

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**AN EXTENSION OF SINGULAR HOMOLOGY TO BANACH
ALGEBRAS**

WILLIAM J. RALPH

AN EXTENSION OF SINGULAR HOMOLOGY TO BANACH ALGEBRAS

WILLIAM J. RALPH

By making use of a simple connection with Banach algebras we introduce certain relations into singular homology and cohomology at the chain level and show that we obtain homology and cohomology theories. The deviation between singular and the new theory is measured by what turns out to be another homology theory HM . One of the main results is that HM is zero on simplicial complexes but not on metric spaces in general. This shows that for any coefficient group there are an infinite number of different homology theories agreeing with the associated homology theory on simplicial complexes.

Section 2 shows that HM detects all the anomalous singular homology constructed by Barratt and Milnor in [BM]. Section 3 gives a simple application to co-products and shows that we get the usual addition formula in homology for co-products without the assumption of a co-identity.

The main applications of this theory will be in a subsequent paper where the same relations are introduced into homotopy theory. The results of the present paper will show that the Hurewicz map factors through these new groups. Another application will be a nice (i.e. computable) way of relating the algebraic structure of $[X, H]$ (H an H -space) with properties of the maps induced by elements of $[X, H]$ in homology and cohomology.

1. In this section we will introduce a functor $A: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ where \mathcal{T} is the category of spaces and continuous maps and \mathcal{C} is the category of chain complexes of abelian groups and chain maps. This functor will be very similar to the functor S which assigns to each space X the singular complex SX (see [G]).

For any two complex algebras B_1 and B_2 we define $L(B_1, B_2)$ to be the group of all complex linear maps from B_1 and B_2 under pointwise addition. Recall that for a space X , the group $S_q X$ in the singular chain complex SX is the free abelian group on the set of all continuous maps from the q -simplex Δ_q into the space X . Every such map induces an algebra homomorphism from $C(X)$ to $C(\Delta_q)$, where $C(X)$ is the algebra

of all complex valued continuous functions on X . This suggests that we might replace the group $S_q X$ by a group generated by certain algebra homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $C(\Delta_q)$. However, we will not take the free group on such algebra homomorphisms but rather will use the natural group structure of $L(C(X), C(\Delta_q))$.

(1) DEFINITION. Let X be any space. For $q \geq 0$ let $A_q X = \mathbf{Z}$ -span of $\{ \tau: C(X) \rightarrow C(\Delta_q) | \tau \text{ is an algebra homomorphism induced by a continuous map } \tau: \Delta_q \rightarrow X \} \subset L(C(X), C(\Delta_q))$. For $q < 0$ let $A_q X = 0$.

The graded groups SX and AX are connected by the following:

(2) DEFINITION. Let $\rho: SX \rightarrow AX$ be the homomorphism of graded groups defined on $S_q X$ as the unique homomorphism from $S_q X$ to $A_q X$ such that if $f \in C(X)$ and $\sigma: \Delta_q \rightarrow S$ is a generator of $S_q X$ then $\rho(\sigma)(f) = f \circ \sigma$, that is, $\rho(\sigma): C(X) \rightarrow C(\Delta_q)$ is the algebra homomorphism induced by σ . □

It is easily shown that AX can be made into a chain complex in a unique way by requiring that ρ be a chain map. Similarly we can make A into a covariant functor from \mathcal{T} to \mathcal{C} , in a unique way, by requiring that ρ be a natural transformation.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the category of complex algebras with identity and identity preserving algebra homomorphisms. Let $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ be the category of complex algebras and algebra homomorphisms. We can extend A , in a sense to be made clear, to functors $\hat{A}: \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\bar{A}: \bar{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ as follows:

(3) DEFINITION. Let $B \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ be any algebra. Then for $q \geq 0$ let $\hat{A}_q B = \mathbf{Z}$ -span of $\{ \tau: B \rightarrow C(\Delta_q) | \tau \in \mathcal{A} \} \subset L(B, C(\Delta_q))$ and for $q < 0$ let $\hat{A}_q B = 0$. □

We make a similar definition for $\bar{A}_q B$ and $B \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$. The graded groups $\hat{A}B$ and $\bar{A}B$ can be made into chain complexes by defining a boundary operator as follows. We define $\partial(\tau) = \rho(\partial \text{id}_q) \circ \tau$, where $\text{id}_q: \Delta_q \rightarrow \Delta_q$ is the identity map considered as an element of $S_q(\Delta_q)$. With this definition we obtain functors $\bar{A}: \bar{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\hat{A}: \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We now make clear the sense in which \hat{A} is an extension of A .

(4) PROPOSITION. Let \mathcal{T}' be the full subcategory of \mathcal{T} whose objects are compact Hausdorff spaces. Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} \circ C|_{\mathcal{T}'} = A|_{\mathcal{T}'}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the well known fact that any algebra homomorphism from $C(X)$ to $C(Y)$, where X and Y are compact Hausdorff, is induced by a continuous map from Y to X . □

Now let \mathcal{B} be the category of commutative Banach algebras with identity and $G: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ the Gelfand factor. The previous proposition suggests the following:

(5) THEOREM (see [R]). *There exists a natural chain isomorphism from $\hat{A}|_{\mathcal{B}}$ to $A \circ G|_{\mathcal{B}}$.* □

We can also show

(6) PROPOSITION (see [R]). *Let M be a maximal ideal in some algebra $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then*

$$H_q \hat{A}B \cong H_q \bar{A}M \quad \text{for all } q > 0$$

and

$$H_0 \hat{A}B \cong H_0 \bar{A}M \oplus \mathbf{Z}. \quad \square$$

(7) DEFINITION. Let $M: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the functor that is the kernel of the natural transformation ρ (see (2)). □

There is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow MX \rightarrow SX \rightarrow AX \rightarrow 0$ of chain complexes for any space X . We turn now to the characterization of elements in MX .

(8) DEFINITION. For any collection of continuous maps $\theta_i: X \rightarrow Y$, $i \in I$ we let $I(x, y) = \{i \in I | \theta_i(x) = y\}$. □

(9) PROPOSITION. *Let X be an arbitrary topological space and let Y be any metric space. Let $\theta_i: X \rightarrow Y$, $i \in I$, be any finite set of not necessarily distinct continuous maps. Denote by τ_i the algebra homomorphism induced by θ_i from $C(Y)$ to $C(X)$. If $\{v_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbf{Z}$ then:*

$$\sum_I v_i \tau_i = 0 \text{ in } L(C(Y), C(X)) \Leftrightarrow \sum_{I(x,y)} v_i = 0 \text{ for all } (x, y) \in X \times Y.$$

(Note: when $I(x, y)$ is empty we define $\sum_{I(x,y)} v_i = 0$.)

Proof. \Rightarrow Fix $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and assume $I(x, y) \neq \emptyset$. Define $Y_x = \{z \in Y \mid \theta_i(x) = z \text{ for some } i \in I\}$. Now let f be a continuous function such that $f(y) = 1$ and f vanishes on any elements in $Y_x \setminus \{y\}$. Evaluating $\sum_I v_i \tau_i$ at f and then at x we have

$$0 = \left(\sum_I v_i \tau_i \right) (f)(x) = \sum_I v_i f \circ \theta_i(x) = \sum_{I(x,y)} v_i$$

by our choice of f .

\Leftarrow Let $f \in C(Y)$ and $x \in X$, then

$$\left(\sum_I v_i \tau_i \right) (f)(x) = \sum_I v_i f \circ \theta_i(x) = \sum_{y \in Y_x} \left(f(y) \cdot \sum_{I(x,y)} v_i \right) = 0. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY. *Let X be a metric space. If $\sum_I v_i \sigma_i \in S_q X$ then*

$$\rho \left(\sum_I v_i \sigma_i \right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{I(x,y)} v_i = 0 \text{ for all } (t, x) \in \Delta_q \times X.$$

It can be shown that ρ is injective when restricted to the subgroup of $S_q X$ generated by simplicial maps. This suggests a result we will shortly prove, namely that for a simplicial complex X , $H_* MX = 0$ and hence $H_* X \cong H_* AX$.

Corollary (10) suggests the analogues of the complexes MX and AX when coefficients are taken in some group G .

(11) **DEFINITION:**

$$M_q(X|G) = \left\{ \sum_I g_i \sigma_i \in S_q X \otimes G \mid \sum_{I(t,x)} g_i = 0 \text{ for all } (t, x) \in \Delta_q \times X \right\}.$$

$$A_q(X|G) = S_q X \otimes G / M_q(X|G). \quad \square$$

Note that $M_q(X|\mathbf{Z}) \cong M_q(X)$ and $A_q(X|\mathbf{Z}) \cong A_q(X)$. We will let $M(|G)$ and $A(|G)$ denote the obvious functors from \mathcal{T} to \mathcal{C} . We now set out to show that $M(|G)$ and $A(|G)$ are homology theories on \mathcal{T} . There are short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow A(Y|G) \rightarrow A(X|G) \rightarrow A(X|G)/A(Y|G) \rightarrow 0$$

for any spaces $Y \subset X$. We define $M(X, Y|G) = M(X|G)/M(Y|G)$ and $A(X, Y|G) = A(X|G)/A(Y|G)$.

(12) **THEOREM (Homotopy Invariance).** *Let (X, Y) and (W, Z) be any pairs of spaces. If $f_0, f_1: (X, Y) \rightarrow (W, Z)$ are homotopic, then*

$$HM(f_0|G) = HM(f_1|G): HM(X, Y|G) \rightarrow HM(W, Z|G)$$

and

$$HA(f_0|G) = HA(f_1|G): HA(X, Y|G) \rightarrow HA(W, Z|G). \quad \square$$

This theorem is proved in singular homology by defining an operator P called the prism operator (see [G]). The proof of Theorem (12) proceeds identically once we have shown that the prism operator on $SX \otimes G$ can be suitably restricted to $M(X|G)$. This is contained in the following which uses nothing more than the naturality of P .

(13) LEMMA. *Let P be the prism operator on $SX \otimes G$. Then $P(M_q(X|G) \subset M_{q+1}(X \times I|G))$.*

Proof. Let $\text{id}_q \in S_q(\Delta_q)$ be the identity map. Suppose $P(\text{id}_q) = \sum_{j \in J} w_j \delta_j$ where $w_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\delta_j \in S_{q+1}(\Delta_q \times I)$. Let $\sum_I g_i \sigma_i \in M_q(X|G)$. By the naturality of the prism map we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sum_I g_i \sigma_i\right) &= \sum_I g_i P(\sigma_i) = \sum_I g_i S_{q+1}(\sigma_i \times \text{id}) \left(\sum_J w_j \delta_j\right) \\ &= \sum_J w_j \sum_I g_i S_{q+1}(\sigma_i \times \text{id}) \circ \delta_j. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f_i^j = S_{q+1}(\sigma_i \times \text{id}) \circ \delta_j$. We will show that $\sum_I g_i f_i^j \in M_{q+1}(X \times I|G)$. Let $t \in \Delta_{q+1}$ and $(a, b) \in X \times I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I(t, (a, b)) &= \{i|S_{q+1}(\sigma_i \times \text{id}) \circ \delta_j(t) = (a, b)\} \\ &= \{i\{(\sigma_i(\delta_j^1(t)), \delta_j^2(t)) = (a, b)\} = \emptyset \text{ or } \{i|\sigma_i(\delta_j^1(t)) = a\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{I(t, (a, b))} g_i = \sum_{\{i|\sigma_i(\delta_j^1(t))=a\}} g_i = 0 \quad \text{since } \sum_I g_i \sigma_i \in M(X|G)$$

or

$$\sum_{\emptyset} g_i = 0.$$

and hence

$$P\left(\sum_I g_i \sigma_i\right) \in M_{q+1}(X \times I|G). \quad \square$$

(14) THEOREM (Excision). *Let (X, Y) be any metric pair. If U is any open subset of X such that $\bar{U} \subset \text{int } Y$, then the excision map $J: (X - U, Y - U) \rightarrow (X, Y)$ induces isomorphisms*

$$HM(J|G): HM(X - U, Y - U|G) \rightarrow HM(X, Y|G)$$

and

$$HA(J|G): HA(X - U, Y - U|G) \rightarrow HA(X, Y|G). \quad \square$$

We will first prove excision for HM . Excision for HA will then follow from the five lemma. In outline the proof of excision for HM is the same as that for singular homology (see [G]). The only substantial difference lies in the proof of Lemma (16), which requires a new idea.

The subdivision operator $Sd: S_q(X) \otimes G \rightarrow S_q(X) \otimes G$ and the degeneracy operator $T: S_q(X) \otimes G \rightarrow S_{q+1}(X) \otimes G$ can be suitably restricted to $M_q(X|G)$ with their usual properties. The proof of this is the same as that for the prism operator and uses nothing more than the naturality of Sd and T .

(15) LEMMA. *Let X be any space with $Y \subset X$. If $z \in M_q(X|G)$ and $\partial z \in M_{q-1}(Y|G)$, then, for all n , $z - Sd^n z \in \partial M_{q+1}(X|G) + M_q(Y|G)$.*

Proof. Identical to (15.14) of [G]. □

(16) LEMMA. *Let X be a metric space with $z \in M_q(X|G)$ and \mathcal{U} an open cover of X . Then there exists an r so that $Sd^r z = \sum_L z_l$ with $z_l \in M_q(U_l|G)$ and $\{U_l\}_{l \in L} \subset \mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. Fix $z = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j \sigma_j$ where $g_j \in G$ and $\sigma_j: \Delta_q \rightarrow X$. Let $id_q: \Delta_q \rightarrow \Delta_q$ be the identity map and suppose that $Sd(id_q) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \delta_j$ for integers w_j and maps $\delta_j: \Delta_q \rightarrow \Delta_q$. An easy induction argument shows that

$$Sd^r z = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r=1}^m w_{j_1} \cdots w_{j_r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \cdots \circ \delta_{j_r} \right).$$

Let $W \in X$ be the union of the images of all the σ_i . W is compact since it is the finite union of compact sets. Now apply the Lebesgue covering lemma to the space W and the open cover \mathcal{V} of W defined by $\mathcal{V} = \{U \cap W | U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. This yields an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $Z \subset W$ with $\text{diam}(Z) < \varepsilon$ then there is a $V \in \mathcal{V}$ with $Z \subset V$. By (15.13) of [G] we may choose r so that each of the maps $\sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \cdots \circ \delta_{j_r}$ is such that $\text{diam}(\text{im } \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \cdots \circ \delta_{j_r}) < \varepsilon/n$. This r will be fixed for the remainder of the proof.

For any integers $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_r \leq m$, the set

$$W(j_1, \dots, j_r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{im } \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r}$$

has a finite number of compact components since it is a finite union of compact connected sets. Define

$$D(j_1, \dots, j_r) = \left\{ A \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \exists \text{ a component, } C, \text{ of } W(j_1, \dots, j_r) \right. \\ \left. \text{with } A = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \text{image of } \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r} \subset C\} \right\}.$$

Since $W(j_1, \dots, j_r)$ is the disjoint union of its components it follows that $D(j_1, \dots, j_r)$ is a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

We now show that if $A \in D(j_1, \dots, j_r)$ then

$$\sum_{i \in A} g_i \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r} \in M_q(U|G) \quad \text{for some } U \in \mathcal{U}.$$

First we show that $\sum_{i \in A} g_i \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r} \in M_q(X|G)$ and then that $\bigcup_{i \in A} \text{im } \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r} \subset U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Let C be the component of $W(j_1, \dots, j_r)$ associated with A and suppose $x \in C$ and $t \in \Delta_q$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i \sigma_i \in M_q(X|G)$ we must have $\sum_{I(\delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r}(t), x)} g_i = 0$. But this implies that $\sum_{i \in A} g_i = 0$ since only those maps $\sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r}$ with $i \in A$ can have image containing x . We conclude that $\sum_{i \in A} g_i \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r} \in M_q(X|G)$. Since C is connected and $\text{diam}(\text{im } \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r}) < \varepsilon/n$, it follows from the triangle inequality that $\text{diam}(C) < \text{card}(A) \cdot \varepsilon/n \leq n \cdot \varepsilon/n = \varepsilon$. By our choice of ε there must be $V \in \mathcal{V}$ with $C \subset V$. But V is of the form $U \cap W$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and hence there is $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $C \subset U$. Therefore $\sum_{i \in A} g_i \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r} \in M_q(U|G)$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

We can now complete the proof of the lemma as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sd}^r z &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r=1}^m w_{j_1} \cdots w_{j_r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r=1}^m w_{j_1} \cdots w_{j_r} \left(\sum_{A \in D(j_1 \cdots j_r)} \left(\sum_{i \in A} g_i \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r} \right) \right) \\ &\quad \text{(since } D(j_1, \dots, j_r) \text{ is a partition of } \{1, \dots, n\} \text{)} \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r}^m \sum_{A \in D(j_1, \dots, j_r)} w_{j_1} \cdots w_{j_r} \left(\sum_{i \in A} g_i \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$w_{j_1} \cdots w_{j_r} \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in A} g_i \sigma_i \circ \delta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{j_r} \right) \in M_q(U|G)$$

for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$ we see that $Sd'z = \sum_L z_l$ and $U_l \in \mathcal{U}$. □

With Lemma (16) in hand the proof of Theorem (14) for HM follows identically to that given in [G]. Excision for HA now follows from excision for HM and HS and the five lemma applied to the diagram of long exact sequences obtained from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & M(X - U, Y - U|G) & \rightarrow & S(X - U, Y - U|G) & \rightarrow & A(X - U, Y - U|G) \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & M(X, Y|G) & \rightarrow & S(X, Y|G) & \rightarrow & A(X, Y|G) \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Technical Remark. We have been unable to prove excision directly for HA . This was the original reason for introducing M . □

In summary we have proved:

(17) THEOREM. $HM(, |G)$ and $HA(, |G)$ are homology theories on the category of pairs of metric spaces. □

On simplicial complexes we can identify exactly what our functors are.

(18) THEOREM. If (X, Y) is any pair of spaces homotopy equivalent to a pair of simplicial complexes (not necessarily finite), then $HM(X, Y|G) = 0$ and $HA(X, Y|G) \cong HS(X, Y|G)$ (naturally).

Proof. $HM(\text{point}|G) = 0$. Therefore by the uniqueness theorem HM vanishes on finite simplicial complexes. It is easily checked that HM is a homology theory with “compact carriers” (see 4.8.11 of [S]) and hence the first result follows. The second result is immediate from the long exact sequence relating HM , HS and HA . □

Cohomology. Using results of Bergman [B] it is easy to move that $A(X)$ is a free abelian group. In [R] we give a long and tortuous proof that $A(X)/A(Y)$ is free abelian for Y an open subset of a metric space X . This is enough to prove the analogue of Theorem (18) in cohomology for $G = \mathbf{Z}$. We mention in passing the following interesting connection with the first Čech cohomology group $\check{H}^1(X; \mathbf{Z})$.

(19) THEOREM (see [R]). If X is a locally path connected metric space then there is a natural isomorphism $\eta: \check{H}^1(X) \rightarrow H^1A(X)$.

2. In this section we will show that H_*M is non-trivial on the following spaces:

(20) DEFINITION. Let $X_{(r)}$ be a countable union of r spheres having a single point in common and a metric topology in which the diameter of the spheres tends to zero with increasing index. □

Our proof that $H_*M(X_{(r)}) \neq 0$ will be based on a result of Barratt and Milnor, namely, that the singular homology of $X_{(r)}$ (for $r \geq 2$) is anomalous in the sense that $H_qS(X_{(r)}) \neq 0$ for arbitrarily large q . We will see that there is a one-one correspondence between the elements they construct and certain elements of $H_*M(X_{(r)})$. Their theorem is the following:

(21) THEOREM (see [BM]). *The rational singular homology groups $H_qS(X_{(r)}; \mathbb{Q})$ with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{r-1}$, $q > 1$, $r > 1$ are not zero. In fact these groups are not even countable.* □

Their proof is based upon the Hurewicz homomorphism $\omega_Q: \pi_q(X_{(r)}) \rightarrow H_qS(X_{(r)}; \mathbb{Q})$. The non-trivial elements they construct, are images under ω_Q of elements in $\pi_q(X_{(r)})$ built up from Whitehead products. The application of (10) requires that we have descriptions of maps at the point set level. For this reason, in the following definition of Whitehead products and other subsequent definitions, we will be careful to make all choices of representatives explicit.

(22) DEFINITION (Whitehead Product). Let I^n be the oriented n -cube. Let $\alpha \in \pi_{m+1}(X, *)$ and $\beta \in \pi_{n+1}(X, *)$ have representatives $f: (I^{m+1}, \partial I^{m+1}) \rightarrow (X, *)$ and $g: (I^{n+1}, \partial I^{n+1}) \rightarrow (X, *)$ respectively. The boundary of I^{m+n+2} equals $\partial(I^{m+1} \times I^{n+1}) = I^{m+1} \times \partial I^{n+1} \cup \partial I^{m+1} \times I^{n+1}$ which is an $m+n+1$ sphere, S , oriented by the usual orientation on I^{m+n+2} . We define a map $e(f, g): (X, *) \rightarrow (X, *)$ by

$$(23) \quad e(f, g)(x, y) = \begin{cases} f(x) & (x, y) \in I^{m+1} \times \partial I^{n+1}, \\ g(x), & (x, y) \in \partial I^{m+1} \times I^{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

Now fix for all time an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\lambda_{m+n+1}: (I^{m+n+1}, \partial I^{m+n+1}) \rightarrow (\partial I^{m+n+2}, *)$. Denote by $\{f, g\}$ the composition $e(f, g) \circ \lambda$. This is the representative of an element in $\pi_{m+n+1}(X, *)$ which is called the Whitehead product of α and β and denoted $[\alpha, \beta]$ or $[\{f, g\}]$ when we wish to make the choice of representative explicit. □

Basic properties of the Whitehead product can be found in [W].

The next two definitions are in preparation for the description of the elements constructed by Barratt and Milnor.

(24) DEFINITION. By an infinite sum of elements $[h_j] \in \pi_q(X, *)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we will mean an element $[h] \in \pi_q(X, *)$, where $h: (I^q, \partial I^q) \rightarrow (X, *)$ is given by

$$(25) \quad h(t_1, \dots, t_q) \\ = h_j \left(\left(t_1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} \right) \right) / \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j} \right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} \right), t_2, \dots, t_q \right) \\ \text{for } t_1 \in \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^{j-1}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^j} \right].$$

Note that part of this definition is that the h_j must be such that h is continuous. We will denote h by $\sum_{j=1}^\infty h_j$. □

(26) DEFINITION. We will say that $[h] \in \pi_q(X, *)$ is an infinite sum of Whitehead products if $h = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \{f_j, g_j\}$, where f_j and g_j are representatives of elements in $\pi_{m_j+1}(X, *)$ and $\pi_{n_j+1}(X, *)$, respectively, with $m_j + n_j + 1 = q$. We will denote an infinite sum of Whitehead products by $[\sum_{j=1}^\infty \{f_j, g_j\}]$. □

(27) PROPOSITION. All of the elements of $H_q S(X_{(r)}, *)$, with $q \equiv \text{mod}(r - 1)$, $q > r$, $r > 1$, constructed in [BM], are of the form $\omega_Q([\sum_{j=1}^\infty \{f_j, g_j\}])$.

We will require a point set description of the Hurewicz map.

(28) DEFINITION. Fix for all time an orientation preserving homeomorphism $l: (\Delta_q, \partial \Delta_q) \rightarrow (I^q, \partial I^q)$. Let C_q be the constant map $(\Delta_q, \Delta_q) \rightarrow (X, *)$. Then for $[f] \in \pi_q(X, *)$, the Hurewicz map $\pi: \pi_q(X, *) \rightarrow H_q S(X)$ can be described as

$$(29) \quad \omega([f]) = \begin{cases} [f \circ l] & \text{if } q \text{ is odd,} \\ [f \circ l - C_q] & \text{if } q \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

(30) DEFINITION. For $[f] \in \pi_k(X, *)$, we define $\eta(f)$ to be the constant map $(I^k, I^k) \rightarrow (X, *)$. □

The elements in the next lemma will be used to construct non-trivial elements in $H_* M(X_{(r)})$.

(31) LEMMA. Let $[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, g_j\}] \in \pi_q(X, *)$. Then

- (i) $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\eta(f_j), g_j\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, \eta(g_j)\}$ are continuous;
- (ii) $[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\eta(f_j), g_j\}] = [\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, \eta(g_j)\}] = 0$ in $\pi_q(X, *)$.

Proof. (i) obvious

(ii) We will show that $[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\eta(f_j), g_j\}] = 0$, the proof for the other term being identical. Since image $\eta(f_j) = *$, we can regard $[\{\eta(f_j), g_j\}]$ as an element of $\pi_q(\text{image } g_j, *)$ by (23). $[\{\eta(f_j), g_j\}] = 0$ in $\pi_q(\text{image } g_j, *)$ (since the Whitehead product of anything with the trivial element is zero) and hence there is a homotopy $H_j: I \times (I^q, \partial I^q) \rightarrow (\text{image } g_j, *)$ from $\{\eta(f_j), g_j\}$ to the constant map. Since the image of H_j is contained in the image of g_j , these homotopies can be glued together in the obvious way to form a homotopy from $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\eta(f_j), g_j\}$ to the trivial map. \square

(32) PROPOSITION. If $[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, g_j\}] \in \pi_q(X, *)$ is an infinite sum of Whitehead products, then there exists $[\psi] \in H_q M(X)$ such that $H_q(i)[\psi] = \omega([\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, g_j\}])$ where $i: M(X) \rightarrow S(X)$ is the inclusion.

Proof. Using Lemma (31) and (29) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (33) \quad & \left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, g_j\} \right] \right) \\
 &= \omega \left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, g_j\} \right] \right) - \omega \left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\eta(f_j), g_j\} \right] \right) \\
 &\quad - \omega \left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, \eta(g_j)\} \right] \right) + \omega \left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\eta(f_j), \eta(g_j)\} \right] \right) \\
 &= \left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, g_j\} \right] \circ l - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\eta(f_j), g_j\} \right] \circ l \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, \eta(g_j)\} \right] \circ l - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\eta(f_j), \eta(g_j)\} \right] \circ l \right) \\
 &= [\psi] \quad (\text{say}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that (33) will hold even if q is even since the C_q terms will all cancel out (see (28)). We will complete the proof of the proposition by using the criterion given in (10) to show that $\psi \in M_q(X)$.

Let $u \in \Delta_q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (34) \quad & \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, g_j\} \right) \circ l(u) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, g_j\} \right) (\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_q) \quad \text{for some} \\
 & (\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_q) \in I^q \text{ with } \bar{t}_1 \in \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^k} \right], \\
 & = \{f_j, g_j\} \left(\left(\bar{t}_1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \right) \right) / \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k} \right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \right), \bar{t}_2, \dots, \bar{t}_q \right) \\
 & \hspace{15em} \text{by (24) and (25),} \\
 & = e(f_j, g_j) \\
 & \quad \circ \lambda \left(\left(\bar{t}_1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \right) \right) / \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k} \right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \right), \bar{t}_2, \dots, \bar{t}_q \right) \\
 & \hspace{15em} \text{by (22).} \\
 & = e(f_j, g_j)(x, y) \quad \text{for some } (x, y) \in \partial I^{q+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

which we think of as being $I^{m_k+1} \times \partial I^{n_k+1} \cup \partial I^{m_k+1} \times I^{n_k+1}$, and where $[f_k] \in \pi_{m_k+1}(X, *)$ and $[g_k] \in \pi_{n_k+1}(X, *)$ (see (22) and (23)).

Similarly we obtain

$$(35) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\eta(f_j), g_j\} \right) \circ l(u) = e(\eta(f_k), g_k)(x, y)$$

$$(36) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{f_j, \eta(g_j)\} \right) \circ l(u) = e(f_k, \eta(g_k))(x, y)$$

$$(37) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\eta(f_j), \eta(g_j)\} \right) \circ l(u) = e(\eta(f_k), \eta(g_k))(x, y).$$

There are two cases to consider. If $(x, y) \in I^{m_k+1} \times \partial I^{n_k+1}$ then by (23) we have:

- (i) $e(f_k, g_k)(x, y) = f_k(x)$ (ii) $e(\eta(f_k), g_k)(x, y) = *$
- (iii) $e(f_k, \eta(g_k))(x, y) = f_k(x)$ (iv) $e(\eta(f_k), \eta(g_k))(x, y) = *$.

Similarly if $(x, y) \in \partial I^{m_k+1} \times I^{n_k+1}$ we have:

- (i) $e(f_k, g_k)(x, y) = g_k(y)$ (ii) $e(\eta(f_k), g_k)(x, y) = g_k(y)$
- (iii) $e(f_k, \eta(g_k))(x, y) = *$ (iv) $e(\eta(f_k), \eta(g_k))(x, y) = *$.

If we let v_i be the set of coefficients of the four terms in (33) we see that $\sum_{i(u,z)} v_i = 0$ for any $z \in X$. Since u was arbitrary, we conclude, by (10), that $\psi \in M_q(X)$. □

(38) THEOREM. $H_qM(X_{(r)}, Q)$ and $H_qS(X_{(r)})$ are uncountable groups for $q \equiv 1 \pmod{r-1}$, $q > r$, $r > 1$.

Proof. Suppose $q \equiv 1 \pmod{r-1}$, $q > r$, $r > 1$. Then, by (27), there are an uncountable number of elements in $H_qS(X_{(r)}, Q)$ of the form $\omega_Q([\sum_{j=1}^\infty \{f_j, g_j\}])$, where we recall that ω_Q is the composition of the Hurewicz map $\omega: \pi_q(X_{(r)}, *) \rightarrow H_qS(X_{(r)})$ with the map $H_qS(X_{(r)}) \rightarrow H_qS(X_{(r)}, Q)$ induced by the inclusion $Z \rightarrow Q$. By (32) we can always find $[c] \in H_qM(X_{(r)})$ such that $H_q(i)[c] = \omega([\sum_{j=1}^\infty \{f_j, g_j\}])$ and hence we conclude that $H_qM(X_{(r)})$ is uncountable. If $\Omega: H_qM(X_{(r)}) \rightarrow H_qM(X_{(r)}, Q)$ is the map induced by the inclusion $Z \rightarrow Q$, then we have

$$H_q(i \otimes \text{id}_Q) \circ \Omega S([c]) = \omega_Q \left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^\infty \{f_j, g_j\} \right] \right)$$

and hence $H_qM(X_{(r)}, Q)$ is uncountable. □

3. By a co-product on a space (X, x_0) we will mean any continuous base point preserving map $\phi: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (X \vee X, (x_0, x_0))$. In particular we are not assuming x_0 is a co-identity for ϕ . For any space (Y, y_0) we can then use ϕ to obtain a binary operation on $[(X, x_0), (Y, y_0)]$ in the obvious way. We will denote the constant map $X \rightarrow y_0$ by \bar{y}_0 .

(39) LEMMA. Let (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) be any spaces and ϕ a co-product on X . Let $\bar{\mu}$ be the binary product induced on $[(X, x_0), (Y, y_0)]$ by ϕ . Then, for any $c \in S_q(X)$ we have:

$$(40) \quad S_q(\bar{\mu}(f, g))(c) - S_q(\bar{\mu}(f, \bar{y}_0))(c) - S_q(\bar{\mu}(\bar{y}_0, g))(c) + S_q(\bar{\mu}(\bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_0))(c) \in M_q Y.$$

Proof. Let $\sigma: \Delta_q \rightarrow X$ be any simplex. We will show

$$(41) \quad \bar{\mu}(f, g) \circ \sigma - \bar{\mu}(f, \bar{y}_0) \circ \sigma - \bar{\mu}(\bar{y}_0, g) \circ \sigma + \bar{\mu}(\bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_0) \circ \sigma \in M_q(Y).$$

From (41) we deduce (40) immediately from the definition of S_q and linearity.

To see that (41) holds, let $t \in \Delta_q$ and suppose in the first case that $\phi(\sigma(t)) = (x, x_0) \in X \vee X$. Then we have

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}(f, g) \circ \sigma(t) &= \Delta \circ (f \vee g) \circ \phi \circ \sigma(t) \\ &= \Delta \circ (f \vee g)(x, x_0) = \Delta(f(x), y_0) = f(x). \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation gives:

$$(43) \quad \bar{\mu}(f, \bar{y}_0) \circ \sigma(t) = f(x)$$

$$(44) \quad \bar{\mu}(\bar{y}_0, g) \circ \sigma(t) = y_0$$

$$(45) \quad \bar{\mu}(\bar{y}_0, y_0) \circ \sigma(t) = y_0.$$

If in the second case $\phi(\sigma(t)) = (x_0, x) \in X \vee X$ then we obtain

$$(46) \quad \bar{\mu}(f, g) \circ \sigma(t) = g(x)$$

$$(47) \quad \bar{\mu}(f, \bar{y}_0) \circ \sigma(t) = y_0$$

$$(48) \quad \bar{\mu}(\bar{y}_0, g) \circ \sigma(g) = g(x)$$

$$(49) \quad \bar{\mu}(\bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_0) \circ \sigma(t) = y_0.$$

If we let $\{v_i\}$ be the coefficients of the four terms in (41) then we see by (42)–(49) that for any $w \in Y$, $\sum_{I(t,w)} v_i = 0$. Since t was arbitrary, we conclude by (10) that (41) holds. \square

(50) THEOREM. *Let (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) be any spaces and ϕ a co-product on X . Let μ be the binary product induced on $[(X, x_0), (Y, y_0)]$ by ϕ . Then, for $q \geq 1$ we have:*

(i) *if $z \in H_*A(X)$ then $\mu(f, g)_*(z) = \mu(f, \bar{y}_0)_*(z) + \mu(\bar{y}_0, g)_*(z)$ and*

(ii) *if $\alpha \in H^*A(X)$ then $\mu(f, g)^*(\alpha) = \mu(f, \bar{y}_0)^*(\alpha) + \mu(\bar{y}_0, g)^*(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Suppose $z = [\rho(c)]$ for some $c \in S_q(X)$. Then

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mu(f, g)_q(z) - \mu(f, \bar{y}_0)_q(z) - \mu(\bar{y}_0, g)_q(z) \\ &= \mu(f, g)_q(z) - \mu(f, \bar{y}_0)_q(z) - \mu(\bar{y}_0, g)_q(z) + \mu(\bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_0)_q(z). \end{aligned}$$

This is because $\mu(\bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_0)_q(z) \in H_qA(y_0)$ and $H_qA(y_0) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$. Now if we use the fact that for any map $h: X \rightarrow Y$, $h_q[\rho(c)] = [\rho(S_q(h)(c))]$, then (51) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} &[\rho(S_q(\bar{\mu}(f, g))(c))] - [\rho(S_q(\mu(g, \bar{y}_0))(c))] \\ &\quad - [\rho(S_q(\bar{\mu}(\bar{y}_0, g))(c))] + [\rho(S_q(\bar{\mu}(\bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_0))(c))] = 0 \quad \text{by (40)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (i) of (50) holds.

Part (ii) follows in a similar manner. \square

(52) COROLLARY. *Let (X, x_0) be any space and ϕ a co-product on X . Suppose (Y, y_0) has the homotopy type of a possibly infinite simplicial*

complex. Let μ be the binary product induced on $[(X, x_0), (Y, y_0)]$ by ϕ . Then, for all $q \geq 1$, we have:

- (i) If $z \in H_q S(X)$, then $\mu(f, g)_q(z) = \mu(f, \bar{y}_0)_q(z) + \mu(\bar{y}_0, g)_q(z)$
- (ii) If $\alpha \in H^q S(Y)$, then $\mu(f, g)^q(\alpha) = \mu(f, \bar{y}_0)(\alpha) + \mu(\bar{y}_0, g)^q(\alpha)$.

Proof. This follows from (18), its analogue in cohomology and (50). \square

It can be shown that for each of the elements constructed in [BM] there is a canonical way of constructing a space X and a co-product ϕ providing a counterexample to Corollary (52) when X is not a simplicial complex.

REFERENCES

- [B] George M. Bergman, *Boolean rings of projection maps*, J. London Math. Soc., Ser. **24** (1971/72), 593–598.
- [BM] M. G. Barratt and John Milnor, *An example of anomalous singular theory*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **13** (1962), 293–297.
- [G] Marvin J. Greenberg, *Lectures on Algebraic Topology*, Mathematics Lecture Note Series. New York: Benjamin 1967.
- [R] William J. Ralph, Thesis, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario Canada 1982.
- [S] Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill 1966.
- [W] George Whitehead, *Elements of Homotopy Theory*, Graduate texts in mathematics 61.

Received June 4, 1984.

UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO
LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024
HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195
VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906–1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1986 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

David Jay Anick, A loop space whose homology has torsion of all orders . . .	257
Steven P. Diaz, Space curves that intersect often	263
Thierry Fack and Hideki Kosaki, Generalized s -numbers of τ -measurable operators	269
Karl Heinrich Hofmann and Karl Strambach, Lie's fundamental theorems for local analytical loops	301
James Secord Howland, On the Kato-Rosenblum theorem	329
Frieder Knüppel and Edzard Salow, Plane elliptic geometry over rings . . .	337
Alan Noell, Peak points in boundaries not of finite type	385
William J. Ralph, An extension of singular homology to Banach algebras	391
Wade C. Ramey, Averaging properties of pluriharmonic boundary values . .	407
Thomas Joseph Ransford, On the range of an analytic multivalued function	421
Christopher Donald Sogge, On restriction theorems of maximal-type	441
Edwin Spanier, Cohomology with supports	447
Emil J. Straube, Orthogonal projections onto subspaces of the harmonic Bergman space	465
Thomas Vogel, Asymptotic behavior of two semilinear elliptic free boundary problems	477