

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A PROBLEM OF DOUGLAS AND RUDIN ON FACTORIZATION

JEAN BOURGAIN

A PROBLEM OF DOUGLAS AND RUDIN ON FACTORIZATION

J. BOURGAIN

If f is a bounded measurable function on the circle π , then $\int_{\pi} \log |f| dm > -\infty$ expresses the necessary and sufficient condition on $f \neq 0$ to be of the form $f = g \cdot \bar{h}$ where $g, h \in H^{\infty}$. This question was proposed by Douglas and Rudin in [1], where they approximate unimodular functions on π by quotients of Blaschke products.

Introduction. π stands for the circle group and m its normalized invariant measure. H^{∞} will be considered as a (closed) subalgebra of $L^{\infty} = L^{\infty}(\pi)$. In [2], Douglas and Rudin consider the set \tilde{Q} of these functions in L^{∞} which are of the form $\phi \bar{\psi}$ with $\phi, \psi \in H^{\infty}$ -functions. They noticed that then, by Jensen's inequality if $f = \phi \bar{\psi} \neq 0$

$$\int_{\pi} \log |f| dm = \int_{\pi} (\log |\phi| + \log |\psi|) dm > -\infty$$

has to be true and asked whether this property was also sufficient. If $\log |f|$ in $L^1(\pi)$, we may define the outer function

$$h(z) = \exp \left\{ \int \log |f(e^{i\theta})| \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} m(d\theta) \right\}$$

for which $|h(z)| = |f(\theta)|$ if $z = e^{i\theta}$ a.e. Thus $h^{-1}(e^{i\theta})f(\theta)$ is an unimodular function on π and can be written as e^{ia} where a in $L^{\infty}(\pi)$ takes values in $[-\pi, \pi[$.

PROPOSITION. *There are Blaschke products B_1, B_2 such that*

$$\left\| \mathcal{H} \left[a - \text{Arg} \frac{B_1}{B_2} \right] \right\|_{\infty} < c$$

where \mathcal{H} denotes the Hilbert-transform and c is numerical. We consider Arg as $[-\pi, \pi[$ valued.

If $b = a - \text{Arg} B_1/B_2$, we obtain the decomposition

$$e^{ia} = B_1 e^{iF} \overline{B_2 e^{-iF}}$$

taking F in H^{∞} with $b = 2 \text{Re } F$. This will imply the result stated in the abstract.

Notice that as corollary $L^\infty = H^\infty \overline{H^\infty} + \mathbf{C}$ and f in $L^1(\pi)$ belongs to $H^2 \overline{H^2}$ iff $\log|f|$ in L^1 (or $f = 0$).

To verify the first assertion, let $f \in L^\infty$ and define $g_\theta = f + e^{i\theta}$. Then, applying Jensen's inequality in the θ -variable

$$\int \left\{ \int \log|g_\theta| \right\} m(d\theta) = \int \int \log|f(\psi) e^{-i\theta} + 1| m(d\theta) m(d\psi) \geq 0.$$

Hence $\int \log|g_\theta| m(d\theta) \geq 0$, $g_\theta \in H^\infty \overline{H^\infty}$ for some θ .

The second property is seen by writing

$$f = fe^{-a} F \overline{F}$$

where $a = \log|f|$ is in L^1 , $F = \exp \frac{1}{2}(a + i\mathcal{H}[a])$ is the boundary value of an H^2 -function. Finally fe^{-a} is again unimodular and hence in $H^\infty \overline{H^\infty}$.

Proof of Proposition. The argument is constructive. It will be based on L^1 -approximation of L^∞_R functions by elements of $\text{Re } H^\infty$ and the constructive proof given by P. Jones of the Douglas-Rudin approximation theorem (see [3]).

LEMMA 1. *If a in $L^\infty_R(\pi)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is b in $L^\infty_R(\pi)$ satisfying $\|a - b\|_1 < \varepsilon \|a\|_\infty$ and $\|b\|_\infty + \|\mathcal{H}[b]\|_\infty < c_1 \log(1/\varepsilon) \|a\|_\infty$.*

Proof. We may clearly suppose $\|a\|_\infty \leq 1$. Define the BMOA function $A = a + i\mathcal{H}[a]$ and the outer function τ

$$\tau(z) = \exp \left\{ \int_\pi \log \alpha(\theta) \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} m(d\theta) \right\} \quad \text{where } \alpha^{-1} = \max(1, \delta|A|).$$

Since $\tau = \alpha \exp i\mathcal{H}[\log \alpha]$ on π , it follows that for $|z| = 1$

$$|\tau A| = \alpha |A| \leq \delta^{-1}$$

and hence $b = \text{Re } \tau A$ satisfies $\|b\|_\infty + \|\mathcal{H}[b]\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2} \delta^{-1}$. Also

$$|a - b| \leq |1 - \text{Re } \tau| |a| + |\text{Im } \tau| |A|$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - b\|_2 &\leq \|1 - \alpha \cos \mathcal{H}[\log \alpha]\|_2 + \delta^{-1} \|\sin \mathcal{H}[\log \alpha]\|_2 \\ &\leq \|1 - \alpha\|_2 + 2\delta^{-1} \|\mathcal{H}[\log \alpha]\|_2 \\ &\leq m[|A| > \delta^{-1}]^{1/2} + 2\delta^{-1} \left\{ \int_{|A| > \delta^{-1}} (\log \delta|A|)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $m[|A| > \lambda] \leq c^{-1} e^{-c\lambda}$ for numerical $c > 0$, the latter quantity is dominated by $c_2 e^{-c/3\delta}$. Taking $\delta \sim (\log 1/\varepsilon)^{-1}$, the lemma follows.

The next fact is a consequence of the proof of Th. 5.1 in [2].

LEMMA 2. *Assume a in $L^\infty(\pi)$ taking values in $[-\pi, \pi[$ and $a = 0$ outside some measurable set U of π . Then, for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there are Blaschke products B_1, B_2 fulfilling*

$$\left\| a - \text{Arg} \frac{B_1}{B_2} \right\|_\infty < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{B_1(z)B_2(z)=0} (1 - |z|) < \frac{c_2}{\varepsilon} m(U).$$

The method consists in covering U by a countable family of disjoint intervals which union has approximately the same measure as U and then starting consecutive approximations in L^1 -norm using Lemma 5.5 of [2]. The construction yields moreover that the zeros of B_1, B_2 form an interpolating sequence, which will, however, not be used here.

Proof of the Proposition. We make an iteration construction which is again in the spirit of the proof of Theorem 5.1 of [2]. Starting from a in $L^\infty(\pi)$ with values in $[-\pi, \pi[$, a first application of Lemma 2 permits to replace a by a function of small L^∞ -norm. We show now that if a in $L^\infty_R(\pi)$ satisfies $\|a\|_\infty < \gamma$ ($\gamma > 0$ sufficiently small), there is a decomposition

$$a = b + \text{Arg} \frac{B_1}{B_2} + a_1 \quad (a_1, b \text{ real})$$

where

- (i) $\|b\|_\infty + \|\mathcal{H}[b]\|_\infty \leq c_3(\log 1/\gamma)\gamma$
- (ii) $\sum_{B_1(z)B_2(z)=0} (1 - |z|) \leq c_3\gamma$
- (iii) $\|a_1\|_\infty \leq 2\gamma^2$.

Iteration provides then the required Blaschke products B'_1, B'_2 in the form $B'_j = \prod_s B_j^{(s)}$ ($j = 1, 2$), where (ii) bounds $\sum_{B'_j(z)=0} (1 - |z|)$ by the sum of an obviously converging series. The difference

$$a - \text{Arg} \frac{B'_1}{B'_2} = \sum_{s=0}^\infty \left(a_s - \text{Arg} \frac{B_1^{(s)}}{B_2^{(s)}} - a_{s+1} \right) = \sum b_s$$

then has a bounded Hilbert transform in view of (i).

To prove the decomposition stated above, apply first Lemma 1 with $\varepsilon = \gamma^4$ to obtain b satisfying

$$\|b\|_\infty + \|\mathcal{H}[b]\|_\infty < 4c_1 \left(\log \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \|a - b\|_1 < \gamma^5.$$

For γ small, the function $a - b$ is still $]-\pi, \pi[$ valued. Moreover, the set $U = [|a - b| > \gamma^2]$ has measure less than γ^3 . Application of Lemma 2 to the function $f = (a - b)\chi_U$ gives Blaschke products B_1 and B_2 so that

$$\left\| f - \text{Arg} \frac{B_1}{B_2} \right\|_{\infty} < \gamma^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{B_1(z)B_2(z)=0} (1 - |z|) < c_2\gamma.$$

Put $a_1 = a - b - \text{Arg}(B_1/B_2)$, then $\|a_1\|_{\infty} < 2\gamma^2$, completing the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. G. Douglas and W. Rudin, *Approximation by inner function*, Pacific J. Math., **31**, No. 2, (1969), 313–320.
- [2] J. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, 1967.
- [3] P. Jones, *Ratios of interpolating Blaschke products*, Pacific J. Math., in press.

Received April 10, 1984 and in revised form September 12, 1984.

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL
 PLEINLAAN 2, 10F
 1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HEBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906–1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Om P. Agrawal, Douglas Napier Clark and Ronald George Douglas, Invariant subspaces in the polydisk	1
Christoph Bandt and Gebreselassie Baraki, Metrically invariant measures on locally homogeneous spaces and hyperspaces	13
Marcy Mason Barge, Horseshoe maps and inverse limits	29
Russell Gene Bilyeu, Robert Richard Kallman and Paul Weldon Lewis, Rearrangements and category	41
Jean Bourgain, A problem of Douglas and Rudin on factorization	47
Hernan Cendra, A normal form and integration in finite terms for a class of elementary functions	51
Ky Fan, The angular derivative of an operator-valued analytic function	67
Gerhard Gierz, On the Dunford-Pettis property of function modules of abstract L -spaces	73
Gabriel Katz, On polynomial generators in the algebra of complex functions on a compact space	83
Ridgley Lange, Duality and asymptotic spectral decompositions	93
Anthony To-Ming Lau and Peter F. Mah, Quasinormal structures for certain spaces of operators on a Hilbert space	109
R. Daniel Mauldin, Correction: "The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions"	119
Alan Harvey Mekler and Saharon Shelah, ω -elongations and Crawley's problem	121
Alan Harvey Mekler and Saharon Shelah, The solution to Crawley's problem	133
Richard Rochberg, Deformation of uniform algebras on Riemann surfaces	135
Joseph Roitberg, On weak epimorphisms in homotopy theory	183
Jesús M. Ruiz, A remark on fields with the dense orbits property	189
Henry Wente, Counterexample to a conjecture of H. Hopf	193
David G. Wright, Rigid sets in E^n	245