

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**LINES HAVING CONTACT FOUR WITH A PROJECTIVE  
HYPERSURFACE**

GEORGE ALAN JENNINGS

## LINES HAVING CONTACT FOUR WITH A PROJECTIVE HYPERSURFACE

GEORGE JENNINGS

Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$  be a projective hypersurface and  $p \in X$ . The *third contact cone* of  $X$  at  $p$ ,  $C_p^3$ , is the set of all lines in  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  having contact  $\geq 4$  with  $X$  at  $p$ . If  $\dim X \geq 3$  then the map  $p \mapsto$  (projective moduli of  $C_p^3$ ) usually is a local immersion (answering a conjecture of Griffiths and Harris), and one can prove a rigidity theorem:  $X$  is determined by the projective moduli of its  $C_p^3$ 's and certain fourth order invariants. This immersion property may fail e.g. if  $X$  is a homogeneous space. We study this case also.

**Introduction.** In [G-H, pp. 450], Griffiths and Harris remark that the local geometry of a projective hypersurface can be described in terms of certain third order invariants (the  $C_p^3$ 's) which occur in moving frames computations. It is known (see [J.2, Cor. 15]) that  $C_p^3$  usually is a smooth complete intersection of type (2, 3) in the projectivized tangent space  $\mathbf{PT}_p X$  of  $X$  at  $p$ . For example, Griffiths and Harris observe that, if  $X$  is a general hypersurface of dimension 4 then  $C_p^3$  is a canonical curve of genus 4 in  $\mathbf{PT}_p X$  (at a general  $p$ ) and they conjecture that the associated map  $X \rightarrow$  (moduli of curves of genus 4) should be locally injective. Is this true? To what extent does this map determine the geometry of  $X$ ? What happens in other dimensions?

The present study grew out of an attempt to verify the Griffiths-Harris conjecture. In §1 we give the basic definitions, and work some examples. Section 2 is concerned with computing the ideals of the  $C_p^3$ 's in terms of a moving frame, and a proof of the Griffiths-Harris conjecture in the general case (Prop. (2.10)). Novel features of our moving frames computation are the explicit general formulas (2.6) and (2.8) which greatly simplify the usual inductive procedure for computing invariants, and the fact that the invariants appear naturally as polynomials instead of simply as lists of coefficients (as in [G-H] and [C]).

In §3 we prove a rigidity theorem (Th. (3.3)) involving the map into moduli space and a quartic related to its first derivative, and conclude with a theorem (Th. (3.6)) relating failure of the Griffiths-Harris conjecture (in certain cases) to group actions.

This paper is a version of part of my thesis at UCLA. I would like to thank my advisor, Mark Green, for his expert assistance and warm friendship during its preparation.

**1. Definitions and examples.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be open in  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1} = \mathbf{P}^{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$ , and  $X \subset \mathcal{U}$  a smooth analytic hypersurface. Let  $\mathbf{G}(1, n + 1)$  be the Grassmannian of lines  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ , and  $J = \{(p, l) \in \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{G}(1, n + 1) \mid p \in l\}$  the incidence correspondence with projection  $\pi: J \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ .

DEFINITION. For each  $r = 0, 1, \dots$ , the  $r$ th contact cone of  $X$  is

$$C^r = \{(p, l) \in \pi^{-1}\mathcal{U} \mid l \text{ has contact } \geq r + 1 \text{ with } X \text{ at } p\}, \text{ with}$$

$$C_p^r = C^r \cap \pi^{-1}(p).$$

The contact cones measure the local geometry of the embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}$ . Identify  $J$  with the projectivized tangent space  $\mathbf{PT}\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  via the relation “ $v$  is tangent to  $l$ ”. Then  $C^0 = \mathbf{PT}\mathbf{P}^{n+1}|_X$ ,  $C^1 = \mathbf{PT}X$ ,  $C_p^2 = \{\text{asymptotic lines through } p\}$ , etc. The following facts are proved in [J.2].

- (i)  $C^r$  is an analytic subscheme of  $J$ .
- (ii) If  $X$  is not ruled then, at a generic point  $p \in X$ ,  $C_p^{n+1}$  is empty and, for all  $r = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $C_p^r \subset \mathbf{PT}\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  is a smooth complete intersection of type  $(1, 2, \dots, r)$ .
- (iii) Moreover if, for some  $r \leq n$ ,  $C_p^r$  is a smooth complete intersection of type  $(1, \dots, r)$  at generic  $p \in X$ , then  $C_p^s$  is a smooth complete intersection of type  $(1, \dots, s)$  at generic  $p \in C$  for all  $s = 0, \dots, r$  (even if  $X$  is ruled).

Throughout this paper we shall assume that  $C_p^3$  is a smooth complete intersection of type  $(1, 2, 3)$  for generic  $p \in X$ .

View  $C_p^r$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , as an abstract algebraic subvariety of  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1} \cong \mathbf{PT}_p X$  defined modulo “projective equivalence” = linear change of coordinates in  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ . If  $r, n \geq 3$  then the projective equivalence class  $[C_p^r]$  has nontrivial projective moduli. Let  $[C^3]: X \rightarrow (\text{moduli of } C_p^3\text{'s})$  be the map into projective moduli space.

(1.2) EXAMPLE. If  $n = 3$  then  $C_p^2 \cong \mathbf{P}^1$  is a plane conic, and  $C_p^3$  is six points on  $C_p^2$ . Thus  $C_p^3$  has  $\infty^3$  projective moduli. If  $n = 4$ ,  $C_p^3 \subset \mathbf{P}^3 \cong \mathbf{PT}_p X$  is a canonical curve of genus 4 with  $\infty^9$  moduli. If  $n = 5$ ,  $C_p^3 \subset \mathbf{P}^4$  is an algebraic  $K - 3$  surface with  $\infty^{19}$  moduli.



If  $J(F) \neq 0, 1, \text{ or } \infty$  then the six cross ratios gotten by permuting the roots of  $F$  are distinct, and  $\overline{G \cdot F}$  is an algebraic hypersurface of degree six which is not ruled. The exceptional cases are:

$J = 0$ : There are only two cross ratios.  $\overline{G \cdot F}$  is a smooth quadric threefold, ruled by lines.

$J = 1$ : There are only three cross ratios.  $\overline{G \cdot F}$  is a cubic—the secant variety of the rational normal curve  $[s, t] \rightarrow [(sX - tY)^4]$  in  $\mathbf{P}^4$ . Thus  $\overline{G \cdot F}$  is ruled by lines.

$J = \infty$ :  $F$  has a multiple root.  $\overline{G \cdot F}$  has degree six. Its dual in  $\mathbf{P}^{4*}$  is the rational normal curve  $[X, Y] \rightarrow [X^4, X^3Y, X^2Y^2, XY^3, Y^4]$ . Thus  $\overline{G \cdot F}$  is ruled by planes.

**2. Moving frames and the Griffiths-Harris conjecture.** Let  $(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$  be an affine coordinate system on a neighborhood of  $p$ ,  $(dx) = (dx_1, \dots, dx_{n+1})$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{O}_p$ . Expand  $g$  in a power series:

$$(2.1) \quad g(x(p) + t) = g^0(x(p)) + g^1(x(p); t) + g^2(x(p); t) + \dots,$$

where  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{n+1})$  are indeterminants and  $g^r(x(p); t)$  is the  $r$ th order part. If  $g$  generates the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_p X$  of  $X$  at  $p$ , then  $v \in T_p \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is tangent to a line  $l$  with  $(p, l) \in C_p^r$  iff  $0 = g^0(x(p); dx(v)) = \dots = g^r(x(p); dx(v))$ . Viewing  $C^r$  as a subscheme of  $\mathbf{PT} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  it follows that the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}^r$  of  $C^r$  is generated by

$$\mathcal{I}^r = (g^s(x; dz)|_{s=0, \dots, r}, g \in \mathcal{I} X)$$

in coordinates.

Let  $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ ,  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ .

If  $p \in X$  is a smooth point and  $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$  are linearly independent on  $T_p X$  then we may assume  $g$  is of the form

$$(2.2) \quad g(x, y) = f(x) - y$$

for some local analytic function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  uniquely determined by  $X$  and the choice of coordinates. The ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_p^r = (f^1(x(p); t), \dots, f^r(x(p); t))$$

determines a variety in  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1} \cong \mathbf{PT}_p X$  which is projectively equivalent to  $C_p^r$ .

Let  $e = (e_0, \dots, e_{n+1})$  be a basis for  $\mathbf{C}^{n+2}$ . The set  $\mathcal{F} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  of all such  $e$  is a principal bundle over  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  with projection  $\pi e = e_0$  (projectivized).  $\mathcal{F} \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \cong \mathbf{GL}(n+2)$  by right multiplication:  $e \cdot A = (\sum_r e_r \cdot A_{0r}^r, \dots, \sum_r e_r \cdot A_{n+1}^r)$ . The structure group  $H_0 \subset \mathbf{GL}(n+2)$  is the

group of matrices of the form

$$(2.3) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Maurer-Cartan forms  $\omega_j^i$  are defined by

$$(2.4) \quad de_i = \sum_r e_r \cdot \omega_r^i.$$

Each  $e \in \mathcal{F}\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  determines a unique homogeneous coordinate system  $[Z_0, \dots, Z_{n+1}]$ , such that  $Z_i(e_j) = \delta_j^i$ , and a unique affine coordinate system  $(x, y)$  such that  $x_i = Z_i/Z_0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $y = Z_{n+1}/Z_0$ . Clearly

$$(2.5) \quad (x, y)(e_0) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^*dx_r = \omega_r^0, \quad r = 1, \dots, n.$$

If  $e_0 \in X$  is a smooth point and  $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$  are linearly independent on  $T_{e_0}X$  then  $e$  determines a unique function  $f$  as in (2.2); write

$$f = f(e; \cdot), \quad \text{and} \quad f^r(x(e_0); t) = f^i(e; t), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots,$$

for the power series as in (2.1).

If  $\mathcal{W} \subset GL(n+2)$  is sufficiently small so that, for all  $A \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $f(e; \cdot)$  and  $f(e \cdot A; \cdot)$  are both defined on a common neighborhood of  $e_0$  and  $(e \cdot A)_0$ , then, after substituting  $y = f(x)$  into the affine coordinate transition functions, one has an identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{A_0^{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^{n+1} t_j + A_{n+1}^{n+1} f(e \cdot A; t)}{A_0^0 + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^0 t_j + A_{n+1}^0 f(e \cdot A; t)} \\ &= f \left( e; \dots, \frac{A_0^i + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^i t_j + A_{n+1}^i f(e \cdot A; t)}{A_0^0 + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^0 t_j + A_{n+1}^0 f(e \cdot A; t)}, \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $i$  runs from 1 to  $n$ . Differentiate at  $A = I$  and take  $r$ th order parts:

$$(2.6) \quad df^r = (1-r)f^r\omega_0^0 + (2-r)f^{r-1} \sum_j t_j \omega_j^0 + \sum_{s=2}^{r-1} (1-s)f^s f^{r-s} \omega_{n+1}^0 \\ + \sum_i \frac{\partial f^{r+1}}{\partial t_i} \omega_0^i + \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial f^r}{\partial t_i} t_j \omega_j^i + \sum_{s=1}^r \sum_i \frac{\partial f^s}{\partial t_i} f^{r-s+1} \omega_{n+1}^i \\ - \delta_0^r \omega_0^{n+1} - \delta_1^r \sum_j t_j \omega_j^{n+1} - f^r \omega_{n+1}^{n+1}$$

where  $i, j$  run from 1 to  $n$ ,  $f^{-1} = 0$ , and  $df^r$  is the derivative of the polynomial-valued map  $e \mapsto f^r(e; t)$ .

By (2.5),  $f^0(e) = 0$  for all  $e \in \pi^{-1}(p)$ . By making a change of frame of the form  $e \mapsto e \cdot A$ ,  $A \in H_0$  (2.3), one may arrange that  $e_0, \dots, e_n$  span  $T_p X$ , i.e. that  $f^1(e; t) \equiv 0$ . Assuming  $C_p^2$  is a smooth complete intersection a further normalization makes  $f^2(e; t) = Q$ , where  $Q$  is the standard quadric

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2.$$

The set of all such frames forms a principal bundle  $\mathcal{F}_Q X$  over  $X$  whose group  $H$  is all nonsingular  $(n + 2) \times (n + 2)$  matrices

$$(2.7) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & * & * \\ 0 & B & * \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{such that} \quad \begin{cases} a, c \in \mathbf{C} - \{0\}, \text{ and} \\ B/(ac)^{1/2} \in O(n) \end{cases}$$

where  $O(n) \subset GL(n)$  is the complex orthogonal group.

Set

$$R = f^3(e; t), \quad T = f^5(e; t) \quad \text{and} \quad G = f^4 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial f^3}{\partial t_i} \right)^2.$$

On  $\mathcal{F}_Q X$ ,  $f^0 = 0$ ,  $f^1 = 0$ ,  $f^2 = Q$ , so  $df^0 = 0$ ,  $df^1 = 0$ ,  $df^2 = 0$ . Using these relations (2.6) becomes

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_0^{n+1} &= 0, & \omega_i^{n+1} &= \omega_i^0, \\ \delta_{ij}(\omega_0^0 + \omega_{n+1}^{n+1}) - (\omega_j^i + \omega_i^j) &= \sum_k R_{ijk} \omega_0^k, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} dR &= \sum_k G_k \omega_0^k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} R_i Q_j (\omega_j^i - \omega_i^j) + Q_i (\omega_{n+1}^i - \omega_i^0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} R (\omega_{n+1}^{n+1} - \omega_0^0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} dG &= \sum_k \left\{ \left( T - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i G_i R_i \right)_k - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ij} R_i R_{ij} R_{jk} \right\} \omega_0^k \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} G_i Q_j (\omega_j^i - \omega_i^j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (Q R_i - R Q_i) (\omega_{n+1}^i + \omega_i^0) \\ &\quad - Q^2 \omega_{n+1}^0 + G (\omega_{n+1}^{n+1} - \omega_0^0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $i, j, k$  run from 1 to  $n$ , and  $R_i$  denotes  $\partial R / \partial t_i$  etc.

Let  $e, e' \in \mathcal{F}_Q X$ ,  $\pi(e) = e' = p'$ . Then by definition,  $C_p^3, C_{p'}^3$ , are projectively equivalent iff  $Q \cap R_e$  and  $Q \cap R_{e'}$  are, i.e., iff there exists a complex orthogonal map  $B$  such that  $B \cdot R_{e'} \equiv 0 \pmod{Q, R_e}$ . Differentiating this condition, it follows that the tangent space at  $[C_p^3]$  to the projective moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $C_p^3$  may be identified with

$$T_{[C_p^3]} \mathcal{M} \cong H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(3)) / (Q, R, Q_i, R_j - Q_j R_i \mid i, j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then using (2.8), the derivative of the map  $p \mapsto [C_p^3] \in \mathcal{M}$  may be identified with

$$(2.9) \quad d[C^3] = \sum_k G_k dx_k \pmod{\{Q, R, Q_i R_j - Q_j R_i \mid i, j = 1, \dots, n\}}.$$

The following answers a conjecture of Griffiths and Harris [G-H, pp. 450].

(2.10) **PROPOSITION.** *For a generic algebraic hypersurface  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  of dimension  $n \geq 3$  and degree  $\geq 3$ , the map  $[C^3]: X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a local immersion near a generic  $p \in X$ .*

*Proof.* By (2.9) we need to show that  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  are linearly independent modulo  $Q, R, \{Q_i R_j - Q_j R_i\}$  for some (hence any)  $e \in \pi^{-1}(p) \cap \mathcal{F}_Q X$ . This is an open condition on the pair  $(p, X)$ , so it suffices to produce a single example at  $p \in X$  where  $X$  is a cubic.

An example which does the trick is the cubic

$$y \left( 1 + \sum_{ij} (\delta_{ij} + 1) x_i x_j \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i x_i^2 + \frac{1}{3} \sum_i x_i^3,$$

with  $p = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

**REMARK.** The above analysis can be generalized easily, leading to an analogue of formula (2.6) for projective varieties of any codimension. See [J.1].

**3. Rigidity Theorem. Orbits.** Elie Cartan proved a rigidity theorem which pertains to the following situation [C, §50]. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be (local) hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ , and  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  a holomorphic map. Assume that for each  $p \in X$  there exist affine coordinate systems  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$  on a neighborhood in  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  of  $p$  and  $(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})$  on a neighborhood of  $g(p)$  so that, for each  $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ , the restriction to  $X$  of the coordinate functions  $x_i$  and the pullbacks  $y_i \circ g$  agree on  $X$  through second order at  $p$ . In this case  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be “projectively applicable.” Cartan’s



theorem says that, if  $n \geq 3$  and  $X$  is not developable ( $X$  is not developable if its second contact cones are nonsingular), then  $X$  and  $Y$  are projectively applicable iff they are projectively equivalent (in other words, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are projectively applicable then  $g$  extends to a linear map on  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ ). (For  $n = 2$  see [C, §16] or [F-C, §65B]).

We seek to replace the hypothesis on  $g$  with one involving equivalence of contact cones. Let  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  be a holomorphic map. For each  $p \in X$  ( $q \in Y$ ) let  $C_p^r X$  ( $C_q^r Y$ ) be the  $r$ th contact cone. Suppose one knows only that for each  $p \in X$  the contact cones  $C_p^2 X$  and  $C_p^3 X$  are nonsingular and isomorphic to  $C_{g(p)}^2 Y$  and  $C_{g(p)}^3 Y$  (we do not require the isomorphism to come from  $g$ ). As the following example shows,  $X$  and  $Y$  may not be projectively equivalent.

(3.1) EXAMPLE. Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  and let  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1*}$  be its dual:  $Y = \{\text{hyperplanes } H \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \text{ such that } H \text{ is tangent to } X\}$ . Let  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  be the Gauss map:  $g(p) = H$  if  $H$  is tangent to  $X$  at  $p$ . If  $(e_0, \dots, e_{n+1})$  is a frame in  $\mathcal{F}_Q X$  over  $p$  and  $e_0^*, \dots, e_{n+1}^* \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  is the dual basis ( $e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ) then one checks that  $(e_{n+1}^*, e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots, e_n^*, e_0^*)$  is a frame in  $\mathcal{F}_Q Y$  over  $g(p)$ . The corresponding affine coordinates are related by  $y_i \circ g|_X = -x_i|_X$  through first order at  $p$ , and the defining polynomials for the contact cones agree:  $Q(e^*) = Q(e)$  and  $R(e^*) = R(e)$ . Thus the (projectivized) differential  $dg: \mathbf{PT}_p X \rightarrow \mathbf{PT}_{g(p)} Y$  restricts to an isomorphism from  $C_p^r X$  to  $C_{g(p)}^r Y$  ( $r = 2, 3$ ). But  $X$  and  $Y$  are not in general projectively equivalent.

(In some cases  $X$  and its dual are projectively equivalent—for instance, if  $X$  is the variety in Example (1.3), or if  $X$  is a smooth quadric.)

(3.2) DEFINITION. Triples  $(Q, R, G)$  and  $(Q', R', G')$  of polynomials of degrees  $(2, 3, 4)$  are *frame equivalent* if there exist a matrix  $A \in \text{GL}(n)$  and a scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C} - \{0\}$  such that

$$Q \circ A = Q, \quad R' \circ A \equiv \lambda R \pmod{Q} \text{ for some } \lambda \neq 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$G' \cdot A \equiv \lambda^2 G \pmod{\{Q^2, QR_i - RQ_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}}.$$

(Here  $(Q \cdot A)(t) = Q(\sum_r A_r^0 t_r, \dots, \sum_r A_r^n t_r)$  similarly for  $R, G$ .)

In particular, if  $(Q, R, G) = (Q, R, G)(e)$  for some frame  $e \in \mathcal{F}_Q(X)$ , then the frame equivalence class of  $(Q, R, G)$  is just the set of all  $(Q', R', G')$  of the form  $(Q', R', G') = (Q, R, G)(e')$  for some  $e'$  in the same fiber  $\pi^{-1}\pi e \subset \mathcal{F} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}|_X$ . (To see this, integrate equations (2.8) along the fiber.) It follows that the notion of frame equivalence does not depend on the particular frame in a given fiber.

Let  $X, Y \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  be (locally defined) irreducible hypersurfaces,  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  a holomorphic map,  $C^r X, C^r Y$  the respective  $r$ th contact cones. If  $\phi$  extends to a nonsingular linear map  $\phi: \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  then  $C_p^r X \cong C_{\phi(p)}^r Y$  are projectively equivalent for all  $p \in X, r \geq 0$ . Conversely:

(3.3) THEOREM. *With  $X, Y, \phi$  as above: assume also that  $n \geq 3, C_p^3 X$  is a smooth complete intersection of type  $(1, 2, 3)$  for all  $p \in X, [C^3 X]: X \rightarrow (\text{Moduli of } C^3)$  is a local immersion, and  $(Q, R, G)_{(p)}, (Q, R, G)(\phi(p))$  are frame equivalent for all  $p \in X$ . Then  $\phi$  extends to a nonsingular linear map on  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ .*

(REMARK. In Example (3.1),  $G(e^*) = -G(e)$ , so this does not contradict the theorem.)

The proof of Theorem (3.3) depends on the following two lemmas, whose proofs are given in the appendix.

(3.4) LEMMA. *If  $n \geq 2$  and  $Q$  is smooth and  $C_p^3 = Q \cap R$  is a smooth complete intersection then there are no linear relations among the cubics  $R, QQ_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $Q_i R_j - Q_j R_i, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ .*

(3.5) LEMMA. *If  $Q$  is smooth and  $Q \cap R$  is a smooth complete intersection in  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  and  $n \geq 3$  then any quintic  $T$  in  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  satisfying*

$$T_i \equiv 0 \pmod{\{Q^2, QR_k - RQ_k \mid k = 1, \dots, n\}}, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

*is zero.*

*Proof of Theorem (3.3).* Shrinking  $X, Y$  if necessary one may choose sections  $e: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q X, e': Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q Y$  such that  $(Q, R, G)(e(p)) = (Q, R, G')(e'(f(p)))$  for all  $p \in X$ . Define a map  $\Phi: \mathcal{F}_Q X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q Y$  by  $\Phi(e(p) \circ h) = e'(f(p)) \circ h$  for all  $p \in X, h \in H$  (2.7). Then since  $\Phi$  is  $H$ -equivariant,

$$\Phi^* \omega_j^i \equiv \omega_j^i \pmod{\omega_0^1, \dots, \omega_0^n} \quad \text{for all } i, j = 0, \dots, n + 1$$

and  $(Q, R, G) = (Q, R, G) \circ \Phi$  on  $\mathcal{F}_Q X$ .

Set  $\psi_j^i = \omega_j^i - \Phi^* \omega_j^i, i, j = 0, \dots, n + 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = dR - \Phi^* dR &= \sum_k G_k \psi_0^k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} R_i Q_j (\psi_j^i - \psi_i^j) \\ &+ Q \sum_i Q_i (\psi_{n+1}^i - \psi_i^0) + \frac{1}{2} R (\psi_{n+1}^{n+1} - \psi_0^0). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[C^3X]$  is a local immersion into moduli space,  $G_1, \dots, G_k$  are linearly independent mod  $\{Q, R, Q_iR_j - Q_jR_i\}$ , hence  $\psi_0^k = 0$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Then by Lemma (3.4),  $\psi_j^i - \psi_i^j, \psi_{n+1}^i - \psi_i^0, \psi_{n+1}^{n+1} - \psi_0^0$  all vanish  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Plugging this into the  $dG$ -equation (2.8),

$$0 = dG - \Phi^*dG = \sum_k (T - T \circ \Phi)_k \omega_0^k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (QR_i - RQ_i)(\psi_{n+1}^i + \psi_i^0) - Q^2\psi_{n+1}^0.$$

Apply Lemma (3.5). Then  $T = T \circ \Phi$ , so  $\psi_{n+1}^i + \psi_i^0, \psi_{n+1}^0$  vanish for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Next, by (2.8),  $\psi_0^{n+1}, \psi_i^{n+1}, \delta_{ij}(\psi_0^0 + \psi_{n+1}^{n+1}) - (\psi_j^i + \psi_i^j)$  all vanish,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Finally, by multiplying  $e'$  by an appropriate scalar-valued function, one may arrange things so that  $\sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \psi_r^r = 0$  (this doesn't affect the other forms).

Now

$$\Phi^*\omega_j^i = \omega_j^i \quad \text{for all } i, j = 0, \dots, n + 1.$$

Let  $\iota: \mathcal{F}_Q X \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \cong \text{GL}(n + 2)$  be the inclusion. By the Frobenius theorem for maps into a Lie group [S, pp. 10–40, 41],  $\iota$  and  $\Phi \circ \iota$  differ by a left translation:  $\Phi \circ \iota = A \circ \iota$  for some fixed  $A \in \text{GL}(n + 2)$ . Clearly the induced map  $A: \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  extends  $\phi$ . □

Theorem (3.3) does not apply in examples such as (1.3) and (1.4), since there  $[C^3]$  is a constant map. It would be nice to know whether all such examples are homogeneous spaces. A partial result along these lines is the following.

(3.6) THEOREM. *Let  $X \subset \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$  be a smooth irreducible analytic hypersurface such that  $C_p^3$  is a smooth complete intersection of type  $(1, 2, 3)$  for all  $p \in X$ . Then:*

(a) *the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$X$  extends to an immersed orbit  $\tilde{X}$  of a connected Lie group  $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{SL}(n + 2)$  acting on  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  in the usual way.*
- (ii)  *$(Q, R, G)(e)$  and  $(Q, R, G)(e')$  are frame equivalent for all  $e, e' \in \mathcal{F}_Q(X)$ .*

(b) *If (a)(i) holds then  $\dim \mathcal{G} = n$ .*

(c) *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *(a)(i) holds and  $\mathcal{G}$  is Abelian*
- (ii)  *$G \equiv 0 \pmod{\{Q^2, QR_i - RQ_i | i = 1, \dots, n\}}$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{F}_Q(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Given (a)(i), replace  $X$  by  $\tilde{X}$ . The map  $g \mapsto g \cdot e = (g \cdot e_0, \dots, g \cdot e_{n+1})$  embeds  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_G(X)$ . Identify  $\mathcal{G}$  with its image.

Let  $\rho: \mathbf{C}^{n+2} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  be the usual projection.  $f(e; t)$  is determined by the condition:  $\rho(e_0 + e_1 t_1 + \dots + e_n t_n + e_{n+1} f(e; t)) \in X$  for all  $t$  small. Multiply on the left by  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $g$  acts on  $X$ ,  $\rho((g e_0) + (g e_1) t_1 + \dots + (g e_{n+1}) f(e; t)) \in gX = X$ , hence

$$f(g \circ e; t) = f(e; t) \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{G}.$$

In particular  $Q, R, G$  are constant on  $\mathcal{G}$ . This implies (a)(ii).

Now let  $p = \pi(e)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_p = \{y \in \mathcal{G} \mid g \circ p = p\}$ .  $e_0$  is constant up to scalar multiples on  $\pi^{-1}(p)$ . Thus, if  $v \in T_e(\mathcal{G}_p)$  then  $\omega_0^0, \dots, \omega_0^{n+1}$  vanish on  $v$ , as do  $\sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \omega_r^r$  (since  $\mathcal{G}_p \subset \text{SL}(n+2)$ ) and  $dQ, dR$ , and  $dG$  (since, by the above,  $Q, R, G$  are constant on  $\mathcal{G}_p$ ).

Applying the forms in (2.8) and using Lemma (3.4), it follows that  $v = 0$ . This proves (b).

Suppose that (a)(ii) holds. Let  $e \in \mathcal{F}_Q(X)$ . Identify  $\mathcal{F} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  with  $\text{GL}(n+2)$  by left multiplication  $A \leftrightarrow A \cdot e$ . Then the Maurer-Cartan forms  $\omega_j^i$  are just the left invariant 1-forms on  $\text{GL}(n+2)$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{G}^0 = \text{SL}(n+2) \cap \{e' \in \mathcal{F}_Q(X) \mid (Q, R, G)(e') = (Q, R, G)(e)\}.$$

(a)(ii) implies that  $\pi: \mathcal{G}^0 \rightarrow X$  is surjective. Replace  $\mathcal{G}^0$  by the connected component containing  $e$ . Then by the same argument as above,  $\dim \mathcal{G}^0 = n$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}^0 \rightarrow X$  is surjective it follows (2.4) that the forms  $\omega_0^1, \dots, \omega_0^n$  are a basis for  $T^* \mathcal{G}^0$ . So there are relations:

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_j^j - \omega_i^j &= \sum_k a_{kj}^k \omega_0^k, \\ \omega_{n+1}^i - \omega_i^0 &= \sum_k b_i^k \omega_0^k, \\ \omega_{n+1}^{n+1} - \omega_0^0 &= \sum_k c^k \omega_0^k, \\ \omega_{n+1}^i + \omega_i^0 &= \sum_k d_i^k \omega_0^k, \\ \omega_{n+1}^0 &= \sum_k e^k \omega_0^k \end{aligned}$$

in  $T^* \mathcal{G}^0$  for some functions  $a_{ij}^k, \dots, e^k$  where  $i, j, k$  run from 1 to  $n$ . Since  $dR = 0$  on  $T \mathcal{G}^0$ , (2.8) implies

$$(3.8) \quad 0 = \sum_k \left\{ G_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} a_{ij}^k R_i Q_j + \sum_i b_i^k Q_i + \frac{1}{2} R c^k \right\} \omega_0^i$$

on  $T\mathcal{G}_0$ , hence the expression inside the parentheses vanishes for all  $k$ . Since  $G$  is constant on  $\mathcal{G}^0$  Lemma (3.4) implies that  $a_{ij}^k, b_i^k, c^k$  are constant.

Similarly, the  $dG$  equation says that

$$0 = \left( T - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i G_i R_i \right)_k - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ij} R_i R_{ij} R_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} a_{ij}^k G_i R_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i d_i^k (QR_i - RQ_i) - e^k Q^2 + c^k G, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

If there were any other solution  $T', d_i^{k'}, e^{k'}, i, k = 1, \dots, n$ , to these equations then  $T - T'$  would be a quintic satisfying

$$0 = (T - T')_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (d_i^k - d_i^{k'}) (QR_i - RQ_i) - (e^k - e^{k'}) Q^2$$

for all  $k$ , hence  $T'$  by Lemma (3.5).

This implies that  $d_i^k = d_i^{k'}, e^k = e^{k'}$ , hence all of the coefficients in (3.7) are constant. By (2.8),  $\delta_{ij}(\omega_0^i + \omega_{n+1}^{i+1}) - (\omega_j^i + \omega_i^j) = \sum_k R_{ijk} \omega_0^k, \omega_i^{n+1} = \omega_0^i, \omega_0^{n+1}$  all have constant coefficients on  $\mathcal{G}^0$ , and since  $\mathcal{G}^0 \subset \text{SL}(n + 1)$ , so does  $\sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \omega_r^r = 0$ .

Therefore every left invariant 1-form  $\omega_j^i, i, j = 0, \dots, n + 1$ , satisfies a relation  $\omega_j^i = \sum_k f_{ij}^k \omega_0^k$  with constant coefficients on  $\mathcal{G}^0$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the space of 1-forms on  $\text{GL}(n + 2)$  spanned by  $\omega_j^i - \sum_k f_{ij}^k \omega_0^k, i, j = 0, \dots, n + 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is integrable on  $\mathcal{G}^0$ , hence, since the coefficients are constant and  $\dim \mathcal{G}^0 = n, \mathcal{S}$  is integrable on  $\text{GL}(n + 2)$ . Let  $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{GL}(n + 2)$  be a maximal connected integral manifold extending  $\mathcal{G}^0$ . Since the coefficients are constant and  $\mathcal{S}$  is integrable,  $\mathcal{G}$  is a subgroup [S, pp. 10–40, 41].  $\pi\mathcal{G}$  extends  $X$ , hence (a)(ii) implies (a)(i).

It remains to show: (c)(i) iff (c)(ii). If (c)(ii) holds then

$$G_k \equiv 0 \text{ mod } \{ QQ_k, Q_k R_i - R_k Q_i + QR_{ik} - RQ_{ik}, i, k = 1, \dots, n \}$$

so (a)(ii) follows by (2.9). Thus if either (c)(i) or (c)(ii) holds then everything above holds.

Let  $H' \subset H$  (eq. (2.7)) be the subgroup consisting of all matrices:

$$h(\lambda, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \cdots & \lambda_n & \tau \\ & 1 & & & \lambda_1 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & \lambda_n \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in block form (blank spaces are zero). Integrating formulas (2.8) it follows that  $Q(e \cdot h) = Q(e)$ ,  $R(e \cdot h) = R(e)$ , but

$$(3.9) \quad G(e \cdot h(\lambda, \tau)) = G(e) + \sum \lambda_i (QR_i) + \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum \lambda_i^2 - \tau \right) Q^2.$$

We may choose a constant  $h(\lambda, \tau)$  so that replacing  $e$  by  $e \cdot h$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if (c)(i) hold then the } c^k \text{'s are all zero in (3.8)} \\ \quad \text{(differentiate (3.9) with respect to } t_k \\ \quad \text{and apply (3.8))} \\ \text{if (c)(ii) holds then } G = 0 \text{ (on } G), \\ \quad \text{and in particular the } c^k \text{'s are all zero.} \end{array} \right\} \text{ for all } e \in \mathcal{G}^0.$$

Replace  $\mathcal{G}^0 \subset \mathcal{F}_Q X$  by  $\mathcal{G}^0 \cdot h$ . In  $GL(n + 1)$ , this just amounts to conjugating  $\mathcal{G}^0$  by  $h$ , so it doesn't affect commutativity.  $\omega_0^1, \dots, \omega_0^n$  are a basis for the left invariant 1-forms on  $\mathcal{G}^0$ . So  $\mathcal{G}^0$  is Abelian iff  $0 = d\omega_0^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , in  $\Lambda^2 T^* \mathcal{G}^0$ . Differentiating (2.4) on  $\mathcal{F} \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ ,

$$d\omega_0^i = - \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \omega_j^i \wedge \omega_0^j.$$

Using (2.8), (3.7), (3.10) this reduces to

$$d\omega_0^i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{ij}^k \omega_0^k \wedge \omega_0^j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

If (c)(ii), then all  $a_{ij}^k = 0$  by (3.8), (3.10), (3.4) so (c)(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)(i).

If (c)(i) holds then  $a_{ij}^k = a_{ik}^j$  for all  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $a_{ij}^k = -a_{ji}^k$  for all  $i, j, k$ , and  $n \geq 3$ , it follows that  $a_{ij}^k = 0$  for all  $i, j, k$ . Then (3.8) becomes

$$G'_i \equiv 0 \text{ mod } Q, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Symmetry of mixed partials now forces  $G \equiv 0 \text{ mod } Q^2$ , which is (c)(ii).  $\square$

**Appendix. Proofs of Lemmas (3.4) and (3.5).**

*Proof of (3.4).* Suppose that

$$(A.1) \quad 0 = \sum a_{ij} Q_i R_j + \sum b_i Q Q_i + cR, \quad a_{ij} = -a_{ji},$$

is a relation. Set

$$v = \sum (a_{ij} + c\delta_{ij}/3) Q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}.$$

It suffices to show  $v \equiv 0$ , since then (A.1) and the fact that  $Q$  is smooth also imply  $b_i = 0$  for all  $i$ .

Let  $dQ = \sum Q_i dt_i$ ,  $dR = \sum R_i dt_i$  be the formal differentials. Since  $Q_i = t_i$ , (A.1) says

$$(A.2) \quad 0 \equiv dQ(v) \equiv dR(v) \pmod{Q}$$

(by the Euler relation).

Let  $\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  be the vector bundle

$$\Sigma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Since  $Q$ ,  $Q \cap R$  are smooth there exist vector bundles  $\Sigma' \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ ,  $\Sigma'' \rightarrow Q$  defined by exact sequences

$$(A.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Sigma' \hookrightarrow \Sigma \xrightarrow{dQ} \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(A.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Sigma'' \hookrightarrow \Sigma'|_Q \xrightarrow{dR} \mathcal{O}(3)|_Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $dQ(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = \sum Q_i \sigma_i$  and similarly for  $dR$ . Then  $v|_Q \in H^0(Q, \Sigma'')$ , by (A.2). The restriction map  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \Sigma) \rightarrow H^0(Q, \Sigma|_Q)$  is injective, so it's enough to show that  $H^0(Q, \Sigma'') = 0$ .

Let  $\Lambda^k \Sigma(d) = (\Lambda^k \Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{O}(d)$ . Similarly for  $\Sigma'$ ,  $\Sigma''$ . By (A.3), there is an exact sheaf sequence:

$$(A.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Lambda^n \Sigma(d - 2(n - k)) \xrightarrow{dQ} \Lambda^{n-1} \Sigma(d - 2(n - k - 1)) \\ \xrightarrow{dQ} \dots \xrightarrow{dQ} \Lambda^{k+1} \Sigma(d - 2) \rightarrow \Lambda^k \Sigma'(d) \rightarrow 0$$

over  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  for each  $d \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n$ , where “ $dQ$ ” means “contract with  $dQ$ ”. Since the sheaf sequence is exact, the spectral sequences of hypercohomology [G-H2 pp. 445] abut to zero. Since

$$H^p(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \Lambda^k \Sigma(d)) \cong \Lambda^k \mathbf{C}^n \otimes H^p(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(k + d)) = 0$$

$$\text{for all } p = 1, \dots, n - 2, d, k \in \mathbf{Z},$$

it follows that (A.5) is exact at the global section level if  $k \geq 1$ .

Similarly, in the spectral sequence associated to the exact sheaf sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^k \Sigma'(d) \hookrightarrow \Lambda^k \Sigma(d) \xrightarrow{dQ} \Lambda^{k-1} \Sigma(d + 2) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(d + 2k) \rightarrow 0$$

the  $'d_{p+1}$  map induces isomorphisms  $'E_1^{0,p} \cong 'E_2^{p+1,0}$  for  $p = 1, \dots, n - 2$ . Since (A.5) is exact at the global section level if  $k \geq 1$ , it follows that

$$(A.6) \quad H^p(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \Lambda^k \Sigma'(d)) = 0 \quad \text{if } p \neq k \quad \text{and} \quad p = 1, \dots, n - 2,$$

for all  $k, d$ . Apply the restriction sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Lambda^k \Sigma'(d - 2) \xrightarrow{\otimes_Q} \Lambda^k \Sigma'(d) \rightarrow \Lambda^k \Sigma'(d)|_Q \rightarrow 0$  and get:

$$(A.7) \quad H^p(Q, \Lambda^k \Sigma'(d)) = 0 \quad \text{if } k \neq p, p + 1, \text{ and } p = 1, \dots, n - 3,$$

for all  $k, d$ .

By (A.4) one has an exact sheaf sequence on  $Q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-1} \Sigma' \Big|_Q (-3(n-2)) \xrightarrow{dR} \Lambda^{n-2} \Sigma' \Big|_Q (-3(n-3)) \\ \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{dR} \Lambda^2 \Sigma' \Big|_Q (-3) \rightarrow \Sigma'' \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Computing spectral sequences and applying (A.7) one has that  $'d_r: 'E_r^{n-2-r, r-1} \rightarrow 'E_r^{n-2,0}$  is the zero map  $r = 2, \dots, n - 1$ . Hence  $dR: H^0(Q, \Lambda^2 \Sigma'(-3)|_Q) \rightarrow H^0(Q, \Sigma'')$  is surjective. By (A.6), and the restriction sequence, the restriction map  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \Lambda^2 \Sigma'(-3)) \rightarrow H^0(Q, \Lambda^2 \Sigma'(-3)|_Q)$  is surjective. By global exactness in (A.5),  $dQ: H^0(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \Lambda^3 \Sigma(-5)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \Lambda^2 \Sigma'(-3))$  is surjective. Finally,  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \Lambda^3 \Sigma(-5)) \cong \Lambda^3 \mathbf{C}^n \otimes H^0(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(-2)) = 0$ . It follows that  $H^0(Q, \Sigma'') = 0$ .

*Proof of (3.5).* If

$$T_i = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} (QR_k - RQ_k) + b_i Q^2 \quad \text{then}$$

$$T_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} (Q_j R_k - R_j Q_k) + Q \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} R_{kj} + 2b_i Q_j \right) - R \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} Q_{jk}.$$

Since  $0 = T_{ij} - T_{ji}$ , for all  $i, j$ , Lemma (3.4) says that

$$a_{ij} = a_{jk} = 0 \quad \text{if } i, j, k \text{ are distinct, and}$$

$$a_{ii} = -a_{jj} \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

So  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ , since  $n \geq 3$ . Thus

$$0 = T_{ij} - T_{ji} = Q(b_i Q_j - b_j Q_i) \quad \text{for all } i, j$$

which is impossible unless all  $b_i = 0$  since  $Q_1, \dots, Q_n$  are linearly independent.



## REFERENCES

- [C] E. Cartan, *Sur la deformation des surfaces, Oeuvres complètes* Vol. 1, Part III, (1955), 441–539.
- [F-C] G. Fubini, and E. Čech, *Geometria proiettiva differenziale*, Nicola Zanichelli, Bologna, 1926.
- [G-H] P. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry and Local Differential Geometry*, (Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4<sup>e</sup> série, t. 12, (1979), 355–432).
- [G-H2] \_\_\_\_\_, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1978.
- [J1] G. Jennings, *Algebro-Geometric Invariants Arising from the Local Differential Geometry of Projective Varieties*, Thesis, UCLA, 1984.
- [J2] \_\_\_\_\_, *Lines having high contact with a projective variety*, to appear in the Pacific J. Math.
- [S] M. M. Spivak, *Differential Geometry*, Vol. I, Publish or Perish, Inc., Berkeley, 1970.

Received March 10, 1986.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
SEATTLE, WA 98195

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN  
(Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024  
HERBERT CLEMENS  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112  
R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721  
RAMESH A. GANGOLLI  
University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98195  
VAUGHAN F. R. JONES  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

ROBION KIRBY  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
C. C. MOORE  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
HAROLD STARK  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS      E. F. BECKENBACH      B. H. NEUMANN      F. WOLF      K. YOSHIDA  
(1906-1982)

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1987 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

<b>Pere Ara</b> , Matrix rings over $*$ -regular rings and pseudo-rank functions .....	209
<b>Lindsay Nathan Childs</b> , Representing classes in the Brauer group of quadratic number rings as smash products .....	243
<b>Dicesar Lass Fernandez</b> , Vector-valued singular integral operators on $L^p$ -spaces with mixed norms and applications .....	257
<b>Louis M. Friedler, Harold W. Martin and Scott Warner Williams</b> , Paracompact $C$ -scattered spaces .....	277
<b>Daciberg Lima Gonçalves</b> , Fixed points of $S^1$ -fibrations .....	297
<b>Adolf J. Hildebrand</b> , The divisor function at consecutive integers .....	307
<b>George Alan Jennings</b> , Lines having contact four with a projective hypersurface .....	321
<b>Tze-Beng Ng</b> , 4-fields on $(4k + 2)$ -dimensional manifolds .....	337
<b>Mei-Chi Shaw</b> , Eigenfunctions of the nonlinear equation $\Delta u + \nu f(x, u) = 0$ in $R^2$ .....	349
<b>Roman Svirsky</b> , Maximally resonant potentials subject to $p$ -norm constraints .....	357
<b>Lowell G. Sweet and James A. MacDougall</b> , Four-dimensional homogeneous algebras .....	375
<b>William Douglas Withers</b> , Analysis of invariant measures in dynamical systems by Hausdorff measure .....	385