# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON THE RADIAL MAXIMAL FUNCTION OF DISTRIBUTIONS

Акініто Иснічама

Vol. 121, No. 2

December 1986

## ON THE RADIAL MAXIMAL FUNCTION OF DISTRIBUTIONS

## Акініто Иснічама

We show that if the radial maximal function of a distribution  $f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  belongs to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then f belongs to  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This gives an affirmative answer to the question posed by Aleksandrov and Havin.

1. Introduction. Functions and distributions considered are realvalued. For  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , t > 0, a > 0 and for a measurable function h(x) defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  let

$$|x| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2\right)^{1/2},$$
  

$$B(x,t) = \left\{y \in R^n \colon |x - y| < t\right\},$$
  

$$Q(x,t) = \{(y,s) \colon y \in B(x,t), s \in (0,t)\},$$
  

$$(h)_t(x) = t^{-n}h(x/t)$$

and

$$\|h\|_{\Lambda_a} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t>0} \inf_{P: \deg P \le a} t^{-n-a} \int_{B(x,t)} |h(y) - P(y)| dy,$$

where the infimum is taken over all polynomials P(y) of degree  $\leq a$ . Let

$$\Lambda_a(R^n) = \left\{ h \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(R^n) \colon \left\| h \right\|_{\Lambda_a} < +\infty \right\}.$$

For a multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ , where  $\alpha_i$ 's are nonnegative integers, let

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i},$$
$$x^{\alpha} = x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$$

and

$$D^{\alpha}=\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

A linear functional f defined on  $\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which is the set of all  $C^{\infty}$ -functions with compact support, is said to belong to  $\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  if to every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  there correspond positive constants c and a such that

$$|f(\theta)| \leq c \sum_{\alpha: |\alpha| \leq a} \|D^{\alpha}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}},$$

whenever  $\theta \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and supp  $\theta \subset K$ .

A linear functional f defined on  $\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to belong to  $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  if there exist positive constants c and a such that

$$|f(\theta)| \leq c \sum_{\alpha: |\alpha| \leq a} ||(1+|x|)^a D^{\alpha} \theta(x)||_{L^{\infty}}$$

whenever  $\theta \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Let

(1.1) 
$$\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) \, dx = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset B(0,1).$$

For  $f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let

$$f_{\varphi}^+(x) = \sup_{t>0} |f^*(\varphi)_t(x)|,$$

where  $f * \theta(x) = f(\theta(x - \cdot))$ . In their celebrated paper [2], C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein characterized  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as a subspace of  $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$ . Among several other characterizations of  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , they showed that  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , 0 , can be identified with

(1.2) 
$$\left\{f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)': f_{\varphi}^+ \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\}.$$

[They showed this fact more generally for rapidly decreasing functions  $\varphi$  satisfying  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$ . See [2] Theorem 11.] Consequently, the space (1.2) turns out to be independent of the choice of  $\varphi$ . In the proof of "(1.2)  $\subset H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ", the condition  $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  is used to take Fourier transform and to give certain estimates on the growth of the function  $f^*(\varphi)_t(x)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ = \{(x, t): x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0\}$ .

Recently, in "Linear and complex analysis problem book" [4] p. 346, Aleksandrov and Havin asked whether the condition  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  in (1.2) can be replaced by the condition  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$ , namely whether (1.2) is equal to

(1.3) 
$$\left\{f\in\mathscr{D}(R^n)'\colon f_{\varphi}^+\in L^p(R^n)\right\}.$$

[As they pointed out, if  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ , then the answer is YES.] In this paper we answer their question affirmatively. It is enough to show that

$$(1.4) (1.3) \subset \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$$

for all  $p \in (0, 1)$ . The argument in this paper is a refinement of the author's paper [7].

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  let

$$f_{\varphi,\varepsilon}^+(x) = \sup_{t \in (0,\varepsilon]} |f \ast (\varphi)_t(x)|.$$

Our result is the following.

THEOREM. Assume (1.1). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $p \in (0, 1)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t_0 > 0$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\operatorname{supp} \psi \subset B(x_0, t_0)$ . Then

$$|f(\psi)| \leq C \left\{ \int_{B(x_0,(1+\varepsilon)t_0)} f_{\varphi,\varepsilon t_0}^+(x)^p dx \right\}^{1/p} ||\psi||_{\Lambda_{n(1/p-1)}},$$

where C is a constant depending only on  $\varepsilon$ , p,  $\varphi$  and n.

In particular we have

(1.5) 
$$|f(\boldsymbol{\theta})| \leq C_{p,\varphi,n} \|f_{\varphi}^{+}\|_{L^{p}} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\Lambda_{n(1/p-1)}}$$

for any  $p \in (0, 1)$ , any  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  and any  $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now, we explain how (1.4) follows from (1.5). Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\rho(x) \equiv 1$  near the origin. Put  $\sigma(x) = \rho(x/2) - \rho(x)$ . Then for any  $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have  $\theta(x) \equiv \theta(x)\rho(x/2^k)$  with k sufficiently large depending on the support of  $\theta$ . Thus

$$f(\theta) = f\left(\theta(\cdot)\left(\rho(\cdot) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma\left(\frac{\cdot}{2^{k}}\right)\right)\right)$$
$$= f(\theta(\cdot)\rho(\cdot)) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\theta(\cdot)\sigma\left(\frac{\cdot}{2^{k}}\right)\right)$$

because  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is actually a finite sum. This equality combined with (1.5) tells us

$$\begin{split} \left| f(\theta) \right| &\leq C_{p,\varphi,n} \left\| f_{\varphi}^{+} \right\|_{L^{p}} \left\{ \left\| \theta(\cdot)\rho(\cdot) \right\|_{\Lambda_{n(1/p-1)}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| \theta(\cdot)\sigma\left(\frac{\cdot}{2^{k}}\right) \right\|_{\Lambda_{n(1/p-1)}} \right\} \\ &\leq C_{p,\varphi,n,\rho} \left\| f_{\varphi}^{+} \right\|_{L^{p}} \sum_{\alpha: \ |\alpha| \leq n(1/p-1)+1} \left\| (1+|x|) D^{\alpha}\theta(x) \right\|_{L^{\infty}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $f_{\varphi}^+ \in L^p$  implies  $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  and we get (1.4).

The author would like to thank the referee for his very helpful suggestions.

## 2. Proof of the Theorem.

MAIN LEMMA. Assume (1.1). Let 0 < a < b and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\mathscr{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$  be a measurable set that satisfies

(2.1) 
$$\int \int_{\mathscr{E} \cap Q(x,t)} dy \, ds \le C_{2.1} t^{n+1}$$

for any  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ . Let  $\psi \in \Lambda_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$(2.2) \qquad \qquad \operatorname{supp} \psi \subset B(0,1).$$

Then there exists a measurable function k(x, t) defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$  such that

$$(2.3) k(x,t) = 0 on \mathscr{E},$$

(2.4) 
$$k(x,t) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus (\mathbb{B}(0,1+\varepsilon) \times (0,\varepsilon)),$$

(2.5) 
$$|k(x,t)| \le C_{2.2} \min(t^a ||\psi||_{\Lambda_a}, t^b ||\psi||_{\Lambda_b})$$

and

(2.6) 
$$\psi(x) = \int \int_{R^{n+1}_+} (\varphi)_s (y-x) k(y,s) \, dy \frac{ds}{s},$$

where  $C_{2,1}$  and  $C_{2,2}$  are positive constants depending only on a, b,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\phi$  and n.

We prove this in §3.

LEMMA 2.1. Let 0 < a < a'. Let  $h \in \Lambda_{a'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\operatorname{supp} h \subset B(0, 1)$ . Let  $\nu$  be a signed measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$  such that  $\operatorname{supp} \nu$  is bounded and that

$$(2.7) |\nu|(Q(x,t)) \le t^{n+a}$$

for any  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ , where |v| is the total variation of v. Let

$$g(x) = \int \int_{R^{n+1}_+} (h)_s(x-y) \, d\nu(y,s).$$

Then

$$\left\|g\right\|_{\Lambda_a} \leq C_{2.3} \left\|h\right\|_{\Lambda_{a'}},$$

where  $C_{2,3}$  is a constant depending only on a, a' and n.

*Proof.* We may assume  $||h||_{\Lambda_{a'}} = 1$ . Take any ball B = B(z, t), where  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and t > 0. Put

$$D_1 = \{(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+: s \in (0, t), |y - z| < t + s\}$$

and

$$D_2 = \{(y,s) \in R^{n+1}_+: s \ge t, |y-z| < t+s\}.$$

If  $x \in B$ , then

$$g(x) = \int \int_{D_1} (h)_s (x - y) \, d\nu(y, s) + \int \int_{D_2} (h)_s (x - y) \, d\nu(y, s)$$
  
=  $g_1(x) + g_2(x)$ .

By (2.7)

(2.8) 
$$\int_{B} |g_{1}(x)| dx \leq ||h||_{L^{1}} \int \int_{D_{1}} d|\nu|(y,s) \leq Ct^{n+a}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{split} \|g_2\|_{\Lambda_{a'}} &\leq \int \int_{D_2} \|(h)_s\|_{\Lambda_{a'}} d|\nu| \leq \int \int_{D_2} s^{-n-a'} d|\nu| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C(2^{j}t)^{-n-a'} \int \int_{D_2 \cap (Q(z,2^{j+1}t) \setminus Q(z,2^{j}t))} d|\nu| \\ &\leq \sum C(2^{j}t)^{-n-a'} (2^{j}t)^{n+a} \quad \text{by } (2.7) \\ &= Ct^{a-a'} \quad \text{by } a' > a, \end{split}$$

we get

(2.9) 
$$\inf_{P: \deg P \le a'} \int_{B} |g_{2}(x) - P(x)| dx \le ||g_{2}||_{\Lambda_{a'}} t^{n+a'}$$

$$\leq Ct^{a-a'}t^{n+a'} = Ct^{n+a}.$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.9) gives

(2.10) 
$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, t > 0} \inf_{P: \deg P \leq a'} t^{-n-a} \int_{B(z,t)} |g(x) - P(x)| dx \leq C.$$

It is known that if  $a' \ge a$  and if supp g is compact, then the left-hand side of (2.10) is equivalent with  $||g||_{\Lambda_a}$ . [For example see Taibleson and Weiss [6].] This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $a \ge 0$ , q > 1 and  $h \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\nu$  be a positive measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$  that satisfies (2.7) for any  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ . Then

$$\left\{\int \int_{R_{+}^{n+1}} \left|h * s^{-n} \chi_{B(0,s)}(y)\right|^{q(1+a/n)} d\nu(y,s)\right\}^{1/(q(1+a/n))} \leq C_{2.4} \|h\|_{L^{q}}$$

where  $\chi_B$  denotes the characteristic function of B and where  $C_{2.4}$  is a constant depending only on q, a and n.

This is due to L. Carleson and P. Duren. [See [1]. This is proved in [7], p. 583, too.]

Now, we begin the proof of our Theorem. In the following part of this section the letter C denotes various constants that depend only on  $\varepsilon$ , p,  $\varphi$  and n. We will show that if  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies (2.2), then

(2.11) 
$$|f(\psi)| \leq C \left\{ \int_{B(0,1+2\varepsilon)} f_{\varphi,\varepsilon}^+(x)^p dx \right\}^{1/p} ||\psi||_{\Lambda_{n(1/p-1)}}$$

Our Theorem follows from (2.11) combined with translation and dilation. In order to show (2.11) we may assume

(2.12) 
$$\int_{B(0,1+2\varepsilon)} f_{\varphi,\varepsilon}^+(x)^p \, dx < +\infty.$$

Let A > 1 and

(2.13)  $\mathscr{E} = \{(y,s) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\varepsilon):$  $|f * (\varphi)_s(y)|^{p/2} > Af_{\varphi,\varepsilon}^{+p/2} * s^{-n} \chi_{B(0,s)}(y) \}.$ 

LEMMA 2.3. Let p,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varphi$  and f be as in the Theorem. Let  $\mathscr{E}$  be defined by (2.13). Let  $(y, s) \in (B(0, 1 + \varepsilon) \times (0, \varepsilon)) \setminus \mathscr{E}$ . Then

$$|f * (\varphi)_{s}(y)|^{p/2} \leq A (f_{\varphi, \varepsilon}^{+} \chi_{B(0, 1+2\varepsilon)})^{p/2} * s^{-n} \chi_{B(0, s)}(y).$$

This is clear from the definition of  $\mathscr{E}$ .

LEMMA 2.4. Let p,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varphi$ , f and  $\mathscr{E}$  be as in Lemma 2.3. Then

(2.14) 
$$\int \int_{\mathscr{C} \cap Q(x,t)} dy \, ds \leq C_{2.5} A^{-1} t^{n+1}$$

for any  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ , where  $C_{2.5}$  is a constant depending only on n.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathscr{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \varepsilon)$ , it is enough to show (2.14) only for the case  $t \in (0, \varepsilon)$ . First note that

$$\int_{\mathscr{E}\cap (B(x,t/2)\times\{t\})} dy \leq \int_{B(x,t/2)} |f*(\varphi)_t(y)|^{p/2} \cdot \left\{At^{-n} \int_{B(y,t)} f_{\varphi,\varepsilon}^+(z)^{p/2} dz\right\}^{-1} dy$$

by the definition of *&* 

$$\leq \int_{B(x,t/2)} \left| f * (\varphi)_t(y) \right|^{p/2} dy$$
$$\cdot \left\{ At^{-n} \int_{B(x,t/2)} f_{\varphi,\varepsilon}^+(z)^{p/2} dz \right\}^{-1}$$
$$\leq A^{-1} t^n.$$

If 0 < s < t, then dividing B(x, t/2) into the union of the balls of radius s/2 with bounded overlap gives

$$\int_{\mathscr{E}\cap (B(x,t/2)\times \{s\})} dy \le CA^{-1}t^n$$

Thus

$$\int \int_{\mathscr{E}\cap Q(x,t)} dy \, ds = \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathscr{E}\cap (B(x,t)\times \{s\})} dy \leq CA^{-1}t^{n+1}.$$

We return to the proof of (2.11). Since  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$ , there exist constants  $a_0$  and  $c_0$ , depending on f and  $\varepsilon$ , such that

$$|f(\theta)| \le c_0 \|\theta\|_{\Lambda a_0}$$

whenever

(2.16) 
$$\theta \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 and  $\operatorname{supp} \theta \subset B(0, 1+2\varepsilon)$ ,

[where  $\Lambda a_0$  means  $\Lambda_{a_0}$ . It is easy to show that if  $\theta$  satisfies (2.16), then  $C \|\theta\|_{\Lambda a_0}$  dominates  $\sum_{\alpha: |\alpha| < a_0} \|D^{\alpha}\theta\|_{L^{\infty}}$ .] Let

(2.17) 
$$a = n(1/p - 1)$$

(2.18) 
$$b = \max(a, a_0) + 1.$$

Let  $C_{2,1}(a, a_0, \varepsilon, \varphi)$  and  $C_{2,2}(a, a_0, \varepsilon, \varphi)$  be the constants  $C_{2,1}$  and  $C_{2,2}$ . determined by the Main Lemma from the above data  $a, b, \varepsilon$ , and  $\varphi$ . Let

(2.19) 
$$A(a, a_0, \varepsilon, \varphi) = C_{2.5}/C_{2.1}(a, a_0, \varepsilon, \varphi).$$

Then by Lemma 2.4 we can apply the Main Lemma to the above a [in (2.17)], b [in (2.18)],  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with (2.2)] and  $\mathscr{E}$  [in (2.13) with  $A = A(a, a_0, \varepsilon, \varphi)$ ] and get k(x, t) that satisfies (2.3)–(2.6).

For r > 0 let

$$\psi_r(x) = \int \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n, s > r} (\varphi)_s (y - x) k(y, s) \, dy \frac{ds}{s}$$

Since supp  $\psi_r \subset B(0, 1 + 2\varepsilon)$  by (2.4) and (1.1) and since  $\psi_r \to \psi$  in  $\Lambda_{a_0}$  as  $r \to +0$ 

by (2.5) [with (2.18)], (2.6) and Lemma 2.1, we get  $f(\psi) = \lim_{r \to +0} f(\psi_r)$   $= \lim_{r \to +0} \int \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n, s > r} f * (\varphi)_s(y) k(y, s) \, dy \, \frac{ds}{s}.$ 

Thus

$$|f(\psi)| \leq \int \int_{R^{n+1}_+} |f^*(\varphi)_s(y)| |k(y,s)| \, dy \, \frac{ds}{s}$$
  
$$\leq \int \int A(a, a_0, \varepsilon, \varphi)^{2/p} \times \left( \left( f^+_{\varphi, \varepsilon} \chi_{B(0,1+2\varepsilon)} \right)^{p/2} * s^{-n} \chi_{B(0,s)}(y) \right)^{2/p} |k(y,s)| \, dy \, \frac{ds}{s}$$
  
by Lemma 2.3, (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\leq \int \int A(\cdots)^{2/p} (\cdots \ast \cdots)^{2/p} C_{2,2}(a, a_0, \varepsilon, \varphi) s^a \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a} dy \frac{ds}{s}$$
  
by (2.5)

$$= A(\cdots)^{2/p} C_{2,2}(\cdots) \int \int (\cdots \ast \cdots)^{2/p} s^a dy \frac{ds}{s} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}$$
  
$$\leq A(\cdots)^{2/p} C_{2,2}(\cdots) C\left(\int_{B(0,1+2\varepsilon)} f_{\varphi,\varepsilon}^+(x)^p dx\right)^{1/p} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}$$

by Lemma 2.2 and (2.17).

So far we have shown that if  $\varphi$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and p are as in the Theorem, if  $\psi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies (2.2) and if  $f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  satisfies (2.15) for any  $\theta$  satisfying (2.16), then

(2.20) 
$$|f(\psi)| \le A(a, a_0, \varepsilon, \varphi)^{2/p} C_{2,2}(a, a_0, \varepsilon, \varphi)$$
$$\cdot C \left( \int_{B(0, 1+2\varepsilon)} f_{\varphi, \varepsilon}^+(x)^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}.$$

The constants  $C_{2,2}$  and A in (2.20) are independent of  $c_0$  in (2.15). But unfortunately they depend on  $a_0$ . So, in order to get (2.11) we have to remove their dependency on  $a_0$ .

Let

$$\varepsilon' = ((1+2\varepsilon)/(1+\varepsilon)-1)/2$$

For  $\theta \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  let

$$\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f(\boldsymbol{\theta}((1+\epsilon)^{-1})).$$

If  $\theta \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and if supp  $\theta \subset B(0, 1 + 2\varepsilon')$ , then

$$|\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{\theta})| \leq c_0 \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta} \left( (1+\varepsilon)^{-1} \cdot \right) \right\|_{\Lambda a_0} = c_0 (1+\varepsilon)^{-a_0} \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta} \right\|_{\Lambda a_0},$$

by (2.15) and by  $\sup \theta((1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \cdot) \subset B(0, 1 + 2\varepsilon)$ . Thus replacing f and  $\varepsilon$  in (2.20) by  $\tilde{f}$  and  $\varepsilon'$  respectively gives that if  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies (2.2), then

$$(2.21) |\tilde{f}(\psi)| \leq A(a, a_0, \varepsilon', \varphi)^{2/p} C_{2,2}(a, a_0, \varepsilon', \varphi)$$

$$\cdot C \left( \int_{B(0, 1+2\varepsilon')} \tilde{f}^+_{\varphi, \varepsilon'}(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}$$

$$= \left\{ A(\cdots)^{2/p} C_{2,2}(\cdots) C \left( \int_{B(0, 1+2\varepsilon)} f^+_{\varphi, \varepsilon/2}(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right\}$$

$$\cdot (1+\varepsilon)^{n(1-1/p)} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}$$

$$= \{c_1\} (1+\varepsilon)^{n(1-1/p)} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}.$$

So if

(2.22) 
$$\theta \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 and supp  $\theta \subset B(0, 1 + \varepsilon)$ ,

then applying (2.21) to  $\psi(x) = \theta((1 + \varepsilon)x)$  gives

$$|f(\boldsymbol{\theta})| = |\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi})| \le c_1 (1+\varepsilon)^{n(1-1/p)} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{\Lambda_a} = c_1 \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\Lambda_a}.$$

The constant  $c_1$  depends on f but  $c_1 < +\infty$  by (2.12). Thus if we replace the condition (2.16) by the condition (2.22) [i.e. if we replace  $\varepsilon$  in (2.16) by  $\varepsilon/2$ ], then we can take  $a_0$  in (2.15) to be a (= n(1/p - 1)) with  $c_0$  replaced by  $c_1$ .

So, replacing  $a_0$  and  $\varepsilon$  in (2.20) by a and  $\varepsilon/2$  respectively gives

$$(2.23) |f(\psi)| \leq A(a, a, \varepsilon/2, \varphi)^{2/p} C_{2,2}(a, a, \varepsilon/2, \varphi)$$
  

$$\cdot C \left( \int_{B(0, 1+\varepsilon)} f_{\varphi, \varepsilon/2}^+(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}$$
  

$$\leq A(\cdots)^{2/p} C_{2,2}(\cdots) C \left( \int_{B(0, 1+2\varepsilon)} f_{\varphi, \varepsilon}^+(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}.$$

The constants A and  $C_{2,2}$  in (2.23) depend only on a,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varphi$  [i.e. on p,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varphi$ ]. Thus we get (2.11) and conclude the proof of the Theorem.

3. The proof of the Main Lemma. Take  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , depending only on  $\varepsilon$  and b, so that

(3.1) 
$$\operatorname{supp} \eta \subset B(0, \varepsilon/(4e)),$$

(3.2) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta(x) \, dx = 1$$

and that

(3.3) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta(x) x^{\alpha} dx = 0 \quad \text{if } 1 \le |\alpha| \le b,$$

where  $\alpha$  is a multi-index defined in §1. Put

$$\eta_{+}(x) = \eta(x) + (\eta)_{e}(x)$$
 and  $\eta_{-}(x) = \eta(x) - (\eta)_{e}(x)$ .

Note that

(3.4) 
$$\operatorname{supp} \eta_+, \operatorname{supp} \eta_- \subset B(0, \varepsilon/4)$$

and

(3.5) 
$$\int \eta_{-}(x) x^{\alpha} dx = 0 \quad \text{if } |\alpha| \le b$$

by (3.1) and (3.3). In this section the letter C denotes various positive constants that depend only on a, b,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\varphi$  and n.

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $a, b, \varepsilon, \varphi$  and  $\psi$  be as in the Main Lemma. Let  $\varepsilon' \in (0, 1)$ . Then there exist a measurable function  $\mathscr{k}(x, t)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$  and  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_L \in \Lambda_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

(3.6) 
$$\mathscr{I}(x,t) = 0 \quad on \ R^{n+1}_+ \setminus (B(0,1+\varepsilon) \times (0,\varepsilon)),$$

(3.7) 
$$|\mathscr{K}(x,t)| \leq C_{3.1} \min\left(t^a \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}, t^b \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_b}\right),$$

(3.8)  $\operatorname{supp} \psi_j \subset B(x_j, \varepsilon)$  for some  $x_j \in B(0, 1 + \varepsilon), j = 1, \dots, L$ ,

(3.9) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{L} \|\psi_j\|_{\Lambda_a} \leq \varepsilon' \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a},$$

(3.10) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{L} \|\psi_j\|_{\Lambda_b} \leq \varepsilon' \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_b}$$

and that

(3.11) 
$$\psi(x) = \int \int_{R^{n+1}_+} (\varphi)_s (y-x) \mathscr{k}(y,s) \, dy \, \frac{ds}{s} + \sum_{j=1}^L \psi_j(x),$$

where L is a positive integer depending only on  $\varepsilon$  and n and where  $C_{3,1}$  is a constant depending only on a, b,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon'$ ,  $\varphi$  and n.

Proof. Take 
$$\eta \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 so that (3.1)–(3.3) hold. Let  
(3.12)  $\mu \in (0, \varepsilon/4).$ 

Put

$$\mathscr{k}(x,t) = \begin{cases} \psi * (\eta_{-})_{t/\mu} * (\eta_{+})_{t/\mu}(x) & \text{if } 0 < t \le \mu/e, \\ \psi * (\eta)_{t/\mu} * (\eta)_{t/\mu}(x) & \text{if } \mu/e < t \le \mu, \\ 0 & \text{if } t > \mu. \end{cases}$$

Then (3.6) is clear. By (3.5) we get (3.7) with the constant  $C_{3.1}$  depending only on a, b,  $\eta$  and  $\mu$ . [The constant  $\mu$  will be determined later depending on a, b,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon'$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\varphi$ .]

By (3.2) and by

$$(\eta)_{t/\mu} * (\eta)_{t/\mu} - (\eta)_{et/\mu} * (\eta)_{et/\mu} = (\eta_{-})_{t/\mu} * (\eta_{+})_{t/\mu},$$

which we learned from Garnett and Latter [3], we get

$$\begin{split} \psi(x) &= \lim_{\tau \to +0} \int_{\tau}^{e\tau} \psi * (\eta)_s * (\eta)_s(x) \frac{ds}{s} \\ &= \lim_{\tau \to +0} \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{\mu} \psi * (\eta)_{t/\mu} * (\eta)_{t/\mu}(x) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &- \int_{\tau}^{\mu/e} \psi * (\eta)_{et/\mu} * (\eta)_{et/\mu}(x) \frac{dt}{t} \right\} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\mu} \pounds(x, t) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\mu} \pounds(\cdot, t) * (\check{\phi})_t(x) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &+ \left\{ \int_{0}^{\mu} \pounds(x, t) - \pounds(\cdot, t) * (\check{\phi})_t(x) \frac{dt}{t} \right\} \end{split}$$

(continues)

$$= \cdots + \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \mathscr{k}(x, \mu s) - \mathscr{k}(\cdot, \mu s) * (\check{\varphi})_{\mu s}(x) \frac{ds}{s} \right\}$$
  
$$= \cdots + \left\{ \int_{0}^{1/e} \psi * (\eta_{-})_{s} * (\eta_{+})_{s} * (\delta - (\check{\varphi})_{\mu s})(x) \frac{ds}{s} + \int_{1/e}^{1} \psi * (\eta)_{s} * (\eta)_{s} * (\delta - (\check{\varphi})_{\mu s})(x) \frac{ds}{s} \right\}$$
  
$$= \cdots + \{ (3.13) \},$$

where  $\check{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(-x)$  and where  $\delta$  denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at the origin. So it is enough to show that (3.13) can be written in the form  $\sum_{j=1}^{L} \psi_j(x)$  with the properties (3.8)–(3.10). Let  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{L} \subset B(0, 1 + \varepsilon)$  be such that

(3.14) 
$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{L} B(x_j, \varepsilon/2) \supset B(0, 1+\varepsilon)$$

and that

$$(3.15) |x_i - x_j| \ge \varepsilon/2 \text{if } i \neq j.$$

For  $j = 1, \ldots, L$  put

(3.16) 
$$\Omega_1 = B(x_1, \varepsilon/2),$$
$$\Omega_j = B\left(x_j, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{j-1} B\left(x_k, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right), \qquad j = 2, \dots, L,$$

and

$$\begin{split} \psi_{j}(x) &= \int \int_{\Omega_{j} \times (0, 1/e]} \left\{ \psi * (\eta_{-})_{s}(y) \right\} \left\{ \left( \eta_{+} * \left( \delta - (\check{\varphi})_{\mu} \right) \right)_{s}(x - y) \right\} dy \, \frac{ds}{s} \\ &+ \int \int_{\Omega_{j} \times (1/e, 1]} \psi(y) \left\{ \left( \eta * \eta * \left( \delta - (\check{\varphi})_{\mu} \right) \right)_{s}(x - y) \right\} dy \, \frac{ds}{s} \\ &= (3.17)_{j} + (3.18)_{j}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$(3.13) = \sum_{j=1}^{L} \psi_j(x).$$

Since

supp 
$$\eta_+ * (\delta - (\check{\varphi})_{\mu}) \subset B(0, \varepsilon/2)$$
 by (3.4) and (3.12),  
 $\|\eta_+ * (\delta - (\check{\varphi})_{\mu})\|_{\Lambda_{b+1}} \leq C\mu$ 

and since

$$\left|\psi * (\eta_{-})_{t}(x)\right| \leq C \min\left(t^{a} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_{a}}, t^{b} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_{b}}\right)$$

by (3.4) and (3.5), we get

(3.19)  $\operatorname{supp}(3.17)_j \subset B(x_j, \varepsilon),$ 

(3.20) 
$$||(3.17)_j||_{\Lambda_a} \le C\mu ||\psi||_{\Lambda_a}$$

and

(3.21) 
$$||(3.17)_j||_{\Lambda_b} \leq C\mu ||\psi||_{\Lambda_b}$$

by Lemma 2.1. Since

supp 
$$\eta * \eta * (\delta - (\check{\varphi})_{\mu}) \subset B(0, \varepsilon/2)$$

by (3.1) and (3.12) and since

$$\|\eta * \eta * (\delta - (\check{\varphi})_{\mu})\|_{\Lambda_b} \leq C\mu,$$

we get

$$(3.22) \qquad \qquad \operatorname{supp}(3.18)_{i} \subset B(x_{i}, \varepsilon)$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad \|(3.18)_{j}\|_{\Lambda_{a}} + \|(3.18)_{j}\|_{\Lambda_{b}} \le C\mu \|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C\mu \min(\|\psi\|_{\Lambda_{a}}, \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_{b}}).$$

The condition (3.8) follows from (3.19) and (3.22). The conditions (3.9) and (3.10) follow from (3.20), (3.21) and (3.23) by taking  $\mu$  small enough.

LEMMA 3.2. Let a, b,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be as in the Main Lemma. Then there exists a measurable function k(x, t) defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$  such that

(3.24)  $k(x,t) = 0 \quad on \ R^{n+1}_+ \setminus (B(0,1+\varepsilon) \times (0,\varepsilon)),$ 

(3.25) 
$$|k(x,t)| \le C_{3.2} \min(t^a ||\psi||_{\Lambda_a}, t^b ||\psi||_{\Lambda_b})$$

and (2.6) hold, where  $C_{3,2}$  is a constant depending only on a, b,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varphi$  and n.

Proof. We may assume

$$\varepsilon \in (0,1).$$

Let  $\varepsilon' \in (0, 1)$ . Applying Lemma 3.1 gives  $\mathscr{E}$ ,  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_L$  with the properties (3.6)–(3.11). Next, we apply Lemma 3.1 with dilation to each  $\psi_j$  and get  $\mathscr{E}_j, \psi_{j,1}, \ldots, \psi_{j,L}$  such that

$$(3.6)' \qquad \mathscr{k}_j(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{on } R^{n+1}_+ \setminus \left( B(x_j, (1+\varepsilon)\varepsilon) \times (0,\varepsilon^2) \right)$$

(for  $x_j$  see (3.8)),

$$(3.7)' \qquad | \mathscr{K}_j(x,t) | \leq C_{3.1} \min \Big( t^a \| \psi_j \|_{\Lambda_a}, t^b \| \psi_j \|_{\Lambda_b} \Big),$$

(3.8)'  $\operatorname{supp} \psi_{j,l} \subset B(x_{j,l}, \varepsilon^2)$  for some  $x_{j,l} \in B(x_j, (1+\varepsilon)\varepsilon)$ ,

(3.9)' 
$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} \|\psi_{j,l}\|_{\Lambda_a} \leq \varepsilon' \|\psi_j\|_{\Lambda_a},$$

(3.10)' 
$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} \|\psi_{j,l}\|_{\Lambda_b} \leq \varepsilon' \|\psi_j\|_{\Lambda_b}$$

and

(3.11)' 
$$\psi_{j}(x) = \int \int_{R_{+}^{n+1}} (\varphi)_{s} (y-x) \mathscr{k}_{j}(y,s) \, dy \, \frac{ds}{s} + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \psi_{j,l}(x).$$

Combining (3.6)-(3.11) and (3.6)'-(3.11)' gives

(3.26) 
$$\psi(x) = \int \int (\varphi)_s (y - x) \left\{ \pounds(y, s) + \sum_{j=1}^L \pounds_j (y, s) \right\} dy \frac{ds}{s}$$
  
  $+ \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{l=1}^L \psi_{j,l}(x),$ 

(3.27) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{L} \mathscr{k}_j(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{on } R^{n+1}_+ \setminus \big( B(0,(1+\varepsilon)(1+\varepsilon)) \times (0,\varepsilon^2) \big),$$

(3.28) 
$$\left|\sum \mathscr{K}_{j}(x,t)\right| \leq C_{3.1} \varepsilon' \min\left(t^{a} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_{a}}, t^{b} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_{b}}\right),$$

(3.29) 
$$\operatorname{supp} \psi_{j,l} \subset B(x_{j,l}, \varepsilon^2) \quad \text{with } x_{j,l} \in B(0, (1+\varepsilon)(1+\varepsilon)),$$

(3.30) 
$$\sum_{j,l} \|\psi_{j,l}\|_{\Lambda_a} \leq \varepsilon^{\prime 2} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}$$

and

(3.31) 
$$\sum_{j,l} \left\| \psi_{j,l} \right\|_{\Lambda_b} \leq \varepsilon^{\prime 2} \left\| \psi \right\|_{\Lambda_b}.$$

Repeating this process m times we get

$$\left\{ \mathbf{k}_{j_{1},...,j_{l}} \right\}_{l \in \{1,...,m-1\}; j_{1},...,j_{l} \in \{1,...,L\}}$$

and

$$\left\{\psi_{j_1,\ldots,j_m}\right\}_{j_1,\ldots,j_m\in\{1,\ldots,L\}}$$

such that

$$(3.26)' \quad \psi(x) = \int \int (\varphi)_{s} (y - x) \\ \times \left\{ \pounds(y, s) + \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j_{1}, \dots, j_{l} \in \{1, \dots, L\}} \pounds_{j_{1}, \dots, j_{l}} (y, s) \right\} dy \frac{ds}{s} \\ + \sum_{j_{1}, \dots, j_{m} \in \{1, \dots, L\}} \psi_{j_{1}, \dots, j_{m}} (x),$$

$$(3.27)' \qquad \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_l} \mathscr{\ell}_{j_1,\dots,j_l}(x,t) = 0$$
  
on  $R_+^{n+1} \setminus \left( B\left(0, (1+\varepsilon)(1+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2+\dots+\varepsilon^{l-1})\right) \times (0,\varepsilon^l) \right),$   
$$(3.28)' \qquad \left| \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_l} \mathscr{\ell}_{j_1,\dots,j_l}(x,t) \right| \le C_{3.1} \varepsilon'^l \min(t^a \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}, t^b \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_b}),$$
  
$$(3.29)' \qquad \text{supp } \psi_{j_1,\dots,j_m} \subset B\left(x_{j_1,\dots,j_m},\varepsilon^m\right)$$
  
with  $x_{j_1,\dots,j_m} \in B(0, (1+\varepsilon)(1+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2+\dots+\varepsilon^{m-1})),$   
$$(3.30)' \qquad \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_m} \|\psi_{j_1,\dots,j_m}\|_{\Lambda_a} \le \varepsilon'^m \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}$$

and

(3.31)' 
$$\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_m} \left\| \psi_{j_1,\ldots,j_m} \right\|_{\Lambda_b} \leq \varepsilon'^m \| \psi \|_{\Lambda_b}.$$

Put

$$k(x,t) = k(x,t) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_l} k_{j_1,\ldots,j_l}(x,t).$$

By (3.28)' this converges everywhere and satisfies (3.25). Since the second term on the right-hand side of (3.26)' goes to zero as  $m \to \infty$  by (3.29)', (3.30)' and by  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon' \in (0, 1)$ , we get (2.6) by letting  $m \to \infty$  in (3.26)'.

Since

$$k(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{on } R^{n+1}_+ \setminus (B(0,(1+\varepsilon)/(1-\varepsilon)) \times (0,\varepsilon))$$

by (3.27)', we get (3.24) by taking  $\varepsilon/3$  instead of  $\varepsilon$ .

LEMMA 3.3. Let a, b,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be as in the Main Lemma. Let  $\varepsilon' \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\mathscr{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$  be a measurable set such that

(3.32) 
$$\int \int_{\mathscr{E} \cap Q(x,t)} dy \, ds \le C_{3,3} t^{n+1}$$

for any  $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ . Then there exist a measurable function  $\mathscr{K}(x,t)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$  and  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_L \subset \Lambda_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$(3.33) \qquad \qquad \& (x,t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathscr{E},$$

(3.6),

(3.7)'' 
$$|\mathscr{k}(x,t)| \leq C_{3.4} \min(t^a \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}, t^b \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_b})$$

and (3.8)–(3.11) hold, where L is a positive integer depending only on  $\varepsilon$  and n and where  $C_{3,3}$  and  $C_{3,4}$  are positive constants depending only on a, b,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon'$ ,  $\varphi$  and n.

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 3.2 gives k(x, t) that satisfies (3.24), (3.25) and (2.6). Take  $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$  as in (3.14)–(3.16). Put

$$k(x,t) = k(x,t)\chi_{\mathscr{E}^c}(x,t)$$

and

$$\psi_j(x) = \int \int_{\mathscr{C} \cap (\Omega_j \times (0, \varepsilon))} (\varphi)_s(y-x) k(y, s) \, dy \, \frac{ds}{s}.$$

Then (3.33), (3.6), (3.7)" and (3.11) are clear. Since

$$\int \int_{Q(x,t)\cap\mathscr{E}} |k(y,s)| \, dy \, \frac{ds}{s} \leq CC_{3,3} \min\left(t^{n+a} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_a}, t^{n+b} \|\psi\|_{\Lambda_b}\right)$$

for any  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$  by (3.25) and (3.32), we get

$$\left\|\psi_{j}\right\|_{\Lambda_{a}} \leq CC_{3.3} \left\|\psi\right\|_{\Lambda_{a}}$$

and

$$\left\|\psi_{j}\right\|_{\Lambda_{b}} \leq CC_{3.3} \left\|\psi\right\|_{\Lambda_{b}}$$

by Lemma 2.1. Thus by taking  $C_{3,3}$  small enough depending on  $a, b, \epsilon, \epsilon'$  and n, we get (3.9) and (3.10). Since

$$\operatorname{supp} \psi_i \subset B(x_i, 2\varepsilon)$$

(for  $x_i$  see (3.16)), we get (3.8) by taking  $\varepsilon/2$  instead of  $\varepsilon$ .

Note that Lemmas 3.3 and 3.1 differ only by the condition (3.33). Similarly, the Main Lemma and Lemma 3.2 differ only by the condition (2.3). So the Main Lemma follows from Lemma 3.3 in exactly the same way as Lemma 3.2 followed from Lemma 3.1, using the fact that the set

$$\mathscr{E}_r = \{(rx, rt) : (x, t) \in \mathscr{E}\}$$

satisfies (3.32) for any r > 0 whenever  $\mathscr{E}$  satisfies it. We do not repeat this argument.

#### References

- [1] P. Duren, Extension of a theorem of Carleson, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75 (1969), 143-146.
- [2] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H<sup>p</sup> spaces of several varialbes, Acta Math., 129 (1972), 137–193.
- [3] J. Garnett and H. Latter, The atomic decomposition of Hardy spaces in several complex variables, Duke Math. J., 45 (1978), 815-845.
- [4] V. P. Havin, S. V. Hruscev and N. K. Nikol'skii, *Linear and Complex Analysis Problem Book*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 1043, Springer, 1984.
- [5] E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton, 1970.
- [6] M. Taibleson and G. Weiss, The Morecular Characterization of Certain Hardy Spaces, Asterisque, 77 (1980), 67–149.
- [7] A. Uchiyama, A maximal function characterization of H<sup>p</sup> on the space of homogeneous type, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 262 (1980), 579-592.

Received July 27, 1984. Supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research and by NSFMCS-8203319.

2-3-4 Nishitokorozawa Tokorozawa, 359 Japan 

#### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HEBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125 R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY University of California C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

Berkeley, CA 94720 ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

(1906 - 1982)

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1986 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 121, No. 2 December, 1986

Jorge Almeida, Minimal nonpermutative pseudovarieties of semigroups.
Jorge Almeida, Minimal nonpermutative pseudovarieties of semigroups.
II
Carlos Andradas Heranz and José Manuel Gamboa Mutuberría, On
projections of real algebraic varieties
Zeev Ditzian, Inverse theorems for multidimensional Bernstein operators 293
M. Furi and Maria Patrizia Pera, A continuation principle for forced
oscillations on differentiable manifolds
James J. Hebda, The collars of a Riemannian manifold and stable
isosystolic inequalities
Henryk Hecht and Dragan Miličić, Character identities and asymptotic
behavior of matrix coefficients of discrete series
<b>Piotr Jakóbczak.</b> The boundary regularity of the solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation
in the product of strictly pseudoconvex domains
Krzysztof Jarosz, Isometries between injective tensor products of Banach
spaces
Hans Keller, On valued, complete fields and their automorphisms
David Masser and Peter Man-Kit Shiu, On sparsely totient numbers 407
<b>Tze-Beng Ng,</b> Vector bundles over $(8k + 3)$ -dimensional manifolds
<b>Thomas Joseph Ransford.</b> The spectrum of an interpolated operator and
analytic multivalued functions
Akihito Uchivama. On the radial maximal function of distributions
Jang-Mei Gloria Wu, On singularity of harmonic measure in space