

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

UNITARY EQUIVALENCE OF INVARIANT SUBSPACES IN THE POLYDISK

KEI JI IZUCHI

UNITARY EQUIVALENCE OF INVARIANT SUBSPACES IN THE POLYDISK

KEIJI IZUCHI

Invariant subspaces M and N of $H^2(T^n)$ are called unitarily equivalent if $M = \psi N$ for a unimodular function ψ on T^n . In this note, it is given a complete characterization of pairs of invariant subspaces M and N of $H^2(T^n)$ such that $M = \phi N$ for an inner function ϕ . This is a generalization of Agrawal, Clark and Douglas' results. As an application, if M is an invariant subspace of $H^2(T^n)$ and if M is unitarily equivalent to $S(f)$, an invariant subspace generated by an outer function f , then $M = \phi S(f)$ for some inner function ϕ .

It is well known that Beurling [4] showed that every invariant subspace M of $H^2(T)$ can be written by $M = \psi H^2(T)$ for some inner function ψ . Although it is easy to see that a Beurling-type characterization is not possible for invariant subspaces of $H^2(T^n)$, $n \geq 2$, it is very difficult to determine all invariant subspaces of $H^2(T^n)$ for $n \geq 2$. In [3], Ahern and Clark studied an invariant subspace which has finite codimension in $H^2(T^n)$. These invariant subspaces are not Beurling-type. Recently Agrawal, Clark and Douglas [2] studied pairs of invariant subspaces of $H^2(T^n)$ which are unitarily equivalent. Here two invariant subspaces M_1 and M_2 are called *unitarily equivalent* if there is a unimodular function ψ on T^n with $M_2 = \psi M_1$. In [1, Corollary 3], they showed that distinct invariant subspaces having finite codimensions in $H^2(T^n)$ are not unitarily equivalent. In [9], Rudin gives two examples of unitarily equivalent invariant subspaces of $H^2(T^2)$ answering problems posed in [2]. In [6], Nakazi gives a characterization of invariant subspaces M of $L^2(T^2)$ with $M = FH^2(T^2)$ for some unimodular function F . From the view point of the Beurling theorem, it is interesting to characterize pairs of unitarily equivalent subspaces M_1 and M_2 of $H^2(T^n)$ such that $M_2 = \psi M_1$ for some inner function ψ . In [2], they give some sufficient conditions of these pairs. One of these conditions is $M_2 \subset M_1$.

In §2, we shall show a theorem which contains Schneider's lemma as a corollary (Corollary 1). Also our theorem gives us a complete characterization of pairs of invariant subspaces M_1 and M_2 of $H^2(T^n)$ such that $M_2 = \psi M_1$ for some inner function ψ (Corollary 2). Of course this

theorem covers Propositions 1, 2, 3, and 4 in [2]. In §3, we shall study invariant subspaces which are unitarily equivalent to the one generated by an outer function.

1. Notations and Theorems. For a positive integer n , let T^n denote the cartesian product of n unit circles. The usual Lebesgue spaces, with respect to the normalized Haar measure m_n on T^n , are denoted by $L^p(T^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $H^p(T^n)$ be the space of all f in $L^p(T^n)$ whose Fourier transforms

$$\hat{f}(\alpha) = \int_{T^n} f(z) \bar{z}_1^{\alpha_1} \bar{z}_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \bar{z}_n^{\alpha_n} dm_n(z)$$

vanish outside $(Z_+)^n$, the n -fold product of nonnegative integers. A function ψ in $L^\infty(T^n)$ and $H^\infty(T^n)$ is called *unimodular* and *inner* if $|\psi| = 1$ a.e. dm_n , respectively. A closed subspace M of $L^2(T^n)$ is called *invariant* if $z_i M \subset M$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We note that if M is an invariant subspace then $H^\infty(T^n)M \subset M$. A function f in $H^2(T^n)$ is called *outer* if

$$\log |f(0)| = \int_{T^n} |f(z)| dm_n(z).$$

We denote by $S(f)$ the invariant subspace generated by a function f in $L^2(T^n)$. [8] is a convenient reference for the function theory in the polydisk.

To state our theorem, we use the following notations. Let H_k and \mathcal{H}_k denote the closure in $L^2(T^n)$ of the algebra generated by

$$\begin{aligned} \{1, z_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \cup \{\bar{z}_k\} \quad \text{and} \\ \{1, z_i, \bar{z}_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{\bar{z}_k\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Let L_k^p denote the closure in $L^p(T^n)$, weak*-closure if $p = \infty$, of the algebra generated by

$$\{1, z_i, \bar{z}_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{z_k, \bar{z}_k\}.$$

Then H_k and \mathcal{H}_k are invariant subspaces, $\bigcap_{k=1}^n \mathcal{H}_k = H^2(T^n)$, and \mathcal{H}_k coincides with the closed linear span of $\{z_k^m L_k^2; m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

For an invariant subspace M (generally not closed), let $(M)_k$ denote the closure of $L_k^\infty M$ in $L^2(T^n)$. Then $(M)_k$ is an invariant subspace and $L_k^\infty (M)_k = (M)_k$. We note $(H^2(T^n))_k = \mathcal{H}_k$. A closed subspace N of $L^2(T^n)$ is called *reducing* if $z_i N = N$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If N is reducing, then $L^\infty(T^n)N = N$, hence $N = \chi_U L^2(T^n)$, where χ_U is a characteristic function for a Borel subset U of T^n . We note that \mathcal{H}_k does not contain any reducing subspaces.

Our main results are

THEOREM 1. *Let M_1 be an invariant subspace of $H^2(T^n)$ and $\phi \in L^\infty(T^n)$. Let M_2 denote the closure of ϕM_1 in $L^2(T^n)$. Then $\phi \in H^\infty(T^n)$ if and only if $(M_2)_k \subset (M_1)_k$ for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

COROLLARY 7. *Let $f \in H^2(T^n)$ be an outer function, and M be an invariant subspace of $H^2(T^n)$ which is unitarily equivalent to $S(f)$. Then $M = \psi S(f)$ for some inner function ψ .*

2. Proof of Theorem 1 and its applications. The following lemma is a corollary of the Merrill and Lal theorem [5] (see Remark after Lemma 1). In this case, we can prove it directly. For the sake of completeness, we give its proof.

LEMMA 1. *Let M be an invariant subspace of $H^2(T^n)$. Then for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $(M)_k = F_k \mathcal{H}_k$ for a unimodular function F_k in \mathcal{H}_k .*

Proof. Let fix k . Since $M \subset H^2(T^n)$, $(M)_k \subset \mathcal{H}_k$. Hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty z_k^i (M)_k = \{0\}$. Put

$$N = (M)_k \ominus z_k (M)_k.$$

Then $N \neq \{0\}$. Since $L_k^\infty(M)_k = (M)_k$, $L_k^\infty N = N$. Thus we have

$$(1) \quad (M)_k = N \oplus z_k N \oplus z_k^2 N \oplus \dots$$

Let $g \in N$. Since $g \perp gz_k^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, we get

$$\int_{T^n} |g|^2 z_k^i dm_n = 0$$

for every nonzero integer i . This implies $|g| \in L_k^2$. Since $|f| > 0$ a.e. dm_n for $f \in H^2(T^n)$, by (1) there exists g_0 in N such that $|g_0| > 0$ a.e. dm_n . Put $g_0 = F|g_0|$, where F is unimodular. Since $L_k^\infty N = N$, $N \supset L_k^\infty g_0 = FL_k^\infty |g_0|$. Since $L_k^\infty |g_0|$ is dense in L_k^2 , we have $FL_k^2 \subset N$.

To show $FL_k^2 = N$, let $g \in N$. Since $F \in N$,

$$Fz_k^i \perp gz_k^j$$

for every $i, j \geq 0$ with $i \neq j$. Hence

$$\int_{T^n} \bar{F}gz_k^p dm_n = 0$$

for every nonzero integer p . Thus $\bar{F}g \in L_k^2$, so that $g \in FL_k^2$. Consequently $FL_k^2 = N$. By (1),

$$(M)_k = FL_k^2 \oplus Fz_k L_k^2 \oplus Fz_k^2 L_k^2 \oplus \cdots = F\mathcal{H}_k.$$

Since $F \in (M)_k \subset \mathcal{H}_k$, this completes the proof.

REMARK. In [5], they showed the following (in more general form); if M is an invariant subspace of $L^2(T^n)$ with $z_i M = M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq k$, then $M = \chi_U F\mathcal{H}_k \oplus \chi_V L^2(T^n)$, where F is unimodular. In this case, it is easy to see that $M = F\mathcal{H}_k$ if and only if M has no reducing subspaces and there is a function f in M with $|f| > 0$ a.e. dm_n . This fact is essentially pointed out, for the case $n = 2$, by Nakazi (see [6, Theorem 6]). Using this fact, we can also prove Lemma 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let M_1 be an invariant subspace of $H^2(T^n)$, $\phi \in L^\infty(T^n)$ and M_2 be the closure of ϕM_1 in $L^2(T^n)$. By Lemma 1, $(M_1)_k = F_k \mathcal{H}_k$ for some unimodular function F_k for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

First suppose that $(M_1)_k \supset (M_2)_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$F_k \mathcal{H}_k = (M_1)_k \supset (M_2)_k \supset \phi(M_1)_k = \phi F_k \mathcal{H}_k.$$

Hence $\phi \mathcal{H}_k \subset \mathcal{H}_k$, so that $\phi \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n \mathcal{H}_k = H^2(T^n)$. Thus $\phi \in H^\infty(T^n)$.

Next suppose $\phi \in H^\infty(T^n)$. We note that $(M_2)_k$ coincides with the closure of $\phi(M_1)_k$ in $L^2(T^n)$. Since $\phi \mathcal{H}_k \subset \mathcal{H}_k$, we have

$$\phi(M_1)_k = \phi F_k \mathcal{H}_k \subset F \mathcal{H}_k = (M_1)_k.$$

Thus $(M_2)_k \subset (M_1)_k$. This completes the proof.

The following corollary is proved in [2, Proposition 3] using an idea of Schneider [10]. We can prove this as an application of our theorem.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\phi \in L^\infty(T^n)$ and $f \in H^2(T^n)$ such that $f \neq 0$ and $\phi^m f \in H^2(T^n)$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\phi \in H^\infty(T^n)$.*

Proof. Let M_1 denote the invariant subspace of $H^2(T^n)$ generated by $\{\phi^m f; m = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Let M_2 denote the closure of ϕM_1 in $L^2(T^n)$. Then $M_2 \subset M_1 \subset H^2(T^n)$, so that $(M_2)_k \subset (M_1)_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By Theorem 1, $\phi \in H^\infty(T^n)$.

The following is a direct corollary of our theorem. This answers the question posed in the introduction.

COROLLARY 2. *Let M_1 and M_2 be unitarily equivalent invariant subspaces of $H^2(T^n)$. Put $M_2 = \psi M_1$, where ψ is unimodular. Then ψ is inner if and only if $(M_1)_k \supset (M_2)_k$ for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

COROLLARY 3. *Let M_1 and M_2 be invariant subspaces of $H^2(T^n)$ such that $(M_1)_k = (M_2)_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then M_1 is unitarily equivalent to M_2 if and only if $M_1 = M_2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $M_2 = \psi M_1$ and ψ is unimodular. By Corollary 2, ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ are inner. Hence ψ is constant, so that $M_1 = M_2$.

COROLLARY 4. *Let M_1 be an invariant subspace of $H^2(T^n)$ such that $(M_1)_k = \mathcal{H}_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If M_2 is an invariant subspace of $H^2(T^n)$ with $M_2 = \psi M_1$, where ψ is unimodular, then ψ is inner.*

Proof. Since $M_2 \subset H^2(T^n)$,

$$(M_2)_k \subset (H^2(T^n))_k = \mathcal{H}_k = (M_1)_k.$$

By Corollary 2, ψ is inner.

An invariant subspace M of $H^2(T^n)$ has *full range* if the closed linear span of $\{\bar{z}_k^m M; m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ coincides with H_k for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ (see [2, p. 5]).

By the following lemma, we can consider that Corollary 4 is a generalization of both Propositions 1 and 2 in [2].

LEMMA 2. *Let M be one of the following invariant subspaces of $H^2(T^n)$.*

(1) *M has full range.*

(2) *M contains a nonzero function independent of z_k for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Then $(M)_k = \mathcal{H}_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that M has full range. Then by the definitions, $H_i \subset (M)_k$ for $i \neq k$. Since \mathcal{H}_k coincides with the linear span of $\{H_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } i \neq k\}$, we get $\mathcal{H}_k \subset (M)_k$, so that $\mathcal{H}_k = (M)_k$.

(2) Suppose that $f_k \in M$ is a nonzero function independent of z_k . Then

$$(M)_k = \text{the closure of } L_k^\infty M \text{ in } L^2(T^n)$$

$$\supset \text{the closure of } L_k^\infty f_k \text{ in } L^2(T^n) = L_k^2,$$

the last equality follows from $|f_k| > 0$ a.e. dm . Since $z_k(M)_k \subset (M)_k$, we get $\mathcal{H}_k \subset (M)_k$, so that $\mathcal{H}_k = (M)_k$.

The following example shows that Corollary 4 is not covered by the work of Agrawal, Clark and Douglas [2].

EXAMPLE. For cases $n \geq 3$, there is an invariant subspace M of $H^2(T^n)$ such that

- (a) M does not contain a function independent of z_k ,
- (b) M does not have full range, and
- (c) $(M)_k = \mathcal{H}_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

We shall show the existence of M as above for $n = 3$. Let $\{\psi_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of nonconstant inner functions in $H^\infty(T)$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (i) $\psi_i H^2(T) \subsetneq \psi_{i+1} H^2(T)$ for every i , and
- (ii) $\bigcup_{i=0}^\infty \psi_i H^2(T)$ is dense in $H^2(T)$.

Let M denote the invariant subspace of $H^2(T^3)$ generated by

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^\infty \bigcup_{j=0}^\infty z_1^i z_2^j \psi_j(z_3) H^2(T^3).$$

Then every nonzero function in M is not independent of z_3 . Hence M satisfies (a). By (i), $\psi_0(z_3) H^2(T^3) \subsetneq H^2(T^3)$. Hence by the definition of M , the linear span of $\{\bar{z}_1^m M; m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ does not contain $H^2(T^3)$, because it does not contain nonconstant functions. Thus M satisfies (b). By (ii), $(M)_3 = \mathcal{H}_3$. Since the linear span of $\{z_3^k \psi_j(z_3); k \text{ is an integer}\}$ coincides with $L^2(T)$, we have $(M)_k = \mathcal{H}_k$ for $k = 1, 2$. Thus M satisfies (c).

COROLLARY 5 [2, Proposition 4]. *Let M and M_1 be invariant subspaces of $H^2(T^n)$ such that $M \supset M_1$ and M_1 has finite codimension in M . If M_2 is an invariant subspace of M with $M_2 = \psi M_1$, where ψ is unimodular, then ψ is inner.*

Proof. Since $M \ominus M_1$ has finite dimension, it is easy to see $(M)_k = (M_1)_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $M \supset M_2$, $(M_2)_k \subset (M)_k = (M_1)_k$. By Corollary 2, ψ is inner.

COROLLARY 6. *Let M_1 and M_2 be invariant subspaces of $H^2(T^n)$. Suppose that both of $M_1 \ominus M_2$ and $M_2 \ominus M_1$ have finite dimensions. Then M_1 and M_2 are unitarily equivalent if and only if $M_1 = M_2$.*

Proof. Let M denote the invariant subspace generated by M_1 and M_2 . Then M_1 and M_2 have finite codimensions in M . Put $M_2 = \psi M_1$ for some unimodular function ψ . By Corollary 5, ψ is constant, so that $M_1 = M_2$.

3. Outer functions.

Rudin [7] showed the following.

- (i) If $S(f) = H^2(T^n)$ and $f \in H^2(T^n)$, then f is outer.
- (ii) There is an outer function f such that $S(f) \neq H^2(T^n)$.

If M is an invariant subspace of $H^2(T^n)$ such that M is unitarily equivalent to $H^2(T^n)$, then $M = \psi H^2(T^n)$ for some inner function ψ [2, Corollary 1]. In this section, we shall show that the above assertion is true if $H^2(T^n)$ is replaced by $S(f)$ for outer functions f .

THEOREM 2. *Let $f \in H^2(T^n)$ be an outer function. Then $(S(f))_k = \mathcal{H}_k$ for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

By Corollary 4, we get

COROLLARY 7. *Let $f \in H^2(T^n)$ be an outer function and let M be an invariant subspace of $H^2(T^n)$. If M is unitarily equivalent to $S(f)$, then $M = \psi S(f)$ for some inner function ψ .*

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $f \in H^2(T^n)$ be an outer function. Without loss of generality, we may assume $k = n$. By Lemma 1, $(S(f))_n = F_n \mathcal{H}_n$ for some unimodular function F_n in \mathcal{H}_n . We shall show that F_n is independent of z_n . We can write $f = F_n h$, where $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$. Write

$$z = (z', z_n) \in T^n, \quad \text{where } z' \in T^{n-1}.$$

Since f , F_n and h are contained in \mathcal{H}_n , there is a Borel subset E of T^{n-1} with $m_{n-1}(E) = 1$ such that for every fixed $z' \in E$,

$$(2) \quad f(z', z_n), F_n(z', z_n), h(z', z_n) \in H^2(T)$$

and $F_n(z', z_n)$ is inner. Since $f(z', 0) \in H^2(T^{n-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \log|f(0)| &= \log \left| \int_{T^{n-1}} f(z', 0) dm_{n-1}(z') \right| \\ &\leq \int_{T^{n-1}} \log|f(z', 0)| dm_{n-1}(z') \quad \text{by [8, p. 47]}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by our assumption,

$$\int_{T^{n-1}} \left\{ \log|f(z', 0)| - \int_T \log|f(z', z_n)| dm_1(z_n) \right\} dm_{n-1}(z') \geq 0.$$

Since $\log|f(z', 0)| \leq \int_T \log|f(z', z_n)| dm_1(z_n)$ for $z' \in E$,

$$\log|f(z', 0)| = \int_T \log|f(z', z_n)| dm_1(z_n) \quad \text{a.e. } z' \in E.$$

Thus $f(z', z_n)$ is outer for a.e. $z' \in E$. Since $f = F_n h$, for a.e. fixed $z' \in E$, we have

$$f(z', z_n) = F_n(z', z_n) h(z', z_n) \quad \text{a.e. } z_n \in T.$$

By (2), an inner function $F_n(z', z_n)$ is constant for a.e. $z' \in E$. Then for nonzero integers i ,

$$\int_{T^n} F_n(z) z_n^i dm_n(z) = \int_{T^{n-1}} dm_{n-1}(z') \int_T F_n(z', z_n) z_n^i dm_1(z_n) = 0.$$

This implies that $F_n(z)$ is independent of z_n . Hence F_n is invertible in \mathcal{H}_n , so that we get $(S(f))_n = \mathcal{H}_n$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. P. Agrawal, *Invariant subspaces of shift operator for the quarter plane*, PhD Thesis, SUNY Stony Brook, 1983.
- [2] O. P. Agrawal, D. N. Clark and R. G. Douglas, *Invariant subspaces in the polydisk*, Pacific J. Math., **121** (1986), 1–11.
- [3] P. R. Ahern and D. N. Clark, *Invariant subspaces and analytic continuation in several variables*, J. Math. Mech., **19** (1970), 963–969.
- [4] A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta Math., **81** (1949), 239–255.
- [5] S. Merrill and N. Lal, *Characterization of certain invariant subspaces of H^p and L^p spaces derived from logmodular algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **30** (1969), 463–474.
- [6] T. Nakazi, *Certain invariant subspaces of H^2 and L^2 on a bidisc*, Preprint.
- [7] W. Rudin, *Proof of a conjecture of Helson*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74** (1968), 727–729.
- [8] ———, *Function Theory in Polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [9] ———, *Invariant subspaces of H^2 on a torus*, J. Functional Anal., **61** (1985), 378–384.
- [10] R. B. Schneider, *Isometries of $H^p(U^n)$* , Canad. J. Math., **25** (1973), 92–95.

Received July 12, 1986. The author was supported in part by Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education.

KANAGAWA UNIVERSITY
YOKOHAMA 221, JAPAN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024
HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195
VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906–1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1987 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Bernhard Banaschewski, J. L. Frith and C. R. A. Gilmour, On the congruence lattice of a frame	209
Paul S. Bourdon, Density of the polynomials in Bergman spaces	215
Lawrence Jay Corwin, Approximation of prime elements in division algebras over local fields and unitary representations of the multiplicative group	223
Stephen R. Doty and John Brendan Sullivan, On the geometry of extensions of irreducible modules for simple algebraic groups	253
Karl Heinz Dovermann and Reinhard Schultz, Surgery of involutions with middle-dimensional fixed point set	275
Ian Graham, Intrinsic measures and holomorphic retracts	299
John Robert Greene, Lagrange inversion over finite fields	313
Kristina Dale Hansen, Restriction to $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of supercuspidal representations of $GL_2(F)$	327
Kei Ji Izuchi, Unitary equivalence of invariant subspaces in the polydisk	351
A. Papadopoulos and R. C. Penner, A characterization of pseudo-Anosov foliations	359
Erik A. van Doorn, The indeterminate rate problem for birth-death processes	379
Ralph Jay De Laubenfels, Correction to: "Well-behaved derivations on $C[0, 1]$"	395
Robert P. Kaufman, Correction to: "Plane curves and removable sets"	396
Richard Scott Pierce and Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler, Correction to: "Realizing central division algebras"	397