Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NOTE ON THE *G*-SPACE VERSION OF GLICKSBERG'S THEOREM

JAN DE VRIES

Vol. 122, No. 2 February 1986

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 122, No 2, 1986

A NOTE ON THE G-SPACE VERSION OF GLICKSBERG'S THEOREM

J. DE VRIES

In an earlier paper, the author generalized Glicksberg's theorem about the Stone-Čech compactifiction of products to the context of G-spaces and their maximal G-compactifications, where G is an arbitrary locally compact group, acting on all spaces under consideration. However, in that paper only products of finitely many factors were considered. In the present note, infinite products are taken into account.

A note on the G-space version of Glicksberg's theorem. This note is a supplement to [2] and [3]. In [2] the theorem below was proved for finite products (with "G-pseudocompact" instead of "pseudocompact"). Later in [3] it was shown that G-pseudocompactness is equivalent to pseudocompactness. Using the result from [3], we are now able to prove the theorem in its full generality. For notation and terminology we refer to [2]. In particular, G is a locally compact topological group and all G-spaces have completely regular Hausdorff phase spaces.

THEOREM. *Let* $\{\langle X_\lambda, \pi_\lambda\rangle\colon \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ *be a set of G-spaces. Then the following statements hold true*:

(i) *Suppose G is locally connected and there exists a partition* $\Lambda = \Lambda \cup \Delta$ *such that both* $\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma} X_\gamma$ *and* $\prod_{\delta\in\Delta} X_\delta$ *are G-infinite. If* $\beta_G(\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda) = \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \beta_G X_\lambda$, *then* $\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda$ *is pseudocompact.*

(ii) *If* $\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda$ *is pseudocompact, then* $\beta_G(\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda) = \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \beta_G X_\lambda$.

Proof. (i) In [2] this statement was proved for a product of two factors (note, that by [3] the conclusion of [2] that the product is G-pseudocompact, implies that the product is pseudocompact). So we have to reduce the case of infinite products to the case of a product of two factors. This can be done exactly as in [1], once the following claim has been proved:

Claim. If $\beta_G(\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda) = \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \beta_G X_\lambda$, then for every subset Γ of Λ one has $\beta_G(\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma} X_\gamma) = \prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \beta_G X_\gamma$. (This claim holds true without the additional conditions, mentioned in the theorem above.) The proof of this claim cannot be given similar as in (one of the footnotes of) [1], because in general the embedding of a subproduct in the full product cannot be

performed in an equivariant way. Instead, we shall use the projection p_Γ: $\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda \to \prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma} X_\gamma$, which is equivariant.

Proof of the claim. We need the following notational convention. If ψ: $Y_1 \to Y_2$ is a continuous mapping between two topological spaces, then $R_\psi := \{(y, y') \in Y_1 \times Y_1 \colon \psi(y) = \psi(y')\}$. If Y_2 is a Hausdorff space, then R_ψ is closed in $Y_1 \times Y_1$. We shall prove, that $\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\beta_G X_\gamma$ has the universal property, which characterizes $\beta_G(\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma} X_\gamma)$. So let $\langle Z, \zeta\rangle$ be an arbitrary compact Hausdorff G-space and let ϕ: $\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma} X_\gamma \to Z$ be an equivariant continuous mapping. By assumption, $\phi \circ p_\Gamma$: $\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda \to Z$ has a continuous extension $\tilde{\phi}$: $\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\beta_G X_\lambda \to Z$. Let $\bar{p}_\Gamma$ denote the canonical projection of $\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\beta_G X_\lambda$ onto $\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\beta_G X_\gamma$. We want to show that $\tilde{\phi}$ factorizes over $\bar{p}_\Gamma$. To do so, consider the set $R_{\tilde{\phi}} \subseteq \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\beta_G X_\lambda \times \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\beta_G X_\lambda$. By the definition of $\tilde{\phi}$, it is clear that

$$R_{\bar{p}_\Gamma} \cap \Big(\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda \times \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda\Big) = R_{p_\Gamma} \subseteq R_{\phi\circ p_\Gamma} \subseteq R_{\tilde{\phi}}.$$

As for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, X_λ is dense in $\beta_G X_\lambda$ this implies that

$$R_{\bar{p}_\Gamma} \overset{\star}{\subseteq} \overline{R_{\bar{p}_\Gamma} \cap \Big(\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \times \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda\Big)} \subseteq \bar{R}_{\tilde{\phi}} = R_{\tilde{\phi}}.$$

(Note, that in general for a closed set S and a dense set D of a space Y one need not have $S \subseteq \overline{S \cap D}$, but in this special case the inclusion $\overset{\star}{\subseteq}$ is easily seen to be correct: every (basic) nbd of a point of $R_{\bar{p}_\Gamma}$ meets $R_{\bar{p}_\Gamma} \cap (\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda \times \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda)$.) From this inclusion it follows immediately that there exists a unique mapping $\bar{\phi}$: $\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\beta_G X_\gamma \to Z$ such that $\tilde{\phi} = \bar{\phi} \circ \bar{p}_\Gamma$; cf. the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda & \hookrightarrow & \beta_G\Big(\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda\Big) & = & \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\beta_G X_\lambda \\
 & & & \overset{\tilde{\phi}}{\searrow} & \\
p_\Gamma \downarrow & & Z & & \downarrow \bar{p}_\Gamma \\
 & \overset{\phi}{\nearrow} & & \overset{\bar{\phi}}{\swarrow} & \\
\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma} X_\gamma & & \hookrightarrow & & \prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\beta_G X_\gamma
\end{array}$$

As $\bar{p}_\Gamma$ is a continuous mapping between compact Hausdorff spaces, it is a quotient mapping, hence continuity of $\bar{\phi} \circ \bar{p}_\Gamma (= \tilde{\phi})$ implies continuity of $\bar{\phi}$. Since $\bar{\phi}$ extends ϕ, the restriction of $\bar{\phi}$ to the dense subset $\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma} X_\gamma$ of $\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\beta_G X_\gamma$ is equivariant, hence by continuity $\bar{\phi}$ is equivariant. This concludes the proof of the claim.

(ii) In [2], Lemma 5.5 it was noticed that if a G-space $\langle Y, \sigma\rangle$ has Y

pseudocompact, then $\beta_G Y = \beta Y$, the ordinary Stone-Čech compactification of Y. Hence (ii) follows immediately from the classical result of Glicksberg. □

We add some remarks on the "non-triviality condition", mentioned in part (i) of the theorem, i.e. the condition

(C) there is a partition $\Lambda = \Gamma \cup \Delta$ such that $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ and $\prod_{\delta \in \Delta} X_\delta$ are G-infinite.

In the case of the classical Glickberg theorem (i.e. G the trivial group) this condition is easily seen to be equivalent to the following one:

(C′) $$\forall \lambda_0 \in \Lambda: \prod_{\lambda \neq \lambda_0} X_\lambda \text{ is } G\text{-infinite.}$$

In the general case one still has (C) ⇒ (C′). Indeed, suppose (C) holds, and that $\lambda_0 \in \Delta$. Then $\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$, so the projection p_Γ: $\prod_{\lambda \neq \lambda_0} X_\lambda \to \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ is a continuous, equivariant surjection. Taking the preimage under p_Γ of an infinite G-dispersion in $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ we see that $\prod_{\lambda \neq \lambda_0} X_\lambda$ is G-infinite. A similar proof deals with the case that $\lambda_0 \in \Gamma$. The converse implication fails in general:

EXAMPLE. Let $X_1 = X_2 = X_3 := \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ (the circle) with an action of $\mathbf{R}$ defined by $tx := x + t \pmod 1$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $x \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$. For $n \geq 4$, let X_n be a one-point space with trivial action of $\mathbf{R}$. None of the spaces X_n ($n \in \mathbf{N}$) is $\mathbf{R}$-infinite; in particular, X_1, X_2 and X_3 are not (see [2], 2.2(3°)). However, $X_1 \times X_2$ is $\mathbf{R}$-infinite, as are $X_1 \times X_3$ and $X_2 \times X_3$; the idea of proof is similar to the example in the proof of 2.5(iv) ⇒ (iii) in [2]. So the family $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ satisfies (C′) but it does not satisfy (C).

REFERENCES

[1] I. Glicksberg, *Stone-Čech compactification of products*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **90** (1959), 369–382.

[2] J. de Vries, *On the G-compactification of products*, Pacific J. Math., **110** (1984), 447–470.

[3] _____, *G-spaces: compactifications and pseudocompactness*, to appear in the Proceedings of the Colloquium on Topology (Eger, August 8–12, 1983); preprint available as Report ZW/200, CWI, Amsterdam

Received November 15, 1984.

STICHTING MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM
POSTBUS 4079 1009 AB AMSTERDAM
THE NETHERLANDS

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HEBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH (1906–1982) B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: $95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1986 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 122, No. 2 February, 1986

Gideon Amit and David Chillag, On a question of Feit concerning character values of finite solvable groups 257
Constantin Gelu Apostol and Frank Larkin Gilfeather, Isomorphisms modulo the compact operators of nest algebras 263
Parviz Azimi and James Neil Hagler, Examples of hereditarily l^1 Banach spaces failing the Schur property 287
Brian Evan Blank, Boundary behavior of limits of discrete series representations of real rank one semisimple groups 299
Jeffrey Carroll, Some undecidability results for lattices in recursion theory 319
Gerald Howard Cliff and Alfred Rheinhold Weiss, Crossed product and hereditary orders 333
Ralph Cohen, Realizing transfer maps for ramified coverings 347
Ronald James Evans, Hermite character sums 357
C. L. Frenzen and Roderick Sue-Chuen Wong, Asymptotic expansions of the Lebesgue constants for Jacobi series 391
Bruno Iochum, Nonassociative L^p-spaces 417
John McDonald, Unimodular approximation in function algebras 435
John Robert Quine, Jr., Ramification and unintegrated value distribution 441
Marc Raphael, Commutants of quasisimilar subnormal operators 449
Parameswaran Sankaran and Peter Zvengrowski, On stable parallelizability of flag manifolds 455
Helga Schirmer, A relative Nielsen number 459
Barry Simon, Schrödinger semigroups on the scale of Sobolev spaces 475
Viakalathur Shankar Sunder, Stochastic integration in Fock space 481
Jan de Vries, A note on the G-space version of Glicksberg's theorem 493