

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

OSCILLATORY PROPERTIES OF SYSTEMS OF FIRST ORDER LINEAR DELAY DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES

K. GOPALSAMY

OSCILLATORY PROPERTIES OF SYSTEMS OF FIRST ORDER LINEAR DELAY DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES

K. GOPALSAMY*

Sufficient conditions are obtained for the nonexistence of eventually positive bounded solutions of the system of delay differential inequalities

$$\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j(t - \tau_{ij}) \leq 0; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and for the nonexistence of eventually negative bounded solutions of

$$\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j(t - \tau_{ij}) \geq 0; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

As a corollary to the above we obtain sufficient conditions for all bounded solutions of

$$\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j(t - \tau_{ij}) = 0; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

to be oscillatory.

1. Introduction. The oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of scalar delay differential equations and inequalities has been the subject of numerous investigations. For a recent survey of results we refer to Zhang [20]. First order differential inequalities with delayed arguments have been discussed by Ladas and Stavroulakis [9] and Stavroulakis [18]. The purpose of this brief article is to derive a set of sufficient conditions for all bounded solutions of a linear system of the type

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j(t - \tau_{ij}) = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n; t > t_0$$

to be "oscillatory" by considering the twin systems of inequalities

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j(t - \tau_{ij}) \leq 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n; t > t_0$$

*On leave from The Flinders University of South Australia, Bedford Park, S. A. 5042, Australia

and

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j(t - \tau_{ij}) \geq 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n; t > t_0.$$

The literature concerned with the oscillation and nonoscillation of scalar systems of differential equations with and without deviating arguments is quite extensive. It appears that vector systems such as (1.1) where n is any positive integer have not received much attention with respect to their oscillation and nonoscillation characteristics especially when $n \geq 3$. Oscillation and nonoscillation of mostly two dimensional systems with deviating arguments have been considered by some authors (Kitamura and Kusano [5–8], Bulgakov and Sergeev [1], Bykov [2], Foltynska and Werbowski [3], Izyumova and Mirzov [4], Mirzov [11–16], Varekh and Shevelo [19], Marusiak [10], Shevelo [17]).

We recall that it is customary to define a real valued continuous function x defined on a half-line $[t_0, \infty)$ to be oscillatory if there exists a sequence $\{t_m\} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ such that $x(t_m) = 0$ for each t_m . Such a definition has been adequate for analysing the oscillatory and nonoscillatory characteristics of scalar systems of delay differential equations and inequalities. In vector systems such as (1.1)–(1.3), it is advantageous to use the following:

DEFINITION 1. A real valued differentiable function u defined on a half-line $[t_0, \infty)$ is said to be oscillatory if there exists a sequence $\{t_m\} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ such that $t_m \in (t_0, \infty)$ and $u(t_m)\dot{u}(t_m) = 0$ for each $t_m \in (t_0, \infty)$ where $\dot{u}(t_m) = du/dt$ at t_m ; u is said to be nonoscillatory on $[t_0, \infty)$ if there exists a $t^* > t_0$ such that $u(t)\dot{u}(t) \neq 0$ for $t \geq t^*$.

Using the above definition we now define oscillation and nonoscillation of \mathbf{R}^n -valued functions as follows:

DEFINITION 2. An \mathbf{R}^n -valued function $x(t) = \{x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t)\}$ defined on a half-line $[t_0, \infty)$ with differentiable components is said to be oscillatory if at least one component of x is oscillatory in the sense of Definition 1; a vector $x: (t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ with differentiable components is said to be nonoscillatory if every component of x is nonoscillatory as in Definition 1.

DEFINITION 3. The system (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if every solution of (1.1) defined on a half-line (t_0, ∞) is oscillatory in the sense of Definition 2; the system (1.1) is said to be nonoscillatory if (1.1) has at least one solution defined on a half-line which is nonoscillatory.

We remark that definitions of oscillatory and nonoscillatory \mathbf{R}^n -valued functions are varied in the literature. Our Definitions 2 and 3 above provide one of several possible ways of generalising the corresponding notions of oscillation and nonoscillation of real valued functions to the case of \mathbf{R}^n -valued functions.

DEFINITION 4. An \mathbf{R}^n -valued function $x(t) = \{x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)\}$ defined on a half-line (t_0, ∞) with differentiable components is said to be eventually positive if x is nonoscillatory on (t_0, ∞) and there exists a $t^* > t_0$ such that $x_j(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t^*$ and $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. An \mathbf{R}^n -valued eventually negative function is defined analogously.

2. Delay induced oscillations. We consider the systems (1.1)–(1.3) together with the following assumptions.

(A₁) a_{ij}, τ_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are real constants such that

(2.1) (i) $a_{ii} > 0; \tau_{ii} > 0; i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n;$

(2.2) (ii) $\tau_{ij} \geq 0; i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n;$
 $\tau_{ii} \geq \tau_{ji}; i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

(2.3) (A₂) $e \left[\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{ \tau_{ii} \} \right] \left[\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(a_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ji}| \right) \right] > 1.$

THEOREM. *If the assumptions (A₁) and (A₂) hold, then the system (1.2) cannot have a nonoscillatory eventually positive bounded solution on $[0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Our strategy of proof is to show that the existence of an eventually positive and bounded nonoscillatory solution of (1.2) contradicts the condition (2.3). Let us then suppose that (1.2) has a nonoscillatory bounded eventually positive solution $u(t) = \{u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots, u_n(t)\}$ on $[0, \infty)$. There exists a $t_1 > 0$ such that

(2.4) $u_i(t) > 0 \text{ for } t \geq t^*; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

and

(2.5) $\frac{du_i(t)}{dt} \leq -a_{ii}u_i(t - \tau_{ii}) - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ij}u_j(t - \tau_{ij}).$

It follows from the boundedness, nonoscillation and eventual positivity of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n that $u_i(t)$ converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We let

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_i(t) = c_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We claim that $c_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; suppose not. Then the nonoscillation of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n and the eventual positivity of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n shows that the convergence in (2.6) is monotonic in t eventually and hence there exists a $t_2 > t_1 + \tau$ ($\tau = \max(\tau_{ij}; i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$) such that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} u_i(t) &< c_i + \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t > t_2, \\ u_i(t) &> c_i - \varepsilon \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where ε is any arbitrary positive number. We have from (2.5) that

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i(t) \right) &\leq - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} u_i(t - \tau_{ii}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}| u_j(t - \tau_{ij}), \quad t > t_2 + \tau \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.9) \quad \leq - \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ a_{ii}(c_i - \varepsilon) - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}|(c_j + \varepsilon) \right\}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\leq - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ji}| \right) c_i + \varepsilon \left[\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left(a_{ii} + \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ji}| \right) \right] \\ &\leq -m \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + M\varepsilon; \quad t \geq t_2 = \tau, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad m = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(a_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ji}| \right), \quad M = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ji}|.$$

The assumption (A₂) implies that $m > 0$. Now if $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i > 0$ then choosing ε small enough one can show that there exists a positive number μ such that

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i(t) \right) \leq -\mu \quad \text{for } t_2 + \tau$$

which leads to

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(t) \leq -\mu(t - t_2 - \tau) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(t_2 + \tau) \quad t > t_2 + \tau$$

implying that $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i(t)$ can become negative for large enough t ; but this is impossible. Thus we have $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0$ and hence $c_i = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; thus

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_i(t) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and the convergence in(2.14) is monotonic in t eventually due to the nonoscillatory nature of $u(t) = \{u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t)\}$. It follows from (2.8) that

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(t) \right\} \leq - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} u_i(t - \tau_{ii}) - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ji}| u_i(t - \tau_{ji})$$

which on using

$$(2.16) \quad t_{ii} \geq \tau_{ji} \Rightarrow t - \tau_{ii} \leq t - \tau_{ji} \Rightarrow u_i(t - \tau_{ii}) \geq u_i(t - \tau_{ji}),$$

$i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

leads to

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i(t) \right) \leq - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ji}| \right) u_i(t - \tau_{ii})$$

$t > t_2 + \tau$

$$(2.18) \quad \leq -m \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(t - \tau_{ii}); \quad t > t_2 + \tau$$

$$(2.19) \quad \leq -m \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(t - \sigma); \quad t > t_2 + \tau$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad m = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ a_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ji}| \right\}, \quad \sigma = \min\{ \tau_{11}, \tau_{22}, \dots, \tau_{nn} \}.$$

Note that $\sigma > 0$ due to (2.3) and we have used the eventual monotonic convergence of $u_i(t - \tau_{ii})$ to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ in the derivation of (2.19) from (2.18).

Now if we let

$$(2.21) \quad y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(t); \quad t > t_2 + \tau,$$

then we have from (2.19) that

$$(2.22) \quad dy(t)/dt \leq -my(t - \sigma); \quad t > t_2 + \tau$$

and y is an eventually positive solution of the scalar delay differential inequality (2.22) in which the constants m and σ satisfy

$$(2.23) \quad e\sigma m > 1$$

as a consequence of (A_2) and (2.20).

It is well known that the scalar delay differential inequality (2.22) cannot have an eventually positive solution (Ladas and Stavroulakis [9]) when (2.23) holds; this contradiction shows that (1.2) cannot have a bounded nonoscillatory eventually positive solution and this completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *Assume that (A_1) and (A_2) hold. Then the system of inequalities (1.3) cannot have an eventually negative bounded nonoscillatory solution.*

Proof. The conclusion follows from the result of the above Theorem since an eventually negative bounded solution of (1.3) is an eventually positive nonoscillatory bounded solution of (1.2).

COROLLARY 2. *Assume that (A_1) and (A_2) hold. Then all bounded solutions of (1.1) are oscillatory.*

Proof. The assertion is a consequence of the fact that (1.1) cannot have nonoscillatory bounded solutions which are eventually positive or which are eventually negative.

We conclude with the remark that further generalisation of our result to nonautonomous systems (with variable coefficients and variable delays) and to nonlinear systems is of some interest for applications.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. I. Bulgakov and B. A. Sergeev, *Oscillation properties of solutions of second order system of ordinary differential equations*, *Differential Equations*, **20** (1984), 158–164.
- [2] Ya. V. Bykov, *On a class of systems of ordinary differential equations*, *Differential Equations*, **1** (1965), 1139–1159.

- [3] I. Foltynska and J. Werbowski, *On the oscillatory behaviour of solutions of systems of differential equations with deviating arguments in "Qualitative theory of differential equations"*, Colloquia Mathematica Soc. Janos Bolyai, 30, Szeged (Hungary) (1979), 243–256.
- [4] D. V. Izumova and D. D. Mirzov, *Oscillation properties of solutions of nonlinear differential systems*, Differential Equations, **12** (1976), 838–842.
- [5] Y. Kitamura and T. Kusano, *On the oscillation of a class of nonlinear differential systems with deviating argument*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **66** (1978), 20–36.
- [6] ———, *Vanishing oscillations of a class of differential systems with retarded argument*, Accad. Nazionale Sinceri Rend., **62** (1977), 325–334.
- [7] ———, *Oscillation and a class of nonlinear differential systems with general deviating arguments*, Nonlinear Anal., **2** (1978), 537–551.
- [8] ———, *Asymptotic properties of solutions of two dimensional differential systems with deviating argument*, Hiroshima Math. J., **8** (1978), 305–326.
- [9] G. Ladas and I. P. Stavroulakis, *On delay differential inequalities of first order*, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, **25** (1982), 105–113.
- [10] P. Marusiak, *On the oscillation of nonlinear differential systems with retarded arguments*, Math. Slovaca, **34** (1984), 73–88.
- [11] D.D. Mirzov, *Oscillatory properties of solutions of a system of nonlinear differential equations*, Differential Equations, **9** (1973), 447–449.
- [12] ———, *Ability of solutions of a system of nonlinear differential equations to oscillate*, Math. Notes of the Acad. Sciences USSR, **16** (1974), 932–935.
- [13] ———, *Oscillation of solutions of a system of differential equations*, Math. Notes of the Acad. Sciences USSR, **23** (1978), 218–220.
- [14] ———, *Asymptotic properties of solutions of two dimensional differential systems*, Differential Equations, **13** (1977), 1527–1535.
- [15] ———, *Zeros of solutions of a nonlinear system*, Differential Equations, **20** (1984), 1237–1242.
- [16] ———, *On some analogs of Sturm's and Kneser's theorems for nonlinear systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **53** (1976), 418–425.
- [17] V. N. Shevelo, *Oscillations of solutions of differential equations with deviating arguments*, Naukov Dumka, Kiev, (1978), (Russian).
- [18] I. P. Stavroulakis, *Nonlinear delay differential inequalities*, Nonlinear Anal., **6** (1982), 389–396.
- [19] N. V. Varekh and V. N. Shevelo, *Certain properties of solutions of differential equations with retarded argument*, Ukr. Math. J., **34** (1982), 1–7.
- [20] B. G. Zhang, *A survey of the oscillation of solutions to first order differential equations with deviating arguments*, in the Proceedings of the VI International Conference on "Trends in the Theory and Practice of Nonlinear Analysis" held at the University of Texas AI-Arlington, June 18–22, 1984, Ed. Lakshmikantham, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

Received December 3, 1985.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
EDMONTON, ALBERTA
CANADA T6G 2G1

AND

FLINDERS UNIVERSITY
BEDFORD PARK, S. A. 5042
AUSTRALIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024
HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195
VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906-1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1987 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pierre Barrucand, John Harold Loxton and Hugh C. Williams, Some explicit upper bounds on the class number and regulator of a cubic field with negative discriminant	209
Thomas Ashland Chapman, Piecewise linear fibrations	223
Yves Félix and Jean-Claude Thomas, Extended Adams-Hilton's construction	251
Robert Fitzgerald, Derivation algebras of finitely generated Witt rings	265
K. Gopalsamy, Oscillatory properties of systems of first order linear delay differential inequalities	299
John P. Holmes, One parameter subsemigroups in locally complete differentiable semigroups	307
Douglas Murray Pickrell, Decomposition of regular representations for $U(H)_\infty$	319
Victoria Powers, Characterizing reduced Witt rings of higher level	333
Parameswaran Sankaran and Peter Zvengrowski, Stable parallelizability of partially oriented flag manifolds	349
Johan Tysk, Eigenvalue estimates with applications to minimal surfaces	361
Akihito Uchiyama, On McConnell's inequality for functionals of subharmonic functions	367
Minato Yasuo, Bott maps and the complex projective plane: a construction of R. Wood's equivalences	379
James Juei-Chin Yeh, Uniqueness of strong solutions to stochastic differential equations in the plane with deterministic boundary process	391