rangle^{-1}(x - y) = e_i \in A - T$. Pick $t \in T$ and define $z \in A - T$ in the way $\langle z, e_j \rangle = t_j$ for $j \neq i$ and $\langle z, e_i \rangle = 0$. Finally, we have $t = \langle t, e_i \rangle e_i + z$ which contradicts the assumption that $A - T$ is 0-convex. This proves (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

The equivalence (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) is obvious because the valuation over K is discrete.

For the equivalence (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv), it is straightforward to verify that for a point $x \in A$, $p_A(x) = \sup_{i \in I} |\langle x, e_i \rangle|$.

For (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), consider an $x \in A$ such that $\sup_{i \in I} |\langle x, e_i \rangle| < 1$. Choose $\mu \in K$ with $|\mu| > 1$ such that $\mu x \in A$. If S is a proper 0-extreme set of A which contains x, then $\mu x \in S$. Also, $0 = \lambda \mu x + (1 - \lambda)x \in S$ (with $\lambda = -1/(\mu - 1)$) which contradicts that $A - S$ is 0-convex. Hence, $x \notin \mathrm{Ext}_0(A)$.

REMARKS. (1) The latter theorem holds for a compact a-convex set A. In fact, under the conditions of the Theorem 5, the following properties are equivalent: (i) $x \in \mathrm{Ext}_a(A)$ (ii) $\sup_{i \in I} |\langle x - a, e_i \rangle| = 1$ (iii) There exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $|\langle x - a, e_{i_0} \rangle| = 1$ (iv) $p_{A-a}(x - a) = 1$ where

$\text{Ext}_a(A)$ indicates the set of a-extreme points of A and $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies (1) for the 0-convex set $A - a$.

(2) However, if we consider M-convex sets, the result we get is trivial. If we put $\text{Ext}_M(A)$ to indicate the set of M-extreme points of an M-convex set A, and with the assumptions on E of Theorem 5 we have:

COROLLARY 2. $\text{Ext}_M(A) = A$.

Proof. It follows from the fact that $\{ x \in A | \, |\langle x - a, e_i \rangle| = 1 \}$ is a minimal element of E_A (for this convexity) for all $a \in A$, $i \in I$.

(3) Our Theorem 5 is quite similar to the Theorem 2 of Kalton's paper [4], which establishes that every point of a compact p-convex $(0 < p < 1)$ subset A of a Hausdorff topological vector space E can be expressed in the way $x = \sum a_n x_n$ with $a_n \geq 0$, $\sum a_n^p = 1$ and (x_n) being a sequence of distinct p-extreme points of A.

REFERENCES

[1]   J. P. Carpentier, *Seminormes et ensembles convexes dans un espace vectoriel sur un corps valué ultramétrique*, Séminaire Choquet, (1964-1965), 91–158.
[2]   G. Choquet, *Lectures on Analysis*. Vol. II, W. A. Benjamin (1969).
[3]   M. Jarchow, *Locally convex spaces*, Teubner, Stuttgart (1981).
[4]   N. J. Kalton, *Compact p-convex sets*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2). 28. no. 111, (1977), 301–308.
[5]   A. F. Monna, *Analyse non-archimedienne*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1970).
[6]   _____, *Rapport sur la théorie des espaces linéaires topologiques sur un corps valué non-archimedien*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mémoire, No. 39–40, (1974), 255–278.

SCIENTIFIC UNIVERSITY OF SANTANDER
SANTANDER, SPAIN

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 120, No. 2　　　October, 1985