Pacific Journal of Mathematics

WEAK CONVERGENCE AND NONLINEAR ERGODIC THEOREMS FOR REVERSIBLE SEMIGROUPS OF NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

Anthony To-Ming Lau and Wataru Takahashi

Vol. 126, No. 2 December 1987

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 126, No. 2, 1987

WEAK CONVERGENCE AND NON-LINEAR ERGODIC THEOREMS FOR REVERSIBLE SEMIGROUPS OF NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

ANTHONY TO-MING LAU AND WATARU TAKAHASHI

Let S be a semitopological semigroup. Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E with a Fréchet differentiable norm and $\mathscr{S} = \{T_a;\ a \in S\}$ be a continuous representation of S as nonexpansive mappings of C into C such that the common fixed point set $F(\mathscr{S})$ of $\mathscr{S}$ in C is nonempty. We prove in this paper that if S is right reversible (i.e. S has finite intersection property for closed right ideals), then for each $x \in C$, the closed convex set $W(x) \cap F(\mathscr{S})$ consists of at most one point, where $W(x) = \bigcap\{K_s(x);\ s \in S\}$, $K_s(x)$ is the closed convex hull of $\{T_t x;\ t \geq s\}$ and $t \geq s$ means $t = s$ or $t \in \overline{Ss}$. This result is applied to study the problem of weak convergence of the net $\{T_s x;\ s \in S\}$, with S directed as above, to a common fixed point of $\mathscr{S}$. We also prove that if E is uniformly convex with a uniformly Fréchet differentiable norm, S is reversible and the space of bounded right uniformly continuous functions on S has a right invariant mean, then the intersection $W(x) \cap F(\mathscr{S})$ is nonempty for each $x \in C$ if and only if there exists a nonexpansive retraction P of C onto $F(\mathscr{S})$ such that $PT_s = T_s P = P$ for all $s \in S$ and $P(x)$ is in the closed convex hull of $\{T_s(x);\ s \in S\}$, $x \in C$.

1. Introduction. Let S be a semitopological semigroup i.e. S is a semigroup with a Hausdorff topology such that for each $s \in S$ the mappings $s \to a \cdot s$ and $s \to s \cdot a$ from S to S are continuous. S is called *right reversible* if any two closed left ideals of S has non-void intersection. In this case, $(S, \leq)$ is a directed system when the binary relation " $\leq$ " on S is defined by $a \leq b$ if and only if $\{a\} \cup \overline{Sa} \supseteq \{b\} \cup \overline{Sb}$, $a, b \in S$. Right reversible semitopological semigroups include all commutative semigroups and all semitopological semigroups which are right amenable as discrete semigroups (see [**13**, p. 335]). Left reversibility of S is defined similarly. S is called *reversible* if it is both left and right reversible.

Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space and $\mathscr{S} = \{T_s;\ s \in S\}$ be a continuous representation of S as nonexpansive mappings on a closed convex subset C of E into C i.e. $T_{ab}(x) = T_a T_b(x)$, $a, b \in S$, $x \in C$ and the mapping $(s, x) \to T_s(x)$ from $S \times C$ into C is continuous when $S \times C$ has the product topology. Let $F(\mathscr{S})$ denote the set $\{x \in C;\ T_s(x) = x \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ of common fixed points of $\mathscr{S}$ in C. Then, as is well known, $F(\mathscr{S})$ (possibly empty) is a closed convex subset of C (see [**2**, Theorem 8]).

Recently Lau [**15**] considers the problem of weak convergence of the net $\{T_s(x);\ s \in S\}$, $x \in C$, to a common fixed point of $\mathscr{S}$ when S is right reversible and C is a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space. When T is a nonexpansive mapping of C into C and $\mathscr{S} = \{T^n;\ n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$, this problem is equivalent to that of weak convergence of the sequence $\{T^n(x);\ n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ to a fixed point of T considered by Z. Opial in [**18**] and A. Pazy in [**19**]. However, the proofs employed by Lau [**15**] (Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3) do not extend beyond uniformly convex Banach spaces satisfying Opial's condition (see [**18**, Lemma 1] and [**15**, Lemma 2.1]).

In §3 of this paper, we prove that (Theorem 1) if E is uniformly convex with a Fréchet differentiable norm and S is right reversible, then for each $x \in C$, the closed convex set $W(x) = \bigcap\{K_s(x);\ s \in S\}$, where $K_s(x)$ is the closed convex hull of $\{T_t(x);\ t \geq s\}$, contains at most one common fixed point of $\mathscr{S}$. This result is used to prove that (Theorem 3) if $\|T_{gs}(x) - T_s(x)\| \to 0$ for each fixed g in a generating set of S, then the net $\{T_s(x);\ s \in S\}$ converges weakly to an element in $F(\mathscr{S})$. We also prove that (Theorem 7) if E is uniformly convex with a uniformly Fréchet differentiable norm, S is reversible and the space of bounded right uniformly continuous functions on S has a right invariant mean, then the intersection $W(x) \cap F(\mathscr{S})$ is nonempty for each $x \in C$ if and only if there exists a nonexpansive retraction P of C onto $F(\mathscr{S})$ such that $T_s P = P T_s = P$ and $P(x)$ is in the closed convex hull of $\{T_s x;\ s \in S\}$ for all $x \in C$. This improves an ergodic Theorem of Hirano-Takahashi [**12**, Theorem 2] for discrete amenable semigroups. Our proofs employ the methods of Hirano-Takahashi [**12**], Bruck [3], [4], Lau [**15**], Pazy [**19**], Reich [**21**] and Takahashi [**24**].

If $1 < p < 2$ and $2 < p < +\infty$, then none of the Banach space $L_p[0, 2\pi]$ satisfy Opial's condition (see [**18**, p. 596]). However, they are uniformly convex with Fréchet differentiable norm.

The first nonlinear ergodic theorem for nonexpansive mappings was established in 1975 by Baillon [1]: Let C be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space and T a nonexpansive mapping of C into itself. If the set $F(T)$ of fixed points of T is nonempty, then for each $x \in C$, the Cesàro means

$$S_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k x$$

converge weakly to some $y \in F(T)$. In this case, putting $y = Px$ for each $x \in C$, P is a nonexpansive retraction of C onto $F(T)$ such that $PT = TP = P$ and $Px \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T^n x\colon n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ for each $x \in C$, where $\overline{\mathrm{co}}A$ is the closure of the convex hull of A. In [**24**], Takahashi proved the existence of such a retraction for an amenable semigroup of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space. Recently, Hirano-Takahashi [**12**] extended this result to a Banach space.

2. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper, we assume that a Banach space is real. We also denote by $\mathbf{R}$ the set of all real numbers.

Let E be a Banach space and E^* its dual. Then, the value of $f \in E^*$ at $x \in E$ will be denoted by $\langle x, f\rangle$. With each $x \in E$, we associate the set

$$J(x) = \left\{ f \in E^*\colon \langle x, f\rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2 \right\}.$$

Using the Hahn-Banach theorem, it is immediately clear that $J(x) \neq \varnothing$ for each $x \in E$. The multivalued operator $J\colon E \to E^*$ is called the duality mapping of E. Let $B = \{x \in E\colon \|x\| = 1\}$ be the unit sphere of E. Then the norm of E is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* (and E is said to be *smooth*) if

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\|x + ry\| - \|x\|}{r}$$

exists for each x and y in B. It is said to be *Fréchet differentiable* if for each x in B, this limit is attained uniformly for y in B. Finally, it is said to be *uniformly Fréchet differentiable* (and E is said to be *uniformly smooth*) if the limit is attained uniformly for (x, y) in $B \times B$. It is well known that if E is smooth, then the duality mapping J is single value. It is also known that if E has a Fréchet differentiable norm, then J is norm to norm continuous. (See [**2**] or [**7**] for more details.) Let K be a subset of E. Then we denote by $d(K)$ the diameter of K. A point $x \in K$ is a diametral point of K provided

$$\sup\{\|x - y\|\colon y \in K\} = d(K).$$

A closed convex subset C of a Banach space E is said to have *normal structure*, if for each closed bounded convex subset K of C, which contains at least two points, there exists an element of K which is not a diametral point of K. It is well known that a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space has normal structure and a compact convex subset of a Banach space has normal structure.

If A is a subset of a Banach space E, then $\overline{\mathrm{co}}A$ will denote its closed convex hull in E. When $\{x_\alpha\}$ is a net in E, then $x_\alpha \to x$ (resp. $x_\alpha \rightharpoonup x$) will denote *norm* (resp. *weak*) *convergence* of the net $\{x_\alpha\}$ to x.

3. Weak convergence of $\{T_s x\colon s \in S\}$. Unless other specified, S denotes a semitopological semigroup and $\mathcal{S} = \{T_a\colon a \in S\}$ a continuous representation of S as nonexpansive mappings from a nonempty closed convex subset C of a Banach space E into C. If S is right reversible and S is directed as in §1, then for each $x \in C$, let $\omega(x)$ denote the set of all weak limit points of subnets of the net $\{T_a x\colon a \in S\}$.

LEMMA 1. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E and assume that $F(\mathcal{S}) \neq \varnothing$. Let $x \in C$, $f \in F(\mathcal{S})$, $0 < \alpha \le \beta < 1$ and $r = \inf_{a \in S} \|T_a x - f\|$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive number d such that*

$$\|T_a(\lambda T_b x + (1-\lambda) f) - (\lambda T_a T_b x + (1-\lambda) f)\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $b \in S$ with $\|T_b x - f\| \le r + d$, $a \in S$ and $\lambda \in R$ with $\alpha \le \lambda \le \beta$.

Proof. Let $r > 0$. Then we can choose $d > 0$ so small that

$$(r+d)\left(1 - c\delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r+d}\right)\right) < r,$$

where δ is the modulus of convexity of the norm and

$$c = \min\{2\lambda(1-\lambda)\colon \alpha \le \lambda \le \beta\}.$$

Suppose that $\|T_a(\lambda T_b x + (1-\lambda)f) - (\lambda T_a T_b x + (1-\lambda)f)\| \ge \varepsilon$ for some b with $\|T_b x - f\| \le r + d$, $a \in S$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ with $\alpha \le \lambda \le \beta$. Put $u = (1-\lambda)(T_a z - f)$ and $v = \lambda(T_a T_b x - T_a z)$, where $z = \lambda T_b x + (1-\lambda) f$. Then $\|u\| \le (1-\lambda)\|z - f\| = \lambda(1-\lambda)\|T_b x - f\|$ and $\|v\| \le \lambda\|T_b x - z\| = \lambda(1-\lambda)\|T_b x - f\|$. We also have that $\|u - v\| = \|T_a z - (\lambda T_a T_b x + (1-\lambda)f)\| \ge \varepsilon$ and $\lambda u + (1-\lambda)v = \lambda(1-\lambda)\cdot(T_a T_b x - f)$. So by using the Lemma in **[9]**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(1-\lambda)\|T_a T_b x - f\| &= \|\lambda u + (1-\lambda) v\| \\
&\le \lambda(1-\lambda)\|T_b x - f\|\left(1 - 2\lambda(1-\lambda)\delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\|T_b x - f\|}\right)\right) \\
&\le \lambda(1-\lambda)(r+d)\left(1 - c\delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r+d}\right)\right) < \lambda(1-\lambda) r
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\|T_aT_bx - f\| < r$. This contradicts $r = \inf_{a\in S}\|T_ax - f\|$. In the case when $r = 0$, for any $a, b \in S$, $f \in F(\mathscr{S})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \le \lambda \le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|T_a(\lambda T_bx + (1-\lambda)f) - (\lambda T_aT_bx + (1-\lambda)f)\| \\
&\quad \le \lambda\|T_a(\lambda T_bx + (1-\lambda)f) - T_aT_bx\| \\
&\qquad + (1-\lambda)\|T_a(\lambda T_bx + (1-\lambda)f) - f\| \\
&\quad \le \lambda\|\lambda T_bx + (1-\lambda)f - T_bx\| + (1-\lambda)\|\lambda T_bx + (1-\lambda)f - f\| \\
&\quad = 2\lambda(1-\lambda)\|T_bx - f\|.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain the desired result.

LEMMA 2. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E, S right reversible and $F(\mathscr{S}) \neq \varnothing$. Let $x \in C$, $f \in F(\mathscr{S})$ and $0 < \alpha \le \beta < 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $b_0 \in S$ such that*

$$\|T_a(\lambda T_bx + (1-\lambda)f) - (\lambda T_aT_bx + (1-\lambda)f)\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $b \in S$ with $b \ge b_0$, $a \in S$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ with $\alpha \le \lambda \le \beta$.

Proof. Let $r = \inf_{s\in S}\|T_sx - f\|$. Then, we have

$$r = \inf_a \sup_{a\le b}\|T_bx - f\|.$$

In fact, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $a_0 \in S$ such that $\|T_{a_0}x - f\| < r + \varepsilon$. Let $b \ge a_0$. Then, since $b \in \{a_0\} \cup \overline{Sa_0}$, we may assume $b \in \overline{Sa_0}$. Let $\{s_\alpha\}$ be a net in S such that $s_\alpha a_0 \to b$. Then, for each α,

$$\|T_{s_\alpha a_0}x - f\| = \|T_{s_\alpha}(T_{a_0}x) - T_{s_\alpha}f\| \le \|T_{a_0}x - f\|.$$

Hence, $\|T_bx - y\| \le \|T_{a_0}x - y\|$. So, we have $\sup_{a_0\le b}\|T_bx - y\| < r + \varepsilon$ and hence

$$\inf_a \sup_{a\le b}\|T_bx - y\| < r + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\inf_a \sup_{a\le b}\|T_bx - f\| \le r = \inf_a \|T_sx - f\|.$$

The reverse inequality is obvious. Since $r = \inf_a \sup_{a\le b}\|T_bx - f\|$, for any positive number d, there is $a_0 \in S$ such that

$$\sup_{a_0\le b}\|T_bx - f\| < r + d.$$

So, by using Lemma 1, we obtain Lemma 2.

Let x and y be elements of a Banach space E. Then we denote by $[x, y]$ the set $\{\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\colon 0 \le \lambda \le 1\}$.

LEMMA 3. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a Banach space E with a Fréchet differentiable norm and $\{x_\alpha\}$ a bounded net in C. Let $z \in \bigcap_\beta \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{x_\alpha\colon \alpha \ge \beta\}$, $y \in C$ and $\{y_\alpha\}$ a net of elements in C with $y_\alpha \in [y, x_\alpha]$ and*

$$\|y_\alpha - z\| = \min\{\|u - z\|\colon u \in [y, x_\alpha]\}.$$

If $y_\alpha \to y$, then $y = z$.

Proof. Since J is single-valued, it follows from Theorem 2.5 in **[8]** that $\langle u - y_\alpha, J(y_\alpha - z)\rangle \ge 0$ for all $u \in [y, x_\alpha]$. Putting $u = x_\alpha$, we have

$$\langle x_\alpha - y_\alpha, J(y_\alpha - z)\rangle \ge 0. \tag{1}$$

Since $y_\alpha \to y$ and $\{x_\alpha\}$ is bounded, there exist $K > 0$ and α_0 such that $\|x_\alpha - y\| \le K$ and $\|y_\alpha - z\| \le K$ for all $\alpha \ge \alpha_0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\delta > 0$ so small that $2\delta K < \varepsilon$. Since the norm of E is Fréchet differentiable, we can choose $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_0$ such that $\|y_\alpha - y\| \le \delta$ and $\|J(y_\alpha - z) - J(y - z)\| \le \delta$ for all $\alpha \ge \alpha_1$. Since for $\alpha \ge \alpha_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle x_\alpha - y_\alpha, J(y_\alpha - z)\rangle - \langle x_\alpha - y, J(y - z)\rangle| \\
&\qquad = |\langle x_\alpha - y_\alpha, J(y_\alpha - z)\rangle - \langle x_\alpha - y, J(y_\alpha - z)\rangle \\
&\qquad\quad + \langle x_\alpha - y, J(y_\alpha - z)\rangle - \langle x_\alpha - y, J(y - z)\rangle| \\
&\qquad \le \|y_\alpha - z\|\,\|y_\alpha - y\| + \|x_\alpha - y\|\,\|J(y_\alpha - z) - J(y - z)\| \\
&\qquad \le 2\delta K < \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

by using (1), we have

$$\langle x_\alpha - y, J(y - z)\rangle \ge \langle x_\alpha - y_\alpha, J(y_\alpha - z)\rangle - \varepsilon \ge 0 - \varepsilon = -\varepsilon.$$

Since $z \in \bigcap_\beta \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{x_\alpha\colon \alpha \ge \beta\}$, we have $\langle z - y, J(y - z)\rangle \ge -\varepsilon$. This implies $-\|z - y\|^2 \ge 0$ and hence $z = y$.

By using Lemmas 2 and 3, we can prove the following:

LEMMA 4. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E with a Fréchet differentiable norm, S right reversible, and $F(\mathcal{S}) \ne \varnothing$. Let $x \in C$. Then for any $z \in \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x\colon t \ge s\} \cap F(\mathcal{S})$ and $y \in F(\mathcal{S})$, there is $t_0 \in S$ such that*

$$\langle T_t x - y, J(y - z)\rangle \le 0$$

for every $t \ge t_0$.

Proof. Let $z \in \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x: t \geq s\} \cap F(\mathscr{S})$ and $y \in F(\mathscr{S})$. If $y = z$, Lemma 4 is obvious. So, let $y \neq z$. For any $t \in S$, define a unique element y_t such that $y_t \in [y, T_t x]$ and $\|y_t - z\| = \min\{\|u - z\|: u \in [y, T_t x]\}$. Then since $y \neq z$, by Lemma 3 we have $y_t \nrightarrow y$. So, we obtain $c > 0$ such that for any $t \in S$, there is $t' \in S$ with $t' \geq t$ and $\|y_{t'} - y\| \geq c$. Setting

$$y_{t'} = a_{t'} T_{t'} x + (1 - a_{t'}) y, \qquad 0 \leq a_{t'} \leq 1,$$

we also obtain $c_0 > 0$ so small that $a_{t'} \geq c_0$. (In fact, since $T_{t'}$ are nonexpansive and $y \in F(\mathscr{S})$, we have

$$c \leq \|y_{t'} - y\| = a_{t'} \|T_{t'} x - y\| \leq a_{t'} \|x - y\|.$$

So, put $c_0 = c/\|x - y\|$.) Since the limit of $\|T_t x - y\|$ exists as in the proof of Lemma 2, putting $k = \lim \|T_t x - y\|$, we have $k > 0$. If not, we have $T_t x \to y$ and hence $y_t \to y$, which contradicts $y_t \nrightarrow y$.

Now, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that

$$(R + \varepsilon)\left(1 - \delta\left(\frac{c_0 k}{R + \varepsilon}\right)\right) < R,$$

where δ is the modulus of convexity of the norm and $R = \|z - y\|$. Then by Lemma 2, there exists $t_0 \in S$ such that

$$\|T_s(c_0 T_t x + (1 - c_0) y) - (c_0 T_s T_t x + (1 - c_0) y)\| < \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

for all $s \in S$ and $t \geq t_0$. Fix $t' \in S$ with $t' \geq t_0$ and $\|y_{t'} - y\| \geq c$. Then since $a_{t'} \geq c_0$, we have

$$c_0 T_{t'} x + (1 - c_0) y \in [y, a_{t'} T_{t'} x + (1 - a_{t'}) y] = [y, y_{t'}].$$

Hence

$$\|c_0 T_{t'} x + (1 - c_0) y - z\| \leq \max\{\|z - y\|, \|z - y_{t'}\|\} = \|z - y\| = R.$$

By using (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|c_0 T_s T_{t'} x + (1 - c_0) y - z\| &\leq \|T_s(c_0 T_{t'} x + (1 - c_0) y) - z\| + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \|c_0 T_{t'} x + (1 - c_0) y - z\| + \varepsilon \leq R + \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in S$. On the other hand, since $\|y - z\| = R < R + \varepsilon$ and

$$\|c_0 T_s T_{t'} x + (1 - c_0) y - y\| = c_0 \|T_s T_{t'} x - y\| \geq c_0 k$$

for all $s \in S$, we have, by uniform convexity,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\frac{1}{2}((c_0 T_s T_{t'} x + (1 - c_0) y - z) + (y - z))\right\| \\ &\qquad \leq (R + \varepsilon)\left(1 - \delta\left(\frac{c_0 k}{R + \varepsilon}\right)\right) < R\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\left\| \frac{c_0}{2} T_s T_{t'} x + \left(1 - \frac{c_0}{2}\right) y - z \right\| < R$$

for all $s \in S$. This implies that if

$$u_s = \frac{c_0}{2} T_s T_{t'} x + \left(1 - \frac{c_0}{2}\right) y,$$

then

$$\| u_s + \alpha(y - u_s) - z \| \geq \| y - z \|$$

for all $\alpha \geq 1$. By Theorem 2.5 in **[8]**, we have

$$\langle u_s + \alpha(y - u_s) - y, J(y - z) \rangle \geq 0$$

and hence $\langle u_s - y, J(y - z) \rangle \leq 0$. Then $\langle c_0 T_s T_{t'} x - c_0 y, J(y - z) \rangle \leq 0$. Therefore

$$\langle T_s T_{t'} x - y, J(y - z) \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in S.$$

Let $t \geq t'$. Then, since there exists a net $\{s_\alpha\}$ in S with $s_\alpha t' \to t$, we obtain

$$\langle T_t x - y, J(y - z) \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq t'.$$

We are now ready to prove one of our main theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E with a Fréchet differentiable norm, S right reversible, and $F(\mathcal{S}) \neq \varnothing$. Let $x \in C$. Then, the set*

$$\bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\text{co}}\{T_t x : t \geq s\} \cap F(\mathcal{S})$$

consists of at most one point.

Proof. Let $y, z \in F(\mathcal{S}) \cap \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\text{co}}\{T_t x: t \geq s\}$. Then, since $(y + z)/2 \in F(\mathcal{S})$, it follows from Lemma 4 that there is $t_0 \in S$ such that

$$\left\langle T_t x - \frac{y + z}{2}, J\left(\frac{y + z}{2} - z\right) \right\rangle \leq 0$$

for every $t \geq t_0$. Since $y \in \overline{\text{co}}\{T_t x: t \geq t_0\}$, we have

$$\left\langle y - \frac{y + z}{2}, J\left(\frac{y + z}{2} - z\right) \right\rangle \leq 0$$

and hence $\langle y - z, J(y - z) \rangle \leq 0$. This implies $y = z$.

By using Theorem 1, we now study the problem of the weak convergence of $\{T_a x\colon a \in S\}$.

THEOREM 2. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space with Fréchet differentiable norm, S right reversible and $F(\mathcal{S}) \neq \varnothing$. Let $x \in C$. If $\omega(x) \subseteq F(\mathcal{S})$, then the net $\{T_a x\colon a \in S\}$ converges weakly to some $y \in F(\mathcal{S})$.*

Proof. Since $F(\mathcal{S}) \neq \varnothing$, $\{T_a x\colon a \in S\}$ is bounded. So, $\{T_a x\colon a \in S\}$ must contain a subnet $\{T_{a_\alpha} x\}$ which converges weakly to some $z \in C$. Since $\omega(x) \subseteq F(\mathcal{S})$ and $z \in \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\text{co}}\{T_t x\colon t \geq s\}$, we obtain

$$z \in F(\mathcal{S}) \cap \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\text{co}}\{T_t x\colon t \geq s\}.$$

Therefore, it follows from Theorem 1 that $\{T_a x\colon a \in S\}$ converges weakly to $z \in F(\mathcal{S})$.

A subset G of S is called a *generating set* if elements of the form $g_1 g_2 \cdots g_m$, $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G$, is dense in S.

COROLLARY. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space, S right reversible, and $F(\mathcal{S}) \neq \varnothing$. Let $x \in C$. Then $T_a x \rightharpoonup y \in C$ if and only if $T_{ga} x - T_a x \rightharpoonup 0$ for all g in a generating set G of S.*

Proof. We need only prove the "if" part. Let $\{T_{a_\alpha} x\}$ be a subnet of $\{T_a x;\ a \in S\}$ with $T_{a_\alpha} x \rightharpoonup z$. If $u \in F(\mathcal{S})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \|T_{a_\alpha} x - z\|^2 - \|T_{ga_\alpha} x - T_g z\|^2 \\
&= \|T_{a_\alpha} x - u\|^2 + 2\langle T_{a_\alpha} x - u, u - z\rangle + \|u - z\|^2 - \|T_{ga_\alpha} x - u\|^2 \\
&\quad -2\langle T_{ga_\alpha} x - u, u - T_g z\rangle - \|u - T_g z\|^2 \\
&= \|T_{a_\alpha} x - u\|^2 - \|T_{ga_\alpha} x - u\|^2 + 2\langle T_{a_\alpha} x - u, T_g z - z\rangle \\
&\quad +2\langle T_{a_\alpha} x - T_{ga_\alpha} x, u - T_g z\rangle + \|u - z\|^2 - \|u - T_g z\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence by letting α tend to infinity

$$0 \leq 2\langle z - u, T_g z - z\rangle + \|u - z\|^2 - \|u - T_g z\|^2 = -\|z - T_g z\|^2$$

(note that $\|T_a x - u\|^2$ is a decreasing net and hence

$$\lim_\alpha \|T_{a_\alpha} x - u\|^2 = \lim_\alpha \|T_{ga_\alpha} x - u\|^2 = \lim \|T_a x - u\|^2).$$

Consequently $z \in F(\mathcal{S})$ and $\omega(x) \subseteq F(\mathcal{S})$. By Theorem 2, the net $\{T_a x: a \in S\}$ converges weakly to some $y \in F(\mathcal{S})$.

The following theorem is a generalization of Lau's result ([**15**, Theorem 2.3]), which has been proved in the case when E is a Hilbert space. Note that Lau's proof does not apply beyond Banach spaces for which Opial's condition is valid (e.g. $L_p[0,1]$, $1 < p < 2$ and $2 < p < \infty$). See [**18**, p. 596].

THEOREM 3. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space with a Fréchet differentiable norm, S right reversible and $F(\mathcal{S}) \neq \varnothing$. Let $x \in C$. If $\lim_a \|T_{ga}x - T_a x\| = 0$ for all g in a generating set G of S, then the net $\{T_a x: a \in S\}$ converges weakly to some $y \in F(\mathcal{S})$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2, it suffices to show that $\omega(x) \subseteq F(\mathcal{S})$. Let $\{T_{a_\alpha} x\}$ be a subnet of $\{T_a x;\ a \in S\}$ converging weakly to some $y \in C$. Let $g \in G$ and $T = T_g$. Write $x_\alpha = T_{a_\alpha} x$. Then $\|Tx_\alpha - x_\alpha\| \to 0$. For each n, choose α_n such that $\|Tx_\alpha - x_\alpha\| \leq 1/n$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_n$. Since $y \in \bigcap_\alpha \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{x_\beta;\ \alpha \leq \beta\}$, there is $x_n \in \mathrm{co}\{x_\beta;\ \alpha_n \leq \beta\}$ such that $\|y - x_n\| \leq 1/n$. Let $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_{\beta_i}$, $\beta_i \geq \alpha_n$. Then we have

$$\|Tx_n - x_n\| \leq \left\| Tx_n - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i Tx_{\beta_i} \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i Tx_{\beta_i} - x_n \right\|$$
$$\leq r^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n}$$

where $r: \mathbf{R}^+ \to \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous, strictly increasing, convex function with $r(0) = 0$ such that for any $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\} \subseteq C$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$,

$$r\left(\left\| T\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i T u_i \right\| \right) \leq \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \left(\|u_i - u_j\| - \|Tu_i - Tu_j\| \right)$$

(the existence of such an r follows from Theorem 2.1 of Bruck [**6**].) In fact

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i Tx_{\beta_i} - x_n \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i Tx_{\beta_i} - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_{\beta_i} \right\|$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \|Tx_{\beta_i} - x_{\beta_i}\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

and

$$\left\| Tx_n - \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i Tx_{\beta_i} \right\| \le r^{-1}\left(\max_{1 \le i, j \le m} \left(\|x_{\beta_i} - x_{\beta_j}\| - \|Tx_{\beta_i} - Tx_{\beta_j}\| \right) \right)$$

$$\le r^{-1}\left(\max_{1 \le i, j \le m} \left(\|x_{\beta_i} - Tx_{\beta_i}\| + \|Tx_{\beta_j} - x_{\beta_j}\| \right) \right)$$

$$\le r^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{n} \right).$$

Since r^{-1} is continuous and $r^{-1}(0) = 0$, we have $r^{-1}(2/n) + 1/n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, $\|Tx_n - x_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $\|x_n - y\| \to 0$, we have $y = Ty$. Since G is a generating set of S and $g \in G$ is arbitrary, $y \in F(\mathscr{S})$. This implies $\omega(x) \subseteq F(\mathscr{S})$.

The next result is also a generalization of Lau's result [**15**, Proposition 2.4].

THEOREM 4. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E, S right reversible, and $F(\mathscr{S}) \ne \varnothing$. Let P be the metric projection on E onto $F(\mathscr{S})$. Then, for each $x \in C$, the net $\{PT_a x;\ a \in S\}$ converges in norm to some $z \in F(\mathscr{S})$.*

Proof. Let $x \in C$. Observe that

$$\|PT_a x - T_a x\| \le \|PT_b x - T_a x\|$$

for any $a, b \in S$. If $a \ge b$ and $a \ne b$, let $\{s_\alpha b\}$ be a net converging to a. Then for each α,

$$\|PT_b x - T_{s_\alpha b} x\| = \|T_{s_\alpha} PT_b x - T_{s_\alpha} T_b x\| \le \|PT_b x - T_b x\|.$$

So, if $a \ge b$, we have

$$\|PT_b x - T_a x\| \le \|PT_b x - T_b x\|. \tag{3}$$

Hence, if $a \ge b$, then $\|PT_a x - T_a x\| \le \|PT_b x - T_b x\|$. This implies that the limit $\|PT_a x - T_a x\|$ exists. Now, we show that $\{PT_a x:\ a \in S\}$ is a Cauchy net in C. Let $r = \lim_a \|PT_a x - T_a x\|$. If $r = 0$, then for $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $c \in S$ such that $\|PT_a x - T_a x\| < \varepsilon/4$ for $a \ge c$. So, if $a, b \ge c$, then by (3)

$$\begin{aligned}\|PT_a x - PT_b x\| &\le \|PT_a x - PT_c x\| + \|PT_c x - PT_b x\| \\ &\le \|PT_a x - T_a x\| + \|T_a x - PT_c x\| \\ &\quad + \|PT_b x - T_b x\| + \|T_b x - PT_c x\| \\ &\le \|PT_a x - T_a x\| + \|T_c x - PT_c x\| \\ &\quad + \|PT_b x - T_b x\| + \|T_c x - PT_c x\| < \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{PT_a x\colon a \in S\}$ is a Cauchy net in the case when $r = 0$. Let $r > 0$. Then $\{PT_a x\colon a \in S\}$ is also a Cauchy net. If not, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $s \in S$, there are $a, b \in S$ with $\|PT_a x - PT_b x\| \geq \varepsilon$ and $a, b \geq s$. Choose $d > 0$ so small that

$$(r + d)\left(1 - \delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r + d}\right)\right) < r$$

and $s_0 \in S$ so large that

$$r \leq \|PT_t x - T_t x\| < r + d$$

for all $t \geq s_0$. For this $s_0 \in S$, there are $a, b \in S$ with $\|P_a x - PT_b x\| \geq \varepsilon$ and $a, b \geq s_0$. Since $(S, \geq)$ is a directed system, there is $c \in S$ with $c \geq a$ and $c \geq b$. For this $c \in S$, we have by (3)

$$\|PT_a x - T_c x\| \leq \|PT_a x - T_a x\| < r + d$$

and

$$\|PT_b x - T_c x\| \leq \|PT_b x - T_b x\| < r + d.$$

Since E is uniformly convex, we have

$$r \leq \|PT_c x - T_c x\| \leq \left\|\frac{PT_a x + PT_b x}{2} - T_c x\right\|$$

$$\leq (r + d)\left(1 - \delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r + d}\right)\right) < r,$$

which is a contradiction.

4. Nonexpansive retraction. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{T_a;\ a \in S\}$ be a continuous representation of a semitopological semigroup S as nonexpansive mappings from a nonempty closed convex subset C of a Banach space E into C. We study in this section the existence of a nonexpansive "ergodic" retraction of C onto the common fixed point set $F(\mathcal{S})$ of $\mathcal{S}$ in C. We begin with the following simple observation:

LEMMA 5. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space E. Let $\{W_\alpha\colon \alpha \in I\}$ be a decreasing net of subsets contained in a bounded set of E. Let A be the asymptotic center of $\{W_\alpha\colon \alpha \in I\}$ with respect to C, i.e., $A = \{x \in C\colon r(x) = r\}$, where $r(x) = \inf\{r_\alpha(x)\colon \alpha \in I\}$, $r_\alpha(x) = \sup\{\|y - x\|\colon y \in W_\alpha\}$ and $r = \inf\{r(x)\colon x \in C\}$. Then A is nonempty, bounded, convex and closed.*

Proof. That A is closed and convex follows from Lim **[16]**. To see that A is nonempty, we observe that

$$A_n = \left\{x \in C\colon r(x) \leq r + \frac{1}{n}\right\}$$

is a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of E. Indeed, it suffices to show that A_n is bounded. Let $x \in A_n$, then for some α_0, $r_{\alpha_0}(x) \le r + 2/n$. Hence $\|y - x\| \le r + 2/n$ for each $y \in W_{\alpha_0}$, i.e., $\|x\| \le r + 2/n + \|y\|$ for each $y \in W_{\alpha_0}$. It is obvious that $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$.

THEOREM 5. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space with normal structure and S left reversible. If there exists $x_0 \in C$ such that $\{T_a x_0 \colon a \in S\}$ is bounded, then*

(a) C contains a common fixed point of $\mathscr{S}$.

(b) There is a nonexpansive retraction r of C onto $F(\mathscr{S})$ for which any $\mathscr{S}$-invariant closed convex subset of C is r-invariant.

Proof. (a) For each $s \in S$, let $W_s = \overline{T_s \mathscr{S} x_0}$. Then $\{W_s \colon s \in S\}$ is a directed set with $s \le t$ meaning $\overline{sS} \supseteq tS$ and each W_s, $s \in S$ is bounded. Let A be the asymptotic center of $\{W_s \colon s \in S\}$ with respect to C. Then by Lemma 5 A is bounded, closed, convex and nonempty. Also A is $\mathscr{S}$-invariant. Indeed, if $x \in A$, $s \in S$, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t \in S$ such that $T_t \mathscr{S} x_0 \subset W_t \subset B(x, r + \varepsilon)$, where $B(z, r) = \{x \in E;\ \|z - x\| \le r\}$. So, $W_{st} \subset B(T_s x, r + \varepsilon)$. It follows that $r(T_s x) \le r_{st}(T_s x) \le r + \varepsilon$. So $T_s x \in A$. Since A has normal structure, it follows from Theorem 3 in **[16]** that A contains a common fixed point of $\mathscr{S}$.

(b) We follow an idea of Bruck in **[5]**. Let $G = \{s \colon s$ is a nonexpansive mapping of C into itself, $F(s) \supseteq F(\mathscr{S})$ and every $\mathscr{S}$-invariant closed convex subset of C is s-invariant$\}$. Then, G is a semigroup and compact in the topology of pointwise weak convergence on C. We shall show that $Gx \cap F(G) \ne \emptyset$ for $x \in C$. In fact, since Gx is an $\mathscr{S}$-invariant bounded closed convex subset of C and has normal structure, by Theorem 3 in **[16]** Gx contains a common fixed point of $\mathscr{S}$ and hence a common fixed point of G. By Theorem 3(a) in **[5]**, there exists a retraction $r \in G$ of C onto $F(G) = F(\mathscr{S})$.

Let S be a semitopological semigroup. Let $C(S)$ be the Banach algebra of all continuous bounded real valued functions on S with the supremum norm. Then, for each $s \in S$ and $f \in C(S)$, we can define $r_s f$ in $C(S)$ by $r_s f(t) = f(ts)$ for all $t \in S$. Let RUC(S) be the space of bounded right uniformly continuous functions on S, i.e., RUC(S) is the set of all $f \in C(S)$ such that the mapping: $s \to r_s f$ is continuous. Then RUC(S) is a closed translation invariant subalgebra of $C(S)$ containing constants; see **[17]** for more details.

A linear functional m on $\mathrm{RUC}(S)$ is called a *right invariant mean* if $\|m\| = m(1) = 1$ and $m(r_s f) = m(f)$ for all $f \in \mathrm{RUC}(S)$, $s \in S$. In general, S need not be right reversible even when the space of bounded continuous functions on S has a right invariant mean unless S is normal. See [**13**, p. 335] for details.

LEMMA 6. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space E and S be a semitopological semigroup for which* $\mathrm{RUC}(S)$ *has a right invariant mean. Suppose that there is an element in C with bounded orbit. Then there exists a nonexpansive mapping Q of C into itself such that $Qx \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathscr{S}x$ for each $x \in C$ and $QT_s = Q$ for all $s \in S$.*

Proof. Let $x \in C$ and observe that if $f \in E^*$, then $h(t) = \langle T_t x, f\rangle$ is in $\mathrm{RUC}(S)$. In fact, if $s_\alpha \to s$,

$$\begin{aligned}|h(ts_\alpha) - h(ts)| &\le |\langle T_{ts_\alpha}x - T_{ts}x, f\rangle| \\ &\le \|T_tT_{s_\alpha}x - T_tT_sx\|\,\|f\| \le \|T_{s_\alpha}x - T_sx\|\,\|f\| \to 0\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in t. So, let μ be a right invariant mean on $\mathrm{RUC}(S)$ and consider a functional F on E^* given by

$$F(f) = \mu_t\langle T_t x, f\rangle$$

for every $f \in E^*$. Then F is bounded and linear. Since E is reflexive, there is an $x_0 \in E$ such that

$$\mu_t\langle T_t x, f\rangle = \langle x_0, f\rangle$$

for every $f \in E^*$. Put $Qx = x_0$. We shall show that Q has the desired properties. That $QT_s = Q$ follows from the right invariance of μ. Let $u_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \delta_{t_i}$ be a net of convex combinations of point evaluations converging to μ in the weak*-topology of $\mathrm{RUC}(S)^*$, then for each $f \in E^*$, $\langle Qx, f\rangle = \lim_\alpha\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i T_{t_i}x, f\rangle$ i.e. $Qx \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathscr{S}(x)$. Also if $x, y \in C$, $f \in E^*$, $\|f\| \le 1$, then

$$|\langle Qx - Qy, f\rangle| = \lim_\alpha\left|\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i T_{t_i}x - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i T_{t_i}y, f\right\rangle\right| \le \|x - y\|.$$

Hence $\|Qx - Qy\| \le \|x - y\|$.

The following Theorem improves a result of Hirano-Takahashi [**12**, Theorem 1].

THEOREM 6. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space with normal structure and S left reversible. If* $\mathrm{RUC}(S)$ *has a right invariant mean and there exists an element in C with bounded orbit, then there exists a* *nonexpansive retraction P of C onto $F(\mathcal{S})$ such that $PT_t = T_tP = P$ for every $t \in S$ and every $\mathcal{S}$-invariant closed convex subset of C is P-invariant.*

Proof. Let r be a nonexpansive retraction obtained in Theorem 5 and Q a nonexpansive mapping obtained in Lemma 6. Then $P = rQ$ is a nonexpansive retraction satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 6.

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem which generalizes Theorem 2 in [**12**].

THEOREM 7. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space with a uniformly Fréchet differentiable norm and S a reversible semitopological semigroup. If* RUC(S) *has a right invariant mean, then the following are equivalent*:

(a) $\bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x\colon t \geq s\} \cap F(\mathcal{S}) \neq \varnothing$, *for each $x \in C$*;

(b) *$F(\mathcal{S})$ is nonempty and there is a nonexpansive retraction P of C onto $F(\mathcal{S})$ such that $PT_t = T_tP = P$ for every $t \in S$ and $Px \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x\colon t \in S\}$ for every $x \in C$.*

Proof. (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Let $x \in C$. Then $Px \in F(\mathcal{S})$. Also $Px \in \bigcap_s \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x\colon t \geq s\}$. In fact,

$$Px = PT_s x \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t T_s x\colon t \in S\} \subset \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x\colon t \geq s\}$$

for every $s \in S$.

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). By Theorem 5, there exists a nonexpansive retraction of C onto $F(\mathcal{S})$. Then from [**23**, Theorem 4.1] or [**26**, Theorem 1], there is a sunny nonexpansive retraction r of C onto $F(\mathcal{S})$. Let Q be as in Lemma 6 and $P = rQ$. Then P is a nonexpansive retraction of C onto $F(\mathcal{S})$ such that $PT_t = T_tP = P$ for all $t \in S$. Let $x \in C$. Then since r is sunny, we have by [**22**, Lemma 2.7]

$$\langle Qx - Px, J(Px - v)\rangle \geq 0 \tag{4}$$

for every $v \in F(\mathcal{S})$. On the other hand, if

$$z \in \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x\colon t \geq s\} \cap F(\mathcal{S}),$$

from Lemma 4, there is $t_0 \in S$ such that

$$\left\langle T_{tt_0} x - \frac{Px + z}{2}, J\left(\frac{Px + z}{2} - z\right)\right\rangle \leq 0$$

for every $t \in S$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle Qx - \frac{Px+z}{2}, J\left(\frac{Px+z}{2} - z\right)\right\rangle \\ &= \mu_t\left\langle T_t x - \frac{Px+z}{2}, J\left(\frac{Px+z}{2} - z\right)\right\rangle \\ &= \mu_t\left\langle T_{tt_0} x - \frac{Px+z}{2}, J\left(\frac{Px+z}{2} - z\right)\right\rangle \\ &\leq \sup_t \left\langle T_{tt_0} x - \frac{Px+z}{2}, J\left(\frac{Px+z}{2} - z\right)\right\rangle \leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore by using (4) we have

$$\langle z - Px, J(Px - z)\rangle \geq 0$$

and hence $z = Px$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 8. *Let S be right reversible and C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space with Fréchet differentiable norm. The following are equivalent*:

(a) $\bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x\colon t \geq s\} \cap F(\mathscr{S}) \neq \varnothing$ *for each* $x \in C$.

(b) *There exists a retraction P of C onto $F(\mathscr{S})$ such that $PT_t = T_t P = P$ for every $t \in S$ and $Px \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x\colon t \in S\}$ for every $x \in C$.*

Proof. (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Same as Theorem 8.

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). In this case, by Theorem 1, for each $x \in C$, $\bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x\colon t \geq s\} \cap F(\mathscr{S})$ contains exactly one point $P(x)$. Clearly $T_t P = P$ for each $t \in S$. Let $t_0 \in S$ be fixed. We shall show that

$$\bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_{tt_0} x;\ t \geq s\} \supseteq \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x;\ t \geq s\}. \tag{5}$$

When this is proved, then

$$\bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_{tt_0} x;\ t \geq s\} \cap F(\mathscr{S}) = \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_t x;\ t \geq s\} \cap F(\mathscr{S}).$$

In particular $P(T_{t_0} x) = P(x)$.

Let $s \in S$ be fixed. Then $\{T_u x;\ u \geq st_0\} \supseteq \overline{\{T_{tt_0} x;\ t \geq s\}}$ (since if $t \geq s$, $t \in \{s\} \cup \overline{Ss}$; hence $tt_0 \in \{st_0\} \cup \overline{Sst_0}$ i.e. $tt_0 \geq st_0$) i.e. $\{T_u x;\ u \geq st_0\} \supseteq \overline{\{T_{tt_0} x;\ t \geq s\}}$. On the other hand, if $u \geq st_0$, then $u \in \{st_0\} \cup \overline{Sst_0}$. If $u = st_0$, then $T_u(x) = T_{st_0}(x) \in \overline{\{T_{tt_0}(x);\ t \geq s\}}$. If $u \in \overline{Sst_0}$, $u = \lim_\alpha a_\alpha st_0$ for some net $\{a_\alpha\} \subseteq S$. So $T_u(x) = \lim_\alpha T_{a_\alpha st_0}(x)$ i.e. $T_u(x) \in \overline{\{T_{tt_0}(x);\ t \geq s\}}$. Hence $T_u(x) \in \overline{\{T_{tt_0}(x);\ t \geq s\}}$ also. Consequently

$$\overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_u x;\ u \geq st_0\} = \overline{\mathrm{co}}\{T_{tt_0} x;\ t \geq s\}.$$

Now if $y \in \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\text{co}}\{T_t x;\ t \geq s\}$, then $y \in \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\text{co}}\{T_u x;\ u \geq st_0\} = \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\text{co}}\{T_{tt_0} x;\ t \geq s\}$ i.e. (5) holds.

References

[1] J. B. Baillon, *Un Théorème de type ergodique pour les contractions non linéaires dans un espace de Hilbert*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B, **280** (1975), 1511–1514.

[2] F. E. Browder, *Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **18**, no. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1976.

[3] R. E. Bruck, Jr., *Nonexpansive projections on subsets of Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **47** (1973), 341–355.

[4] _____, *Properties of fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **179** (1973), 251–262.

[5] _____, *A common fixed point theorem for a commuting family of nonexpansive mappings*, Pacific J. Math., **53** (1974), 59–71.

[6] _____, *On the convex approximation property and the asymptotic behavior of nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math., **38** (1981), 304–314.

[7] J. Diestel, *Geometry of Banach Spaces, Selected Topics*, Lecture notes in mathematics #485 (1975), Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York.

[8] F. R. Deutsch and P. H. Maserick, *Application of the Hahn-Banach theorem in approximation theory*, SIAM Rev., **9** (1967), 516–530.

[9] C. W. Groetsch, *A note on segmenting Mann iterates*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **40** (1972), 369–372.

[10] N. Hirano, *A proof of the mean ergodic theorem for nonexpansive mappings in Banach space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **78** (1980), 361–365.

[11] N. Hirano, K. Kido and W. Takahashi, *Asymptotic behavior of commutative semigroups of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis, **10** (1986), 229–249.

[12] N. Hirano and W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear ergodic theorems for an amenable semigroup of nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space*, Pacific J. Math., **112** (1984), 333–346.

[13] R. D. Holmes and A. T. Lau, *Nonexpansive actions of topological semigroups and fixed points*, J. London Math. Soc., (2), **5** (1972), 330–336.

[14] A. T. Lau, *Invariant means on almost periodic functions and fixed point properties*, Rocky Mountain J., **3** (1973), 69–76.

[15] _____, *Semigroup of nonexpansive mappings on a Hilbert space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **105** (1985), 514–522.

[16] T. C. Lim, *Characterizations of normal structure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **43** (1974), 313–319.

[17] T. Mitchell, *Topological semigroups and fixed points*, Illinois J. Math., **14** (1970), 630–641.

[18] Z. Opial, *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73** (1967), 591–597.

[19] A. Pazy, *On the asymptotic behavior of iterates of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space*, Israel J. Math., **26** (1977), 197–204.

[29] _____, *On the asymptotic behavior of semigroups of nonlinear contractions in Hilbert space*, J. Funct. Anal., **27** (1978), 292–307.

[21] S. Reich, *Nonlinear evolution equations and nonlinear ergodic theorems*, Nonlinear Analysis, **1** (1977), 319–330.

[22] ———, *Asymptotic behavior of contractions in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **44** (1973), 57–70.

[23] ———, *Product formulas, nonlinear semigroups, and accretive operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **36** (1980), 147–168.

[24] W. Takahashi, *A nonlinear ergodic theorem for an amenable semigroup of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **81** (1981), 253–256.

[25] ———, *Fixed point theorems for families of nonexpansive mappings on unbounded sets*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **36** (1984), 543–553.

[26] W. Takahashi and Y. Ueda, *On Reich's strong convergence theorem for resolvents of accretive operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **104** (1984), 546–553.

Received May 11, 1984. The first author is supported by NSERC Grant A 7679.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
EDMONTON, ALBERTA
CANADA T6G 2G1

AND

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO KU
TOKYO 152, JAPAN

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: $95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 126, No. 2 December, 1987