

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

RIGID SETS IN E^n

DAVID G. WRIGHT

RIGID SETS IN E^n

DAVID G. WRIGHT

We construct rigid embeddings of Cantor sets in E^n ($n \geq 3$) and rigid embeddings of compacta in E^n ($n > 3$). In each case there are uncountably many different rigid embeddings. The results in this paper generalize three-dimensional theorems by Sher, Shilepsky, Bothe, Martin, and Brechner and Mayer.

1. Introduction. Let X be a subset of Euclidean n -space E^n . We say that X is rigid in E^n if the only homeomorphism of X onto itself that is extendable to a homeomorphism of E^n onto itself is the identity homeomorphism. J. Martin has constructed a rigid 2-sphere in E^3 [M]. H. G. Bothe has constructed a rigid simple closed curve in E^3 [Bo]. Arnold Shilepsky constructed many different rigid Cantor sets in E^3 [Shil] by using a result of R. B. Sher on Cantor sets in E^3 [Sher]. More recently, Beverly Brechner and John C. Mayer have used Sher's result to construct uncountably many inequivalent embeddings of certain planar continua in E^3 [B-M].

In this paper all of these results are generalized to E^n ($n \geq 4$).

The rigid Cantor sets in this paper are in stark contrast to the strongly homogeneous but wildly embedded Cantor sets constructed by R. J. Daverman in E^n ($n \geq 5$) [D₁].

2. Definitions and notations. We use S^n , B^n , and E^n to denote the n -sphere, the n -ball, and Euclidean n -space, respectively. If M is a manifold, we let $\text{Bd}(M)$ and $\text{Int}(M)$ denote the boundary and interior of M , respectively. A *disk with holes* is a compact connected 2-manifold that embeds in E^2 . By *map* we will always mean a continuous function. Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ be a collection of oriented loops in a space X . We shall say Γ *bounds a manifold* if there is a compact oriented 2-manifold M and map $f: M \rightarrow X$ so that $f|_{\text{Bd } M}$ represents the collection Γ . We shall say that Γ is homologically trivial or nontrivial if the element represented by Γ in $H_1(X)$ is, respectively, trivial or nontrivial where the first homology group $H_1(X)$ is computed with integer coefficients. A solid n -torus is a space homeomorphic with $B^2 \times S_1^1 \times S_2^1 \times \dots \times S_{n-2}^1$ where each S_i^1 is a 1-sphere. A solid 3-torus will be called simply a *solid torus*. Let H be a disk with holes and $f: H \rightarrow M$ a map into a manifold M so

that $f(\text{Bd}(H)) \subset \text{Bd}(M)$. Following Daverman [D₂] we call the map f *I-inessential* (interior inessential) if there is a map \tilde{f} from H into $\text{Bd}(M)$ with $f|_{\text{Bd}(H)} = \tilde{f}|_{\text{Bd}(H)}$; otherwise, f is said to be *I-essential*.

Unless otherwise specified *linking* will refer to linking in the sense of homotopy and not homology. If $A \subset E^n$ and γ is a loop or simple closed curve in $E^n - A$, we say that γ links A if γ is not null homotopic in $E^n - A$. If $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ is a collection of oriented loops in $E^n - A$ we say that Γ links A in case Γ does not bound a disk with holes; i.e., there is no map f of a disk with holes H into $E^n - A$ so that under some orientation of H , $f|_{\text{Bd}(H)}$ represents the collection Γ .

Let X be a metric space. If $a \in X$ and $\delta > 0$, we let $N(a, \delta)$ denote the set of points in X whose distance from a is less than δ . If $A \subset X$, we let $\text{Cl}(A)$ denote the closure of A in X . Let A be a subset of a metric space X and $a \in \text{Cl}(X - A)$. We say $X - A$ is *locally 1-connected* at a , written $X - A$ is 1-LC at a , if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that each map of S^1 into $(X - A) \cap N(a, \delta)$ extends to a map of B^2 into $(X - A) \cap N(a, \varepsilon)$. We say $X - A$ is *uniformly locally 1-connected* and write $X - A$ is 1-ULC if a $\delta > 0$ exists as above independent of the choice of $a \in \text{Cl}(X - A)$. If $A \subset B \subset X$ and $a \in \text{Cl}(X - A)$, we say that $X - B$ is *locally 1-connected* in $X - A$ at a and write $X - B$ is 1-LC in $X - A$ at a if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that each map of S^1 into $(X - B) \cap N(a, \delta)$ extends to a map of B^2 into $(X - A) \cap N(a, \varepsilon)$. Furthermore, we say $X - B$ is *uniformly locally 1-connected* in $X - A$ if the uniform property holds, and we write $X - B$ is 1-ULC in $X - A$.

A Cantor set X in E^n (or S^n) is said to be *tame* if there is a homeomorphism of E^n (or S^n) onto itself taking X into a polygonal arc. If X is not tame, we say X is *wild*. We will be most interested in the fact that a tame Cantor set in E^n or S^n ($n \geq 3$) has 1-ULC complement.

Let A, B be homeomorphic subsets of E^n . We say that A and B are *equivalently embedded* if there is a homeomorphism $h: E^n \rightarrow E^n$ with $h(A) = B$. If no such homeomorphism exists, we say that A and B are *inequivalently embedded*.

Finally, a subset A of E^n is *rigid* if whenever $h: E^n \rightarrow E^n$ is a homeomorphism with $h(A) = A$, then $h(x) = x$ for each $x \in A$.

3. Some 3-dimensional preliminaries. Consider the embedding of k solid tori ($k \geq 4$) A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k in a solid torus T as shown in Figure 1 (where $k = 6$). We call such an embedding an *Antoine embedding*. We say




FIGURE 1

that A_i and A_j ($i \neq j$) are *adjacent* in case A_i is not null homotopic in $T - A_j$. Clearly, each A_i is adjacent to exactly two other solid tori.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let P be a polyhedron in a solid torus T such that any loop in P is null homotopic in T . Then there is a collection Γ of loops in $\text{Bd } T - P$ so that Γ is homologically nontrivial in $\text{Bd}(T)$ but homologically trivial in $T - P$. Furthermore, each loop of Γ is nullhomotopic in T .*

Proof. Let \tilde{T} be the universal covering space for T . The group of covering transformations of \tilde{T} is isomorphic with the integers. Let ϕ_i be the covering transformation that corresponds with the integer i under some isomorphism. Consider \tilde{T} as a subspace of B^3 so that $B^3 - \tilde{T}$ consists of exactly two points. Let p be any point in \tilde{T} . Let ∞ denote the point in $B^3 - \tilde{T}$ so that the sequence $\phi_1(p), \phi_2(p), \phi_3(p), \dots$ converges to ∞ . The other point in $B^3 - \tilde{T}$ will be denoted by $-\infty$. Since each loop in P is null homotopic in T , there is a lift $f: P \rightarrow \tilde{T}$. Let $A = f(P)$. The set A is compact, and $\phi_i(A) \cap \phi_j(A) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Setting $X = \{\infty\} \cup \phi_0(A) \cup \phi_1(A) \cup \phi_2(A) \cup \dots$ and $Y = \{-\infty\} \cup \phi_{-1}(A) \cup \phi_{-2}(A) \cup \phi_{-3}(A) \cup \dots$, we obtain two disjoint closed sets in B^3 . Hence, there is a properly embedded compact connected piecewise-linear 2-manifold M in B^3 that separates X from Y . Since M is two sided any arc from $-\infty$ to ∞

in general position with M must pierce M algebraically once. Therefore, homological linking arguments [Do] show that $\text{Bd}(M)$ (thought of as a 1-cycle induced from some fixed orientation of M) must be nontrivial in $\text{Bd}(\tilde{T})$. The projection of $\text{Bd}(M)$ into T gives the desired collection Γ .

4. Blankinship Cantor sets in E^n . We describe Cantor sets in E^n similar to the generalizations of Antoine's Necklace [An] given by W. A. Blankinship [Bl]. Our description will be brief. An excellent description of such Cantor sets has been given by W. T. Eaton [E].

Let $M_0 \supseteq \text{Int } M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \text{Int } M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots$ be a nest of compact n -manifolds in E^n such that

1. M_0 is any solid n -torus in E^n .
2. Each component of each M_i is a solid n -torus.
3. For each component N of each M_i there is a projection P of N onto a $B^2 \times S^1$ factor so that $M_{i+1} \cap N$ is $P^{-1}(A)$ for some Antoine embedding A in $B^2 \times S^1$. (See §3.)
4. The diameters of the components of M_i tend to zero as i approaches infinity.

The intersection X of such a nest of manifolds is called a *Blankinship Cantor set*. The M_i form a *canonical defining sequence* for X . We state the following facts about such Cantor sets.

(I) Such Cantor sets may be formed so that the number of components of M_{i+1} in a given component of M_i is any desired integer $k \geq 4$. (In fact $k = 2, 3$ is also possible. We do not allow $k = 2$ so that our theory on linking Cantor sets will work. For $k = 3$ see (II).)

(II) If $f: H \rightarrow M_i$ is a map of a disk with holes such that $f(\text{Bd}(H)) \subset \text{Bd}(M_i)$ and $f(H) \cap X = \emptyset$, then f is I -inessential [D₂]. If the component of M_i that contains $f(H)$ contains only three components of M_{i+1} , we require the additional hypothesis that f restricted to each boundary curve of H is trivial in M_i . This additional condition may not be necessary. We choose to avoid this case by considering only values of $k \geq 4$ in (I).

(III) If Y is a proper subset of X , then the inclusion induced homomorphism

$$\pi_1(E^n - \text{Int } M_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(E^n - Y)$$

is trivial.

(IV) If Z is a closed nowhere dense subset of X , then $E^n - Z$ is 1-ULC.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let X_1 and X_2 be disjoint Cantor sets in E^n . We say X_1 links X_2 if for each connected compact manifold $W \subset E^n$ such that

(i) $X_2 \subset \text{Int } W$,

(ii) $X_1 \cap W = \emptyset$, and

(iii) the fundamental group of W is abelian, then the inclusion induced homomorphism

$$\pi_1(E^n - \text{Int } W) \rightarrow \pi_1(E^n - X_2)$$

is nontrivial.

DEFINITION 4.2. Let X and Y be disjoint Cantor sets in E^n . We say X and Y are *linked* if X links Y and Y links X .

DEFINITION 4.3. Let M_j be a canonical defining sequence for a Blankinship Cantor set in E^n . We say that components R and S of M_{i+1} are adjacent if

(i) R, S lie in some component N of M_i

(ii) under the projection P of N onto a $B^2 \times S^1$ factor so that $M_{i+1} \cap N$ is $P^{-1}(A)$ for an Antoine embedding A in $B^2 \times S^1$, the sets $P(R)$ and $P(S)$ are linked solid tori in $B^2 \times S^1$.

In the theorem that follows, let M_j be a canonical defining sequence for a Blankinship Cantor set X in E^n . Let R, S be different components of M_{i+1} . We consider Cantor sets $X_1 \subset R \cap X$ and $X_2 \subset S \cap X$.

THEOREM 4.4. *The Cantor sets X_1 and X_2 are linked if and only if R, S are adjacent, $X_1 = R \cap X$, and $X_2 = S \cap X$.*

Proof. If R and S are not adjacent, it is possible to find a compact n -manifold W in E^n homeomorphic with $B^3 \times S_1^1 \times S_2^1 \times \cdots \times S_{n-3}^1$ (S_i^1 is a 1-sphere) so that

(i) $S \subset \text{Int } W$ and, hence, $X_2 \subset \text{Int } W$

(ii) $R \cap W = \emptyset$ and, hence, $X_1 \cap W = \emptyset$.

Since the fundamental group of W is abelian and $\pi_1(E^n - \text{Int } W)$ is trivial we see that X_1 and X_2 are not linked.

If $X_1 \neq R \cap X$, then $X_1 \subset \text{Int } R$, $R \cap X_2 = \emptyset$, and $\pi_1(R)$ is abelian. However, by property (III) of Blankinship Cantor sets, the inclusion induced homomorphism

$$\pi_1(E^n - \text{Int } R) \rightarrow \pi_1(E^n - X_1)$$

is trivial, and we see that X_1 and X_2 are not linked. A similar argument holds if $X_2 \neq S \cap X$.

We now assume $X_1 = R \cap X$, $X_2 = S \cap X$ and show that X_1 and X_2 are linked. By the definition of adjacent, R and S lie in a component N of M_i so that under a projection P of N onto a $B^2 \times S^1$ factor $P(R)$ and $P(S)$ are linked solid tori in $B^2 \times S^1$. By abuse of notation we consider $B^2 \times S^1$ a subset of N . The 3-torus $T = P(R)$ is, therefore, also a subset of E^n . We observe that any nontrivial loop in T links X_2 . Now let W be a compact connected n -manifold in E^n so that $X_2 \subset \text{Int } W$, $X_1 \cap W = \emptyset$, and $\pi_1(W)$ is abelian. In W choose a small open collar C of $\text{Bd } W$ (a set homeomorphic to $\text{Bd } W \times [0, 1)$) that misses X_2 . Set $W^- = W - C$. Let K be a polyhedron in T that contains $T - W$ and misses W^- .

Suppose K contains only loops that are null homotopic in T . Then Lemma 3.1 promises the existence of a collection of loops Γ in $\text{Bd } T - K$ so that Γ is nontrivial in the meridional direction of $\text{Bd } T$ but homologically trivial in $T - K$. But $T - K \subset W$; so Γ is homologically trivial in W . Since $\pi_1(W)$ is abelian, Γ bounds a disk with holes in W and hence Γ bounds a disk with holes in the complement of X_1 . Since Γ is nontrivial in the meridional direction of $\text{Bd } T$, we find from linking theory that Γ links $\text{Int } R$, homologically. Let H be a disk with holes and $f: H \rightarrow E^n - X_1$ a map so that $f|_{\text{Bd } H}$ represents Γ . We may assume, by general position, that each component of $f^{-1}(R)$ is a disk with holes. By property II we find that the restriction of f to each component of $f^{-1}(R)$ is I -inessential. We may therefore redefine f on $\text{Int}(H)$ so that $f(H) \cap \text{Int}(R) = \emptyset$. But this contradicts the fact that Γ links $\text{Int}(R)$. This contradiction arose from supposing K contains only loops that are null homotopic in T . Hence, we can find a loop γ in K that is not null homotopic in T . The loop γ misses W^- . Using the collar C , γ is homotopic to a loop in $E^n - \text{Int}(W)$ with a homotopy that misses X_2 . Since γ links X_2 , we see that the inclusion induced homomorphism

$$\pi_1(E^n - \text{Int } W) \rightarrow \pi_1(E^n - X_2)$$

is nontrivial. Therefore, we have shown that X_1 links X_2 . Similarly, X_2 links X_1 , and we see that X_1 and X_2 are linked.

Let X , Y be Blankinship Cantor sets in E^n with canonical defining sequences M_i and N_i , respectively. We let R_1, R_2, \dots, R_p denote the components of M_1 and S_1, S_2, \dots, S_q denote the components of N_1 . The following lemma and theorem will use the above notation.

LEMMA 4.5. *Let $h: E^n \rightarrow E^n$ be a homeomorphism such that for each i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, there is a j , $1 \leq j \leq q$, such that $h(R_i \cap X) \subset S_j \cap Y$. Then either $h(X) \subset S_j$ for some fixed j or (after possible resubscripting) $h(R_i \cap X) = S_i \cap Y$ for each i , $p = q$, and R_i, R_j are adjacent if and only if S_i and S_j are adjacent.*

Proof. If it is not the case that $h(X) \subset S_j$ for some fixed j , then there are adjacent components that we will call (after possible resubscripting) R_1 and R_2 so that $h(R_1 \cap X) \subset S_1 \cap Y$ and $h(R_2 \cap X) \subset S_2 \cap Y$. Since $R_1 \cap X$ and $R_2 \cap X$ are linked, $h(R_1 \cap X)$ and $h(R_2 \cap X)$ are also linked. But Theorem 4.4 shows that $h(R_1 \cap X) = S_1 \cap Y$, $h(R_2 \cap X) = S_2 \cap Y$, and that S_1 and S_2 are adjacent. By using induction and Theorem 4.4 it is now an easy matter to complete the proof.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $h: E^n \rightarrow E^n$ be a homeomorphism such that $h(X) \subset Y$. Then either $h(X) \subset S_j$ for some fixed j or (after possible resubscripting) $h(R_i \cap X) = S_i \cap Y$ for each i , $p = q$, and R_i, R_j are adjacent if and only if S_i and S_j are adjacent.*

Proof. Let m be the smallest integer so that for each component R of M_m , $h(R \cap X) \subset S_j$ for some j . If $m = 0$ or 1 we are done by Lemma 4.6.

We suppose $m \geq 2$. This implies that there is a component N of M_{m-1} so that for each component R of $M_m \cap N$ $h(R \cap X) \subset S_j$ for some j , but that $h(N \cap X) \not\subset S_j$ for some j . By Lemma 4.6 $h(N \cap X) = Y$. However, since $m > 2$, we see $X - N \neq \emptyset$, and $h(X - N) \subset Y$. This contradicts the fact that h is a homeomorphism, and we see that $m \geq 2$ is impossible.

5. Distinguishing Blankinship Cantor sets. An *Antoine graph* G is a graph G so that G is the countable union of nested subgraphs $\emptyset = G_{-1} \subset G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots$. The graph G_0 is a single vertex. For each vertex v of $G_i - G_{i-1}$ there is a polygonal simple closed curve with at least four vertices $P(v)$ in $G_{i+1} - G_i$ so that if v and w are distinct vertices of $G_i - G_{i-1}$, then $P(v) \cap P(w) = \emptyset$. The graph G_{i+1} consists of G_i plus the union of $P(v)$, v a vertex of $G_i - G_{i-1}$, plus edges running between v and the vertices of $P(v)$. See Figure 2. For an Antoine graph G , the subgraphs G_0, G_1, G_2, \dots are uniquely determined since the vertex in G_0 is the only vertex that does not separate G . For a fixed vertex v of G we associate the unique Antoine subgraph $G(v)$ of G so that $G(v)_0 = \{v\}$.

Given a solid n -torus M in E^n and an Antoine graph G , we think of the graph as a set of instructions for constructing a canonical defining sequence M_i for a Blankinship Cantor set in E^n . The vertex in G_0 corresponds to $M_0 = M$. The vertices of $G_i - G_{i-1}$ correspond to components of M_i . If v is a vertex of $G_i - G_{i-1}$ that corresponds to the component N of M_i , then $M_{i+1} \cap N$ contains components corresponding to the vertices of $P(v)$. Furthermore, the components of $M_{i+1} \cap N$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding vertices bound an edge.




FIGURE 2

On the other hand, given a canonical defining sequence M_i for a Blankinship Cantor set X , we may associate an Antoine graph $G(X)$ so that the defining sequence follows the instructions of the graph as in the previous paragraph. Theorem 4.6 with $X = Y$ and $h = \text{identity}$ yields the fact that any two canonical defining sequences for X yield isomorphic Antoine graphs. Hence, $G(X)$ is well defined. We immediately obtain the following theorem and corollary.

THEOREM 5.1. *If X and Y are Blankinship Cantor sets so that $G(X)$ is not isomorphic to $G(Y)$, then X and Y are not equivalently embedded in E^n .*

COROLLARY 5.2. *There are uncountably many inequivalently embedded Blankinship Cantor sets in E^n .*

THEOREM 5.3. *Suppose X and Y are Blankinship Cantor sets in E^n and $h: E^n \rightarrow E^n$ is a homeomorphism. If $h(X) \cap Y$ contains an open subset of either $h(X)$ or Y , then $G(X)$ and $G(Y)$ have isomorphic Antoine subgraphs.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume $h(X) \cap Y$ contains an open subset of $h(X)$. Let M_i be a canonical defining sequence for X . Hence there is an integer r and a component T of M_r so that $h(T \cap X) \subset Y$. Theorem 4.6 shows that $G(T \cap X)$ is isomorphic to an Antoine subgraph of $G(Y)$. Clearly $G(T \cap X)$ is isomorphic to an Antoine subgraph of $G(X)$, and the theorem is proved.

6. Rigid Cantor sets in E^n . An Antoine graph G is said to be *rigid* if for each pair of distinct vertices v, w in G , the Antoine subgraphs $G(v)$ and $G(w)$ are not isomorphic.

THEOREM 6.1. *Suppose X is a Blankinship Cantor set in E^n so that $G(X)$ is rigid. Then X is rigid.*

Proof. Suppose $h: E^n \rightarrow E^n$ is a homeomorphism so that $h(X) = X$ and $h|_X \neq \text{identity}$. We can find disjoint manifolds M, N that are components of a defining sequence for X such that $h(M \cap X) \subset N \cap X$. By Theorem 5.3 $G(M \cap X)$ and $G(N \cap X)$ have isomorphic Antoine subgraphs. But these correspond to distinct Antoine subgraphs of $G(X)$ which is a contradiction.

THEOREM 6.2. *There are uncountably many rigid Blankinship Cantor sets X_α in E^n ($n \geq 3$) such that for $\alpha \neq \beta$ and any homeomorphism $h: E^n \rightarrow E^n$, $h(X_\alpha) \cap X_\beta$ is a nowhere dense subset of each.*

Proof. We leave it as a manageable exercise for the reader to construct uncountably many rigid Antoine subgraphs G_α so that for $\alpha \neq \beta$, G_α and G_β do not have isomorphic Antoine subgraphs. The theorem then follows from Theorems 6.1 and 5.3.

7. Rigid Sets in E^n ($n \geq 4$). We state our main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 7.1. *Let W be a compactum in S^{n-1} ($n \geq 4$) with no isolated points. There are uncountably many inequivalent embeddings of W in E^n each of which is rigid.*

We will use as a tool the following theorem of J. W. Cannon [C].

THEOREM 7.2. *Suppose X is a compact subset of an $(n-1)$ -sphere Σ in E^n and $\dim X \leq n-3$. Then $E^n - \Sigma$ is 1-ULC in $E^n - X$ if and only if $E^n - X$ is 1-ULC.*

The following theorem will provide us with the means to construct our embeddings.

THEOREM 7.3. *Let W be a compactum in $S^{n-1} = \text{Bd } B^n$ with no isolated points. Given a sequence X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots of Cantor sets in E^n , there is an embedding $e: B^n \rightarrow E^n$ and disjoint Cantor sets Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots in E^n such that setting $\Sigma = e(S^{n-1})$*

- (i) $\bigcup Y_i$ is a dense subset of $e(W)$,
- (ii) Y_i is equivalently embedded as X_i ,
- (iii) for each integer $k > 0$ there is an embedding $f_k: \Sigma \rightarrow E^n$ such that
 - (a) f_k moves points less than $1/k$,
 - (b) $f_k|_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k Y_i}$ is the identity,
 - (c) $f_k(\Sigma - \bigcup_{i=1}^k Y_i)$ is locally flat,
 - (d) $\bigcup_{i=1}^k Y_i$ is a tame subset of $f_k(\Sigma)$
 - (e) $f_k(\Sigma) \cap e(B^n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k Y_i$

Proof. By standard techniques [AI], [BI], [O] it is well known that given a tame Cantor set Z in S^{n-1} and any Cantor set Y in $E^n - B^n$ there exists an embedding h of B^n in E^n so that $h(Z) = Y$ and $h(S^{n-1}) - Y$ is locally flat. Furthermore, if $Z \cup Y$ is contained in an open n -ball U whose intersection with S^{n-1} is an open $(n-1)$ -ball, then h can be chosen so $h(x) = x$ if $x \notin U$ and $h(U \cap S^{n-1}) \subset U$.

Given any Cantor set Y in E^n and any open set U , it is an easy matter to find a Cantor set in U that is equivalently embedded as Y . The above theorem follows from this fact by taking a limit of embeddings e_k using the standard techniques. Care must be taken so that the limit e of the e_k is an embedding. The functions f_k are constructed along with the e_k .

Addendum to Theorem 7.3. Let $W = \Sigma - \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty Y_i$. Then $E^n - \Sigma$ is 1-ULC in $E^n - W$. If $n \geq 4$ and $Z_i \subset Y_i$ is a compact subset so that $E^n - Z_i$ is 1-ULC, then, setting $W' = \Sigma - \bigcup (Y_i - Z_i)$, $E^n - \Sigma$ is 1-ULC in $E^n - W'$.

Proof. The Addendum is proved by using the f_k and the fact that $f_k(\Sigma) - \bigcup_{i=1}^k Y_i$ is 1-ULC for $n \geq 4$.

Let W be a compactum in S^{n-1} with no isolated points; X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of Cantor sets in E^n ; and Σ an $(n-1)$ -sphere constructed as in Theorem 7.3. The following lemma will make the proof of Theorem 7.1 transparent.

LEMMA 7.4. *Let Y be a Cantor set in E^n ($n \geq 4$) such that for each homeomorphism $h: E^n \rightarrow E^n$, $E^n - (h(Y) \cap X_i)$ is 1-ULC for each i . If $g: E^n \rightarrow E^n$ is a homeomorphism such that $g(Y) \subset \Sigma$, then $E^n - Y$ is 1-ULC.*

Proof. We use Y_i to denote the Cantor sets as in Theorem 7.3 and set $Z_i = g(Y) \cap Y_i$. Then $E^n - Z_i$ is 1-ULC for each i . Since $g(Y) \subset W' = \Sigma - \bigcup (Y_i - Z_i)$, the Addendum to Theorem 7.3 shows that $E^n - \Sigma$ is 1-ULC in $E^n - g(Y)$. Hence Cannon's theorem, Theorem 7.2, shows that $E^n - g(Y)$ is 1-ULC. Clearly $E^n - Y$ is 1-ULC.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Let W be a compactum in S^{n-1} ($n \geq 4$) with no isolated points. Using Theorem 6.2, choose rigid Blankinship Cantor sets X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots and X'_1, X'_2, X'_3, \dots in E^n such that for each pair of Cantor sets the associated Antoine graphs do not have isomorphic Antoine subgraphs. Let Σ and Σ' be the $(n-1)$ -spheres promised by Theorem 7.3 corresponding to the Cantor sets X_i and X'_i , respectively. Let $X \subset \Sigma$ and $X' \subset \Sigma'$ be the resulting embeddings of W . We need to show that X and X' are inequivalently embedded and that each is rigid. To this end we let Y_i and Y'_i be the Cantor sets promised by 7.3 such that $\bigcup Y_i$ is dense in X , $\bigcup Y'_i$ is dense in X' , and Y_i (respectively Y'_i) is equivalently embedded as X_i (respectively X'_i).

From Theorem 6.2 and fact (IV) about Blankinship Cantor sets we see that for each homeomorphism $h: E^n \rightarrow E^n$, $E^n - (h(X_i) \cap X'_j)$ is 1-ULC for all i, j . If $g: E^n \rightarrow E^n$ is a homeomorphism such that $g(X) = X'$, then $g(Y_i) \subset \Sigma'$ and, by Lemma 7.4, $E^n - Y_i$ is 1-ULC, a contradiction. Hence, we see that X and X' are not equivalently embedded.

The proof that X and X' are rigid is similar to the above proof with minor modifications.

Since there are uncountably many rigid Blankinship Cantor sets as described in Theorem 6.2, it is now a simple matter to find uncountably many inequivalent embeddings of W in E^n each of which is rigid.

Notice that Theorem 7.3 applies in E^3 , but the Addendum does not. Thus we are led to the following question.

Question 7.5. Let W be a compactum in S^2 with no isolated points. Are there uncountably many inequivalent embeddings of W in E^3 each of which is rigid?

REFERENCES

- [A] J. W. Alexander, *Remarks on a point set constructed by Antoine*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **10** (1924), 10–12.
- [An] L. Antoine, *Sur l'homeomorphie de deux figures et de leur voisinages*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **4** (1929), 221–325.
- [Bl] W. A. Blankinship, *Generalization of a construction of Antoine*, Ann. of Math., (2) **53** (1951), 276–291.
- [Bo] H. G. Bothe, *Eine Fixierte Kurve in E^3 , General topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra, II* (Proc. Second Prague Topological Symposium, 1966) Academia, Prague 1967, 68–73.
- [B-M] B. L. Brechner and J. C. Mayer, *Inequivalent embeddings of planer continua in E^3* , manuscript.
- [C] J. W. Cannon, *Characterizations of tame subsets of 2-spheres in E^3* , Amer. J. Math., **94** (1972), 173–188.
- [D₁] R. J. Daverman, *Embedding phenomena based upon decomposition theory: Wild Cantor sets satisfying strong homogeneity properties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **75** (1979), 177–182.
- [D₂] ———, *On the absence of tame disks in certain wild cells*, Geometric Topology (Proc. Geometric Topology Conf., Park City, Utah 1974) (L. C. Glaser and T. B. Rushing, editors), Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1975, 142–155.
- [Do] A. Dold, *Lectures on Algebraic Topology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, (1972).
- [E] W. T. Eaton, *A generalization of the dog bone space to E^n* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **39** (1973), 379–387.
- [M] J. M. Martin, *A rigid sphere*, Fund. Math., **59** (1966), 117–121.
- [O] R. P. Osborne, *Embedding Cantor sets in a manifold, II. An extension theorem for homeomorphisms on Cantor sets*, Fund. Math., **65** (1969), 147–151.
- [Sher] R. B. Sher, *Concerning uncountably many wild Cantor sets in E^3* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **19** (1968), 1195–1200.
- [Shil] A. C. Shilepsky, *A rigid Cantor set in E^3* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys., **22** (1974), 223–224.

Received July 9, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY
PROVO, UT 84602

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HEBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906–1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Om P. Agrawal, Douglas Napier Clark and Ronald George Douglas, Invariant subspaces in the polydisk	1
Christoph Bandt and Gebreselassie Baraki, Metrically invariant measures on locally homogeneous spaces and hyperspaces	13
Marcy Mason Barge, Horseshoe maps and inverse limits	29
Russell Gene Bilyeu, Robert Richard Kallman and Paul Weldon Lewis, Rearrangements and category	41
Jean Bourgain, A problem of Douglas and Rudin on factorization	47
Hernan Cendra, A normal form and integration in finite terms for a class of elementary functions	51
Ky Fan, The angular derivative of an operator-valued analytic function	67
Gerhard Gierz, On the Dunford-Pettis property of function modules of abstract L -spaces	73
Gabriel Katz, On polynomial generators in the algebra of complex functions on a compact space	83
Ridgley Lange, Duality and asymptotic spectral decompositions	93
Anthony To-Ming Lau and Peter F. Mah, Quasinormal structures for certain spaces of operators on a Hilbert space	109
R. Daniel Mauldin, Correction: "The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions"	119
Alan Harvey Mekler and Saharon Shelah, ω -elongations and Crawley's problem	121
Alan Harvey Mekler and Saharon Shelah, The solution to Crawley's problem	133
Richard Rochberg, Deformation of uniform algebras on Riemann surfaces	135
Joseph Roitberg, On weak epimorphisms in homotopy theory	183
Jesús M. Ruiz, A remark on fields with the dense orbits property	189
Henry Wente, Counterexample to a conjecture of H. Hopf	193
David G. Wright, Rigid sets in E^n	245