

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**CORRECTION: “THE SET OF CONTINUOUS NOWHERE
DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS”**

R. DANIEL MAULDIN

THE SET OF CONTINUOUS NOWHERE DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS: A CORRECTION

R. DANIEL MAULDIN

Let M be the set of all continuous real-valued functions defined on the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$ which do not have a finite derivative anywhere. The purpose of this note is to complete the argument that M is a coanalytic set and is not a Borel set by correcting an error in the last paragraph of an earlier paper on this topic.

Let C be the space of all real-valued continuous functions defined on $[0, 1]$. We consider C to be provided with the topology of uniform convergence. Let M be the subset of C consisting of all functions which do not have a finite derivative at any point of $[0, 1]$. In [1], the author demonstrated that M forms a coanalytic subset of C . The purpose of [1] was to show that M is not a Borel set. To this end it was shown that there is a countable dense subset $Y = \{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $[0, 1]$ such that

$$D(Y) \equiv \{f \in C \mid f \text{ has a finite derivative at some point of } [0, 1] \setminus Y\}$$

forms an analytic subset of C which is not a Borel set. It is then claimed in the last paragraph of [1] that it follows that M is not a Borel set since

$$(*) \quad D(Y) = (C \setminus M) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n,$$

where $D_n = \{f \in C \mid f \text{ has a finite derivative at } y_n\}$. The argument was that since each set D_n is an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ subset of C , if M were a Borel set, then because of equation (*), $D(Y)$ would be a Borel set. However, (*) is false. I thank David Preiss and Alexander Kechris for pointing out this elementary error. We complete the argument as follows.

Let us assume Y is a subset of $(0, 1)$. (Only minor modifications are needed if 0 or 1 belong to Y .) Let $H = \{(f, \langle \varepsilon_n \rangle) \in C \times \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall n (f \text{ has a finite derivative at } y_n \text{ if and only if } \varepsilon_n = 1)\}$. It can be checked that H is a Borel set and thus, there is a Borel measurable map $h: C \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $H = \text{Graph}(h)$. Define $g: \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow C$ by setting $g(\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k 2^{-k} g_k$, where, for each k , $g_k(x) = |x - y_k|$. The continuous map g has the property that $g(\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle)$ has a finite derivative at x if and only if $x \notin \{y_k \mid \varepsilon_k = 1\}$. Let T be the continuous map of $C \times \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ into C defined by $T((f, \langle \varepsilon_n \rangle)) = f + g(\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle)$. We have $(f, h(f)) \in T^{-1}(M) \cap H$

if and only if $f \in C \setminus D(Y)$. If M were a Borel subset of C , then $C \setminus D(Y) = \text{proj}_c(T^{-1}(M) \cap H)$ would be a Borel subset of C . This contradiction establishes the theorem.

REFERENCE

- [1] R. D. Mauldin, *The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions*, Pacific J. Math., **83** (1979), 199–205.

Received April 27, 1984.

NORTH TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY
DENTON, TX 76203-5116

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HEBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906–1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Om P. Agrawal, Douglas Napier Clark and Ronald George Douglas, Invariant subspaces in the polydisk	1
Christoph Bandt and Gebreselassie Baraki, Metrically invariant measures on locally homogeneous spaces and hyperspaces	13
Marcy Mason Barge, Horseshoe maps and inverse limits	29
Russell Gene Bilyeu, Robert Richard Kallman and Paul Weldon Lewis, Rearrangements and category	41
Jean Bourgain, A problem of Douglas and Rudin on factorization	47
Hernan Cendra, A normal form and integration in finite terms for a class of elementary functions	51
Ky Fan, The angular derivative of an operator-valued analytic function	67
Gerhard Gierz, On the Dunford-Pettis property of function modules of abstract L -spaces	73
Gabriel Katz, On polynomial generators in the algebra of complex functions on a compact space	83
Ridgley Lange, Duality and asymptotic spectral decompositions	93
Anthony To-Ming Lau and Peter F. Mah, Quasinormal structures for certain spaces of operators on a Hilbert space	109
R. Daniel Mauldin, Correction: "The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions"	119
Alan Harvey Mekler and Saharon Shelah, ω -elongations and Crawley's problem	121
Alan Harvey Mekler and Saharon Shelah, The solution to Crawley's problem	133
Richard Rochberg, Deformation of uniform algebras on Riemann surfaces	135
Joseph Roitberg, On weak epimorphisms in homotopy theory	183
Jesús M. Ruiz, A remark on fields with the dense orbits property	189
Henry Wente, Counterexample to a conjecture of H. Hopf	193
David G. Wright, Rigid sets in E^n	245