Pacific Journal of Mathematics

RAMIFICATION AND UNINTEGRATED VALUE DISTRIBUTION

JOHN ROBERT QUINE, JR.

Vol. 122, No. 2

February 1986

RAMIFICATION AND UNINTEGRATED VALUE DISTRIBUTION

J. R. QUINE

For a holomorphic map f from the complex plane into the Riemann sphere, the ramification term $n_1(f, r)$ is studied. A geometric version of ramification is defined in terms of the intersection points of $f(z) \times f(z + h)$ with the diagonal Δ for a suitable vector field h. Estimates of a counting function for this intersection number are given in terms of the mean covering number.

1. Introduction. Let S be the Riemann sphere normalized with radius $1/2\sqrt{\pi}$ and area 1. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{C} \to S$ is a non-constant holomorphic mapping (meromorphic function). Let B(r) denote the ball $|z| \leq r$ in the complex plane. Let $n_1(r)$ and $N_1(r)$ be the unintegrated and integrated counting functions for ramification as in the value distribution theories of Ahlfors and Nevanlinna ([1], [6]). Let L(r) = L(f, r) denote the length of $f(\partial B(r))$ and A(r) = A(f, r) the area of f(B(r)) counting multiplicity (also called the mean covering number).

If f is rational, the total ramification is 2A - 2 where A is the area or degree. In general, as a consequence of Nevanlinna's second main theorem, we know that there is a set E of finite logarithmic measure such that

(1)
$$N_1(r) \le 2T(r) + o(T(r))$$

as $r \to \infty$ in \tilde{E} , where T is the Nevanlinna characteristic. (A derivation of this estimate directly from the Gauss-Bonnet theorem is given in Griffiths [3].) In the unintegrated theory of Ahlfors [1], the term $n_1(r)$ disappears from the second main theorem (Nevanlinna [6], p. 350). Although the ramification at the points a_1, \ldots, a_q is still counted, an inequality analogous to (1) cannot be proven. Terms of the form o(A(r)) in Ahlfors theory are given in the form cL(r) where c is a constant. In the class of functions dealt with in this theory, ramification can be added topologically to any given $f|_{B(r)}$ while L(r) changes very little. One can imagine adding "loops" of arbitrarily small length to $f(\partial B(r))$. This does suggest, however, that ramification "near" $\partial B(r)$) should not be counted. In the theory of Rickman and the treatment of the Ahlfors theory by Pesonen [7], none of the ramification term is included. (This seems to be an advantage in dealing with higher dimensions.)

The purpose of this paper is to investigate a modified ramification term in the unintegrated theory and obtain some estimates of the type (1). To do so we abandon the classical notion of ramification and go to a more geometric version. Consider a map of the form (f(z), f(z+h)) from C into $S \times S$ where h = h(z) is some suitably chosen vector (difference) field on C. We will consider h only of the form c or cz, where c is a complex constant. Let $f_h(z) = f(z + h)$. A point z_0 where $(f \times f_h)(z_0)$ is in the diagonal Δ of $S \times S$ is a geometric version of a ramification point. As $h \to 0$, in fact, these points approach the ramification points of f. The advantage of the geometric version is that we can think of the chordal distance from f to f_h , $[f, f_h]$, as being a measure of "proximity" to Δ . To use the chordal distance, choose h as above and choose $\alpha > 0$. Consider the subset of C determined by the inequality $[f(z), f(z+h)] < \alpha$. This will be a region of C bounded by the piecewise smooth curve [f(z), $f(z+h) = \alpha$. Let $P(r, h, \alpha)$ be the union of the components of this set which intersect $\partial B(r)$. These are analogous to the peninsulas in the Ahlfors theory of the counting function for regions in the plane. Let $n_1(r, h, \alpha)$ count the number of intersections of $f \times f_h$ with Δ for z in $B(r) \cap \tilde{P}(r, h, \alpha)$. This counts the intersection "far" from $\partial B(r)$. Our main estimate is:

THEOREM 1. Suppose $f \times f_h$ does not intersect Δ on $\partial B(r)$, then $n_1(r, h, \alpha) \leq A(f_h, r) + A(f, r) + \frac{1}{\pi \alpha} (L(f_h, r) + L(f, r)).$

As an easy corollary, we get

COROLLARY 1. Let $h(z) = (e^{i\beta} - 1)z$ for β real such that the hypothesis of Theorem 1 is satisfied, then for fixed $\alpha > 0$ there is a set E of finite logarithmic measure such that

$$n_1(r,h,\alpha) \le 2A(r) + o(A(r))$$

as $r \to \infty$ in \tilde{E} .

The proof is based on an estimate of the form $|\sigma| \le 2 ds'$ where σ is a 1-form on $S \times S - \Delta$ such that $d\sigma$ represents the Poincaré dual of Δ , and ds' is the naturally defined metric on $S \times S$ (Lemma 1).

2. Definitions. Let w be the usual coordinate system for the finite part of S, with 1/w used as a local coordinate near ∞ . The metric on S is given by

(2)
$$ds = \frac{|dw|}{\sqrt{\pi} \left(1 + |w|^2\right)}$$

and the associated area form is

$$\omega = \frac{i}{2\omega} \frac{dw \wedge d\overline{w}}{\left(1 + |w|^2\right)^2}$$

We consider $S \times S$ as a complex 2 manifold with the product, (w_1, w_2) , of the usual coordinate system on S, plus $(1/w_1, w_2)$, $(w_1, 1/w_2)$, $(1/w_1, 1/w_2)$ as coordinate patches covering $S \times S$. All computations will be done in the first one. Let $d = \overline{\partial} + \partial$ and $d^{\perp} = i(\overline{\partial} - \partial)$ be the usual differential operators. Recall that d^{\perp} commutes with a holomorphic map. The main rule for computing with these is that d^{\perp} re $\phi = d \operatorname{im} \phi$ for ϕ analytic. The chordal distance is defined on S by

(4)
$$[w_1, w_2] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{|w_1 - w_2|}{\sqrt{1 + |w_1|^2} \sqrt{1 + |w_2|^2}},$$

which can be thought of as a function from $S \times S$ into the reals.

We consider the pullback (pseudo) metric $f^*(ds)$ on **C**, which we will call ds for simplicity. This metric gives a coordinate-free way of expressing the ramification. If f has ramification number k at z_0 , then $ds/|dz| = |z - z_0|^k \phi(z)$ where $\phi(z_0) \neq 0$, and ϕ is smooth at z_0 . Hence

(5)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z-z_0|=\varepsilon} d^{\perp} \log \frac{ds}{|dz|} = k.$$

(See Cowen and Griffiths [2].) If $f \times f_h(z_0) \in \Delta$ is an isolated intersection point, define

(6)
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z-z_0|=\epsilon} d^{\perp} \log[f, f_h] = \text{intersection number of } f \times f_h$$
with Δ at z_0 .

This is in accord with the usual definition from intersection theory (Guillamin and Pollak [5]). If $f(z_0)$ is finite, then this is also the order of the zero of $w_1(z) - w_2(z)$ at z_0 .

Let $n_1(r, h)$ denote the total number of isolated intersection points, counting multiplicity, of $f \times f_h$ with Δ in B(r). Clearly $\lim_{h \to 0} [f, f_h]/|h| = ds/|dz|$, hence by (5) and (6) $\lim_{h \to 0} n_1(r, h) = n_1(r)$ – number of zeros of h in B(r). This last quantity is 0 or 1 by the way h was chosen. In this sense, the ramification points of f are limit points of the intersection points of $f \times f_h$ with Δ .

Since $f \times f_h$ is holomorphic, the integrand in (6) is

$$(f \times f_h)^* d^{\perp} \log[w_1, w_2],$$

or the pullback of the 1-form $d^{\perp} \log[w_1, w_2]$ defined on $S \times S - \Delta$. We have

(7)
$$d^{\perp} \log[w_{1}, w_{2}] = d^{\perp} \log|w_{1} - w_{2}|$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}d^{\perp} \left(\log(1 + |w_{1}|^{2}) + \log(1 + |w_{2}|^{2})\right)$$
$$= d^{\perp} \log|w_{1} - w_{2}| - \frac{|w_{1}|^{2}}{1 + |w_{1}|^{2}}d^{\perp} \log|w_{1}|$$
$$- \frac{|w_{2}|^{2}}{1 + |w_{2}|^{2}}d^{\perp} \log|w_{2}|.$$

Now taking the differential of (7) and using the fact that

$$dd^{\perp}\log|w_1-w_2|=0,$$

get

(8)
$$dd^{\perp} \log[w_1, w_2] = -\frac{d|w_1|^2 \wedge d\arg w_1}{\left(1 + |w_1|^2\right)^2} - \frac{d|w_2|^2 \wedge d\arg w_2}{\left(1 + |w_1|^2\right)^2}$$
$$= -i\frac{dw_1 \wedge d\overline{w}_1}{\left(1 + |w_1|^2\right)^2} - i\frac{dw_2 \wedge d\overline{w}_2}{\left(1 + |w_2|^2\right)^2}$$
$$= -2\pi(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$$

on $S \times S - \Delta$, where ω_1 is the pullback of ω by projection on the first coordinate and similarly for ω_2 .

We remark that as $h \to 0$, (8) becomes $dd^{\perp} \log ds = -2\omega$ on S. This expresses the fact that the Gaussian curvature of S is 2. Equations (7) and (8) together show $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ is Poincaré dual to Δ in $S \times S$, or that $dd^{\perp} \log[w_1, w_2]$ as a distribution equal to $\Delta - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ (see Griffiths and Harris [4] for the relevant cohomology theory).

3. A preliminary estimate. The key to the proof is an estimate of $|d^{\perp} \log[w_1, w_2]|$ on $S \times S$ in terms of the metric

(9)
$$(ds')^2 = \frac{|dw_1|^2}{\pi (1+|w_1|^2)^2} + \frac{|dw_2|^2}{\pi (1+|w_2|^2)}$$

The basic idea is exemplified by the differential $d^{\perp} \log|z| = \operatorname{im}(dz/z)$ in the plane minus the origin. Clearly no global estimate of the form $|d^{\perp} \log|z|| \le C|dz|$ is possible, but since $|d^{\perp} \log|z|| \le |dz|/|z|$ we have

LEMMA 1. On
$$S \times S - \Delta$$
,
(10) $[w_1, w_2] | d^{\perp} \log[w_1, w_2] | \le 2 ds'$.

Proof. By (7),

(11)
$$d^{\perp} \log[w_1, w_2] = \operatorname{im} \left(\frac{dw_2 - dw_2}{w_2 - w_1} - \frac{\overline{w}_1 dw_1}{1 + |w_1|^2} - \frac{\overline{w}_2 dw_2}{1 + |w_2|^2} \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{im} \left(\frac{(1 + |w_2|^2)(1 + \overline{w}_1 w_2)(-dw_1) + (1 + |w_1|^2)(1 + \overline{w}_2 w_1) dw_2}{(w_2 - w_1)(1 + |w_1|^2)(1 + |w_2|^2)} \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{im}(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{(1 + \overline{w}_1 w_2)}{w_1 - w_2} \frac{dw_1}{(1 + |w_1|^2)}$$

and σ_2 is defined similarly with w_1 and w_2 switched.

Now we have

(12)
$$\frac{[w_1, w_2]|\sigma_1|}{ds'} \le \frac{|w_1 - w_2|}{\sqrt{1 + |w_1|^2}\sqrt{1 + |w_2|^2}} |\sigma_1| \frac{1 + |w_1|^2}{|dw_1|}$$
$$= \frac{|1 + \overline{w}_1 w_2|}{\sqrt{1 + |w_1|^2}\sqrt{1 + |w_2|^2}} \le 1$$

where the last inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Similarly, we have

(13)
$$\frac{[w_1, w_2]|\sigma_2|}{ds'} \le 1.$$

Now by (11), (12), and (13) we get

$$[w_1, w_2] | d^{\perp} \log[w_1, w_2] | \leq [w_1, w_2] (|\sigma_1| + |\sigma_2|) \leq 2 ds'.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

4. Proof of Theorem 1. We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 1. Let $D(r, h, \alpha) = B(r) \cap \tilde{P}(r, h, \alpha)$. We have $\partial D = \partial'D + \partial''D$ where $\partial'D = \partial B \cap \tilde{P}$ and $\partial''D = B \cap \partial \tilde{P}$. On $\partial''D$, $[f, f_h] = \alpha$, and the region $[f, f_h] < \alpha$ lies to the right. Thus the directional derivative in the direction of vectors pointing to the right is non-positive. Hence $d^{\perp} \log[f, f_h] \le 0$ along $\partial D''$ and

(14)
$$\int_{\partial''D} d^{\perp} \log[f, f_h] \leq 0.$$

By (6), (7), (8) and Stokes' theorem,

(15)
$$n_{1}(r,h,\alpha) = \int_{D} (f \times f_{h})^{*} \omega_{1} + \int_{D} (f \times f_{h})^{*} \omega_{2}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D} (f \times f_{h})^{*} (d^{\perp} \log[w_{1},w_{2}])$$
$$= \int_{D} f^{*} \omega + \int_{D} f_{h}^{*} \omega + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D} d^{\perp} \log[f,f_{h}]$$
$$\leq A(f,r) + A(f_{h},r) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D} d^{\perp} \log[f,f_{h}].$$

Using Lemma (1), (14) and $[f, f_h] \ge \alpha$ on $\partial' D$, get

$$(16) \quad \int_{\partial D} d^{\perp} \log[f, f_{h}] = \int_{\partial D} d^{\perp} \log[f, f_{h}] + \int_{\partial' D} d^{\perp} \log[f, f_{h}] \\ \leq \int_{\partial' D} d^{\perp} \log[f, f_{h}] \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial' D} (f \times f_{h})^{*} ds' \\ = \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial' D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{|df|^{2}}{(1+|f|^{2})^{2}} + \frac{|df_{h}|^{2}}{(1+|f_{h}|^{2})^{2}} \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial' D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{|df|}{1+|f|^{2}} + \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial' D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{|df_{h}|}{1+|f_{h}|^{2}} \\ \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial B} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{|df|}{1+|f|^{2}} + \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial B} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{|df_{h}|}{1+|f_{h}|^{2}} \\ = \frac{2}{\alpha} (L(f, r) + L(f_{h}, r)).$$

Now (15) and (16) combined give Theorem 1.

To prove the Corollary, note that $f_h(z) = f(ze^{i\beta})$ so that in this case $A(f_h, r) = A(f, r)$ and $L(f_h, r) = L(f, r)$. The estimate on L(r) is obtained in the usual manner (Nevanlinna [6], p. 350).

5. Conclusion. The above gives, at least in principle, a way to derive bounds on a term $n_1(r, h, \alpha)$ related to ramification and dependent on two parameters h and α . In Corollary 1 since the right-hand-side of the

inequality is independent of h, we can choose $h = h_r$ such that $[f, f_h]/|h| \rightarrow ds/|dz|$ in B(r) as $r \rightarrow \infty$. If $\alpha = \alpha_r$ and $\alpha_r/|h_r| \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ then $n_1(r, h_r, \alpha_r) \rightarrow n_1(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, however α_r must remain bounded below to get the uniform estimate on the remainder term.

The purpose of the paper was to establish two facts: first that by looking at maps from $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$ to $S \times S$, the corresponding counting function n_1 can be treated in a way analogous to the counting function for domains in the Ahlfors theory; secondly, that it is possible to obtain bounds of the form cL on the remainder term in the unintegrated theory by proving an inequality of the form $|d^{\perp} \log[w_1, w_2]| \leq ds'$ on $S \times S$. The hope is that such an approach will establish a basis for proving the Ahlfors defect relation in a way that can be extended to higher dimensions and for which a treatment of the n_1 term is possible.

References

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors, Zur Theorie der Uberlagerungsflächen, Acta. Math., 65 (1935).
- M. Cowen and P. Griffiths, Holomorphic curves and metrics of negative curvature, J. D'Anal. Math., 29 (1976), 93-153.
- [3] P. Griffiths, Entire Holomorphic Mappings in One and Several Complex Variables, Princeton, 1976.
- [4] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, Wiley, 1978.
- [5] V. Guillemin and A. Pollack, Differential Topology, Prentice-Hall, 1974.
- [6] R. Nevanlinna, Analytic Functions, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [7] M. Pesonen, A path family approach to Ahlfor's value distribution theory, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI Math. Dissertationes 39, 1982.

Received June 28, 1984.

THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY TALLAHASSEE, FL 32306

AND

THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY PRINCETON, NJ 08540

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HEBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125 R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY University of California C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

Berkeley, CA 94720

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

E. F. BECKENBACH

(1906 - 1982)

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1986 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 122, No. 2 February, 1986

Gideon Amit and David Chillag, On a question of Feit concerning
character values of finite solvable groups
Constantin Gelu Apostol and Frank Larkin Gilfeather, Isomorphisms
modulo the compact operators of nest algebras
Parviz Azimi and James Neil Hagler, Examples of hereditarily l^1 Banach
spaces failing the Schur property
Brian Evan Blank, Boundary behavior of limits of discrete series
representations of real rank one semisimple groups
Jeffrey Carroll, Some undecidability results for lattices in recursion
theory
Gerald Howard Cliff and Alfred Rheinhold Weiss, Crossed product and
hereditary orders
Ralph Cohen, Realizing transfer maps for ramified coverings
Ronald James Evans, Hermite character sums
C. L. Frenzen and Roderick Sue-Chuen Wong, Asymptotic expansions of
the Lebesgue constants for Jacobi series
Bruno Iochum, Nonassociative L ^p -spaces
John McDonald, Unimodular approximation in function algebras
John Robert Quine, Jr., Ramification and unintegrated value distribution 441
Marc Raphael, Commutants of quasisimilar subnormal operators
Parameswaran Sankaran and Peter Zvengrowski, On stable
parallelizability of flag manifolds455
Helga Schirmer, A relative Nielsen number
Barry Simon, Schrödinger semigroups on the scale of Sobolev spaces 475
Viakalathur Shankar Sunder, Stochastic integration in Fock space
Jan de Vries, A note on the G-space version of Glicksberg's theorem493