

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A DUALITY PRINCIPLE FOR RATIONAL APPROXIMATION

GERHARD GIERZ AND BORIS SHEKHTMAN

A DUALITY PRINCIPLE FOR RATIONAL APPROXIMATION

GERHARD GIERZ AND BORIS SHEKHTMAN

Let $E \subset C(K)$ be a subspace of continuous functions defined on a compact Hausdorff space K . We characterize those spaces for which the rational functions with denominators and numerators from E are dense. Despite the non-linear structure of rational functions, this characterization uses only methods from linear functional analysis. As special cases, we recover various results on the density of Müntz rationals.

Introduction. Let K be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $C(K)$ be the space of real-valued continuous functions on K . For an arbitrary subspace $E \subset C(K)$ let

$$R(E) = \left\{ \frac{g}{h} : g, h \in E; h(k) > 0 \text{ for all } k \in K \right\}$$

be the set of rational functions with respect to E . In this paper we investigate conditions on the space E equivalent to the property that $R(E)$ is dense in $C(K)$. This problem is motivated by an increasing number of interesting results in approximation theory concerning rational approximations (cf. [4], [6]), and in particular by the question of D. J. Newman as to what makes the rationals dense: 'Apparently rational functions always want to be dense. There is something magical about performing that one division' ([4], p. 12). In this paper we propose a new approach to this problem:

Despite the very non-linear (not even convex) structure of $R(E)$ there is some linearity built into the set of rationals. The following observation opens some avenues for classical (linear) analysis to be used here:

$$a = \frac{b}{c} = \frac{d}{e} \quad \text{implies} \quad a = \frac{b+d}{c+e} \quad \text{provided } c+e \neq 0.$$

In particular, the main result in the first section (Theorem 1) gives the following *duality principle*:

$R(E)$ is dense in $C(K)$ if and only if $R(F)$ is dense in $C(K)$ for every subspace $F, E \subset F$, of codimension no greater than 2.

This result resembles very much the classical duality principle (a linear subspace E in any normed linear space is dense if and only if every hyperplane containing E is dense).

The rest of §1 contains numerous variations and corollaries of this principle. Section 2 consists of some examples. In the third section we use the duality principle to recover the results of G. Somorjai, J. Bak and D. J. Newman on Müntz rationals. In the last section we provide some additional remarks on the density of certain classes of rational functions.

In summary, this paper shows that the density of $R(E)$ can be completely decided from the structure of the linear bounded functionals on $C(K)$ which annihilate E .

1. Theorem. We identify the set $\mathcal{M}(K)$ of all regular Borel measures on K with the dual $C(K)^*$ of $C(K)$. If μ is a linear functional on $C(K)$ vanishing on E , we write $\mu \perp E$. For a measure μ on K and a continuous function $\varphi \in C(K)$ we define a new measure $\varphi\mu$ on K by the equation $\varphi\mu(f) = \mu(\varphi f)$ for all $f \in C(K)$.

1. THEOREM. *The following conditions are equivalent for an arbitrary subspace $E \subset C(K)$.*

- (i) $R(E)$ is dense in $C(K)$.
- (i') $R(E)$ is dense in $\{f \in C(K): 0 \leq f\}$.
- (ii) For every (closed) linear subspace $F \subset C(K)$ such that $E \subset F$ and such that $\text{codim } F \leq 2$, the set $R(F)$ is dense in $C(K)$.
- (iii) For every pair of measures $\mu, \nu \perp E$ not both 0, for every $\varphi \in C(K)$ with $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\varphi\mu + (1 - \varphi)\nu \not\approx \varepsilon(|\mu| + |\nu|)$.
- (iv) For every pair of measures $\mu, \nu \perp E$ not both 0 and for every $f \in C(K)$ we have $f\mu + \nu \not\approx |\mu|$.
- (iv') For every pair of measures $\mu, \nu \perp E$ not both 0 and for every nonnegative $f \in C(K)$ we have $f\mu + \nu \not\approx |\mu|$.
- (v) For every pair of measures $\mu, \nu \perp E$ not both 0, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and for every $f \in C(K)$ there exists an $\tilde{f} \in C(K)$ such that $\|f - \tilde{f}\| < \varepsilon$ and such that $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu$ is neither positive nor negative.
- (v') For every pair of measures $\mu, \nu \perp E$ not both 0, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and for every nonnegative $f \in C(K)$ there exists an $\tilde{f} \in C(K)$ such that $\|f - \tilde{f}\| < \varepsilon$ and such that $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu$ is neither positive nor negative.

Proof. (i') \Rightarrow (i): Suppose that $f \in C(K)$ is given. Then $f + \|f\|1$ is positive. Hence for every given $\varepsilon > 0$ there are functions $g, h \in E$ such that $\|f + \|f\|1 - g/h\| < \varepsilon$. It follows that $\|f - (g - \|f\|h)/h\| < \varepsilon$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) is trivial.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Assume that there exists measures $\mu, \nu \perp E$ not both 0, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in C(K)$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, such that $\varphi\mu + (1 - \varphi)\nu \geq \varepsilon(|\mu| + |\nu|)$. Let $F = \ker \mu \cap \ker \nu$. Clearly, $E \subset F$ and F has codimension 2. We show that $R(F)$ cannot be dense. In fact, we will show that the ε' -ball around φ does not intersect $R(F)$, whenever $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$:

Pick any function $g \in C(K)$ with $\|g\| \leq \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ we have $\varepsilon(|\mu| + |\nu|) > \varepsilon'(|\mu| + |\nu|) \geq g(\mu - \nu)$. It follows that $(\varphi - g)(\mu - \nu) + \nu > 0$ whenever $\|g\| \leq \varepsilon'$. Suppose that $(\varphi - g) = \alpha/\beta$, where $\|g\| \leq \varepsilon'$, $\alpha, \beta \in F$, and $\beta(k) > 0$ for all $k \in K$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\mu - \nu)(\alpha) + \nu(\beta) = (\mu - \nu)((\varphi - g)\beta) + \nu(\beta) \\ &= [(\varphi - g)(\mu - \nu) + \nu](\beta) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction! (The last inequality follows from the fact that $\beta(k)$ is strictly positive for all $k \in K$ and the positivity of $(\varphi - g)(\mu - \nu) + \nu$.) Hence the ε' -ball around φ does not intersect $R(F)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Again, we assume that (iv') is not true. Then we can find a positive function $f \in C(K)$ such that $f\mu + \nu \geq |\mu|$. Hence, for all $g \in C(K)$ with $\|g\| \leq 1$ we have $f\mu + \nu \geq |\mu| \geq g\mu$. Especially, if $\|g\| \leq 1/3$, then $\|g - 2/3\| \leq 1$, hence $(f + 2/3 - g)\mu + \nu \geq 0$. Moreover, since $\mu/3 = \sup\{g\mu : \|g\| \leq 1/3\}$, we have $(f + 2/3)\mu + \nu \geq \mu/3$. Let $f_0 = f + 2/3$. We obtain

$$f_0\mu + \nu \geq \frac{1}{3}\mu; \quad f_0(k) \geq \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{for all } k \in K.$$

Moreover, for every \tilde{f} in the ball with radius $1/3$ we have $\tilde{f}(k) \geq 1/3 > 0$ and $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu > 0$. Let $g_0 = 1/f_0$ and choose M such that $\|f_0\| \leq M/3$. We claim that

$$\mu + g_0\nu \geq \frac{1}{(M + 1)\|f_0\|}|\nu|; \quad g_0(k) \geq \frac{1}{\|f_0\|} \quad \text{for all } k \in K.$$

Clearly, $g_0(k) = 1/f_0(k) \geq 1/\|f_0\|$ for every $k \in K$. Now assume that $\|\tilde{g} - g\| \leq 1/(M + 1)\|f_0\|$. Then $\tilde{g}(k) \geq M/(M + 1)\|f_0\| > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f_0(k) - \frac{1}{\tilde{g}(k)} \right| &= \frac{f_0(k)}{\tilde{g}(k)} \left| \tilde{g}(k) - \frac{1}{f_0(k)} \right| = \frac{f_0(k)}{\tilde{g}(k)} |\tilde{g}(k) - g_0(k)| \\ &\leq \frac{(M + 1)\|f_0\|^2}{M} \frac{1}{(M + 1)\|f_0\|} \leq \frac{\|f_0\|}{M} \leq \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in K$. It follows that $\|f_0 - 1/\tilde{g}\| \leq 1/3$, and thus $(1/\tilde{g})\mu + \nu \geq 0$. This clearly implies $\mu + \tilde{g}\nu \geq 0$ whenever \tilde{g} belongs to the ball of radius $1/(M+1)\|f_0\|$ with center g_0 . Using again the equation $|\nu| = \sup\{g\nu: \|g\| \leq 1\}$, this yields

$$\mu + g_0\nu \geq \frac{1}{(M+1)\|f_0\|}|\nu|.$$

If we let

$$\rho = \min\left\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{(M+1)\|f_0\|}\right\},$$

then we obtain $f_0\mu + \nu \geq \rho|\mu|$ and $\mu + g_0\nu \geq \rho|\nu|$, thus

$$(1 + f_0)\mu + (1 + g_0)\nu \geq \varphi(|\mu| + |\nu|).$$

Now let

$$\varphi = \frac{(1 + f_0)}{(2 + f_0 + g_0)}$$

and

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\rho}{2 + \|f_0\| + \|g_0\|}.$$

It follows that $\varphi\mu + (1 - \varphi)\nu \geq \varepsilon(|\mu| + |\nu|)$, contradicting (iii).

(iv') \Rightarrow (v'): Assume that there is a function nonnegative $f \in C(K)$, a pair of measures $\mu, \nu \perp E$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every \tilde{f} with $\|\tilde{f} - f\| < \varepsilon$ the linear functional $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu$ is either positive or negative. Consider the set

$$A = \{\tilde{f}\mu + \nu: \|\tilde{f} - f\| \leq \varepsilon\} = f\mu + \nu + \{g\mu: \|g\| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Then A is convex. Hence, if A contains strictly positive and strictly negative elements, A has to be a line segment containing 0. (Indeed, assume that $\gamma_1 \in A$ is strictly positive and that $\gamma_2 \in A$ is strictly negative. If γ_1 and γ_2 were not linearly dependent, then for every $0 \leq r \leq 1$ we have either $r\gamma_1 + (1-r)\gamma_2 > 0$ or $r\gamma_1 + (1-r)\gamma_2 < 0$. Hence $\{r: r\gamma_1 + (1-r)\gamma_2 \geq 0\}$ and $\{r: r\gamma_1 + (1-r)\gamma_2 \leq 0\}$ are non-empty disjoint closed sets covering the unit interval, a contradiction. It follows that every such pair of elements of A is linearly dependent, and therefore A has to be a line segment containing 0.) Since $0 \in A$, we can find a function h such that $f\mu + \nu = h\mu$, i.e., $A = h\mu + \{g\mu: \|g\| \leq \varepsilon\}$. Moreover, since $0 \in A$, we may assume w.l.o.g. that $\|h\| \leq \varepsilon$. Consider the elements

$(h + \varepsilon)\mu, (h - \varepsilon)\mu \in A$. If both were positive, we would obtain $h\mu \geq -\varepsilon\mu$ and $h\mu \geq +\varepsilon\mu$, i.e. $h\mu \geq \varepsilon|\mu| \geq g\mu$ for every $g \in C(K)$ with $\|g\| \leq \varepsilon$. This would imply $\gamma \geq 0$ for every $\gamma \in A$, contradicting our assumptions. Similarly, if both $(h + \varepsilon)\mu$ and $(h - \varepsilon)\mu$ were negative, we would arrive at the contradiction $\gamma \leq 0$ for every $\gamma \in A$. Hence we have either $(h + \varepsilon)\mu \leq 0$ and $(h - \varepsilon)\mu \geq 0$ or vice versa. In the first case, we obtain $h\mu \leq -\varepsilon\mu$ and $-h\mu \leq -\varepsilon\mu$, i.e. $|h\mu| \leq -\varepsilon\mu$. This implies that $-\mu$ is positive. The second case yields $\mu \geq 0$. In any case, we would find a positive measure $\mu' \perp E$, contradicting (vi') with $f = 1$ and $\nu = 0$.

Hence, A contains either only positive or only negative elements, w.l.o.g. $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu \geq 0$ whenever $\|\tilde{f} - f\| < \varepsilon$. This implies $f\mu + \nu \geq g\mu$ for all $g \in C(K)$, $\|g\| < \varepsilon$. Since $\sup\{g\mu: \|g\| < \varepsilon\} = \varepsilon|\mu|$, we conclude that $f\mu + \nu \geq \varepsilon|\mu|$, i.e. $(f/\varepsilon)\mu + (\nu/\varepsilon) \geq |\mu|$, contradicting (iv').

(v') \Rightarrow (iv'): Suppose we could find a pair of measures $\mu, \nu \perp E$ and a function $f \in C(K)$ such that $f\mu + \nu \geq |\mu|$. Then for every $g \in C(K)$ with $\|g\| < 1$ we would have $f\mu + \nu \geq |\mu| \geq g\mu$, i.e. $(f - g)\mu + \nu \geq 0$, contradicting (v') with $\varepsilon = 1$.

(v') \Rightarrow (i'): Suppose that $R(E)$ is not dense in $\{f \in C(K): 0 \leq f\}$. Then we can find a nonnegative function $f \in C(K)$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the ε -ball around f does not intersect $R(E)$. Consider the following subset of $C(K) \times C(K)$:

$$C_{f,\varepsilon} = \{(g, h): h(k) > 0 \text{ for all } k \in K; \|f - g/h\| < \varepsilon\}.$$

The equivalence $\|f - g/h\| < \varepsilon$ if and only if $-\varepsilon h(k) < f(k)h(k) - g(k) < \varepsilon h(k)$ for all $k \in K$ shows that $C_{f,\varepsilon}$ is an open convex subset of $C(K) \times C(K)$. By assumption, $C \cap E \times E = \emptyset$. Hence we can find measures μ and ν such that

- (a) $E \times E \subset \{(\alpha, \beta): \mu(\alpha) + \nu(\beta) = 0\}$;
- (b) $C_{f,\varepsilon} \cap \{(\alpha, \beta): \mu(\alpha) + \nu(\beta) = 0\} = \emptyset$.

Clearly, (a) implies that $E \subset \ker \mu \cap \ker \nu$, i.e. $\mu, \nu \perp E$ and clearly μ and ν cannot be both equal to 0. We will show next that $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu$ is either positive or negative whenever $\|\tilde{f} - f\| < \varepsilon$: Assume that for one such \tilde{f} , the linear functional $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu$ is neither positive nor negative. Then we can find a strictly positive function h such that $[\tilde{f}\mu + \nu](h) = 0$. Let $g = \tilde{f}h$. We find $(g, h) \in C_{f,\varepsilon} \cap \{(\alpha, \beta): \mu(\alpha) + \nu(\beta) = 0\}$, contradicting (b).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iv) is similar to (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

(iv) \Leftrightarrow (v) is completely analogous to (iv') \Leftrightarrow (v').

(v) \Rightarrow (v') is trivial. □

Let us consider some special cases.

If μ is a measure on a compact space K , and if $f \in C(K)$, $f \neq 0$, is given such that $\mu(f) = \|\mu\| \|f\|$, then we say that μ *peaks at* f . It is easy to see that $f\mu \geq |\mu|$ implies that μ peaks at $(-1) \vee (f \wedge 1)$. Moreover, μ peaks at f for some $f \in C(K)$ if and only if $\text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_- = \emptyset$.

It was shown in [3] that for a hyperplane $E = \ker \mu$ the set $R(E)$ is dense if and only if μ does not peak. For subspace of codimension 2 we have

2. COROLLARY. *Let μ, ν be two measures on K neither of which is peaking, and assume that there is a point $x \in (\text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_-) \setminus \text{supp } \nu$. If $E = \ker \mu \cap \ker \nu$, then $R(E)$ is dense.*

Proof. Assume not. Then, by Theorem 1, we can find an $\varepsilon > 0$, a function $f \in C(K)$ and constants $r, s, a, b \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that

$$f(r\mu + s\nu) + (a\mu + b\nu) \geq \varepsilon|r\mu + s\nu|.$$

Let A be a closed neighborhood of x disjoint from $\text{supp } \nu$. Since restriction of measures to closed sets is a lattice homomorphism, we find

$$r(f|A)(\mu|A) + a(\mu|A) \geq \varepsilon|r(\mu|A)|.$$

If $r = 0$, then $a\mu|A$ would be positive, which is only possible if $a = 0$, since $x \in A \cap \text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_-$. It would follow that $fs\nu + b\nu \geq \varepsilon|s\nu|$. Hence either $b\nu$ would be positive (if $s = 0$) or $(fs + b)(\varepsilon|s|)^{-1}\nu \geq |\nu|$, in which case ν would peak by the above remark. We conclude that $r \neq 0$. Hence

$$\frac{r(f|A) + a}{\varepsilon|r|}(\mu|A) \geq |(\mu|A)|,$$

i.e. $\mu|A$ would peak, contradicting the fact that $x \in \text{supp}(\mu|A)_+ \cap \text{supp}(\mu|A)_-$.

3. COROLLARY. *Let $E \subset C(K)$ be a linear subspace and assume that there is a point $x_0 \in K$ such that $x_0 \in \text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_-$ for every $\mu \perp E$. Then $R(E)$ is dense.*

Proof. Assume not. Then by condition (v) of Theorem 1 there exist measures $\mu, \nu \perp E$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and there exists a function $f \in C(K)$ such that $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu$ is either positive or negative for every $\tilde{f} \in B_\varepsilon(f)$. Pick a function \tilde{f} such that $\|\tilde{f} - f\| < \varepsilon$ and such that \tilde{f} is constant on a closed

neighborhood A of x_0 . Let $r = \tilde{f}(x_0)$. Then

$$(r\mu + \nu)|_A = (\tilde{f}\mu + \nu)|_A \geq \varepsilon|\mu|_A \geq 0,$$

contradicting the fact that $x_0 \in \text{supp}(r\mu + \nu)_+ \cap \text{supp}(r\mu + \nu)_-$. \square

4. COROLLARY. *Let $K = \{1/n: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$. Then for a linear subspace $E \in C(K)$, $R(E)$ is dense if and only if no measure $\mu \perp E$ peaks.*

Proof. Since 0 is the only point of K which is not isolated, a measure μ on K does not peak if and only if $0 \in \text{supp}\mu_+ \cap \text{supp}\mu_-$. Hence the result follows from Corollary 3. \square

We will see that Corollary 4 is not true in general. As a matter of fact, it is never true in a space K which has two accumulation points.

2. Examples. Our first example shows that it may happen that $R(F)$ is dense in $C(K)$ for every hyperplane F containing E , but $R(E)$ itself is not dense:

1. EXAMPLE. Let K be the real interval between 0 and 5. We define two measures μ and ν by

$$\mu(g) = \int_0^1 g(x) dx - \int_1^2 g(x) dx + \int_3^4 g(x) dx - \int_4^5 g(x) dx$$

and

$$\nu(g) = \int_0^2 g(x) dx - 2 \int_3^4 g(x) dx + 4 \int_4^5 g(x) dx.$$

Let $E = \ker \mu \cap \ker \nu$.

Firstly, we check that $R(E)$ is not dense: Pick a continuous function $f \in C[0, 5]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq 2$ and $f(x) = 3$ for $3 \leq x \leq 5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f\mu + \nu)(g) &= \mu(fg) + \nu(g) \\ &= \int_0^2 g(x) dx + \int_3^5 g(x) dx = |\mu|(g), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $f\mu + \nu = |\mu|$. Hence $R(E)$ is not dense by (1.1). Now consider any hyperplane F containing E . Then $F = \ker \gamma$, where $\gamma = r\mu + s\nu$ for suitable $r, s \in \mathfrak{R}$. It turns out that for every choice of r and s , either $1 \in \text{supp}\gamma_+ \cap \gamma_-$ or $4 \in \text{supp}\gamma_+ \cap \text{supp}\gamma_-$. Hence $R(F)$ is dense by [3]. \square

2. EXAMPLE. Let $K = [0, 2\pi]$ be the set of real numbers between 0 and 2π , and let $E \subset C(K)$ be given as follows:

$$E = \left\{ f \in C(K) : \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \sin x \, dx = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \cos x \, dx = 0 \right\}.$$

We will show that $R(E)$ is dense in $C([0, 2\pi])$. First of all, note that every measure μ orthogonal to E is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(g) &= \int_0^{2\pi} g(x) (a \cos x + b \sin x) \, dx \\ &= r \int_0^{2\pi} g(x) \cos(x + \alpha) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

for certain constants $r \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$. Hence it follows from the result in [3] that for every hyperplane F containing E , the set $R(F)$ is dense in $C([0, 2\pi])$. Hence, if $R(E)$ were not dense, then we could find linearly independent measures $\mu, \nu \perp E$, a continuous function $\varphi \in C([0, 2\pi])$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\varphi\mu + (1-\varphi)\nu \geq \varepsilon(|\mu| + |\nu|).$$

Representing μ as $\mu(g) = r_0 \int_0^{2\pi} g(x) \cos(x + \alpha_0) \, dx$ and $\nu(g) = r_1 \int_0^{2\pi} g(x) \cos(x + \alpha_1) \, dx$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x)r_0 \cos(x + \alpha_0) + (1 - \varphi(x))r_1 \cos(x + \alpha_1) \\ \geq \varepsilon(|r_0 \cos(x + \alpha_0)| + |r_1 \cos(x + \alpha_1)|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $r_0 \cos(x + \alpha_0)$, and $r_1 \cos(x + \alpha_1)$ cannot be simultaneously negative, and hence $\alpha_0 - \alpha_1$ is a multiple of π , contradicting the fact that μ and ν are linearly independent.

3. EXAMPLE. Let K be the set of real numbers between 0 and 2π and define $E \subset C(K)$ by

$$E = \left\{ f \in C(K) : \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \sin x \, dx = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \cos 2x \, dx = 0 \right\}.$$

Then, contrary to Example 2, $R(E)$ is not dense in $C(K)$. If we define $\mu(f) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \sin x \, dx$ and $\nu(f) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \cos 2x \, dx$, then μ and ν are orthogonal to E , but for $f(x) = 2 \sin x$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\mu + \nu &= 2 \sin^2 x + \cos 2x \\ &= (1 - \cos 2x) + \cos 2x = 1 \\ &\geq |\sin x| = |\mu|, \end{aligned}$$

hence $R(E)$ is not dense by Theorem 1.(iv).

3. Approximation by Müntz Rationals. In this section we will use our approach to recover the results of G. Somorjai [5] and J. Bak and D. J. Newman [1] on approximation with Müntz rationals. We will start with an abstract version of the theorem of Somorjai:

1. **DEFINITION.** Let $0 \leq a \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. A function $z_{\varepsilon,a} \in C[0, 1]$ is called an ε -zoomer at a if $z_{\varepsilon,a}(r) \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and

- (i) $z_{\varepsilon,a}(x) \geq 1/\varepsilon$ for $x \geq a + \varepsilon$;
- (ii) $z_{\varepsilon,a}(x) \leq \varepsilon$ for $x \leq a - \varepsilon$. □

2. **THEOREM** (cf. [5]). *Let $E \subset C[0, 1]$ be a subspace such that E contains an ε -zoomer at a for all $a \in [0, 1]$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $R(E)$ is dense in $C[0, 1]$.*

Proof. Let $\mu \perp E$, $\mu \neq 0$. We first show that

$$(1) \quad t_0 = \sup\{t : t \in \text{supp } \mu\} \in \text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_-.$$

For a proof of this statement, it is evidently sufficient to show that for any neighborhood U containing the point t_0 , the restriction $\mu|U$ is neither negative nor positive. Contrary to it, let us assume that there exists an interval $[t - 3\eta, t_0]$, $\eta > 0$, where the measure μ is strictly positive. Then it is also strictly positive on the interval $[t_0 - \eta, t_0]$, hence $\mu([t_0 - \eta, t_0]) \geq \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. For every $\varepsilon \in (0, \eta]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mu(z_{\varepsilon,t_0-\eta}) \\ &= \int_0^{t_0-3\eta} z_{\varepsilon,t_0-\eta}(s) d\mu(s) + \int_{t_0-3\eta}^{t_0-\eta} z_{\varepsilon,t_0-\eta}(s) d\mu(s) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0-\eta}^1 z_{\varepsilon,t_0-\eta}(s) d\mu(s) \\ &= \int_0^{t_0-3\eta} z_{\varepsilon,t_0-\eta}(s) d\mu(s) + \int_{t_0-3\eta}^{t_0-\eta} z_{\varepsilon,t_0-\eta}(s) d\mu(s) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0-\eta}^{t_0} z_{\varepsilon,t_0-\eta}(s) d\mu(s) \\ &\geq \int_0^{t_0-3\eta} z_{\varepsilon,t_0-\eta}(s) d\mu(s) + \int_{t_0-\eta}^{t_0} z_{\varepsilon,t_0-\eta}(s) d\mu(s). \end{aligned}$$

Letting ε tend to 0 we have (by definition)

$$\int_0^{t_0-3\eta} z_{\varepsilon,t_0-\eta}(s) d\mu(s) \rightarrow 0$$

while

$$\int_{t_0-\eta}^{t_0} z_{\varepsilon, t_0-\eta}(s) d\mu(s) \geq \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty,$$

which gives us a contradiction.

To finish the proof of the Theorem, we have to show that for any pair of measures $\mu, \nu \perp E$,

$$(2) \quad \overline{R(\ker \mu \cap \ker \nu)} = C[0, 1].$$

We consider two cases:

(1) Suppose that for some pair of measures

$$\nu_1 = a_1\mu + \beta_1\nu; \quad \nu_2 = \alpha_2\mu + \beta_2\nu$$

we have $\sup(\text{supp } \nu_1) > \sup(\text{supp } \nu_2)$. Then we have $t_0 = \sup(\text{supp } \nu_1) \in \text{supp } \nu_1 \cap \text{supp } \nu_{1-}$ and $t_0 \notin \text{supp } \nu_2$. Thus (2) holds by Corollary (1.2).

(2) Suppose that $t_0 = \sup(\text{supp } \nu) = \sup(\text{supp } \alpha\mu + \beta\nu)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{R}$. Then $t_0 \in \text{supp}(\alpha\mu + \beta\nu)_+ \cap \text{supp}(\alpha\mu + \beta\nu)_-$ for all α, β , hence (2) follows from Corollary (1.3). \square

We are now in the position to prove the following

3. THEOREM. (*Somorjai [5], Bak and Newman [1].*) Let Λ be an infinite set of positive real numbers containing 1. Let

$$E = \text{span}\{t^\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subset C[0, 1].$$

Then $R(E)$ is dense in $C[0, 1]$.

Proof. We consider three cases:

(I) Λ contains a strictly decreasing sequence (λ_j) such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j = 0$. We want to show that $0 \in \text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_-$ for every $0 \neq \mu \perp E$. (In this case, the result follows from Corollary 3.)

Firstly, we show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mu|_{[0, \varepsilon]} \neq 0.$$

Suppose not. Consider the function

$$f(z) = \int_0^1 t^z d\mu(t) = \int_\varepsilon^1 t^z d\mu(t), \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Then $f(z)$ is an entire function (cf. [2]), and $f(\lambda_j) = 0$ for all j . Hence the zeros of f have an accumulation point. Consequently, $f(z) = 0$ for all complex numbers z and therefore $\mu = 0$.

It now remains to show that for every $\eta > 0$ such that $3\eta < e^{-1}$ the restriction of μ to the interval $[0, 3\eta]$ is neither positive nor negative. Suppose that

$$(3) \quad (\mu | [0, 3\eta]) > 0.$$

We first observe that $\mu(0) = 0$. Indeed,

$$0 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu(1 - t^{\lambda_j}) = \mu(0)$$

since $1 - t^{\lambda_j} \rightarrow 0$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. Hence the assumption (3) implies that $(\mu | [\delta, \eta]) > 0$ for every $0 < \delta < \eta$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose k so large that $1 - t^{\lambda_k} < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in [\delta, 1]$. Let $k = -\ln(2\eta)$. Consider the function $P_N(t) = N![\lambda_k, \dots, \lambda_{k+N}]t^s$ where $[\lambda_k, \dots, \lambda_{k+N}]t^s$ is the N th divided difference at t^s , where t^s is viewed as a function of s (cf. [2]). By the mean value theorem we can find a number $\lambda(t) \in [\lambda_{k+N}, \lambda_k]$ so that $P_N(t) = t^{\lambda(t)}(\ln t)^N$. By the definition of divided differences, $P_N(t) \in E$ and $Q_N(t) = P_N(t)/K^N = t^{\lambda(t)}((\ln t)/K)^N$ has the following properties (for even n):

- (i) $Q_n(t) \geq 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(t) = \infty$ for $t \in [\delta, \eta]$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(t) = 0$ for $t \in [3\eta, 1]$.

Similarly to the proof of (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mu(Q_n) &= \int_0^{3\eta} Q_n(s) d\mu(s) + \int_{3\eta}^1 Q_n(s) d\mu(s) \\ &\geq \int_s^\eta Q_n(s) d\mu(s) - \left| \int_{3\eta}^1 Q_n(s) d\mu(s) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first integral tends to infinity and the second integral tends to zero, we have a contradiction.

(II) Assume that Λ contains a sequence (λ_j) such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j = \infty$. In this case it is sufficient to observe that the functions $z_{\varepsilon,a}(t) = (t/a)^{\lambda_j} \in E$ are zoomers for sufficiently large λ_j . Hence this case is a consequence of (3.1).

(III) Finally if Λ contains a sequence (λ_j) which is bounded and bounded from below by $r > 0$, then the Müntz-theorem (cf. [2]) implies that E is dense in $C[0, 1]$. Since $1 \in E$, we have $E \subset R(E)$ and consequently $\overline{R(E)} = C[0, 1]$.

REMARK. It is interesting to note that in the proof of (3.3) we have used the same functions which were used in the constructive proof of

theorem (cf. [2]). However they have been used for a somewhat different purpose.

Similar results can be obtained in higher dimensions. More specifically, let $\Lambda = (\lambda_j)_j$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers. Let D be a compact subset of the positive cone in \mathfrak{R}^n . Let

$$E = \text{span} \left\{ t_1^{\lambda_1} \cdot t_2^{\lambda_2} \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^{\lambda_n} : \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda \right\}.$$

Then $R(E)$ is dense in $C(D)$. As a matter of fact, if $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j = \infty$, and if $\mu \perp E$, then points in $\text{supp } \mu$ which have maximal distance to the origin belong to $\text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_-$. If $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j = 0$ and if D is in the interior of the positive cone, then E is dense in $C(D)$. If D is not in the interior of the positive cone, then every point in the support of μ with at least one coordinate equal to zero belongs to $\text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_-$.

These observations raise the interesting question of the density of $R(E \otimes E)$ in $C(K \times K)$. We intend to explore this problem in a subsequent paper.

4. Further remarks. Let us start this section by pointing out that Theorem (1.1) maybe also used ‘pointwise’: The proof of (v) \Rightarrow (i) show that a function f belongs to the closure of $R(E)$ provided that for every pair of measure $\mu, \nu \perp E$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a \tilde{f} with $\|f - \tilde{f}\| < \varepsilon$ such that $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu$ is neither positive nor negative. This observation leads to a generalization of Corollary (1.3) that has a ‘set of antisymmetry flavor’:

1. PROPOSITION. *Let E be a linear subspace of $C(K)$ and let A be a subset of K such that for every $\mu \perp E$ we have $A \cap \text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_- \neq \emptyset$. If $f \in C(K)$ and $f|_A$ is a constant, then $f \in \overline{R(E)}$.*

Proof. Assume that $f(k) = r_0$ for all $k \in A$. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$ pick a function \tilde{f} in the ε -neighborhood of f such that $\tilde{f}(k) = r_0$ for a certain open neighborhood U of A . We claim that $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu$ is neither positive nor negative whenever $\mu, \nu \perp E$. Assume not. By multiplying with -1 if necessary, we may assume that $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu > 0$. This would imply that

$$(r_0\mu + \nu)|_U = (\tilde{f}\mu + \nu)|_U \geq 0,$$

contradicting the fact that

$$A \cap \text{supp}(r_0\mu + \nu)_+ \cap \text{supp}(r_0\mu + \nu)_- \neq \emptyset. \quad \square$$

The next result follows from (4.1):

2. PROPOSITION. *Let λ be a Borel measure on K and let E be a linear subspace of $C(K)$ such that there exists a set A of λ -measure 0 satisfying $A \cap \text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_- \neq \emptyset$ for each $\mu \perp E$. Then $R(E)$ is dense in $L_p(\lambda)$ for every $1 \leq p < \infty$. \square*

Example (2.1) shows that $R(E)$ may be dense in $L_p(\lambda)$ for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ without being dense in $C(K)$.

Our last remark concerns Chebyshev Systems. Recall that an $n + 1$ -dimensional subspace $E \subset C([0, 1])$ is called *Chebyshev*, provided that every non-zero function $f \in E$ has no more than n zeros. D. J. Newman (see [4]) conjectured that for a space E containing Chebyshev systems of arbitrary high dimensions, the set $R(E)$ is dense in $C([0, 1])$. Our Theorem (1.1) shows that we only have to verify Newman's conjecture for spaces E of codimension 1 and 2. For spaces of codimension 1, the question can be solved:

3. PROPOSITION. *Let $E \subset C([0, 1])$ be a subspace of codimension 1 and assume that E contains Chebyshev systems of arbitrary high dimensions. Then $R(E)$ is dense in $C([0, 1])$.*

Proof. Let E_n be a Chebyshev System of dimension n . It is well-known that for every given $f \in C([0, 1])$ there exists a unique best approximation $g \in E_n$ to f . Moreover, there are $n + 1$ points $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n \in [0, 1]$ such that either $\|f - g\| = (-1)^i(f(x_i) - g(x_i))$ or $\|f - g\| = (-1)^{i+1}(f(x_i) - g(x_i))$ holds for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. Now assume that $E = \ker \mu$ and assume that $R(E)$ is not dense in $C(K)$. Then $\text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_- = \emptyset$ (see [3]). Pick a continuous function f such that $\|f\| = 1$ and such that $f(k) = 1$ for $k \in \text{supp } \mu_+$ and $f(k) = -1$ for $k \in \text{supp } \mu_-$. We then have $f\mu = |\mu|$. Let $E_n \subset E$ be a Chebyshev subspace of dimension n and let $g_n \in E_n$ be the best approximation to f . Since $\mu(g_n) = 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - g_n\| \|\mu\| &\geq |\mu(f - g_n)| = |\mu(f)| = |(f\mu)(1)| = |\mu|(1) \\ &= \|\mu\| = \|\mu\| \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\|f\| = \|f - 0\| \leq \|f - g_n\|$. Since the best approximation of f is unique, it follows that $0 = g_n$. Hence we can find $n + 1$ points x_0, \dots, x_n such that $f(x_i) = (-1)^i \|f\| = (-1)^i$. This last statement is true for arbitrary large n , contradicting the continuity of f . \square

A well-known example of a space E containing Chebyshev subspaces of arbitrary high dimension without being dense can be obtained as follows: Let

$$E = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{1}{t - \lambda_j} : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\} \subset C([0, 1])$$

where $\Lambda = \{\lambda_j : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \subset (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$ is an infinite set of real numbers such that $\Lambda \cap [0, 1] \neq \emptyset$. It turns out that for every measure $\mu \perp E$ either 0 or 1 always belong to $\text{supp } \mu_+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_-$, depending on whether $0 \in \bar{\Lambda}$ or $1 \in \bar{\Lambda}$. Hence $R(E)$ is dense in $C([0, 1])$. However, there is a simpler proof for this fact: It is enough to show that every polynomial $P_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j t^j$ belongs to $R(E)$. By partial fractions

$$g(t) = \frac{P_n(t)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} (t - \lambda_j)} \in E$$

and

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} (t - \lambda_j)} \in E.$$

Hence $g(t)/h(t) = P_n(t) \in R(E)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bak and D. J. Newman, *Rational combinations of x^{λ_k} , $\lambda_k \geq 0$ are always dense in $C([0, 1])$* , *J. Approximation Theory*, **32**, No. 2 (1978), 155–157.
- [2] R. P. Feinerman, and D. J. Newman, *Polynomial Approximation*, Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore (1974).
- [3] G. Gierz, and B. Shekhtman, *On rational approximation from a hyperplane*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* to appear.
- [4] D. J. Newman, *Approximation with Rational Functions*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 28 (1979).
- [5] G. Somorjai, *A Müntz-type problem for rational approximation*, *Acta Math. Acad. Hungar.*, **27** (1976), 197–199.
- [6] R. S. Varga, *Topics in Polynomial and Rational Interpolation and Approximation*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal (1982).

Received September 6, 1985 and in revised form January 10, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
RIVERSIDE, CA 92521

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024
HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195
VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906–1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Gilles Christol, Fonctions et éléments algébriques	1
Jo-Ann Deborah Cohen, Extensions of valuation and absolute valued topologies	39
Miriam Cohen, Smash products, inner actions and quotient rings	45
Mikio Furushima, On the singular K -3 surfaces with hypersurface singularities	67
Gerhard Gierz and Boris Shekhtman, A duality principle for rational approximation	79
Anthony Wood Hager, A description of HSP-like classes, and applications	93
George Alan Jennings, Lines having high contact with a projective variety	103
John Lott, Eigenvalue bounds for the Dirac operator	117
Denis Laurent Luminet, A functional calculus for Banach PI-algebras	127
Shizuo Miyajima and Noboru Okazawa, Generators of positive C_0 -semigroups	161
Takemi Mizokami, On functions and stratifiable μ -spaces	177
Jeff Parker, 4-dimensional G -manifolds with 3-dimensional orbits	187
Elias Saab and Paulette Saab, On Pełczyński's properties (V) and (V*) ...	205
Elmar Schrohe, The symbols of an algebra of pseudodifferential operators	211
Aart van Harten and Els Vader-Burger, Approximate Green functions as a tool to prove correctness of a formal approximation in a model of competing and diffusing species	225
Stephen Watson, Using prediction principles to construct ordered continua	251