# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE NONLINEAR EQUATION $\Delta u + v f(x, u) = 0$ IN $R^2$

Mei-Chi Shaw

Vol. 129, No. 2

June 1987

# EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE NONLINEAR EQUATION $\Delta u + v f(x, u) = 0 \text{ IN } R^2$

## Mei-Chi Shaw

In this paper we consider the existence of eigenfunctions of the boundary value problem for the nonlinear equation mentioned in the title with vanishing boundary values on bounded planar domains.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In this paper we consider the existence of eigenfunctions of the boundary value problem

(0.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \nu f(x, u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

where f is a continuous function in both x and u variables for all  $(x, u) \in \Omega \times R$ . We assume that f satisfies the growth condition

(0.2) 
$$\begin{cases} f(x,0) = 0\\ |f(x,u)| \le A + B|u|^m e^{\alpha u^2} \text{ uniformly in } x \end{cases}$$

for some nonnegative constants A, B, m and  $\alpha > 0$ . We note that  $u \equiv 0$  is a trivial solution for (0.1). Let  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  denote the completion of the space of compactly supported  $C^1$  functions on  $\Omega$  under the norm

$$\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

We set  $F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds$ . Our main results are the following:

THEOREM 1. Let f(x, u) be a continuous function in  $(x, u) \in \Omega \times R$ and f satisfies condition (0.2). For any  $\mu > 0$  such that there exists a  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 = \gamma < (4\pi/\alpha)$  and  $\int_{\Omega} F(x, v) = \mu$ , the eigenvalue problem (0.1) has a nontrivial eigenfunction u satisfying  $\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) = \mu$ .

If we are interested in positive solutions, a similar theorem applies.

THEOREM 2. Let f(x, u) be a continuous function in  $(x, u) \in \Omega \times R$  that satisfies condition (0.2) and the condition

(0.2') 
$$f(x, u) > 0 \quad if \, u > 0.$$

For every  $\mu > 0$  such that there exists a  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 = \gamma < 4\pi/\alpha$  and  $\int_{\Omega} F(x, |v|) = \mu$ , the eigenvalue problem (0.1) has a positive eigenfunction u, i.e. u(x) > 0 for all  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $\int F(x, u) = \mu$ .

Theorems 1 and 2 are related to a question raised in Hempel-Morris-Trudinger [4]. Our approach in proving Theorem 1 is to look for critical points of the functional  $\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2$  on the surface  $S_{\mu} = \{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) = \mu \}$ . We shall prove that (0.3)  $\inf_{u \in S_{\mu}} \Phi(u)$  is achieved

if  $\mu$  satisfies the assumption in the theorem. The major difficulty in the proof is to show that the functional  $u \to I(u) = \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u^2}$  is continuous on the subset  $G_{\varepsilon} = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) | ||u||_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 < 4\pi/\alpha - \varepsilon\}$  under weak convergence in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We note that I(u) is not continuous on the whole space  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  under weak convergence for any  $\alpha > 0$  (see Lemma 2 and the discussion after that). This is in sharp contrast with the case when f satisfies the stronger condition

$$(0.4) |f(u)| < A + B|u|^m e^{\alpha|u|^2}$$

for some constants A, B, m and p < 2. In this case (0.3) can be proved easily for all  $\mu > 0$  such that  $S_{\mu} \neq \emptyset$ , since  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  can be embedded into the Orlicz space  $L_{\phi^*}(\Omega)$  where  $\phi(t) = e^{|t|^p} - 1$ ,  $p \le 2$ , and the embedding is compact if p < 2. (See Trudinger [11] or Pohozaev [8] and for Orlicz spaces see Krasnoselskii-Rutickii [6]). Thus if f satisfies (0.4), the functional  $\Phi$  is  $C^1$  and satisfies the (P.S.) condition. It follows from standard variational method that (0.3) holds. However, when f only satisfies (0.2),  $\Phi$  does not satisfy the (P.S.) condition. We overcome this difficulty by applying the method of symmetrization used by Moser [7] for proving the sharp form of Trudinger's inequality [11]. The constant  $4\pi/\alpha$  stated in the theorems corresponds to the sharp constant in Moser's paper. Some open questions are stated in Remark 2 at the end of this paper.

The lack of (P.S.) condition has also been the major difficulty in many recent works on the solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents (see e.g. Aubin [1], Brezis-Nirenberg [2]). Instead of the approach taken here, these authors used the method of best constants in Sobolev inequality (as in [2]) or best constant in certain isoperimetric inequalities (as in [1]). In fact, our theorems can be viewed as two-dimensional extensions of results for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , in [2].

The author wishes to express her sincere thanks to Professor Wei-Ming Ni for suggesting this question and many helpful discussions. She would also like to thank Professor H. Brezis for his interest and useful suggestions. I. Proof of the theorems. For any functions  $u(x) \ge 0$ , we associate a symmetrization  $u^*$  of u by the requirement

$$m\{x | u^* > \rho\} = m\{x \in \Omega | u > \rho\} \quad \text{for all } \rho > 0.$$

 $u^*$  depends on |x| only and is nonincreasing as a function of |x|. Let R be the radius of a ball whose volume is  $m(\Omega)$ , the Lebesgue measure of the set  $\Omega$ , i.e.

$$m(\Omega)=\int_{|x|\leq R}dx.$$

We define  $\Omega^* = \{x | |x| \le R\}$ . Clearly  $u^*$  vanishes outside of  $\Omega^*$ . The basic results on summatriantic one the following:

The basic results on symmetrization are the following:

(1.1) 
$$\int_{\Omega^*} |u^*|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx, \quad 1 \le p < \infty,$$

(1.2) 
$$\int_{\Omega^*} e^{\alpha u^{*2}} dx = \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u^2} dx,$$

(1.3) 
$$\int_{\Omega^*} |\nabla u^*|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Properties (1.1) and (1.2) are trivial while the proof of inequality (1.3) can be found in many articles (e.g. Polya & Szego [9], Hilden [5]).

To prove our theorems we need the following lemma (see Coron [3] also).

LEMMA 1. If  $u_j \to u$  strongly in  $L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , then  $|u_j|^* \to |u|^*$ a.e. Furthermore, we have  $|u_j|^* \to |u|^*$  strongly in  $L^p(\Omega^*)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_j$  and  $\lambda$  be the distribution functions for  $u_j$  and u respectively, i.e.,

$$\lambda_j(s) = m \{ x \in \Omega | |u_j(x)| > s \},$$
  
$$\lambda(s) = m \{ x \in \Omega | |u(x)| > s \},$$

where *m* denotes the Lebesgue measure. Then each  $\lambda_j$  and  $\lambda$  are functions continuous on the right (see Lemma 3.4 on p. 189 in Stein-Weiss [10]). We shall prove that  $\lambda_j(s) \rightarrow \lambda(s)$  for each s > 0. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it is easy to see

$$\Big\langle x | |u_j(x)| > s \Big\rangle \supset \big\{ x | |u(x)| > s + \varepsilon \big\} \cap \Big\{ x | |u(x) - u_j(x)| \le \varepsilon \Big\}.$$

Thus

(1.4) 
$$m\left\{x|\left|u_{j}(x)\right| > s\right\} \ge m\left\{x|\left|u(x)\right| > s + \varepsilon\right\}$$
$$-m\left\{m|\left|u(x) - u_{j}(x)\right| > \varepsilon\right\}.$$

Since  $u_j \to u$  strongly in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , for any  $\delta > 0$ , we can choose j sufficiently large such that  $m\{x \in \Omega | |u(x) - u_j(x)| > \varepsilon\} < \delta$ . It follows from (1.4) that

$$\lambda_i(s) \geq \lambda(s+\varepsilon) - \delta.$$

Letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\lambda_j(s)\geq\lambda(s+\varepsilon)\quad\text{for every }\varepsilon>0.$$

By the fact that  $\lambda$  is continuous on the right, we have  $\lim_{j \to \infty} \lambda_j(s) \ge \lambda(s)$ . Reversing the role of  $\lambda_j$  and  $\lambda$  and repeating the argument above, we have  $\lambda(s) \ge \lim_{j \to \infty} \lambda_j(s)$  for any s > 0. Therefore we have  $\lim \lambda_j(s) = \lambda(s)$  for all s > 0. It follows from the definition of symmetrization that  $|u_j|^*(x) \to |u|^*(x)$  for every x. From (1.1) we also have

$$\int_{\Omega^*} \left( |u_j|^* \right)^p dx \to \int_{\Omega^*} \left( |u|^* \right)^p dx.$$

Thus by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have  $|u_j|^* \rightarrow |u|^*$  strongly in  $L^p(\Omega^*)$  and the lemma is proved.

**LEMMA 2.** If  $u_j \to u$  weakly in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and there exists a constant  $\gamma_0$  such that  $\|u_j\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq \gamma_0 < 4\pi/\alpha$  for every *j*, then we have

(1.5) 
$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u_{j}^{2}} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u^{2}}.$$

*Proof.* We may assume  $u_j \ge 0$  and  $u \ge 0$  (otherwise we replace  $u_j$  and u by  $|u_j|$  and |u|). Since  $u_j \to u$  weakly in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $u_j \to u$  strongly in  $L^p$  for all  $1 \le p < \infty$  by the Sobolev embedding theorem in  $R^2$ . It follows from Lemma 1 that  $u_j^* \to u^*$  strongly in  $L^p(\Omega^*)$  and  $u^* \in H_0^1(\Omega^*)$  by (1.3). To prove (1.5) it follows from eq. (1.2) that it suffices to show

$$\int_{\Omega^*} e^{\alpha(u_j^*)^2} \to \int_{\Omega^*} e^{\alpha(u^*)^2}$$

Using a change of variable t introduced by Moser [7], we set

$$\frac{\left|x\right|^{2}}{R^{2}}=e^{-t}$$

and

$$w_j(t) = 2\sqrt{\pi} u_j^*(x), \qquad w(t) = 2\sqrt{\pi} u^*(x).$$

Then  $w_j(t)$ , w(t) are monotone increasing on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $w_j(t) \to w(t)$  a.e. as  $[0, \infty)$  since  $u_j^* \to u^*$  a.e. We also have  $w_j(0) = 0$ ,  $\dot{w}_j(t) \ge 0$ . Furthermore, we have

(1.7) 
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \dot{w}_{j}^{2} dt = \int_{\Omega^{*}} \left| \nabla u_{j}^{*} \right|^{2} dx, \qquad \int_{0}^{\infty} \dot{w}^{2} dt = \int_{\Omega^{*}} \left| \nabla u^{*} \right|^{2} dx,$$

(1.8.1) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{\beta w_j^2 - t} dt = \frac{1}{m(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega^*} e^{\alpha (u_j^*)^2}, \quad \text{where } \beta = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi},$$

(1.8.2) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{\beta w^2 - t} dt = \frac{1}{m(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega^*} e^{\alpha (u^*)^2}$$

It follows from (1.3) and (1.7) that

(1.9.1) 
$$\int_0^\infty \dot{w}_j^2 dt \leq \int_\Omega \left| \nabla u_j \right|^2 dx \leq \gamma_0.$$

By the lower semi-continuity for the norm in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  under weak convergence, we have

(1.9.2) 
$$\int_0^\infty |\dot{w}|^2 dt \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \leq \lim_{j \to \infty} \int |\nabla u_j|^2 \leq \gamma_0.$$

From (1.9.1) and Hölder's inequality,

$$w_j(t) = \int_0^t w_j dt \le \sqrt{t} \left( \int_0^t \dot{w}_j^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \le \sqrt{\gamma_0 t}$$

Since  $w_j(t) \rightarrow w(t)$  a.e. we get

$$w(t) \leq \sqrt{\gamma_0 t}$$
.

Thus we have

(1.10.1) 
$$e^{\beta w_j^2 - t} \le e^{(\beta \gamma_0 - 1)t}$$

(1.10.2) 
$$e^{\beta w^2 - t} \le e^{(\beta \gamma_0 - 1)t}$$

and  $\int_0^\infty e^{(\beta\gamma_0-1)t} dt = 1/(1-\beta\gamma_0) < \infty$  since  $\beta\gamma_0 < 1$ . From (1.10.1) and (1.10.2) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\beta w_j^2 - t} dt \to \int_0^\infty e^{\beta w^2 - t} dt$$

Thus from (1.8.1) and (1.8.2) we have proved (1.6) and the lemma also.

We note that by modifying an example of Moser [7], one can construct a sequence  $u_j$ ,  $||u_j||^2_{H^1_0(\Omega)} = C > 4\pi/\alpha$ ,  $u_j \to u$  weakly in  $H^1_0(\Omega)$ but  $\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u_j^2}$  does not converge to  $\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u^2}$ . In fact, let  $g(s) = \min(s, 1)$  and  $w_n = \sqrt{Cn} g(t/n)$ , then  $||u_n||^2_{H^1_0(\Omega)} = \int_0^\infty \dot{w}_n^2 dt = C$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u_n^2} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{\beta w_n^2 - t} > \int_0^{\infty} e^{C\beta n - t} dt = e^{(C\beta - 1)n}.$$

Thus  $\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u_n^2} \to \infty$ . But  $u_n$  has a subsequence which converges to some function  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  weakly and  $\int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u^2} < \infty$ . It is unknown if one can improve Lemma 2 to include the case when  $\gamma_0 = 4\pi/\alpha$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $\Psi(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u)$ . If  $u_j \to u$  weakly in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and there exists a constant  $\gamma_0$  such that  $||u_j||^2_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \gamma_0 < 4\pi/\alpha$  for every *j*, then we have

(1.10) 
$$\Psi(u_i) \to \Psi(u),$$

(1.11) 
$$\Psi'(u_j) \to \Psi'(u) \quad in \ H^1_0(\Omega)^*$$

*i.e.*, for every  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , we have

(1.12) 
$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, u_j) v \to \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v.$$

*Proof.* By (0.2), we have

$$|F(x,u)| = \left| \int_0^u f(x,s) \, dx \right|$$
  

$$\leq A|u| + B \int_0^u s^m \left( 1 + \alpha s^2 + \frac{(\alpha s^2)^2}{2!} + \frac{(\alpha s^2)^3}{3!} + \cdots \right) \, ds$$
  

$$\leq A|u| + B|u|^{m+1} e^{\alpha u^2}.$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant C such that  $|u|^{m+1} \le C^1 e^{\varepsilon u^2}$  for all u. Thus  $|F(x, u)| \le C_1 e^{(\alpha + \varepsilon)u^2}$  for some  $C_1 > 0$ . Choosing  $\varepsilon$  so small such that  $\gamma_0 < 4\pi/(\alpha + \varepsilon)$ , then from Lemma 2, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{(\alpha+\varepsilon)u_j^2} \to \int_{\Omega} e^{(\alpha+\varepsilon)u^2}$$

By the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have proved (1.10).

To prove (1.11), we note that for any nonnegative numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  and q, p > 1 such that 1/q + 1/p = 1, we have  $\alpha\beta \le (1/q)\alpha^q + (1/p)\beta^p$ . Thus

$$\left|f(x,u_j)v\right| \leq \frac{1}{q} \left|f(x,u_j)\right|^q + \frac{1}{p} \left|v\right|^p.$$

From (0.2), for any  $\varepsilon = 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_2$  such that

$$\left|f(x,s)\right|^{q} < C_{2}e^{q(\alpha+\varepsilon)s^{2}}.$$

We choose q close to one and  $\varepsilon$  so small such that  $\gamma_0 < 4\pi/q(\alpha + \varepsilon)$ . It follows from Lemma 2 and the Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| f(x, u_j) \right|^q \to \int_{\Omega} \left| f(x, u) \right|^q.$$

Since  $\int_{\Omega} |v|^p < \infty$  for all  $p < \infty$  by the Sobolev embedding theorem in  $R^2$ , (1.11) follows from (1.12) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem and the lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. We can finish the proof of the theorem easily. Let

$$c_0 = \inf_{u \in S_{\mu}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2.$$

By assumption  $S_{\mu} \neq \emptyset$  and  $2c_0 < 4\pi/\alpha$ . There exists a minimizing sequence  $u_j \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\int |\nabla u_j|^2 \to 2c_0$ . We may assume there exists a constant  $\gamma_0, 2c_0 < \gamma_0 < 4\pi/\alpha$  such that  $||u_j||_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 = \int |\nabla u_j|^2 < \gamma_0$ for all *j*. Thus we can find a  $\tilde{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that a subsequence of  $u_j$ , still denoted by  $u_j$ , converges to  $\tilde{u}$  weakly in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . From Lemma 2 we have  $\int_{\Omega} F(x, u_j) \to \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{u})$ . Thus  $\tilde{u} \in S_{\mu}$  and  $\tilde{u} \neq 0$  since f(x, 0) = 0. From the lower semicontinuity of  $\Phi$  under weak convergence in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , we have  $\Phi(\tilde{u}) \leq \lim_{j \to \infty} \Phi(u_j) = c_0$ , which implies  $\Phi(\tilde{u}) = c_0$  and (0.3) is established. By Lemma 3 we have that  $\Phi$  is a  $C^1$  function on the subset

$$G = \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) | \| u \|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 < \frac{4\pi}{\alpha} \right\}$$

under the strong convergence in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . It is trivial to check that  $\Phi$  is  $C^1$ on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Thus  $\tilde{u}$  is a critical point of functional  $\Phi$  under the constraint  $S_{\mu}$ . It follows from standard variational argument that one can find a Lagrange multiplier  $\nu$  such that  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies the equation

$$\Delta \tilde{u} + \nu f(x, \tilde{u}) = 0$$

in the weak sense and Theorem 1 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let  $u^+ = \max(u, 0)$  and consider the set  $\tilde{S}_{\mu} = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} F(x, u^+) = \mu\}$ . Then by assumption in Theorem 2,  $\tilde{S}_{\mu} \neq \emptyset$ . Considering the functional  $\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2$  restricted to the set  $\tilde{S}_{\mu}$ . Then the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1 will show that  $\inf_{u \in \tilde{S}_{\mu}} \Phi(u)$  is achieved by a function  $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{S}_{\mu}$  and  $\tilde{u} \neq 0$ . There exists a  $\nu \neq 0$  such that  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies

(1.13) 
$$\Delta \tilde{u} + \nu f(x, \tilde{u}^+) = 0$$

Integrating (1.13) with  $\tilde{u}$ , we have from (0.2)

$$\frac{1}{\nu}\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{2}=\int_{\Omega}f(x,\tilde{u}^{+})\tilde{u}^{+}>0.$$

Thus  $\nu > 0$  and  $\Delta \tilde{u} \le 0$ . From the maximum principle, we have  $\tilde{u} \ge 0$  on  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies

$$\Delta \tilde{u} + \nu f(x, \tilde{u}) = 0.$$

Since  $\tilde{u} \neq 0$ , by the strong maximum principle,  $\tilde{u} > 0$  on  $\Omega$  and the Theorem is proved.

REMARK 1. Regularity of the solution: the function  $\tilde{u}$  obtained above is in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , but by a standard boot strap argument one shows that it is smooth in the interior and up to the boundary (as  $\partial\Omega$  permits).

REMARK 2. Open questions: since Moser's sharp result includes the constant  $\gamma = 4\pi/\alpha$ , it may be possible to improve Theorems 1 and 2 to include the case when  $\gamma = 4\pi/\alpha$ . Trudinger [11] has also pointed out that a nonexistence result similar to the Pohozaev theorem may also hold for f which does not satisfy condition (0.2).

### References

- [1] Th. Aubin, Equations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire, J. Math. Pures et Appl., 55 (1976), 269–293.
- [2] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **36** (1983), 437-47.
- J. M. Coron, The continuity of the rearrangement in W<sup>1,p</sup>(R), Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. Pisa, 11 (1984), 57–85.
- [4] T. A. Hempel, G. R. Morris and N. S. Trudinger, On the sharpness of a limiting case of the Sobolev imbedding theorem, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 3 (1970), 369-373.
- [5] K. Hilden, Symmetrization of functions in Sobolev spaces and the isoperimetric inequality, Manuscripta Math., 18 (1976), 215-235.
- [6] M. A. Krasnoselskii and Y. Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1961.
- [7] J. Moser, A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 20 (1971), 1077–1092.
- [8] S. J. Pohozaev, Eigenfunctions of the equation  $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$ , Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 165 (1965), 1408–1411.
- [9] G. Polya and G. Szego, *Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1951.
- [10] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1971.
- [11] N. S. Trudinger, On imbedding into Orlicz spaces and some applications, J. Math. Mech., 17 (1967), 473-484.

Received March 29, 1986. The research for this paper was done while the author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-8501295.

UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON HOUSTON, TX 77004 Current address: University of Notre Dame Notre Dame, IN 46556

### EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024 HERBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112 R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721 RAMESH A. GANGOLLI University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195 VAUGHAN F. R. JONES University of California

Berkeley, CA 94720

ROBION KIRBY University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS	E. F. BECKENBACH (1906–1982)	B. H. NEUMANN	F. WOLF	K. YOSHIDA
	SUPPORTI	NG INSTITUTIO	ONS	

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA	UNIVERSITY OF ORECON
UNIVERSITY OF PRITISH COLUMPIA	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
CALEODNIA INCRUTINE OF RECUNCIOON	UNIVERSITT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1987 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 129, No. 2 June, 1987

Pere Ara, Matrix rings over *-regular rings and pseudo-rank functions2	09
Lindsay Nathan Childs, Representing classes in the Brauer group of	
quadratic number rings as smash products	43
Dicesar Lass Fernandez, Vector-valued singular integral operators on	
$L^p$ -spaces with mixed norms and applications2	57
Louis M. Friedler, Harold W. Martin and Scott Warner Williams,	
Paracompact C-scattered spaces	77
<b>Daciberg Lima Gonçalves,</b> Fixed points of $S^1$ -fibrations	97
Adolf J. Hildebrand, The divisor function at consecutive integers	07
George Alan Jennings, Lines having contact four with a projective	
hypersurface	21
<b>Tze-Beng Ng,</b> 4-fields on $(4k + 2)$ -dimensional manifolds	37
<b>Mei-Chi Shaw,</b> Eigenfunctions of the nonlinear equation $\Delta u + v f(x, u) = 0$	
in <i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	49
<b>Roman Svirsky,</b> Maximally resonant potentials subject to <i>p</i> -norm	
constraints	57
Lowell G. Sweet and James A. MacDougall, Four-dimensional	
homogeneous algebras	75
William Douglas Withers. Analysis of invariant measures in dynamical	
systems by Hausdorff measure	85