

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS ON THE UNIT n -SPHERE

PETER MARTIN KNOPF

MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS ON THE UNIT n -SPHERE

PETER M. KNOPF

It is shown that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on the unit sphere in n -space is weak-type $(1, 1)$ with a weak-type constant cn where c is independent of n .

Introduction. E. M. Stein and J. O. Strömberg [6] have shown that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function in \mathbf{R}^n is weak-type $(1, 1)$ with a weak-type constant cn with c independent of n . Their approach is to pointwise bound the maximal function by a supremum of averages of members of a certain heat-diffusion semi-group on \mathbf{R}^n . They then apply the Hopf abstract maximal ergodic theorem to obtain their result.

We plan to use an analogous version of this approach to show that the maximal function on the unit n -sphere is weak-type $(1, 1)$ with a weak-type constant cn . The best weak-type constant prior to this was $cn\sqrt{n}$, see [4], using an entirely different approach.

Many of the ideas in this paper have already been presented in a paper by C. Herz [3]. In order to obtain the weak-type constant cn , sharper estimates are required than are indicated in Herz's paper. Furthermore, there is an oversight of a primarily technical nature which led this author to perform some contortions to rectify. It should be pointed out that Herz's overall approach applies not only to the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^n and \mathbf{R}^n itself, but to more general spaces as well.

The author is appreciative of the informative comments and helpful suggestions of N. Stanton, E. M. Stein, and the referee.

NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS. Let S^{n-1} denote the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^n centered at the origin. Let ω_{n-1} denote its Lebesgue measure (surface area). Let $v(x, t) = T^t f(x)$ be the solution to the initial value problem $\partial v / \partial t = \Delta_S v$ and $v(x, 0) = f(x)$ where Δ_S is the spherical Laplacian; that is, the "angular" part of the Laplacian in \mathbf{R}^n . If there is any confusion on the reader's part, Δ_S is defined precisely in the proof of Lemma 2 in equation (12).

Define the maximal heat function of f to be

$$M_T f(x) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda T^\mu f(x) d\mu \right|.$$

The Poisson kernel for the unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n is

$$P(rx, y) = \frac{1 - r^2}{\omega_{n-1} |rx - y|^n}$$

with $x, y \in S^{n-1}$ and $0 \leq r \leq 1$. If $f \in L^1(S^{n-1})$, then

$$(1) \quad u(rx) = \int_{S^{n-1}} P(rx, y) f(y) dy$$

defines an harmonic function in the unit ball where dy is Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere. The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of f on S^{n-1} is

$$(2) \quad Mf(x) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{|S(x, t)|} \chi_{S(x, t)}(y) |f(y)| dy$$

where $S(x, t) = \{y \in S^{n-1} : |x - y| \leq t\}$ and $\chi_{S(x, t)}$ is the characteristic function of the set $S(x, t)$.

The symbol c will stand for a positive constant that may be different at different appearances but will always be less than 10^6 .

THEOREM. *If $f \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ and $n \geq 3$, then*

$$(3) \quad \left| \{x \in S^{n-1} : Mf(x) > \lambda\} \right| \leq \frac{cn}{\lambda} \|f\|_1$$

for all $\lambda > 0$.

It is enough to prove (3) for Schwartz functions g such that $|g^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq N^{|\alpha|}$ for any multi-index α and for some $N = N(g) > 0$. This follows from the well-known fact that for any $f \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a Schwartz function g such that $\|f - g\|_1 < \varepsilon$ and $|g^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq N^{|\alpha|}$ for some $N = N(\varepsilon) > 0$.

Before we prove the theorem, we establish four lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If $f \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ and $f \geq 0$, then we have*

$$Mf(x) \leq c \max \left\{ n \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1/\sqrt{n}} \left| u \left(\left(1 - \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right) x \right) \right|, \sqrt{n} \sup_{(1/\sqrt{n}) \leq t \leq \sqrt{2(1-1/n)}} \frac{1}{t} \left| u \left(\left(1 - \frac{t^2}{2} \right) x \right) \right|, \left| u \left(\frac{1}{n} x \right) \right| \right\}.$$

Proof. By (1) and (2), it is enough to bound

$$\frac{1}{P(rx, y) |S(x, t)|} \chi_{S(x, t)}(y)$$

for every $y \in S^{n-1}$. Since $\chi_{S(x,t)}$ is supported on $S(x,t)$ and equals 1 there and $P(rx, y)$ decreases as $|x - y|$ increases, it is enough to bound

$$I \equiv \frac{1}{P(rx, y)|S(x, t)|}$$

for every y such that $|x - y| = t$ with $0 \leq t \leq 2$. Using spherical coordinates it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} |S(x, t)| &= \omega_{n-2} \int_0^{2 \arcsin(t/2)} \sin^{n-2} u \, du \\ &\geq \omega_{n-2} \int_0^{2 \arcsin(t/2)} \sin^{n-2} u \cos u \, du \\ &\geq \frac{c\omega_{n-2}}{n} \left[t^2 \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{4} \right) \right]^{(n-1)/2} \end{aligned}$$

as long as $0 \leq t \leq \sqrt{2}$. By the law of cosines it is a straightforward calculation to verify that

$$|rx - y|^2 = (1 - r)^2 + rt^2.$$

From this we obtain

$$I \leq \frac{cnt \omega_{n-1} \sqrt{1 - t^2/4}}{\omega_{n-2}(1 - r)} \left[\frac{(1 - r)^2 + rt^2}{t^2(1 - t^2/4)} \right]^{(n-1)/2}$$

when $0 \leq t \leq \sqrt{2}$. If $0 \leq t \leq 1/\sqrt{n}$, choose $r = 1 - t/\sqrt{n}$. We then have

$$(4) \quad I \leq \frac{cn \omega_{n-1} \sqrt{n}}{\omega_{n-2}} \leq cn.$$

If

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq t \leq \sqrt{2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)},$$

choose $r = 1 - t^2/2$. In this case we obtain

$$(5) \quad I \leq \frac{cnt \omega_{n-1}}{\omega_{n-2} t^2} \leq \frac{c\sqrt{n}}{t}.$$

Finally if $\sqrt{2(1 - 1/n)} \leq t \leq 2$, pick $r = 1/n$ and observe that $\frac{1}{2}\omega_{n-1} \leq |S(x, t)| \leq \omega_{n-1}$ whenever $\sqrt{2(1 - 1/n)} \leq t \leq 2$ which gives

$$(6) \quad I \leq c.$$

Inequalities (4), (5), and (6) imply the conclusion of the lemma.

Define

$$(7) \quad P^\lambda f(x) = u(e^{-\lambda x})$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < \infty$.

LEMMA 2. $P^\lambda f(x) = \int_0^\infty \phi(\mu, \lambda) T^\mu f(x) d\mu$ for $\lambda > 0$ where

$$(8) \quad \phi(\mu, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \lambda \mu^{-3/2} \exp\left(a\lambda - a^2\mu - \frac{\lambda^2}{4\mu}\right)$$

and $a = (n - 1)/2$.

This result is stated and its proof is outlined in Herz's paper ([3], p. 231). In order that this paper will be self-contained, we provide a different proof of the lemma.

The solution to the initial-value problem $\partial v / \partial t = \Delta_S v$ for $0 < t < \infty$ and $v(x, 0) = f(x)$ is clearly

$$(9) \quad v(x, t) = e^{t\Delta_S} f(x) \equiv T^t f(x)$$

which is well-defined for any Schwartz function f satisfying $|f^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq N^{|\alpha|}$ for some $N > 0$. We claim that

$$(10) \quad P^\lambda f(x) = \exp\left\{-\lambda\left[(-\Delta_S + a^2)^{1/2} - a\right]\right\} f(x)$$

as we now demonstrate. Letting $r = e^{-\lambda}$ and recalling (7) we can rewrite (10) as

$$(11) \quad u(rx) = r^{(-\Delta_S + a^2)^{1/2} - a} f(x).$$

It is obvious that $u(x) = f(x)$. If we express the Laplacian in \mathbf{R}^n , Δu , in the form:

$$(12) \quad \Delta = r^{-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^n \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + r^{-2} \Delta_S$$

and then calculate $\Delta u(rx)$ we immediately see that $\Delta u = 0$ which establishes (10). By (9), (10), and the symbolic calculus it is sufficient to show that

$$(13) \quad \exp\left\{-\lambda\left[(-b + a^2)^{1/2} - a\right]\right\} = \int_0^\infty \phi(\mu, \lambda) e^{\mu b} d\mu$$

for any negative number b since the spectrum of Δ_S lies on the negative real axis (see [5], p. 70). It is elementary to show that the function on the right hand side of (13) satisfies the differential equation $g'(\lambda) = -\left[(-b + a^2)^{1/2} - a\right]g(\lambda)$ from which (13) easily follows.

LEMMA 3. If $f \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ and $f \geq 0$, then $Mf(x) \leq cnM_T f(x)$ for all $x \in S^{n-1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, we have

$$P^\lambda f(x) = \int_0^\infty \phi(\mu, \lambda) T^\mu f(x) d\mu.$$

Integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad P^\lambda f(x) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\int_0^\mu T^\gamma f(x) d\gamma \right] \mu \frac{d\phi}{d\mu}(\mu, \lambda) d\mu \\ &\leq \sup_{\mu > 0} \left| \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^\mu T^\gamma f(x) d\gamma \right| \int_0^\infty \left| \mu \frac{d\phi}{d\mu}(\mu, \lambda) \right| d\mu \\ &= M_T f(x) \int_0^\infty \left| \mu \frac{d\phi}{d\mu}(\mu, \lambda) \right| d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

One easily calculates from (8) that

$$\mu \frac{d\phi}{d\mu} = \frac{-\mu^{-5/2}\lambda}{8\sqrt{\pi}} (4a^2\mu^2 + 6\mu - \lambda^2) \exp\left(a\lambda - a^2\mu - \frac{\lambda^2}{4\mu}\right).$$

In his paper [3], Herz claims that

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \mu \frac{d\phi}{d\mu}(\mu, \lambda) \right| d\mu$$

is bounded independent of λ . In point of fact,

$$(15) \quad II \equiv \int_0^\infty \left| \mu \frac{d\phi}{d\mu}(\mu, \lambda) \right| d\mu \leq c(1 + \sqrt{n\lambda})$$

is best possible. Luckily, this is sufficient for our purposes. Since

$$\mu \frac{d\phi}{d\mu} = \frac{d}{d\mu}(\mu\phi) - \phi,$$

we obtain

$$(16) \quad II \leq \int_0^\infty |\phi| d\mu + \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{d}{d\mu}(\mu\phi) \right| d\mu.$$

In the definition of ϕ , we observe that $\phi \geq 0$ and furthermore $\int \phi d\mu \equiv 1$ as can be seen from (13) by letting $b = 0$. It is clear that $\mu\phi \geq 0$ and it vanishes at 0 and ∞ . By calculating $d/d\mu(\mu\phi)$, it is easy to check that $\mu\phi$ has a single turning point on $(0, \infty)$, say $\mu = \mu_0$, where it attains its maximum. Since $\mu\phi$ increases from 0 on $(0, \mu_0)$ and decreases to 0 on

(μ_0, ∞) , we have

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{d}{d\mu} (\mu\phi) \right| d\mu = 2\mu_0\phi(\mu_0, \lambda).$$

There follows the inequality:

$$II \leq 1 + 2 \max_{\mu} \mu\phi(\mu, \lambda) \equiv 1 + 2M.$$

To bound M , express $\mu\phi$ as

$$(17) \quad \mu\phi(\mu, \lambda) = A^{1/2}x^{-1/2} \exp\left[-A(x^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}x^{-1/2})^2\right]$$

where $A = a\lambda$ and $x = a\lambda^{-1}\mu$. By taking the derivative of the right hand side of (17), it is easy to check that its maximum is taken on when $x = x_0 \equiv (-1 + \sqrt{1 + A^2})/4A$. It is trivial to verify that $x_0 = O(A)$ when $0 \leq A < 1$ and $x_0 = O(1)$ when $A \geq 1$. Substituting these estimates for x_0 into (17) gives $M \leq cA^{1/2} \leq c\sqrt{n\lambda}$ which in turn implies (15) as we wished to show. Substituting inequality (15) into (14) gives

$$(18) \quad P^\lambda f(x) \leq c(1 + \sqrt{n\lambda})M_T f(x).$$

Recall that $u(e^{-\lambda}x) = P^\lambda f(x)$ from which there follows $u(rx) \leq c(1 + \sqrt{n \ln(1/r)})M_T f(x)$. Lemma 1 implies that

$$Mf(x) \leq c \left\{ n \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1/\sqrt{n}} \left(1 + \sqrt{n} \ln \left(1 / \left(1 - \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) \right), \right. \\ \left. \sqrt{n} \sup_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq t \leq \sqrt{2(1 - \frac{1}{n})}} \left(\frac{1}{t} \left(1 + \sqrt{n \ln \left(1 / \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{2} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right), \right. \\ \left. (1 + \sqrt{n \ln n}) \right\} M_T f(x)$$

which can be simplified to $Mf(x) \leq cnM_T f(x)$ as we wished to show.

LEMMA 4. If $f \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ and $f \geq 0$, then $\|T^\lambda f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$.

Proof. It is a result in diffusion theory (see Ch. IX, p. 252, [2]) that

$$T^\lambda f(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} K_\lambda(x, y) f(y) dy$$

with $K_\lambda \geq 0$. If $f(x) \equiv 1$, then clearly $T^\lambda f(x) \equiv 1$ so that

$$(19) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} K_\lambda(x, y) dy = 1$$

for any $x \in S^{n-1}$. Since $u(x, \lambda) = K_\lambda(x, y)$ is the solution to the initial value problem $\partial u / \partial \lambda = \Delta_S u$ and $u(x, 0) = \delta_y(x)$ where δ_y is the delta function centered at y , then by the symmetry of the sphere $K_\lambda(x, y) = K_\lambda(y, x)$. Equation (19) shows that

$$(20) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} K_\lambda(x, y) dx = 1$$

for any $y \in S^{n-1}$. We can now use (20) to conclude the proof of the lemma. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^\lambda f\|_1 &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} K_\lambda(x, y) f(y) dy dx \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} K_\lambda(x, y) dx f(y) dy \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} f(y) dy = \|f\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

which establishes Lemma 4.

We are now ready to finish the proof of the theorem. It is obvious that $T^\lambda f(x)$ forms a semi-group with respect to λ . Lemma 4 states that $\|T^\lambda f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$ for $f \geq 0$. We have $\|T^\lambda f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ for $f \geq 0$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^\lambda f\|_\infty &= \left\| \int_{S^{n-1}} K_\lambda(x, y) f(y) dy \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \int_{S^{n-1}} K_\lambda(x, y) dy = \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally it is obvious that $T^\lambda(1) \equiv 1$. All the hypotheses of the Hopf abstract maximal ergodic theorem are satisfied (see Lemma 6, p. 690, [1]) which yields the result

$$(21) \quad |\{x: M_T f(x) > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_1$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $f \geq 0$. By Lemma 3 and (21), we conclude that

$$|\{x: Mf(x) > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{cn}{\lambda} \|f\|_1$$

which proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Part I, Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1958.
- [2] K. D. Elworthy, *Stochastic Differential Equations on Manifolds*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1982.
- [3] C. S. Herz, *A maximal theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1961), 229–233.
- [4] P. M. Knopf, *A variant of Hall's Lemma and maximal functions on the unit n -sphere*, Ark. Mat., No. 1, **24** (1986), 103–118.
- [5] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [6] E. M. Stein and J. O. Strömberg, *Behavior of maximal functions in \mathbf{R}^n for large n* , Ark. Mat., no. 2, **21** (1983), 259–269.

Received April 11, 1986.

PACE UNIVERSITY
PLEASANTVILLE, NY 10570

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024
HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195
VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906–1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Aldridge Knight Bousfield , Uniqueness of infinite deloopings for K -theoretic spaces	1
Mark Gregory Davidson , The harmonic representation of $U(p, q)$ and its connection with the generalized unit disk	33
Erica Flapan , Rigid and nonrigid achirality	57
Peter Abraham Greenberg , Pseudogroups of C^1 piecewise projective homeomorphisms	67
Peter Martin Knopf , Maximal functions on the unit n -sphere	77
Norman Jay Levitt and Andrew Ranicki , Intrinsic transversality structures	85
Susan Szczepanski , Invariant submanifolds of free cyclic actions on spheres	145
Kazimierz Szymiczek , Generalized rigid elements in fields	171
Domingo Toledo , Nonexistence of certain closed complex geodesics in the moduli space of curves	187
Graham H. Williams , The best modulus of continuity for solutions of the minimal surface equation	193