

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A REMARK ON FIELDS WITH THE DENSE ORBITS
PROPERTY**

JESÚS M. RUIZ

A REMARK ON FIELDS WITH THE DENSE ORBITS PROPERTY

JESÚS M. RUIZ

Let K be a formally real field and Ω its order space. The automorphisms group of K acts on Ω , and K is called D.O.P. when all the orbits are dense in Ω . In this note the following is shown: The field of meromorphic function germs of a real irreducible analytic germ of dimension > 1 is never D.O.P.

A formally real field K has an associated order space $\Omega = \text{Spec}_R K$. The automorphisms group $\text{Aut}(K)$ of K acts over Ω in an obvious way, and the space of orbits under this action measures the homogeneity of Ω . Actually, Ω or K are called *homogeneous* if $\text{Aut}(K)$ acts transitively. There is also a weaker homogeneity condition, the so-called “dense orbits property”: K is D.O.P. if all orbits are dense in Ω (cf. [2], [4]). These notions are specially considered in the geometric case, i.e., when K is a field of functions. It turns out then that both are very strong rigidity conditions. Indeed, let V be an irreducible algebraic variety over \mathbf{R} and K its field of rational functions:

- (a) If K is homogeneous, then V is a curve, either rational or elliptic.
- (b) If $\dim V = 1$ and K is D.O.P., then V is homogeneous.
- (c) If V is of general type, then K is not D.O.P.

(These results are contained in [3], although in some slightly different form.) Thus, the open problem is to characterize D.O.P. (fields of functions of) varieties. Some partial answers are known (for instance, affine spaces are D.O.P., cf. [2]), but the general solution appears to be quite mysterious. In this small note we show that this is certainly not the case in a local context. i.e., for analytic germs. Namely, we prove:

THEOREM. *Let X_0 be an irreducible analytic germ in \mathbf{R}_0^n and K its field of real meromorphic function germs. If $\dim X_0 > 1$, K is not D.O.P.*

REMARK. If $\dim X_0 = 1$, then K is nothing but the quotient field of $\mathbf{R}\{t\}$ and it is trivially homogeneous. The interesting question in this case appears to be whether Ω is still homogeneous under the action of $\text{Aut}(A)$, A being the ring of analytic function germs in X_0 .

2. Proof of the theorem. We may clearly assume that X_0 is normal. First we need the following

(2.1) LEMMA. *Any $\psi \in \text{Aut}(K)$ is induced by some (unique) analytic diffeomorphism ψ^* of X_0 .*

Proof. Let A stand for the ring of analytic function germs on X_0 , so that $K = qfA$. We have to check that $\psi(A) \subset A$ and $\psi|_{\mathbf{R}} = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Let $h \in A$, $h \neq 0$ and $h(0) \neq 1$. Set $\psi(h) = x \in K$. For each $n \geq 0$ there is $h_n = (1 - h)^{1/n} \in A$ (implicit function theorem) and, setting $y_n = \psi(h_n)$, we have: $(y_n)^n = 1 - x = y$. Now consider any discrete valuation ν of K over A . As $\nu(y) = n\nu(y_n)$, we conclude $\nu(y) = 0$ (were $\nu(y) \neq 0$, would it be $|\nu(y)| = n|\nu(y_n)| \geq n$, all n). Thus, $y \in \bigcap_{\nu} A_{\nu} = A$, A being normal, and $x \in A$.

This proves $\psi(A) \subset A$ and, by symmetry, $\psi(A) = A$. Hence $\psi: A \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism and in particular a local homomorphism of analytic rings. But by a result in [1], this implies $\psi|_{\mathbf{R}} = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{R}}$, and the proof is complete.

EXAMPLE. If X_0 is not normal, 2.1 is not true any more: take $A = \mathbf{R}\{t^2, t^5\} \subset \mathbf{R}\{t\} \subset K$ and ψ induced by $t \mapsto t + t^2$.

We now prove our theorem.

Step I. Let X_0^* denote the maximum dimension locus of X_0 . There are two analytic half-branches c_0 and c'_0 , both in X_0^* , whose multiplicities m and m' are different, say $m < m'$.

Indeed, after a standard application of local parametrization the problem reduces to find c_0 with arbitrarily large m in some given non-empty open semianalytic germ $W_0 \subset \mathbf{R}_0^d$ and, after a new linear projection, $d = 2$ (notice that transversal projections do not increase multiplicities). Also, we can suppose

$$W_0 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2: x > 0, h(x^{1/p}) < y < g(x^{1/p})\}$$

for some $p \geq 1$, $h, g \in \mathbf{R}\{t\}$. As $W_0 \neq \emptyset$ we can write:

$$h = h_0(t) + t^r h_1(t), \quad g = g_0(t) + t^r g_1(t),$$

where $h_0(t), g_0(t)$ are polynomials in $\mathbf{R}[t]$ of degree $< r$ and $h_0 < g_0$ for small t . Then choose $f_0 \in \mathbf{R}[t]$, of degree $< r$, such that $h_0 < f_0 < g_0$ for small t . It follows that for any Puiseux series $f_1(t^{1/q})$ the curve germ

$$t \mapsto (t^{pq}, f_0(t^q) + t^{qr} f_1(t)), \quad t > 0$$

is contained in W_0 , and if f_1 and q are well chosen, the multiplicity of this curve germ is arbitrarily large. This completes Step I.

Step II. There is an open semianalytic germ $U_0 \subset \mathbf{R}_0^n$, such that $c'_0 \subset U_0$, and any curve germ $c_0^* \subset U_0$ has multiplicity $m^* \geq m'$.

For, like in Step I, it is enough to consider the case $n = 2$, and c'_0 given by: $y = h(x^{1/m'})$, $x > 0$, where $h \in \mathbf{R}\{t\}$. We write h in the following way:

$$h = a_1 t^{p_1} + \dots + a_r t^{p_r} + at^p + t^{p+1} h_1(t), \quad 1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_r < p,$$

and $(p_1, \dots, p_r, m') = 1$. Then the U_0 we sought is

$$\{x > 0, h^-(x^{1/m'}) < y < h^+(x^{1/m'})\},$$

where

$$h^-(t) = a_1 t^{p_1} + \dots + a_r t^{p_r} + (a - \varepsilon)t^p,$$

$$h^+(t) = a_1 t^{p_1} + \dots + a_r t^{p_r} + (a + \varepsilon)t^p$$

(pick any $\varepsilon > 0$). Indeed, if $c_0^* \subset U_0$ is given by $y = f(x^{1/m^*})$, $x > 0$, we have

$$h^-(x^{1/m'}) < f(x^{1/m^*}) < h^+(x^{1/m'}) \quad (\text{for small } x > 0)$$

and so

$$f(x^{1/m^*}) = a_1 x^{p_1/m'} + \dots + a_r x^{p_r/m'} + f_1(x^{1/m^*}).$$

But m^* is the lowest common denominator of all exponents in this development, so $m^* \geq m'$, m' being the l.c.d. of the first r exponents. Thus we are done.

Step III: end of the proof. By the separation lemma in [6], there is an analytic function germ h such that $c'_0 \subset \{h > 0\} \subset \{h \geq 0\} \setminus \{0\} \subset U_0$. Let α' be any ordering centered at c'_0 (such an α' does exist, as $c'_0 \subset X_0^*$, cf. [5]) and consider the open neighborhood $H = H(h)$ of α' in $\Omega = \text{Spec}_R K$. We claim that H contains no ordering α^* isomorphic to any ordering α centered at $c_0 \subset X_0^*$, what finishes the proof (again because $c_0 \subset X_0^*$). Indeed, assume the contrary, i.e. there is $\psi \in \text{Aut}(K)$ such that $\psi\alpha \in H$. Then by Lemma 2.1, we get an analytic half-branch $\psi^{*-1}c_0 = c_0^*$. As h must be positive in $\psi\alpha$, $c_0^* \subset \{h \geq 0\} \setminus \{0\} \subset U_0$ and $\text{mult } c_0^* = m^* \geq m'$, because of the choice of U_0 (Step II). But c_0^* is analytically diffeomorphic to c_0 , hence $m^* = m < m'$, contradiction.

REMARK. One technical point in the proof above is to be sure c_0^* is within U_0 and not merely in the boundary. It is here where the separation lemma is useful. Yet there is another possibility: using formal half-branches (cf. [5]). But then one needs an approximation lemma for these half-branches (loc. cit.) and the proof becomes more involved than it actually is.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Abhyankar and M. van der Put, *Homomorphisms of analytic local rings*, J. Reine Angew Math., **242** (1970), 27–33.
- [2] D. W. Dubois and T. Recio, *Order extensions and real algebraic geometry*, in Amer. Math. Soc. Contemporary Math., **8** (1981).
- [3] J. M. Gamboa, *A characterization of rational and elliptic curves in terms of their space of orderings*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **14** (1984), 499–502.
- [4] J. M. Gamboa and T. Recio, *Ordered fields with the dense orbits property*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **30** (1983), 237–246.
- [5] J. M. Ruiz, *Central orderings in fields of meromorphic function germs*, Manuscripta Math., **46** (1984), 193–214.
- [6] ———, *A note on a separation problem*, Arch. Math., **43** (1984), 422–426.

Received June 20, 1984.

UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID
MADRID (3), SPAIN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HEBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906–1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Om P. Agrawal, Douglas Napier Clark and Ronald George Douglas, Invariant subspaces in the polydisk	1
Christoph Bandt and Gebreselassie Baraki, Metrically invariant measures on locally homogeneous spaces and hyperspaces	13
Marcy Mason Barge, Horseshoe maps and inverse limits	29
Russell Gene Bilyeu, Robert Richard Kallman and Paul Weldon Lewis, Rearrangements and category	41
Jean Bourgain, A problem of Douglas and Rudin on factorization	47
Hernan Cendra, A normal form and integration in finite terms for a class of elementary functions	51
Ky Fan, The angular derivative of an operator-valued analytic function	67
Gerhard Gierz, On the Dunford-Pettis property of function modules of abstract L -spaces	73
Gabriel Katz, On polynomial generators in the algebra of complex functions on a compact space	83
Ridgley Lange, Duality and asymptotic spectral decompositions	93
Anthony To-Ming Lau and Peter F. Mah, Quasinormal structures for certain spaces of operators on a Hilbert space	109
R. Daniel Mauldin, Correction: "The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions"	119
Alan Harvey Mekler and Saharon Shelah, ω -elongations and Crawley's problem	121
Alan Harvey Mekler and Saharon Shelah, The solution to Crawley's problem	133
Richard Rochberg, Deformation of uniform algebras on Riemann surfaces	135
Joseph Roitberg, On weak epimorphisms in homotopy theory	183
Jesús M. Ruiz, A remark on fields with the dense orbits property	189
Henry Wente, Counterexample to a conjecture of H. Hopf	193
David G. Wright, Rigid sets in E^n	245