

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MINIMAL NONPERMUTATIVE PSEUDOVARITIES OF SEMIGROUPS. I

JORGE ALMEIDA

MINIMAL NON-PERMUTATIVE PSEUDOVARITIES OF SEMIGROUPS. I

JORGE ALMEIDA

A semigroup is permutative if it satisfies an identity of the form $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{\sigma 1} x_{\sigma 2} \cdots x_{\sigma n}$ where σ is a non-identical permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The finite permutative semigroups form a pseudovariety and permutative pseudovarieties enjoy many properties first obtained for commutative pseudovarieties. Several types of permutation identities are considered, and all pseudovarieties minimal with respect to the property of containing a finite semigroup which fails an identity of a given type are determined. This includes the cases of the commutativity identity, the general permutation identities, and the "strong (left) permutation identities". As a preliminary, all minimal non-commutative pseudovarieties of groups and monoids are also determined.

1. Introduction. As a natural generalization of commutativity, permutation identities have been considered by several authors and shown to play an important role in various contexts (see Yamada [19, 20], Perkins [12], Pollák [14, 15], Higgins [7, 8], Almeida [1]). The non-collapse of this extended concept is peculiar to the class of semigroups, as a permutative monoid is necessarily commutative.

The problem of determining the minimal non-commutative pseudovarieties of groups was first considered by S. Oates (see Neumann [11], p. 42) although this author did not exhibit all such pseudovarieties, rather just established that they must contain a non-abelian metabelian group. As a step towards the solution of a problem in language theory, Margolis and Pin [10] then extended this result by showing that a non-commutative pseudovariety of monoids all of whose group members are abelian must contain one of three monoids which they describe. They also claim that their methods can be adjusted to yield a list of generators (up to the group case) of the minimal non-commutative pseudovarieties of semigroups. However, as we show here, their list is incomplete.

In the first part of this work, we determine explicitly all minimal non-commutative pseudovarieties of groups and semigroups. We also consider some special types of permutation identities and produce a complete list of minimal non-strongly permutative and non-strongly left permutative pseudovarieties of semigroups. This is based on the solution

of the monoid case, together with the reduction of the statement that a semigroup S satisfies a certain kind of permutation identity to a much simpler statement of pseudo-identical type involving idempotents (cf. Reiterman [18]). In this way, we eliminate the bothersome presence of existential quantifiers on natural numbers.

All minimal pseudovarieties with respect to failing one of the above properties are characterized here both by a generator of minimum size and by a finite basis of identities.

Part II of this work presents a complete list of minimal non-permutative pseudovarieties of semigroups (with no restriction on the nature of the excluded permutation identities).

The author is indebted to Professor Norman Reilly for his encouragement and many helpful discussions during the preparation of this paper.

2. Preliminaries. For general undefined terms and background, we refer the reader to Clifford and Preston [3] and Lallement [9]. For the notion of “pseudovariety” and its relationship with varieties in Birkhoff’s sense, see Ash [2]. Finally, the definition of “implicit operation” on a class of algebras and its connection with pseudovarieties can be found in Reiterman [18].

Here, we will call *pseudo-identity* an expression of the form $\pi = \rho$, where π and ρ are implicit operations on the class of all finite semigroups. For a set Σ of pseudo-identities, $[\Sigma]$ denotes the class of all finite semigroups satisfying Σ . By Theorem 3.1 [18], every pseudovariety is of the form $[\Sigma]$ for some set Σ of pseudo-identities, while by Propositions 1.2 and 2.1 [18], every pseudovariety which is generated by a single semigroup is of the form $[\Sigma]$ for some set Σ of identities.

For a finite semigroup S , $\underline{V}(S)$ denotes the pseudovariety generated by S . Thus $\underline{V}(S)$ consists of all homomorphic images of finite subdirect powers of S . As usual, S^1 stands for the smallest monoid containing S , i.e., $S^1 = S$ if S is a monoid, otherwise $S^1 = S \cup \{1\}$ where 1 acts as an identity. Next, S' denotes the set S under the reverse operation $a * b = ba$, and $E(S)$ represents the set of all idempotents in S . If $A, B \subseteq S$, we let $AB = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}$.

For a set P , we let $|P|$ denote its cardinality.

Our first result is a simple sharpening of Proposition III. 9.2 of Eilenberg [6] and will be of crucial importance later.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let S be a finite semigroup and $E = E(S)$. Let $n = |S|$, $k = |E|$. Then, either $S^{n-k} = SES$ or E is a band ideal of S with S/E cyclic (and so $S^{n-k+1} = E$). In particular, $S^{n-k+1} = SES$.*

Proof. We first show that $S^{n-k+1} = SES$. Let $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-k+1} \in S$ and let $t_i = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_i$. If the elements t_i ($i = 1, \dots, n-k+1$) are all distinct, then $t_i = e \in E$ for some i and so $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-k+1} = s_1 \cdots s_i \cdot e \cdot e s_{i+1} \cdots s_{n-k+1} \in SES$. If $t_i = t_j$ with $i < j$, let $(s_{i+1} s_{i+2} \cdots s_j)^l = e \in E$, to obtain $t_i = t_i e$ and so $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-k+1} = s_1 \cdots s_i \cdot e \cdot s_{i+1} \cdots s_{n-k+1} \in SES$. Hence $S^{n-k+1} \subseteq SES$. Since $SES \subseteq S^r$ for all $r \geq 1$, we conclude that $S^{n-k+1} = SES$.

Next, suppose $S \neq E$, i.e., $k < n$, and further suppose that $S^{n-k} \neq SES$. Let $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-k} \in S$ be such that $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-k} \notin SES$. By the same argument as above, it follows that the elements $t_i = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-k$) are all distinct and non-idempotent. Thus, $S = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-k}\} \cup E$ and E is an ideal of S . In particular, E is a band.

With the previous choice of elements $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-k} \in S$, if $s_i \neq s_1$ for some i , then, since $s_i \notin E$, we must have $s_i = t_j$ for some $j \in \{2, \dots, n-k\}$. Hence, $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-k} \in S^{n-k+1} = SES$, a contradiction. Therefore, $s_1 = s_2 = \cdots = s_{n-k}$ and so s_1 generates the Rees quotient S/E .

A *permutation identity* is an identity of the form

$$(1) \quad x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{\sigma 1} x_{\sigma 2} \cdots x_{\sigma n}$$

where σ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are distinct variables. We say that a semigroup S is *permutative* if it satisfies some nontrivial (i.e., $\sigma \neq \iota$) permutation identity. S is *strongly left* (resp. *right*) *permutative* if it satisfies an identity (1) with $\sigma 1 \neq 1$ (resp. $\sigma n \neq n$). S is *strongly permutative* if it is both strongly left and right permutative. Note that a permutative monoid is commutative.

We denote by $\text{Perm}_{(k,m,l)}$ the pseudovariety defined by the identities of the form (1) with $n = k + m + l$ and $\sigma i = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and for $n - l + 1 \leq i \leq n$, where $k, l \geq 0$ and $m \geq 2$. Then, $\text{Perm}_{(0,2,0)} = \text{Com}$ is the class of all finite commutative semigroups, and we let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Perm}_{(0,\infty,0)} &= \bigcup_{m \geq 2} \text{Perm}_{(0,m,0)}, \\ \text{Perm}_{(0,\infty,\infty)} &= \bigcup_{m \geq 2, l \geq 0} \text{Perm}_{(0,m,l)}, \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Perm} &= \bigcup_{k, l \geq 0, m \geq 2} \text{Perm}_{(k,m,l)}. \end{aligned}$$

These classes of finite semigroups are all pseudovarieties and are identified by other means in the next section.

3. Simplification of permutativity conditions using idempotents. In this section, we take advantage of the abundance of idempotents in finite semigroups as expressed in Proposition 2.1 to obtain equivalent conditions to various types of permutativity.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let S be a strongly left permutative semigroup. Then, for any $e \in E(S)$, $s \in eSe$ and $t \in S$, we have $ts = ste$.*

Proof. Let S satisfy the identity (1) with $\sigma 1 \neq 1$. Let $\alpha = \sigma^{-1}(1)$ and let r, s, t be as in the statement of the lemma. Then

$$ts = te^{\alpha-2}se^{n-\alpha} = se^*te^* = ste.$$

LEMMA 3.2. *Let S be a finite semigroup with n elements and let $E = E(S)$. Suppose that all submonoids of S are commutative.*

- (i) *If, for any $e \in E$ and $t \in S$, $te = ete$, then $S \in \underline{\text{Perm}}_{(0,2,n-1)}$.*
- (ii) *If every idempotent in S is central, then $S \in \underline{\text{Perm}}_{(0,m,0)}$ where $m = \max\{2, n-1\}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, we have either $S^{n-1} = SES$, or $|E| = 1$ and S is commutative. Thus, we may assume that $S^{n-1} = SES$.

(i) Since $SE = ESE \subseteq ES$, we deduce $S^{n-1} = ES$. Let $s_1, s_2, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in S$. Then $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{n-1} = et'$ for some $e \in E$ and $t' \in S$. Hence, $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{n-1} = et_1 t_2 \cdots t_{n-1}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 s_2 t_1 \cdots t_{n-1} &= s_1 s_2 et_1 \cdots t_{n-1} \\ &= es_1 es_2 et_1 \cdots t_{n-1} \quad \text{since } te = ete \\ &= es_2 es_1 et_1 \cdots t_{n-1} \quad \text{since } eSe \text{ is commutative} \\ &= s_2 s_1 et_1 \cdots t_{n-1} = s_2 s_1 t_1 \cdots t_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes $S \in \underline{\text{Perm}}_{(0,2,n-1)}$.

(ii) If $n-1 < 2$, the claim can be easily verified. So, suppose $m = n-1$ and let $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m \in S$. Since $S^{n-1} = SES$ and idempotents are central, for each permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, there exists $e_\sigma \in E$ such that $s_{\sigma 1} s_{\sigma 2} \cdots s_{\sigma m} = e_\sigma s_{\sigma 1} s_{\sigma 2} \cdots s_{\sigma m}$. Then, for every such σ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\sigma 1} s_{\sigma 2} \cdots s_{\sigma m} &= (e_\sigma s_{\sigma 1})(e_\sigma s_{\sigma 2}) \cdots (e_\sigma s_{\sigma m}) \quad \text{since } e_\sigma \text{ is central} \\ &= (e_\sigma s_1)(e_\sigma s_2) \cdots (e_\sigma s_m) \quad \text{since } e_\sigma S = e_\sigma S e_\sigma \text{ is commutative} \\ &= e_\sigma s_1 s_2 \cdots s_m, \end{aligned}$$

so that, if ι denotes the identity permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\sigma 1} s_{\sigma 2} \cdots s_{\sigma m} &= e_{\sigma} s_1 \cdots s_m = e_{\sigma} e_{\iota} s_1 \cdots s_m = e_{\iota} e_{\sigma} s_1 \cdots s_m \\ &= e_{\iota} s_{\sigma 1} \cdots s_{\sigma m} = e_{\iota} s_1 \cdots s_m = s_1 \cdots s_m, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

THEOREM 3.3. *The following conditions are equivalent for a finite semigroup S .*

- (i) $S \in \underline{\text{Perm}}_{(0, \infty, 0)}$.
- (ii) $S \in \underline{\text{Perm}}_{(0, m, 0)}$ where $m = \max\{2, |S| - 1\}$.
- (iii) S is strongly permutative.
- (iv) All submonoids of S are commutative and every idempotent of S is central.
- (v) Every element of S which lies in some submonoid of S is central in S .

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (iii) follow directly from the definitions, while (v) \Rightarrow (iv) is obvious. (iii) \Rightarrow (v) follows from Lemma 3.1 and its left-right dual. Finally, (iv) \Rightarrow (ii) is Lemma 3.2(ii).

NOTATION. x^{ω} denotes the implicit unary operation on finite semigroups which associates with an element s of a finite semigroup S the unique idempotent in the subsemigroup of S generated by s .

COROLLARY 3.4. $\underline{\text{Perm}}_{(0, \infty, 0)} = \llbracket x^{\omega} y z = z y x^{\omega} \rrbracket$.

THEOREM 3.5. *The following conditions are equivalent for a finite semigroup S .*

- (i) $S \in \underline{\text{Perm}}_{(0, \infty, \infty)}$.
- (ii) $S \in \underline{\text{Perm}}_{(0, 2, n-1)}$ where $n = |S|$.
- (iii) S is strongly left permutative.
- (iv) All submonoids of S are commutative and, for any $e \in E(S)$ and $t \in S$, $te = ete$.
- (v) For any $e \in E(S)$ and $s, t \in S$, $este = tse$.

Proof. The proof proceeds along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 3.3 with the difference that in establishing (iii) \Rightarrow (v), one first uses Lemma 3.1 to obtain $te = ete$ and then deduces that

$$este = esete = etese = tse.$$

COROLLARY 3.6. $\underline{\text{Perm}}_{(0, \infty, \infty)} = \llbracket x^{\omega} y z x^{\omega} = z y x^{\omega} \rrbracket$.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let S be a permutative semigroup. Then, for any $e, f \in E(S)$ and $s, t \in S$, we have $estf = etsf$.*

Proof. Let S satisfy the identity (1) with $k + 1 = \min\{i: \sigma i \neq i\}$ and $n - l = \max\{j: \sigma j \neq j\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} estf &= e^{k-1}stf^{n-k-1} = e^{k-1}sff^*tf^*f^l \quad \text{using (1)} \\ &= esftf. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} estf &= esftf = (e \cdot es \cdot f)tf \\ &= e \cdot efs \cdot ftf \quad \text{by the above argument} \\ &= eftfsf \quad \text{since } fSf \text{ is commutative} \\ &= etsf \quad \text{by the above.} \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.8. *Let S be a finite semigroup with n elements. Suppose that for any $e, f \in E = E(S)$ and $s, t \in S$, we have $estf = etsf$. Then $S \in \underline{\text{Perm}}_{(n-1,2,n-1)}$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we may assume that $S^{n-1} = SES$. Let $m = n - 1$ and let $s_1, \dots, s_m, t_1, t_2, u_1, \dots, u_m \in S$. Then, there exist $e, f \in E$ and $s', s'', u', u'' \in S$ such that $s_1 \cdots s_m = s'es''$ and $u_1 \cdots u_m = u'fu''$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 \cdots s_m t_1 t_2 u_1 \cdots u_m &= s'es''t_1 t_2 u'fu'' \\ &= s'es''t_2 t_1 u'fu'' \quad \text{since } estf = etsf \\ &= s_1 \cdots s_m t_2 t_1 u_1 \cdots u_m, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

THEOREM 3.9. *The following are equivalent for a finite semigroup S .*

- (i) $S \in \underline{\text{Perm}}$.
- (ii) $S \in \underline{\text{Perm}}_{(n-1,2,n-1)}$ where $n = |S|$.
- (iii) S is permutative.
- (iv) All submonoids of S are commutative and, for any $e, f \in E(S)$ and $s, t \in S$, $esf = esef$ and $este = esete$.
- (v) For any $e, f \in E(S)$ and $s, t \in S$, $estf = etsf$.

Proof. Here, it is now sufficient to check that (iv) \Leftrightarrow (v). (v) \Rightarrow (iv) is easily verified. Conversely, assume (iv) and let $e, f \in E(S)$ and $s, t \in S$. Then,

$$estf = estef = esetef = etesef = etsf,$$

as claimed.

COROLLARY 3.10.

$$\underline{\text{Perm}} = \llbracket x^\omega yzt^\omega = x^\omega zyt^\omega \rrbracket = \llbracket x^\omega yzx^\omega = x^\omega zyx^\omega, x^\omega yz^\omega = x^\omega yx^\omega z^\omega \rrbracket.$$

EXAMPLE 3.11. Let D be the semigroup with zero with presentation

$$\langle e, f; e = e^2, f = f^2, fe = 0 \rangle.$$

Then $D = \{0, e, f, ef\}$ satisfies $xyzx = xzyx$ and so monoids in $\underline{V}(D)$ are commutative. However, $e \cdot ef \cdot f = ef \neq 0 = e \cdot fe \cdot f$, and so D is non-permutative by Theorem 3.9(v).

REMARK 3.12. If an arbitrary semigroup S satisfies an identity ε of the form (1) with $L(\varepsilon) = \min\{i: \sigma i \neq i\}$ and $R(\varepsilon) = n_\varepsilon - \max\{j: \sigma j \neq j\}$, then S satisfies all the identities δ of the form (1) with $L(\delta) \geq L(\varepsilon)$ and $R(\delta) \geq R(\varepsilon)$ for which the length n_δ is large enough (independently of S). This follows from the results of Putcha and Yaqub [16] (see also Pollák [13]).

REMARK 3.13. The bound $n - 1$ in parts (ii) of Theorems 3.3, 3.5 and 3.9 is sharp. For instance, if S is a two-element left-zero semigroup, then $S \in \underline{\text{Perm}}_{(1,2,1)} \setminus \underline{\text{Perm}}_{(0,2,0)}$.

4. Minimal non-commutative pseudovarieties of groups. Since a finite semigroup is a group if and only if it satisfies identities of the form $xy^n = x = y^n x$ for some n , every pseudovariety of groups can be viewed as a pseudovariety of semigroups. Moreover, as a pseudovariety which is generated by a single semigroup is defined by identities, it follows that the pseudovarieties indicated in the title of this section are essentially the same whether we work in the algebraic types of groups or semigroups. To simplify the notation, we will consider groups (rather than semigroups which are groups), thus referring to the unary operation of inversion.

A group is said to be *one-step non-commutative* if it is non-abelian but all its proper subgroups are abelian. We proceed to describe all such finite groups. In the following, p and q always denote prime numbers.

THEOREM 4.1. (Rédei [17].) (i) *The finite one-step non-commutative p -groups are the quaternion group (or order 8), the groups (of order p^{m+n+1}) defined by the relations*

$$(2) \quad a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = 1, \quad c^p = 1, \quad ac = ca, \quad bc = cb, \quad bab^{-1} = ac$$

$$(m \geq n \geq 1)$$

and the group (of order p^{m+n}) defined by the relations

$$(3) \quad a^{p^m} = 1, \quad b^{p^n} = 1, \quad bab^{-1} = a^{1+p^{m-1}} \quad (m \geq 2, n \geq 1).$$

(ii) The remaining finite one-step non-commutative groups are obtained as follows. For two distinct primes p, q and natural number n , let m denote the multiplicative order of p modulo q and let $F = \text{GF}(p^m)$. Choose $\omega \in F^*$ of order q . Take for the group the semidirect product $F * \mathbf{Z}_{q^n}$ with product given by

$$(4) \quad (\alpha, a)(\beta, b) = (\alpha + \omega^a \beta, a + b) \quad (\alpha, \beta \in F, a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_{q^n}).$$

LEMMA 4.2. (i) If G and G' are two p -groups defined by (2) with parameters m, n and m', n' respectively with $m \leq m'$ and $n \leq n'$, then G is a homomorphic image of G' .

(ii) If H and H' are two groups defined by (4) relative to the same pair of primes, with parameters n and n' respectively and $n \leq n'$, then H is a homomorphic image of H' .

Proof. (i) The subgroup K of G' generated by $\{a^{p^{m'-m}}, b^{p^{n'-n}}\}$ is central and $G'/K \simeq G$.

(ii) The subgroup of H' generated by $(0, q^{n'-n})$ is central and $H'/K \simeq H$.

We let $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$, $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}] = [[x_1, \dots, x_n], x_{n+1}]$, $[x, (y)_1] = [x, y]$, and $[x, (y)_{n+1}] = [[x, (y)_n], y]$. The following commutator identities are easily verified (in any group):

$$[x, y] = [y, x]^{-1}$$

$$xy = yx[x, y]$$

$$[x, yz] = [x, z]z^{-1}[x, y]z = [x, z][x, y][x, y, z].$$

We denote the group given by (2) with $m = n = 1$ by G_p , while $H_{p,q}$ represents the group given by (4) when $n = 1$.

LEMMA 4.3. (i) The pseudovariety generated by any group K in (3) is given by

$$(5) \quad \underline{V}(K) = \llbracket x^{p^s} = 1, [x, y]^p = 1, [x, y, z] = 1 \rrbracket$$

where $s = \max\{m, n\}$.

(ii) The pseudovariety generated by the group G_p is given by

$$(6) \quad \underline{V}(G_p) = \llbracket x^p = 1, [x, y, z] = 1 \rrbracket \quad \text{in case } p > 2,$$

$$(7) \quad \underline{V}(G_2) = \llbracket x^4 = 1, [x, y]^2 = 1, [x, y, z] = 1 \rrbracket.$$

Proof. It is easily checked that K satisfies the identities in (5). Using those identities, any word w in the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n can be reduced to one of the form $x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_r^{u_r} c_1^{v_1} c_2^{v_2} \cdots c_t^{v_t}$ where the c_k are distinct commutators of the form $[x_i, x_j]$ with $i < j$. Then, one checks that K satisfies $w = 1$ if and only if $p^s | u_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) and $p | v_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, t$). This establishes (i). The proof of (ii) is similar.

PROPOSITION 4.4.

$$(8) \quad \underline{V}(H_{p,q}) = \llbracket x^{pq} = 1, [x^q, y^q] = [x, y]^p = 1, \\ [y, x, z][x, y, z] = 1, [x, y, z, t] = [x, y, t, z], \\ [x, y]^q [x, (y)_2]^{(q)} \cdots [x, (y)_{q-1}]^{(q)} [x, (y)_q] = 1 \rrbracket.$$

Proof. We first observe that $(\alpha, a)^{-1} = (-\omega^{-a}\alpha, -a)$ while, for $r \geq 1$,

$$(\alpha, a)^r = ((1 + \omega^a + \omega^{2a} + \cdots + \omega^{(r-1)a})\alpha, ra).$$

Further, if $u = (\alpha, a)$, $v = (\beta, b)$, then

$$[u, v] = ((\omega^{-b} - 1)\omega^{-a}\alpha - (\omega^{-a} - 1)\omega^{-b}\beta, 0);$$

in particular, $[(\alpha, 0), (\beta, b)] = ((\omega^{-b} - 1)\alpha, 0)$. Also, note that, in $F[X]$,

$$(X + 1)^q - 1 = qX + \binom{q}{2}X^2 + \binom{q}{3}X^3 + \cdots + \binom{q}{q-1}X^{q-1} + X^q$$

admits the factorization $\prod_{d=0}^q (X - \omega^d + 1)$. Using these remarks, it is easy to check that $H_{p,q}$ satisfies the identities in (8).

Now, let w be a word in the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and suppose that $H_{p,q}$ satisfies $w = 1$. Note that, as a consequence of the identities in (8), we have that commutators commute: indeed, those identities imply that every commutator is a q th power. It follows that, using the identities in (8), w can be reduced to a word of the form $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} \cdots x_r^{u_r} c_1^{v_1} \cdots c_t^{v_t}$ where the c_i are distinct commutators of the form $[x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s}]$. Since $H_{p,q}$ satisfies $w = 1$, we immediately deduce that $pq | u_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) and so $H_{p,q}$ satisfies $w' = 1$ where $w' = c_1^{v_1} \cdots c_t^{v_t}$. Using the last three identities in (8), we may assume that for any $c_j = [x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s}]$ in w' , the number of occurrences of any variable in c_j is less than q . Substituting $(1, 0)$ for x_{i_0} and $(0, -b_i)$ for x_i ($i \neq i_0$), the value assumed by w' is of the form $(P(\omega^{b_1} - 1, \dots, \omega^{b_{i_0-1}} - 1, \omega^{b_{i_0+1}} - 1, \dots, \omega^{b_t} - 1), 0)$, where $P(X_1, \dots, X_{i_0-1}, X_{i_0+1}, \dots, X_r)$ is a polynomial of degree less than q on each variable. Since that value is 0 for any choice of $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ ($i \neq i_0$), it follows that P is identically zero in $F[X_1, \dots, X_{i_0-1}, X_{i_0+1}, \dots, X_r]$ and

thus, the exponents of the commutators $c_j = [x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}]$ in w' for which $i_0 = i_1$ or $i_0 = i_2$, but $i_0 \neq i_3, \dots, i_r$, are multiples of p . Hence, we may cancel all these c_j for each value of i_0 , yielding a word w'' .

At this point, we may assume that we have an identity $w'' = 1$ which holds in $H_{p,q}$, where w'' is a product of commutators of the form $[x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, \dots, x_{i_r}]$ with $i_1 < i_2$. Now, if we substitute $(\omega^{-a_1}, -a_1)$ for x_1 and $(\omega^{-a_2}\lambda, -a_2)$ for x_2 we obtain

$$[x_1, x_2] = ((\omega^{a_2} - 1) - (\omega^{a_1} - 1)\lambda, 0) = (\alpha, 0).$$

Hence, any such substitution together with the assignment of the value $(0, -a_i)$ for x_i ($i > 2$) gives the value $(\alpha P(\omega^{a_1} - 1, \dots, \omega^{a_r} - 1), 0)$ for w'' where $P(X_1, \dots, X_r)$ is a polynomial of degree less than q on each variable and independent of λ . With two appropriate choices for λ (such as $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = \omega$), and recalling that $w'' = 1$ holds in $H_{p,q}$, we deduce that $P(\omega^{a_1} - 1, \dots, \omega^{a_r} - 1) = 0$ for all choices of $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}_q$. Because of the degree restrictions on P , it follows that P is identically zero in $F[X_1, \dots, X_r]$. Hence, the exponents of the commutators $[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ in w'' are all multiples of p , and so we can cancel these commutators using the identity $[x, y]^p = 1$. Since this argument can be repeated for any pair of variables, we conclude that $w'' = 1$ is a consequence of the identities in (8).

This shows that any identity which holds in $H_{p,q}$ follows from the identities in (8) and completes the proof of the proposition.

THEOREM 4.5. *The minimal non-commutative pseudovarieties of groups are $\underline{V}(G_p)$ and $\underline{V}(H_{p,q})$ with p, q distinct primes. These pseudovarieties are all distinct and every non-commutative pseudovariety of groups contains one of them.*

Proof. Suppose \underline{W} is a non-commutative pseudovariety of groups. Let $G \in \underline{W}$ be a non-abelian group of minimal order. Then G is one-step non-commutative and so G is isomorphic to one of the groups listed in Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 4.2 and 4.3, together with the remark that the quaternion group generates the pseudovariety in (7), we then have that \underline{W} contains one of the pseudovarieties $\underline{V}(G_p)$ or $\underline{V}(H_{p,q})$.

Finally, using (2), (4) and (6)–(8), one can easily check that the particular pseudovarieties in the statement of the theorem are all distinct.

COROLLARY 4.6. *G_p (resp. $H_{p,q}$) is a generator of $\underline{V}(G_p)$ (resp. $\underline{V}(H_{p,q})$) of minimum size.*

5. Minimal non-commutative and minimal non-strongly left permutative pseudovarieties of semigroups. We start by introducing some semigroups which will be useful in the sequel.

DEFINITION 5.1.

$$N = \langle a, b; a^2 = b^2 = ba = 0 \rangle,$$

$$T = \langle e, a; e = e^2, a = ea, ae = 0 \rangle,$$

$$B(m, n) = \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ under } (i, j)(k, l) = (i, l).$$

Also, recall the semigroup D of Example 3.11. Note that D embeds both T and T' . Further, the following equalities can be verified directly, or else encountered in the literature (cf. Edmunds [4, 5]):

$$\underline{V}(N) = \llbracket xyz = 0 = x^2 \rrbracket$$

$$\underline{V}(B(1, 2)) = \llbracket xy = y \rrbracket$$

$$\underline{V}(T) = \llbracket x^2y = xy, xy^2 = yx^2 \rrbracket$$

$$\underline{V}(N^1) = \llbracket x^2 = x^3, x^2y = xyx = yx^2 \rrbracket$$

$$\underline{V}(B(1, 2)^1) = \llbracket x = x^2, xy = yxy \rrbracket.$$

Moreover, the indicated semigroups are generators of minimum size of the pseudovarieties they generate.

The following result summarizes Lemmas 2.2-2.6 of Margolis and Pin [10].

PROPOSITION 5.2. (i) *Let \underline{V} be a pseudovariety of monoids such that all groups in \underline{V} are abelian and N^1 , $B(1, 2)^1$, $B(2, 1)^1$ do not lie in \underline{V} . Let $M \in \underline{V}$. Then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}$ is a congruence on M and, if $a, b \in M$ and $ab \neq ba$, then $ab\mathcal{H}ba$ in the submonoid of M generated by $\{a, b\}$.*

(ii) *Part (i) remains valid if we replace the word “monoid” by “semigroup” and the monoids N^1 , $B(1, 2)^1$, $B(2, 1)^1$ by the semigroups N , $B(1, 2)$, $B(2, 1)$.*

Here, we present an alternate way of concluding the proof of the characterization of minimal non-commutative pseudovarieties of monoids given in [10], thus avoiding certain language theoretic arguments.

THEOREM 5.3. *The minimal non-commutative pseudovarieties of monoids are the ones of Theorem 4.5 together with $\underline{V}(N^1)$, $\underline{V}(B(1, 2)^1)$ and $\underline{V}(B(2, 1)^1)$. These pseudovarieties are all distinct and every non-commutative pseudovariety of monoids contains one of them.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 4.5 and the defining identities for each of the given pseudovarieties, it suffices to show that, if a pseudovariety \underline{W} does not contain any of the pseudovarieties in our list, then \underline{W} is commutative.

Suppose $M \in \underline{W}$, $a, b \in M$ and $ab \neq ba$. We may assume that $\{a, b\}$ generates M and, by Proposition 5.2(i), that M is \mathcal{J} -trivial. We show that then necessarily $N^1 \in \underline{W}$ and thus obtain a contradiction.

If $ab, ba \in E(M)$, then $abab = ab\mathcal{R}aba\mathcal{L}ba = baba$, and so $ab = aba = ba$. Suppose then ab is not an idempotent. If ba is an idempotent, then $ba <_{\mathcal{J}} ab$. Since $ab \neq ba$ and M is \mathcal{J} -trivial, either $ab \not\leq_{\mathcal{J}} ba$ or $ba \not\leq_{\mathcal{J}} ab$. Thus, we may assume that $ab \not\leq_{\mathcal{J}} ba$.

Let $I = \{x \in M: ab \not\leq_{\mathcal{J}} x\}$, an ideal of M . Let $M' = M/I$ and $M'' = M' \times M' \times M'$. Let I'' be the ideal of M'' consisting of all triples with at least one zero component. Finally, let $M''' = M''/I''$ and let $x = (a, 1, b)$, $y = (b, ab, 1)$. Then $x^2 = y^2 = yx = 0$ but $1, x, y, xy$, and 0 are all distinct in M''' . Hence, $N^1 \simeq \{1, x, y, xy, 0\} \subseteq M'''$ and so $N^1 \in \underline{W}$.

The next result corrects Theorem 2.8 [10].

THEOREM 5.4. *The minimal non-commutative pseudovarieties of semigroups are the ones of Theorem 4.5 together with $\underline{V}(N)$, $\underline{V}(B(1, 2))$, $\underline{V}(B(2, 1))$, $\underline{V}(T)$, and $\underline{V}(T')$. These pseudovarieties are all distinct and every non-commutative pseudovariety of semigroups contains one of them.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 5.3, we only need to consider a pseudovariety \underline{W} (of semigroups) which does not contain any of the pseudovarieties in the given list and show that \underline{W} must be commutative.

Suppose $S \in \underline{W}$, $a, b \in S$, and $ab \neq ba$. Again, we assume that S is generated by $\{a, b\}$ and, in view of Proposition 5.2(ii), that S is \mathcal{J} -trivial. And, just as in the monoid case, we may here assume that ab is not an idempotent, $ab \not\leq_{\mathcal{J}} ba$, and that the ideal $\{x \in S: ab \not\leq_{\mathcal{J}} x\}$ of S is reduced to zero.

Let $e = a^\omega$, $f = b^\omega$ (cf. §3). If $a^n = ab$, then $a^{n+1} = aba = 0$, so that $e = 0$. Similarly, if $b^m = ab$, then $f = 0$. If $e \neq 0$, then $ab = xey$ for some $x, y \in S$; since $ba = 0$, it follows that $x = ea^i$ for some $i > 0$, and so $eab = ab$ and $ab = eb$ (as then $ab\mathcal{L}eb$). Similarly, if $f \neq 0$, then $abf = ab = af$.

There are several cases to be considered.

(i) $e \neq 0$ and $f \neq 0$. Then, by the above $ab = ef$. Thus, $\{e, f, ef, 0\} \simeq D$, so that $T, T' \in \underline{W}$.

(ii) $e \neq 0$ and $f = 0$. Then $\{e, eb, 0\} \simeq T$ and so $T \in \underline{W}$.

(iii) $e = 0$ and $f \neq 0$. Then $\{f, af, 0\} \simeq T'$ and so $T' \in \underline{W}$.

(iv) $e = 0$ and $f = 0$. Consider the subsemigroup S' of $S \times S \times S$ generated by the elements $\alpha = (a, a, ab)$ and $\beta = (b, ab, b)$. Then $\alpha\beta = (ab, 0, 0) \neq 0 = (0, 0, 0) = \beta\alpha$. Let $I' = \{x \in S': \alpha\beta \not\leq_{\mathcal{J}} x\}$, an ideal of S' . Note that $\alpha^2, \beta^2, \beta\alpha \in I'$, while α, β , and $\alpha\beta$ are distinct elements of $S' \setminus I'$. Hence, $S'/I' \simeq N$ and $N \in \underline{W}$.

In all cases we reach a contradiction, whence the proof is complete.

LEMMA 5.5. *Let \underline{W} be a pseudovariety of semigroups and $S \in \underline{W}$. Let $e \in E(S)$ and $s \in S$.*

- (i) *If $se \not\leq_{\mathcal{J}} ese$, then $T' \in \underline{W}$.*
- (ii) *If $se \leq_{\mathcal{J}} ese$, then either $se = ese$ or $B(2, 1) \in \underline{W}$.*

Proof. (i) Suppose that $se \not\leq_{\mathcal{J}} ese$. Then $ese <_{\mathcal{J}} se$. Consider the Rees quotient S' of the subsemigroup of S generated by $\{e, s\}$ by its ideal $\{x: se \not\leq_{\mathcal{J}} x\}$. Then $\{e, se, 0\} \simeq T'$ and so $T' \in \underline{W}$.

(ii) Suppose that $se \leq_{\mathcal{J}} ese$. Then $se \leq_{\mathcal{L}} ese$, say $se = tese$ for some $t \in S$. Let $f = (te)^{\omega}$. Then $se = fse$ and $fe = f$. Hence, $fef = f$ and so $ef \in E(S)$ and $ef \leq_{\mathcal{L}} f$. Thus, either $ef \neq f$ and $B(2, 1) \simeq \{ef, f\} \in \underline{W}$, or $ef = f = fe$. In the latter case,

$$se = fse = efse = ese.$$

THEOREM 5.6. *A pseudovariety of semigroups is not strongly permutative if and only if it contains one of the pseudovarieties in Theorem 4.5 or one of $\underline{V}(N^1)$, $\underline{V}(B(1, 2))$, $\underline{V}(B(2, 1))$, $\underline{V}(T)$, or $\underline{V}(T')$. Moreover, these pseudovarieties are distinct minimal non-strongly permutative.*

Proof. It remains to show the only if part of the statement. Suppose \underline{W} is a pseudovariety of semigroups which does not contain any of the pseudovarieties listed in the theorem. By Theorems 3.3(iv) and 5.3, it suffices to show that if $S \in \underline{W}$, $s \in S$ and $e \in E(S)$, then $es = se$. But, by Lemma 5.5 and its left-right dual, we have $es = ese = se$, as desired.

THEOREM 5.7. *A pseudovariety of semigroups is not strongly left permutative if and only if it contains one of the pseudovarieties in Theorem 4.5 or one of $\underline{V}(N^1)$, $\underline{V}(B(1, 2)^1)$, $\underline{V}(B(2, 1))$, or $\underline{V}(T')$. Moreover, these pseudovarieties are distinct minimal non-strongly left permutative.*

Proof. The result now follows from Theorems 3.5(iv) and 5.3 along with Lemma 5.5.

REMARK 5.8. Theorem 5.6 may also be deduced from Theorem 5.7 and its left-right dual.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Almeida, *On power varieties of semigroups*, manuscript, Simon Fraser University.
- [2] C. J. Ash, *Pseudovarieties, generalized varieties and similarly described classes*, to appear in J. Algebra.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc., Math. Surveys, No. 7, Providence, R.I., 1961, 1967.
- [4] C. C. Edmunds, *On certain finitely based varieties of semigroups*, Semigroup Forum, **15** (1977), 21–39.
- [5] ———, *Varieties generated by semigroups of order four*, Semigroup Forum, **21** (1980), 67–81.
- [6] S. Eilenberg, *Automata, Languages and Machines*, Vol. B, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [7] P. M. Higgins, *Epimorphisms, permutation identities and finite semigroups*, manuscript, Monash University.
- [8] ———, *The permutation identities which ensure that a semigroup variety is finitely based*, manuscript, Monash University.
- [9] G. Lallement, *Semigroups and Combinatorial Applications*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1979.
- [10] S. W. Margolis and J. E. Pin, *Minimal non-commutative varieties and power varieties*, Pacific J. Math., **111** (1984), 125–135.
- [11] H. Neumann, *Varieties of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
- [12] P. Perkins, *Bases for equational theories of semigroups*, J. Algebra, **11** (1968), 298–314.
- [13] G. Pollák, *On the consequences of permutation identities*, Acta Sci. Math., **34** (1973), 323–333.
- [14] ———, *On hereditarily finitely based varieties of semigroups*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **37**, No. 3-4 (1975), 339–348.
- [15] ———, *On identities which define hereditarily finitely based varieties of semigroups*, Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 20, Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Szeged, Hungary, 1976, 447–452.
- [16] M. S. Putcha and A. Yaqub, *Semigroups satisfying permutation identities*, Semigroup Forum, **3** (1971), 68–73.
- [17] L. Rédei, *Algebra*, Vol. 1 (English translation), Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1967.
- [18] J. Reiterman, *The Birkhoff theorem for finite algebras*, Algebra Universalis, **14** (1982), 1–10.
- [19] M. Yamada, *Inversive semigroups III*, Nihon Gakushuin, Proc., **41** (1965), 221–224.
- [20] ———, *Regular semigroups whose idempotents satisfy permutation identities*, Pacific J. Math., **21**, No. 2 (1967), 371–392.

Received April 10, 1984. This work was supported, in part, by NSERC Grant A4044.

CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA
UNIVERSIDADE DO MINHO
4700 BRAGA, PORTUGAL

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HEBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1986 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Jorge Almeida , Minimal nonpermutative pseudovarieties of semigroups. I	257
Jorge Almeida , Minimal nonpermutative pseudovarieties of semigroups. II	271
Carlos Andradas Heranz and José Manuel Gamboa Mutuberria , On projections of real algebraic varieties	281
Zeev Ditzian , Inverse theorems for multidimensional Bernstein operators ...	293
M. Furi and Maria Patrizia Pera , A continuation principle for forced oscillations on differentiable manifolds	321
James J. Hebda , The collars of a Riemannian manifold and stable isosystolic inequalities	339
Henryk Hecht and Dragan Miličić , Character identities and asymptotic behavior of matrix coefficients of discrete series	357
Piotr Jakóbczak , The boundary regularity of the solution of the $\bar{\partial}$-equation in the product of strictly pseudoconvex domains	371
Krzysztof Jarosz , Isometries between injective tensor products of Banach spaces	383
Hans Keller , On valued, complete fields and their automorphisms	397
David Masser and Peter Man-Kit Shiu , On sparsely totient numbers	407
Tze-Beng Ng , Vector bundles over $(8k + 3)$-dimensional manifolds	427
Thomas Joseph Ransford , The spectrum of an interpolated operator and analytic multivalued functions	445
Akihito Uchiyama , On the radial maximal function of distributions	467
Jang-Mei Gloria Wu , On singularity of harmonic measure in space	485