

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON SOME REFLEXIVE OPERATOR ALGEBRAS  
CONSTRUCTED FROM TWO SETS OF CLOSED OPERATORS  
AND FROM A SET OF REFLEXIVE OPERATOR ALGEBRAS**

EDWARD KISSIN

# ON SOME REFLEXIVE OPERATOR ALGEBRAS CONSTRUCTED FROM TWO SETS OF CLOSED OPERATORS AND FROM A SET OF REFLEXIVE OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

E. V. KISSIN

**In an earlier article by Kissin a new class of reflexive algebras possessing non-inner derivations implemented by bounded operators was introduced. Its method supplies us with many examples of reflexive algebras which have non-inner derivations implemented by bounded operators and for which effective analysis appears to be possible.**

**0. Introduction.** It is generally well-known that all the derivations of  $W^*$ -algebras are inner. Christensen [1] and Wagner [5] have proved that the same is true of nest and quasitriangular algebras. Furthermore, although Gilfeather, Hopenwasser and Larson [2] have shown that some CSL-algebras may have non-inner derivations, none of these derivations are implemented by bounded operators. The present paper extends the approach adopted in the earlier article [3] and considers a new method of constructing reflexive operator algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  from two given sets of closed operators  $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ ,  $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  and from a given set of reflexive operator algebras  $\{\mathcal{S}_i\}_{i=1}^n$  ( $n$  can be a finite number or infinity).

The structure of these algebras and their properties are very interesting. For example, one can show that, if certain conditions are applied to the operators  $\{F_i\}$  and  $\{G_i\}$ , then the algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  are semi-simple and totally symmetric without, however, becoming  $C^*$ -algebras [4]. These algebras also possess the following property: if  $A$  is reversible and belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $A^{-1}$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ . But in this paper we shall confine our discussion to two subjects:

- (i) Under what conditions on  $\{F_i\}$  and  $\{G_i\}$  are the algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  reflexive?
- (ii) What is the structure of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ ?

Usually, when studying CSL-algebras, one considers the pairs  $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Lat } \mathcal{A})$  in the same way as one considers the pairs  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$  when studying  $W^*$ -algebras. However, it has been suggested [3] that in the general case of operator algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  it would be more useful to consider

the triplets  $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}, \text{Ad } \mathcal{A})$  where  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  consists of all bounded operators which generate derivations on  $\mathcal{A}$ . As well as the obvious connection between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ , there is also a close link between  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  and  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ :

- (i) All operators  $A$  in  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  generate one-parameter groups of homeomorphisms of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  ( $M \rightarrow \exp(tA)M$ ).
- (ii) For every subspace  $M$  in  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ , the set  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}_M = \{B \in \text{Ad } \mathcal{A} : BM \subseteq M\}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  and

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{M \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}} \text{Ad } \mathcal{A}_M$$

if  $\mathcal{A}$  is reflexive.

A knowledge of the structure of  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  enables us to obtain a clearer description of the nature of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ . This can be done by establishing the structure of the orbits in  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  with respect to  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ .

In many cases, however, these triplets degenerate into pairs. For example, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, then  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  is the set of all projections in  $\mathcal{A}'$ , and  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}'$ ; as a result the triplet turns into the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a CSL-algebra, then  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$  and the triplet becomes the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Lat } \mathcal{A})$ . But, in the case of an arbitrary operator algebra,  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  is not usually equal to  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}'$  and  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  does not contain  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ ; in this case, therefore, the triplet does not degenerate into a pair.

One of the simplest classes of this type of algebras is  $\mathcal{R}_1$  [3]. This class consists of all the reflexive algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  which satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) The quotient Lie algebra  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}$  is non-trivial;
- (b) For every  $M$  in  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  the codimension of  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}_M$  in  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  is less than or equal to 1.

According to these conditions, no CSL- or  $W^*$ -algebras (except for the factors  $B(H) \otimes I_2$ ) belong to  $\mathcal{R}_1$ . For algebras from  $\mathcal{R}_1$ , effective analysis appears to be possible. The structure of the quotient Lie algebra  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}$ , for  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_1$ , is quite simple and enables us to obtain a description of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  in terms of the orbits in  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  with respect to  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  [3].

The new method introduced in the article provides us with a wide variety of algebras from  $\mathcal{R}_1$ , although not all the algebras obtained by this method belong to  $\mathcal{R}_1$  (see Example 2). There is reason to think that this method may in fact provide us with all the algebras from  $\mathcal{R}_1$  which satisfy some extra conditions on  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ .

Theorem 2.4 investigates the structure of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  and Theorem 2.5 considers some sufficient conditions for the algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  to be reflexive. Section 3 deals with a particular case when all  $\mathcal{T}_i = B(H_i)$  and a detailed

description of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  is obtained in Theorem 3.5. Two examples of algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  when  $n = 2$  are also considered. In Example 1,  $\dim(\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}) = 2$  and all operators from  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  which do not belong to  $\mathcal{A}$  generate non-inner derivations on  $\mathcal{A}$ . In Example 2,  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ , although the structure of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  is the same as in Example 1.

I would like to thank the referee of this article for his helpful suggestions, and am grateful to Dr. J. A. Erdos for his useful advice.

**1. Preliminaries and notation.** Let  $n$  be an integer or infinity, let  $H_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  ( $1 \leq i < \infty$ , if  $n = \infty$ ), be Hilbert spaces and let  $\mathcal{T}_i$  be reflexive operator algebras on  $H_i$ . (A subalgebra  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $B(H)$  is reflexive if  $\mathcal{T} = \text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{T}$ , where  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{T}$  is the set of all closed subspaces invariant under operators from  $\mathcal{T}$ , and  $\text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{T}$  is the algebra of all operators in  $B(H)$  which leave every member of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{T}$  invariant.) Let  $F_i$  and  $G_i$ , for  $1 \leq i < n$ , be closed operators from  $H_{i+1}$  into  $H_i$ . By  $D(F_i)$  and  $D(G_i)$  we shall denote their domains in  $H_{i+1}$ . Let  $F_i^*$  and  $G_i^*$  be the adjoint operators from  $H_i$  into  $H_{i+1}$  and let  $D(F_i^*)$  and  $D(G_i^*)$  be their domains in  $H_i$ . Set  $D_1 = H_1$ ,  $D_n^* = H_n$  (if  $n < \infty$ )

$$D_{i+1} = D(F_i) \cap D(G_i) \quad \text{and} \quad D_i^* = D(F_i^*) \cap D(G_i^*)$$

for  $1 \leq i < n$ . Then  $D_i \subseteq H_i$  and  $D_i^* \subseteq H_i$ .

Let us impose some restrictions on the operators  $\{F_i\}$  and  $\{G_i\}$ .

(R<sub>1</sub>)  $D_i$  and  $D_i^*$  are dense in  $H_i$  for all  $i$ .

(R<sub>2</sub>)  $G_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$ .

By  $\mathcal{U}$  we shall denote the set of all sequences  $T = \{T_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that

(A<sub>1</sub>)  $T_i \in \mathcal{T}_i$ ,  $T_{i+1}D(G_i) \subseteq D(G_i)$  and  $T_{i+1}D(F_i) \subseteq D(F_i)$ ;

(A<sub>2</sub>)  $T_i G_i |_{D(G_i)} = G_i T_{i+1} |_{D(G_i)}$ ;

(A<sub>3</sub>) the operators  $(F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i) |_{D(F_i)}$  extend to bounded operators  $T_{F_i}$  from  $H_{i+1}$  into  $H_i$ ;

(A<sub>4</sub>)  $\sup \|T_i\| < \infty$  and  $\sup \|T_{F_i}\| < \infty$ .

From (R<sub>1</sub>) it follows that for every  $i$  there only exists one bounded operator  $T_{F_i}$  which extends  $(F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i) |_{D(F_i)}$ . For every  $i$  let  $\mathcal{U}_i$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{T}_i$  such that an operator  $B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}_i$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$  for which  $B = T_i$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the direct sum of all  $H_i$ . For every sequence  $T = \{T_i\}$  from  $\mathcal{U}$  let  $A^T = (A_{ij})$  be the operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$(1) \quad A_{ii} = T_i, \quad A_{ii+1} = T_{F_i} \quad \text{and all other } A_{ij} = 0.$$

By (A<sub>4</sub>),  $A^T$  is bounded. Put

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) = \{A^T : T \in \mathcal{U}\};$$

$$I(\mathcal{H}) = \{A = (A_{ij}) \in B(\mathcal{H}) : A_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \geq j - 1\}.$$

By  $\mathcal{A}$  we shall denote the set of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  generated by all sums of operators from  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  and from  $I(\mathcal{H})$ .

For example, if  $n = 2$ , then  $F$  and  $G$  are closed operators from  $H_2$  into  $H_1$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = H_1 \oplus H_2$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , are reflexive subalgebras of  $B(H_i)$ ,  $I(\mathcal{H}) = \{0\}$  and

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_F \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix} \in B(\mathcal{H}) : (1) T_i \in \mathcal{T}_i, T_2 D(G) \subseteq D(G) \right.$$

$$\text{and } T_2 D(F) \subseteq D(F); (2) T_1 G|_{D(G)} = GT_2|_{D(G)};$$

$$\left. (3) T_F|_{D(F)} = (FT_2 - T_1 F)|_{D(F)} \right\}$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a subalgebra of  $B(H)$ . Then

$$\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \{ B \in B(H) : [B, A] = BA - AB \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A} \}.$$

Operators from  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  generate bounded derivations on  $\mathcal{A}$ . It can be easily checked that  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  is a Lie algebra and that  $\mathcal{A}$  and its commutant  $\mathcal{A}'$  are Lie ideals in  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ .

The rank one operator  $z \mapsto (z, x)y$  will be denoted by  $x \otimes y$ .

**2. Reflexivity of  $\mathcal{A}$ .** In this section, in Theorem 2.4 we shall obtain some information about  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  and in Theorem 2.5 we shall state some sufficient conditions for an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to be reflexive.

**LEMMA 2.1.**  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra and  $I(\mathcal{H})$  is a weakly closed ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $I(\mathcal{H})$  is a weakly closed ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $T = \{T_i\}$  and  $T' = \{T'_i\}$  belong to  $\mathcal{U}$ . It is easy to see that their linear combinations also belong to  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore linear combinations of operators  $A^T$  and  $A^{T'}$  belong to  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ . Let  $B = \{B_i\}$  where  $B_i = T_i T'_i$ . Then  $B$  satisfies conditions  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$ . Since the operators

$$\begin{aligned} & (F_i B_{i+1} - B_i F_i)|_{D(F)} \\ &= (F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i) T'_{i+1}|_{D(F)} + T_i (F_i T'_{i+1} - T'_i F_i)|_{D(F)} \end{aligned}$$

extend to the bounded operators  $T_{F_i} T'_{i+1} + T_i T'_{F_i}$ , we get that  $B$  satisfies  $(A_3)$  and that

$$(2) \quad B_{F_i} = T_{F_i} T'_{i+1} + T_i T'_{F_i}.$$

From (2) it follows immediately that  $B$  satisfies  $(A_4)$  and hence  $B \in \mathcal{U}$ . From simple computations and from (1) and (2) it follows that

$$A^T A^{T'} \equiv A^B \pmod{I(\mathcal{A})}.$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2.2. (i) *The operators  $F_i + tG_i$  and  $F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*$  are closable for every complex  $t$ .*

(ii) *For every  $\{T_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$*

$$(A_1^*) \quad T_i^* D(F_i^*) \subseteq D(F_i^*) \text{ and } T_i^* D(G_i^*) \subseteq D(G_i^*);$$

$$(A_2^*) \quad G_i^* T_i^* |_{D(G_i^*)} = T_{i+1}^* G_i^* |_{D(G_i^*)};$$

$$(A_3^*) \quad (T_{i+1}^* F_i^* - F_i^* T_i^*) |_{D(F_i^*)} = T_{F_i}^* |_{D(F_i^*)}.$$

*Proof.* For every complex  $t$  the domain of the operator  $F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*$  is  $D_i^*$ . Since  $D_i^*$  is dense in  $H_i$ , there exists the adjoint operator  $(F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*)^*$ . We also have that

$$(F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*)^* |_{D_{i+1}} = (F_i + tG_i) |_{D_{i+1}}.$$

Since  $(F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*)^*$  is closed, the operator  $F_i + tG_i$  is closable. Similarly we can prove that the operator  $F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*$  is closable. Thus (i) is proved.

From  $(A_2)$  it follows that for every  $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$ , for every  $y \in D(G_i)$  and for every  $x \in D(G_i^*)$

$$(3) \quad (G_i y, T_i^* x) = (T_i G_i y, x) = (G_i T_{i+1} y, x) = (y, T_{i+1}^* G_i^* x).$$

Hence for every  $x \in D(G_i^*)$

$$(4) \quad T_i^* x \in D(G_i) \quad \text{and} \quad G_i^* T_i^* |_{D(G_i^*)} = T_{i+1}^* G_i^* |_{D(G_i^*)}.$$

Thus  $(A_2^*)$  is proved.

From  $(A_3)$  it follows that for every  $y \in D(F_i)$  and every  $x \in D(F_i^*)$

$$(5) \quad (F_i y, T_i^* x) = (T_i F_i y, x) \\ = ((F_i T_{i+1} - T_{F_i}) y, x) = (y, (T_{i+1}^* F_i^* - T_{F_i}^*) x).$$

Therefore for every  $x \in D(F_i^*)$

$$(6) \quad T_i^* x \in D(F_i) \quad \text{and} \quad T_{F_i}^* |_{D(F_i^*)} = (T_{i+1}^* F_i^* - F_i^* T_i^*) |_{D(F_i^*)}$$

Thus  $(A_3^*)$  is proved. From (4) and (6) it follows that  $(A_1^*)$  holds which concludes the proof of the lemma.

DEFINITION. By  $S_i^i$  we shall denote the closure of the operator  $F_i + tG_i$  which is defined on  $D_{i+1}$  and by  $R_i^i$  we shall denote the closure of the operator  $F_i^* + tG_i^*$  which is defined on  $D_i^*$ . By  $D(S_i^i)$  and by  $D(R_i^i)$  we shall denote their domains.

It is easy to see that  $(R_i^i)^* |_{D_{i+1}} = F_i + tG_i$ . Since  $(R_i^i)^*$  is closed, we get that

$$(7) \quad S_i^i \subseteq (R_i^i)^*.$$

Since  $S_0^i$  is the closure of  $F_i |_{D_{i+1}}$  and  $(R_0^i)^* = (F_i^* |_{D_i^*})^*$ , it follows that

$$(8) \quad S_0^i \subseteq F_i \subseteq (R_0^i)^*.$$

By  $\mathcal{H}_0$  we shall denote the null subspace in  $\mathcal{H}$ . For every  $0 < i < n$  let  $\mathcal{H}_i$  be the direct sum of  $H_1, \dots, H_i$ . We shall consider  $\mathcal{H}_i$  as a subspace in  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{H}_i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ .

For every  $K \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{T}_i$  let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the direct sum of  $\mathcal{H}_{i-1}$  and  $K$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}$  can be considered as a subspace in  $\mathcal{H}$ , so that  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_i$  and  $\mathcal{K} \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $S$  be a closed operator from  $H_{i+1}$  into  $H_i$ . Put

$$M_S^i = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} : x \in D(S) \text{ and } y = Sx \right\}.$$

Then  $M_S^i$  is a closed subspace in  $H_i \oplus H_{i+1}$  which can be considered as a closed subspace in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Therefore  $M_S^i$  is a closed subspace in  $\mathcal{H}$ . By  $\mathcal{M}_S^i$  we shall denote the direct sum of  $\mathcal{H}_{i-1}$  and  $M_S^i$ , and we shall consider  $\mathcal{M}_S^i$  as a closed subspace in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

LEMMA 2.3. (i) Let  $S$  be a closed operator from  $H_{i+1}$  into  $H_i$  and let  $D$  be a linear manifold in  $D(S)$  such that

- 1)  $S$  is the closure of the operator  $S |_D$ ;
- 2)  $TD \subseteq D$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{U}_{i+1}$ ;
- 3)  $T_{F_i} |_D = (ST_{i+1} - T_i S) |_D$  for every  $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{M}_S^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ .

(ii) Let  $S$  be a closed operator from  $H_i$  into  $H_{i+1}$  and let  $D$  be a linear manifold in  $D(S)$  such that

- 1)  $D$  is dense in  $H_i$ ;
- 2)  $S$  is the closure of the operator  $S |_D$ ;
- 3)  $T^*D \subseteq D$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{U}_i$ .
- 4)  $(T_{i+1}^* S - ST_i^*) |_D = T_{F_i}^* |_D$  for every  $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{M}_{S^*}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* If an operator  $A$  belongs to  $I(\mathcal{H})$ , then it is easy to see that  $A\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$  for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_S^i$ .

Let  $T = \{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $A^T \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $A^T\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$  for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$ . Suppose that  $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in M_S^i$ . Then

$$A^T\xi \equiv \xi' \pmod{\mathcal{H}_{i-1}}$$

where

$$\xi' = \begin{pmatrix} y' \\ x' \end{pmatrix} \in H_i \oplus H_{i+1}, \quad x' = T_{i+1}x \quad \text{and} \quad y' = T_i y + T_{F_i} x.$$

Let  $x \in D$ . Then, by 2),  $x' \in D$ . Since  $y = Sx$ , we get, by 3), that

$$y' = T_i Sx + (ST_{i+1} - T_i S)x = ST_{i+1}x.$$

Hence  $\xi' \in M_{S'}^i$ . Thus, if  $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in M_{S'}^i$  and if  $x \in D$ , then  $A^T\xi \in \mathcal{M}_S^i$ . But, by 1), the elements  $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $x \in D$ , are dense in  $M_{S'}^i$ . Therefore  $A^T\xi \in \mathcal{M}_S^i$  for every  $\xi \in M_{S'}^i$  which completes the proof of (i).

Now let  $S$  be a closed operator from  $H_i$  into  $H_{i+1}$ . We only need condition 3) for condition 4) to be defined correctly. By 1),  $S^*$  is a closed operator from  $H_{i+1}$  into  $H_i$ . Let  $x \in D$  and  $y \in D(S^*)$ . Then for every  $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$ , by 4),

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{i+1}y, Sx) &= (y, T_{i+1}^* Sx) \\ &= (y, [ST_i^* + T_{F_i}^*]x) = ([T_i S^* + T_{F_i}]y, x). \end{aligned}$$

By 2),

$$T_{i+1}y \in D(S^*) \quad \text{and} \quad S^*T_{i+1}|_{D(S^*)} = (T_i S^* + T_{F_i})|_{D(S^*)}.$$

Applying (i) to  $S^*$  we obtain that  $\mathcal{M}_{S^*}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ . The proof is complete.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_{S_t^i}^i$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{(R_t)^*}^i$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{F_t}^i$  belong to  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  for  $1 \leq i < n$  and for all complex  $t$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $D = D_{i+1}$ . Then  $D \subseteq D(S_t^i)$  and it follows from the definition of  $S_t^i$  that  $S_t^i$  is the closure of  $S_t^i|_D$ . It follows from (A<sub>1</sub>) that  $TD_{i+1} \subseteq D_{i+1}$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{U}_{i+1}$ . Finally, by (A<sub>2</sub>), and by (A<sub>3</sub>), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (S_t^i T_{i+1} - T_i S_t^i)|_{D_{i+1}} &= (F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i + t(G_i T_{i+1} - T_i G_i))|_{D_{i+1}} \\ &= (F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i)|_{D_{i+1}} = T_{F_i}|_{D_{i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3,  $\mathcal{M}_{S_t^i}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ .



Now put  $D = D_i^*$ . By the definition of  $R_i^i$ , we have that  $D \subseteq D(R_i^i)$  and that the closure of  $R_i^i|_D$  is  $R_i^i$ . By  $(R_1)$ ,  $D$  is dense in  $H_i$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 ( $A_1^*$ ) that  $T^*D \subseteq D$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{U}_i$ . Thus, conditions 1), 2) and 3) of Lemma 2.3 (ii) hold. By Lemma 2.2 ( $A_2$ ) and ( $A_3$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_{i+1}^*R_i^i - R_i^iT_i^*)|_{D_i^*} \\ &= (T_{i+1}^*F_i^* - F_i^*T_i^* + \bar{i}(T_{i+1}^*G_i^* - G_i^*T_i^*))|_{D_i^*} = T_{F_i}^*|_{D_i^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore condition 4) of Lemma 2.3(ii) holds and  $\mathcal{M}_{(R_i^i)^*}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ .

At last, if  $S = F_i$  and  $D = D(F_i)$ , then it can be easily seen that conditions 2) and 3) of Lemma 2.3(i) follows from  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_3)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{M}_{F_i}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  and this completes the proof of the theorem.

Now we shall prove the main result of the section.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *If for every  $i, 1 \leq i < n$ , either*

(a)  $\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{C}} D(S_t^i) = D_{i+1}$  *and the closure of  $G_i|_{D_{i+1}}$  is  $G_i$ ,*

or

(b)  $\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{C}} D(R_t^i) = D_i^*$  *and the closure of  $G_i^*|_{D_i^*}$  is  $G_i^*$ ,*

then  $\mathcal{A}$  is reflexive.

*Proof.* Let  $B = (B_{ij}) \in \text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}_i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ , we obtain that  $B_{ij} = 0$  if  $i > j$ . For every  $K \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{T}_i$  the subspace  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_{i-1} \oplus K$  is contained in  $\mathcal{H}_i$  and belongs to  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ . Since all algebras  $\mathcal{T}_i$  are reflexive, we obtain that

$$(9) \quad B_{ii} \in \mathcal{T}_i.$$

Now let

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_i x \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in M_{F_i}^i$$

where  $x \in D(F_i)$ . Considering  $M_{F_i}^i$  as a subspace in  $\mathcal{H}$  we obtain that  $Bz \equiv z' \pmod{\mathcal{H}_{i-1}}$  where

$$z' = \begin{pmatrix} y' \\ x' \end{pmatrix} \in H_i \oplus H_{i+1}, \quad x' = B_{i+1+i+1}x$$

and  $y' = B_{ii}y + B_{ii+1}x$ .

Since  $M_{F_i}^i \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{F_i}^i$  and since, by Theorem 2.4,  $\mathcal{M}_{F_i}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ , we have that  $z' \in M_{F_i}^i$ . Therefore

$$(10) \quad x' = B_{i+1+i+1}x \in D(F_i),$$

$$y' = B_{ii}F_i x + B_{ii+1}x = F_i x' = F_i B_{i+1+i+1}x.$$

Thus

$$(11) \quad B_{i+1} |_{D(F_i)} = (F_i B_{i+1+i} - B_{ii} F_i) |_{D(F_i)}.$$

Now let (a) hold for some  $i$  and let

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} S_i^i x \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in M_{S_i^i}^i \quad \text{where } x \in D(S_i^i).$$

Then repeating the argument above we obtain that

$$B_{i+1+i} x \in D(S_i^i),$$

$$B_{ii} S_i^i x + B_{i+1} x = S_i^i B_{i+1+i} x.$$

If  $x \in D_{i+1}$ , then  $x \in D(S_i^i)$  and, by (a),

$$B_{i+1+i} x \in \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{C}} D(S_t^i) = D_{i+1}.$$

Therefore

$$B_{ii}(F_i + tG_i)x + B_{i+1}x = (F_i + tG_i)B_{i+1+i}x.$$

From this and from (11) we immediately obtain that

$$(12) \quad B_{ii}G_i |_{D_{i+1}} = G_i B_{i+1+i} |_{D_{i+1}}.$$

Let  $x \in D(G_i)$ . Since, by (a), the closure of  $G_i |_{D_{i+1}}$  is  $G_i$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_n \in D_{i+1}$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$  and  $\{G_i x_n\}$  converges to  $G_i x$ . Then, by (12),

$$B_{ii}G_i x = \lim B_{ii}G_i x_n = \lim G_i B_{i+1+i} x_n.$$

Since the sequence  $\{B_{i+1+i} x_n\}$  converges to  $B_{i+1+i} x$  and since  $G_i$  is closed, we obtain that

$$(13) \quad B_{i+1+i} x \in D(G_i) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{ii}G_i x = G_i B_{i+1+i} x.$$

Now let (b) hold for some  $i$  and let

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} (R_i^i)^* x \\ x \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } x \in D((R_i^i)^*).$$

Repeating the same argument as for  $F_i$  we obtain that

$$B_{i+1+i} x \in D((R_i^i)^*),$$

$$B_{ii}(R_i^i)^* x + B_{i+1} x = (R_i^i)^* B_{i+1+i} x.$$

Therefore for every  $y \in D_i^*$

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{ii}^* y, (R_i^i)^* x) &= (y, B_{ii}(R_i^i)^* x) \\ &= (y, [-B_{ii+1} + (R_i^i)^* B_{i+1+i}] x) = ([-B_{ii+1}^* + B_{i+1+i}^* R_i^i] y, x) \\ &= ([-B_{ii+1}^* + B_{i+1+i}^* (F_i^* + tG_i^*)] y, x). \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the same argument as in Lemma 2.2 we obtain from (11) that

$$B_{ii}^*D(F_i^*) \subseteq D(F_i^*)$$

and that

$$B_{ii+1}^*|_{D(F_i^*)} = (B_{i+1i+1}^*F_i^* - F_i^*B_{ii}^*)|_{D(F_i^*)}.$$

Taking this into account and since  $D_i^* \subseteq D(F_i^*)$ , we obtain

$$(B_{ii}^*y, (R_i^*)^*x) = ([F_i^*B_{ii}^* + \bar{t}B_{i+1i+1}^*G_i^*]y, x).$$

From this formula it follows that

$$B_{ii}^*y \in D(R_i^*) \quad \text{and} \quad R_i^*B_{ii}^*y = (F_i^*B_{ii}^* + \bar{t}B_{i+1i+1}^*G_i^*)y.$$

Therefore, by (b), for every  $y \in D_i^*$

$$B_{ii}^*y \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{C}} D(R_i^*) = D_i^*$$

and

$$(F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*)B_{ii}^*y = (F_i^*B_{ii}^* + \bar{t}B_{i+1i+1}^*G_i^*)y.$$

Thus

$$G_i^*B_{ii}^*|_{D_i^*} = B_{i+1i+1}^*G_i^*|_{D_i^*}.$$

Let  $y \in D_i^*$  and  $z \in D(G_i)$ . Then

$$(G_i^*y, B_{i+1i+1}z) = (B_{i+1i+1}^*G_i^*y, z) = (G_i^*B_{ii}^*y, z) = (y, B_{ii}G_i z).$$

Since, by (b), the closure of  $G_i^*|_{D_i^*}$  is  $G_i^*$ , we obtain from this formula that

$$(13') \quad B_{i+1i+1}D(G_i) \subseteq D(G_i) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{ii}G_i|_{D(G_i)} = G_iB_{i+1i+1}|_{D(G_i)}.$$

Put  $T_i = B_{ii}$ . It follows from (9), (10), (11), (13) and (13') that conditions  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$  and  $(A_3)$  hold for the sequence  $T = \{T_i\}$  and that  $B_{i+1i} = T_{F_i}$ . Since  $B$  is bounded,  $T$  also satisfies condition  $(A_4)$ . Therefore the sequence  $T = \{T_i\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $B - A^T \in I(\mathcal{H})$ . Thus  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  which concludes the proof of the theorem.

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *If for every  $i$  at least one of the operators  $F_i$  or  $G_i$  is bounded, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is reflexive.*

*Proof.* We obtain easily that  $D_{i+1} = D(S_i^i)$  for every  $i$  and for  $t \neq 0$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.5(a),  $\mathcal{A}$  is reflexive.

**3. Structure of Lat  $\mathcal{A}$ .** In Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 we obtained some information about the structure of Lat  $\mathcal{A}$ . But further investigation of its structure in the general case of arbitrary reflexive algebras  $\{\mathcal{T}_i\}$  is very difficult. Therefore in this section we shall consider the simplest case when all  $\mathcal{T}_i = B(H_i)$ . In Lemma 3.1 we shall show that if all  $\mathcal{U}_i$  are weakly dense in  $B(H_i)$ , then the sufficient conditions of Lemma 2.3 for a subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  to belong to Lat  $\mathcal{A}$  are also necessary. Imposing some further restriction (R<sub>3</sub>) on the operators  $\{F_i\}$  and  $\{G_i\}$  we shall obtain the main result of the section (Theorem 3.5) which describes the structure of Lat  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let all  $\mathcal{T}_i = B(H_i)$  and let all  $\mathcal{U}_i$  be weakly dense in  $B(H_i)$ . If  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is either  $\mathcal{H}$  or one of the subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_i$  for  $0 \leq i < n$ , or there exist an integer  $1 \leq i < n$  and a closed operator  $S$  from  $H_{i+1}$  into  $H_i$  such that*

- (1)  $D(S)$  is dense in  $H_{i+1}$ ;
- (2)  $TD(S) \subseteq D(S)$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{U}_{i+1}$ ;
- (3)  $T_{F_i}|_{D(S)} = (ST_{i+1} - T_i S)|_{D(S)}$  for every sequence  $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$ ;

and that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_S^i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $z \in \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$  but  $z \notin \mathcal{H}_i$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{i-1} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , since  $I(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore if  $n = \infty$  and if for every  $i$  there exists  $z_i \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $z_i \in \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$  but  $z_i \notin \mathcal{H}_i$ , then  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{H}$ . Then there exists an integer  $i$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$  but  $\mathcal{M} \not\subseteq \mathcal{H}_i$ . (If  $n < \infty$ , then it is obvious. If  $n = \infty$ , then it follows from the argument above.) Hence  $\mathcal{H}_{i-1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  and we get that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_{i-1} \oplus M$ , where  $M$  is a closed subspace in  $H_i \oplus H_{i+1}$  which is considered as a subspace in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$ . Let us show that  $M \cap H_i = \{0\}$ . Let  $z \neq 0$  belong to  $M \cap H_i$ . Then for every  $T = \{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$  we have that

$$A^T z \equiv T_i z \pmod{\mathcal{H}_{i-1}} \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}_{i-1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ , we obtain that  $T_i z \in \mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $Tz \in \mathcal{M}$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{U}_i$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_i$  is weakly dense in  $B(H_i)$ , the set  $\{Tz : T \in \mathcal{U}_i\}$  is dense in  $H_i$ . Therefore, since  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed, we obtain that  $H_i \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}_{i-1} \oplus H_i$  is contained in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{H}_i$ , there exists  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $x \in H_{i+1}$ . Using that  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  is weakly dense in  $B(H_{i+1})$  and repeating the above argument we obtain that  $H_{i+1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$  which contradicts the assumption that  $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$ . Thus  $M \cap H_i = \{0\}$ .

Since  $M$  is closed, there exists a closed operator  $S$  from  $H_{i+1}$  into  $H_i$  such that

$$M = M_S^i = \left\{ z = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} : x \in D(S) \subseteq H_{i+1} \text{ and } y = Sx \in H_i \right\}.$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_S^i$ .

Now for every  $T = \{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$  and for every  $z = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in M_S^i$  we have that  $A^T z \equiv z' \pmod{\mathcal{H}_{i-1}}$ , where

$$z' = \begin{pmatrix} y' \\ x' \end{pmatrix}, \quad x' = T_{i+1}x \quad \text{and} \quad y' = T_i y + T_{F_i} x.$$

Since  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  and since  $\mathcal{H}_{i-1} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , we have that  $z' \in M_S^i$ . Hence

$$(14) \quad T_{i+1}x \in D(S) \quad \text{and} \quad T_i Sx + T_{F_i} x = S T_{i+1}x$$

for every  $x \in D(S)$ . Thus conditions (2) and (3) of the lemma hold. From weak density of  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  in  $B(H_{i+1})$  and from (14) it follows that  $D(S)$  is dense in  $H_{i+1}$ . Hence condition (1) holds and the lemma is proved.

From this lemma and from Lemma 2.3 we obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let all  $\mathcal{T}_i = B(H_i)$  and let all  $\mathcal{U}_i$  be weakly dense in  $B(H_i)$ . Then  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  consists of  $\mathcal{H}$ , of all subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_i$  for  $0 \leq i < n$ , and of all subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_S^i$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ , where  $S$  are closed operators from  $H_{i+1}$  into  $H_i$  which satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.1.*

Now let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be sequences such that

$$(B_1) \quad y_i \in D_i \subseteq H_i, \quad (B_1^*) \quad x_i \in D_i^* \subseteq H_i,$$

$$(B_2) \quad y_i = G_i y_{i+1}, \quad (B_2^*) \quad x_{i+1} = G_i^* x_i,$$

$$(B_3) \quad \sup \|y_i\| < \infty, \quad \sup \|F_i y_{i+1}\| < \infty;$$

$$(B_3^*) \quad \sup \|x_i\| < \infty, \quad \sup \|F_i^* x_i\| < \infty.$$

By  $X$  we shall denote the set of sequences  $\{x_i\}$  which satisfy conditions  $(B_1^*)$ – $(B_3^*)$ , and by  $Y$  we shall denote the set of sequences  $\{y_i\}$  which satisfy conditions  $(B_1)$ – $(B_3)$ . It is obvious that  $X$  and  $Y$  are linear manifolds.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let all  $\mathcal{T}_i = B(H_i)$ . If  $\{x_i\} \in X$  and  $\{y_i\} \in Y$ , then the sequence of operators  $\{x_i \otimes y_i\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $T_i = x_i \otimes y_i$ . For every  $x \in H_i$ , by  $(B_1)$ , we have that

$$T_i x = (x, x_i) y_i \in D_i.$$

Hence condition  $(A_1)$  holds. By  $(B_2)$  and by  $(B_2^*)$ , for every  $x \in D(G_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} T_i G_i x &= (G_i x, x_i) y_i = (x, G_i^* x_i) G_i y_{i+1} \\ &= (x, x_{i+1}) G_i y_{i+1} = G_i T_{i+1} x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence condition  $(A_2)$  holds. Next, for every  $x \in D(F_i)$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i) x &= (x, x_{i+1}) F_i y_{i+1} - (F_i x, x_i) y_i \\ &= (x, x_{i+1}) F_i y_{i+1} - (x, F_i^* x_i) y_i = T_{F_i} x, \end{aligned}$$

where the operator

$$(15) \quad T_{F_i} = x_{i+1} \otimes F_i y_{i+1} - F_i^* x_i \otimes y_i$$

is bounded. Hence condition  $(A_3)$  holds. Finally, by  $(B_3)$ ,  $(B_3^*)$  and (15),

$$\sup \|T_i\| = \sup \|x_i \otimes y_i\| \leq \sup \|x_i\| \sup \|y_i\| < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \|T_{F_i}\| &= \sup \|x_{i+1} \otimes F_i y_{i+1} - F_i^* x_i \otimes y_i\| \\ &\leq \sup \|x_{i+1}\| \sup \|F_i y_{i+1}\| + \sup \|y_i\| \sup \|F_i^* x_i\| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus condition  $(A_4)$  holds and therefore the sequence  $\{x_i \otimes y_i\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}$ . The lemma is proved.

**DEFINITION.** For every  $k$  let  $Y_k(X_k)$  be the set of elements in  $D_k(D_k^*)$  such that  $y \in Y_k(x \in X_k)$  if there exists a sequence  $\{y_i\} \in Y$  ( $\{x_i\} \in X$ ) for which  $y = y_k$  ( $x = x_k$ ).

Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are linear manifolds,  $X_k$  and  $Y_k$  are also linear manifolds.

**LEMMA 3.4.** (i) If  $\{x_i\} \in X$  and  $\{y_i\} \in Y$  and if  $\{T_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\{T_i^* x_i\} \in X$  and  $\{T_i y_i\} \in Y$ .

(ii) If all  $\mathcal{U}_i$  are weakly dense in  $B(H_i)$  and if  $X \neq \{0\}$  and  $Y \neq \{0\}$ , then all  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  are dense in  $H_i$ .

*Proof.* Let us prove that  $\{T_i y_i\} \in Y$ . Since  $y_i \in D_i$ , we have, by  $(A_1)$ , that  $T_i y_i \in D_i$ . Hence  $(B_1)$  holds. By  $(A_2)$  and by  $(B_2)$ ,

$$G_i(T_{i+1} y_{i+1}) = T_i(G_i y_{i+1}) = T_i y_i.$$

Thus  $(B_2)$  holds for  $\{T_i y_i\}$ . By  $(A_3)$ , by  $(A_4)$  and by  $(B_3)$ ,

$$\sup \|T_i y_i\| \leq \sup \|T_i\| \sup \|y_i\| < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\|F_i T_{i+1} y_{i+1}\| &= \sup\|(T_i F_i + T_{F_i}) y_{i+1}\| \\ &\leq \sup\|T_i\| \sup\|F_i y_{i+1}\| + \sup\|T_{F_i}\| \sup\|y_{i+1}\| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(B_3)$  holds for  $\{T_i y_i\}$ . Thus the sequence  $\{T_i y_i\}$  satisfies conditions  $(B_1)$ – $(B_3)$  and therefore  $\{T_i y_i\} \in Y$ . In the same way, using conditions  $(A_1^*)$ – $(A_3^*)$  and  $(B_1^*)$ – $(B_3^*)$ , we obtain that  $\{T_i x_i\} \in X$ , and (i) is proved.

Now suppose that  $Y \neq \{0\}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{y_i\} \in Y$  and the smallest  $k$  such that  $y_k \neq 0$ . It follows from  $(B_2)$  that  $y_i \neq 0$  for  $i \geq k$ . By (i),  $\{T_i y_i\} \in Y$  for every  $\{T_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_i$  are weakly dense in  $B(H_i)$  and since  $y_i \neq 0$  for  $i \geq k$ , the linear manifolds  $Y_i$  are dense in  $H_i$  for  $i \geq k$ . Suppose that  $1 < k$ . Then  $y_{k-1} = G_{k-1} y_k = 0$ . Hence, by  $(A_2)$ ,

$$G_{k-1} T_k y_k = T_{k-1} G_{k-1} y_k = 0,$$

and therefore  $T_k y_k \in \text{Ker } G_{k-1}$  for every  $\{T_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_k$  is weakly dense in  $B(H_k)$ ,  $\text{Ker } G_{k-1}$  is dense in  $B(H_k)$ . Hence  $G_{k-1} = 0$  which contradicts  $(R_2)$ . Therefore  $y_{k-1} \neq 0$  which contradicts the assumption that  $1 < k$  is the smallest number such that  $y_k \neq 0$ . Hence  $k = 1$  and all  $Y_i$  are dense in  $H_i$ . In the same we obtain that if  $X \neq \{0\}$ , then all  $X_i$  are dense in  $H_i$ , and the lemma is proved.

Let us impose further restrictions on the operators  $\{F_i\}$  and  $\{G_i\}$ .

$(R_3)$  Let all  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  are dense in  $H_i$ .

Since the operators  $S_i^i$  are closed, the operators  $S_i^i|_{Y_{i+1}}$  are closable.

DEFINITION. By  $Q_i^i$  we shall denote the closed operator  $(R_i^i|_{X_i})^*$  and by  $P_i^i$  we shall denote the closure of  $S_i^i|_{Y_{i+1}}$ .

Then  $P_i^i \subseteq S_i^i$  and, since  $R_i^i|_{X_i} \subseteq R_i^i$ , we have that  $(R_i^i)^* \subseteq Q_i^i$ . Taking (7) into account we obtain that

$$(16) \quad P_i^i \subseteq S_i^i \subseteq (R_i^i)^* \subseteq Q_i^i.$$

THEOREM 3.5. *Let  $(R_3)$  hold. Then Lat  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of  $\mathcal{H}$ , of all subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_i$  for  $0 \leq i < n$ , and of all subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_S^i$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ , where  $S$  can be  $P_t^i$ ,  $S_t^i$ ,  $F_t^i$ ,  $(R_t^i)^*$ ,  $Q_t^i$  or any closed operator from  $H_{i+1}$  into  $H_i$  such that*

- (1)  $P_t^i \subseteq S \subseteq Q_t^i$  for some  $t$ ;
- (2)  $TD(S) \subseteq D(S)$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{U}_{i+1}$ .

*Proof.* It was already proved in Theorem 2.4 that subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_{S_i}^i$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{(R_i)^*}^i$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{F_i}^i$  belong to  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ . Repeating the same argument and using Lemma 2.3 we obtain that the subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_{P_i}^i$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{Q_i}^i$  also belong to  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ . Now let  $S$  be a closed operator which satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Since  $Y_{i+1} \subseteq D(P_i) \subseteq D(S)$ , condition (1) of Lemma 3.1 holds. Condition (2) of Lemma 3.1 follows from condition (2) of the theorem. Since  $\mathcal{M}_{Q_i}^i$  belongs to  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ ,  $Q_i^i$  satisfies condition (3) of Lemma 3.1. Therefore taking into account that  $S = Q_i^i|_{D(S)}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (T_i S + T_{F_i})|_{D(S)} &= (T_i Q_i^i + T_{F_i})|_{D(S)} \\ &= Q_i^i T_{i+1}|_{D(S)} = S T_{i+1}|_{D(S)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that condition (3) of Lemma 3.1 holds. Therefore  $\mathcal{M}_S^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ .

Now let  $S$  be a closed operator from  $H_{i+1}$  into  $H_i$  which satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1 and let us prove that it satisfies the conditions of this theorem. It obviously satisfies condition (2) of the theorem.

Let  $\{x_k\} \in X$  and  $\{y_k\} \in Y$ . Then, by Lemma 3.3, the operator  $x_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}$ . It follows from condition (2) of Lemma 3.1 that for every  $z \in D(S)$

$$(x_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1})z = (z, x_{i+1})y_{i+1} \in D(S).$$

Since, by condition (1) of Lemma 3.1,  $D(S)$  is dense in  $H_{i+1}$ , we get that  $Y_{i+1} \subseteq D(S)$ . It follows from condition (3) of Lemma 3.1 and from (15) that for every  $z \in D(S)$

$$(x_i \otimes y_i)Sz + (x_{i+1} \otimes F_i y_{i+1})z - (F_i^* x_i \otimes y_i)z = S(x_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1})z.$$

Hence

$$(17) \quad (Sz, x_i)y_i + (z, x_{i+1})F_i y_{i+1} - (z, F_i^* x_i)y_i = (z, x_{i+1})S y_{i+1}$$

Let  $z \in Y_{i+1}$ . Then  $(z, F_i^* x_i) = (F_i z, x_i)$ . Put  $V = S - F_i$ . We obtain from (17) that

$$(18) \quad (Vz, x_i)y_i = (z, x_{i+1})V y_{i+1}$$

By  $(B_2)$ ,  $y_i = G_i y_{i+1}$ . Since  $X_{i+1}$  is dense in  $H_{i+1}$ , we can choose  $x_{i+1}$  such that  $(z, x_{i+1}) \neq 0$ . Then it follows from (18) that for every  $y \in Y_{i+1}$

$$Vy = tG_i y,$$

where  $t = (Vz, x_i)/(z, x_{i+1})$ . Therefore we obtain that

$$(19) \quad S|_{Y_{i+1}} = (F_i + tG_i)|_{Y_{i+1}} = S_i^t|_{Y_{i+1}}.$$

Thus  $P_i^t \subseteq S$ . Using (19) we obtain from (17) that for every  $z \in D(S)$

$$(Sz, x_i)y_i - (z, F_i^* x_i)y_i = (z, x_{i+1})tG_i y_{i+1}.$$



By  $(B_2)$ ,  $y_i = G_i y_{i+1}$  and, by  $(B_2^*)$ ,  $x_{i+1} = G_i^* x_i$ . Hence

$$(Sz, x_i) - (z, F_i^* x_i) = t(z, G_i^* x_i).$$

Therefore  $(Sz, x_i) = (z, R_i^* x_i)$  which means that

$$S \subseteq (R_i^* |_{X_i})^* = Q_i^i.$$

Thus  $P_i^i \subseteq S \subseteq Q_i^i$  and  $S$  satisfies condition (1) of this theorem which completes the proof.

Now suppose that  $n < \infty$ , that all  $H_i = H$ , that all  $G_i = I$  and that all  $\mathcal{T}_i = B(H)$ . Then

$$D_{i+1} = D(F_i), \quad D_i^* = D(F_i^*),$$

all  $Y_i = D = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} D_{i+1}$  and all  $X_i = D^* = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i^*$ . If  $D$  and  $D^*$  are dense in  $H$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  consists of all sequences  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $T_1 = \dots = T_n = T$ , where  $T$  belongs to

$$\mathbf{A} = \{T \in B(H): (a) TD_i \subseteq D_i;$$

(b) the operators  $(F_i T - T F_i) |_{D_{i+1}}$  extend to bounded operators  $T_{F_i}\}$ .

From Corollary 2.6 it follows that  $\mathcal{A}$  is reflexive. We also have that the operators  $P_i^i$  are the closures of the operators  $(F_i + tI)_D = F_i |_D + tI$ , that  $S_i^i = F_i + tI$ , that  $R_i^i = F_i^* + \bar{t}I$  and that

$$Q_i^i = ((F_i^* + \bar{t}I) |_{D^*})^* = (F_i^* |_{D^*})^* + tI.$$

Therefore  $(R_i^i)^* = S_i^i$ ,  $S_0^i = F_i$  and it follows from Theorem 3.5 that Lat  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of  $\mathcal{H}_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , and of all subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_S^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , where  $S$  can be  $P_i^i$ ,  $S_i^i$ ,  $Q_i^i$  or any closed operator such that

- (1)  $P_i^i \subset S \subset Q_i^i$  for some  $t$ ;
- (2)  $TD(S) \subseteq D(S)$  for every  $T \in \mathbf{A}$ .

If the operators  $\{F_i\}$  are such that for every  $i$  the closure of  $F_i |_D$  is  $F_i$  and the closure of  $F_i^* |_{D^*}$  is  $F_i^*$ , then

$$P_i^i = F_i + tI = S_i^i$$

and

$$Q_i^i = (F_i^* |_{D^*})^* + tI = (F_i^*)^* + tI = F_i + tI = S_i^i.$$

Therefore we obtain the following theorem which was proved in [3] (Theorem 4.4(ii)) (the theorem was erroneously stated without condition (b)).

**THEOREM 3.6.** *If (a)  $D$  and  $D^*$  are dense in  $H$ ; (b) for every  $i$  the closure of  $F_i|_D$  is  $F_i$  and the closure of  $F_i^*|_{D^*}$  is  $F_i^*$ , then  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  consists of  $\mathcal{H}_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , and of all subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_S^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$  and for  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

If the conditions of Theorem 3.6 do not hold, then the structure of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  is more complicated, and even in comparatively simple cases it is difficult to describe it fully.

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_{n-1}$ . Then  $D = D(F_1)$  and  $D^* = D(F_{n-1}^*)$ . Hence all  $P_i^i = F_1 + tI$  and all

$$Q_t^i = (F_i^*|_{D^*})^* + tI = (F_{n-1}^*)^* + tI = F_{n-1} + tI.$$

Then for every  $1 < k < n - 1$  and for every  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  we have that

$$F_1 + tI \subset F_k + tI \subset F_{n-1} + tI.$$

By property (a) of **A**,  $TD(F_k) \subseteq D(F_k)$  for every  $T \in \mathbf{A}$ . Therefore  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  contains all subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , and all subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_S^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , where  $S$  can be any of the operators  $F_k + tI$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$  and for  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . The following question arises: do other operators  $R$  exist, apart from  $F_k$ ,  $k = 2, \dots, n - 2$ , such that

- (1)  $F_1 \subset R \subset F_{n-1}$ ;
- (2)  $TD(R) \subseteq D(R)$  for every  $T \in \mathbf{A}$ .

If such operators do not exist, then we have a full description of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ . If they do exist, then each of them generates a set of subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_{R+tI}^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$  and for  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , which belong to  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ .

Finally, we shall briefly consider two examples of algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  for  $n = 2$  and provide full descriptions of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  and of  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ . The case when the operator  $G$  is the identity was investigated in [3]. In Theorem 4.3 it was shown that  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A}$ . In Example 2 a closed operator  $F$  was considered such that  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \{N\} + \{B\}$ , where  $N$  and  $B$  do not belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ , so that  $\dim(\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}) = 2$ . It was also proved that  $\mathcal{A}' = \{I\} + \{N\}$  so that  $B$  generates a non-inner derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Now we shall consider an example of a reflexive algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  constructed from two closed operators  $F$  and  $G$  such that  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \{N\} + \{B\}$ . But for this algebra  $\mathcal{A}' = \{I\}$ , so that all operators from  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$  which do not belong to  $\mathcal{A}$  generate non-inner derivations on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $H_1 = H_2 = H = K \oplus K$ , where  $K$  is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and let  $\mathcal{H} = H \oplus H$ . Let  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an orthogonal basis in  $K$  and let  $W$  be an unbounded operator on  $K$  such that

$$We_n = ne_n.$$

For a complex  $a$  set

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} aW^2 & W^2 \\ 0 & aW \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} W^2 & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$D(F) = D(W^2) \oplus D(W^2), \quad D(G) = D(W^2) \oplus D(W),$$

$$D_2 = D(F), \quad D_1^* = D(G).$$

Therefore restrictions  $(R_1)$ ,  $(R_2)$  and  $(R_3)$  on operators  $F$  and  $G$  hold. Obviously  $G$  is the closure of  $G|_{D_2}$  and  $F$  is the closure of  $F|_{D_2}$ . Also

$$P_t = S_t = F + tG = \begin{pmatrix} (a+t)W^2 & W^2 \\ 0 & (a+t)W \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } t \neq -a$$

and

$$S_{-a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & W^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = P_{-a}.$$

We also have that  $D(S_t) = D_2$ , if  $t \neq -a$  and  $D(S_{-a}) = K \oplus D(W^2)$ . So  $\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{C}} D(S_t) = D_2$  and, by Theorem 2.5,  $\mathcal{A}$  is reflexive.

We have that

$$R_t = F^* + \bar{t}G^* = \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{a} + \bar{t})W^2 & 0 \\ W^2 & (\bar{a} + \bar{t})W \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } t \neq -a$$

and

$$R_{-a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ W^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that  $S_t = R_t^* = Q_t$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.5, Lat  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  and of all  $M_{S_t}$ , for  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Set

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ W^{-2} & 0 & 0 & -2I \\ 0 & W^{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $B, N \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and it is easy to check that  $[N, B] = NB - BN = N$ . It can be proven that  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \{N\} + \{B\}$  and that  $\mathcal{A}' = \{I\}$ , so that all linear combinations of the operators  $N$  and  $B$  generate non-inner derivations on  $\mathcal{A}$ . One can also show that  $\mathcal{A} \in R_1$ .

In the following example we shall consider a reflexive algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  constructed from two closed operators  $F$  and  $G$  such that  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ , although the structure of  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  is the same as in Example 1.

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $W$  be the same as in Example 1. Set

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & W^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$D(F) = D(W) \oplus D(W), \quad D(G) = D(W) \oplus K,$$

$$D_2 = D(F) \quad \text{and} \quad D_1^* = D_2.$$

The operators  $F$  and  $G$  satisfy restrictions  $(R_1)$ ,  $(R_2)$  and  $(R_3)$ . Repeating the same argument as in Example 1 we obtain that  $\mathcal{A}$  is reflexive, that  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$  consists of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  and of all  $M_S$ , for  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , and that  $G$  is the closure of  $G|_{D_2}$  and  $F$  is the closure of  $F|_{D_2}$ . It can be proven that  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ , so that all derivations on  $\mathcal{A}$  implemented by bounded operators are inner.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Christensen, *Derivations of nest algebras*, Math. Ann., **229** (1977), 155–166.
- [2] F. Gilfeather, A. Hopenwasser and D. R. Larson, *Reflexive algebras with finite width lattices: tensor products, cohomology, compact perturbations*, J. Funct. Anal., **55** (1984), 176–199.
- [3] E. V. Kissin, *On some reflexive algebras of operators and the operator Lie algebras of their derivations*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3), **49** (1984), 1–35.
- [4] ———, *Totally symmetric algebras and the similarity problem*, (1985), preprint.
- [5] B. H. Wagner, *Derivations of quasitriangular algebras*, preprint.

Received March 21, 1984 and in revised form February 12, 1986.

THE POLYTECHNIC OF NORTH LONDON  
HOLLOWAY, LONDON N7 8DB, ENGLAND



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN

(Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HERBERT CLEMENS

University of Utah

Salt Lake City, UT 84112

R. FINN

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA

University of Arizona

Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI

University of Washington

Seattle, WA 98195

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES

University of California

Berkeley, CA 94720

ROBION KIRBY

University of California

Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE

University of California

Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK

University of California, San Diego

La Jolla, CA 92093

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

(1906–1982)

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 126, No. 1

November, 1987

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>John Dauns</b> , Uniform dimensions and subdirect products .....   | 1   |
| <b>William B. Jacob</b> , Quadratic forms over dyadic valued fields. I. The graded Witt ring .....  | 21  |
| <b>Michael R. Kelly</b> , Minimizing the number of fixed points for self-maps of compact surfaces .....   | 81  |
| <b>Edward Kissin</b> , On some reflexive operator algebras constructed from two sets of closed operators and from a set of reflexive operator algebras .... | 125 |
| <b>Robert Langlands</b> , The Dirac monopole and induced representations .....  | 145 |
| <b>David A. Stegenga and Kenneth R. Stephenson</b> , Generic covering properties for spaces of analytic functions. II .....                                 | 153 |
| <b>Gerard Alan Venema</b> , Approximating codimension two embeddings of cells .....   | 165 |
| <b>Peter Waksman</b> , Determining an analytic function from its distribution of values .....   | 197 |