

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE ORIENTED HOMOTOPY TYPE OF SPUN 3-MANIFOLDS

ALEXANDRU ION SUCIU

THE ORIENTED HOMOTOPY TYPE OF SPUN 3-MANIFOLDS

ALEXANDER I. SUCIU

We show that, bar unexpected developments in 3-manifold theory, the fundamental group and the choice of framing determine the oriented homotopy type of spun 3-manifolds.

1. The object of this note is to classify spun 3-manifolds up to oriented homotopy type. The notion of spinning was introduced by Artin [1] in the context of knots. The asphericity of classical knots implies that spun knots with isomorphic fundamental groups have homotopy equivalent complements. What we do is extend this to closed manifolds.

Let M^3 be a closed, oriented 3-manifold, and \dot{M} be M with an open 3-ball removed. Gordon [4] defines the *spin* of M to be the closed, oriented, smooth 4-manifold $s(M) = \partial(\dot{M} \times D^2)$. Note that $s(M)$ is obtained by gluing $\dot{M} \times S^1$ to $S^2 \times D^2$ via $\text{id}_{S^2 \times S^1}$. There is one other possible choice of gluing map, the “Gluck twist” $\tau: ((\theta, \phi), \psi) \mapsto ((\theta + \psi, \phi), \psi)$ corresponding to $\pi_1(\text{SO}(3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. The resulting manifold $s'(M) = \dot{M} \times S^1 \cup_{\tau} S^2 \times D^2$ is called the *twisted spin* of M [9]. The two spins of M have the same fundamental group as M . In fact, they have identical 3-skeleta, but different attaching maps for the 4-cell. If M admits a circle action with fixed points (e.g. M is a lens space), then $s(M) \cong s'(M)$, but if M is aspherical $s(M) \neq s'(M)$, as shown by Plotnick [11].

Every closed, oriented M^3 admits a (unique up to order) connected sum decomposition $M_1 \# M_2 \# \cdots \# M_n$, with prime factors M_i either aspherical, spherical, or $S^2 \times S^1$ (see e.g. [6]). The spherical factors are of the form Σ^3/π , with Σ^3 a homotopy 3-sphere and π a finite group acting freely on Σ^3 . Consider only manifolds M^3 satisfying the condition

(1.1) All spherical factors are either homotopy 3-spheres or spherical Clifford-Klein manifolds (i.e. S^3/π , π acting linearly).

Under this assumption (no counterexamples are known!), we will prove the following

THEOREM 1.2. *If $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(M')$, then $s(M) \simeq s(M')$ and $s'(M) \simeq s'(M')$.*

Here \simeq stands for orientation-preserving homotopy equivalence. We use \cong for orientation-preserving diffeomorphism, and $-M$ for M with reversed orientation.

2. The starting point of the proof is the following theorem of C. B. Thomas [12]: Two closed, oriented 3-manifolds have the same oriented homotopy type iff the prime factors pair off by orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences. In fact, if M and M' have isomorphic π_1 , then $\pi_2(M) \cong \pi_2(M')$ as left $\mathbf{Z}\pi_1$ -modules, and the only obstructions to an oriented homotopy equivalence are the first k -invariant of the connected sum of the aspherical factors, and the second k -invariants of the spherical factors.

Now suppose M and M' satisfy condition (1.1) and that $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(M')$ but $M \neq \pm M'$. It follows from Thomas' theorem and known facts about Clifford-Klein manifolds (see e.g. Orlik [8]) that, up to connected sum with other factors, M and M' must be a connected sum of terms of the form:

$$(2.1) \quad M = M_1 \# M_2, \quad M' = (-M_1) \# M_2, \quad \text{with } M_i \neq -M_i$$

or

$$(2.2) \quad M = L(p, q), \quad M' = L(p, q'), \quad \text{with } qq' \not\equiv \pm m^2 \pmod{p}.$$

It is clear that, in order to prove our Theorem, we have to see what happens when we spin the manifolds in (2.1) and (2.2). This will be done in the next two sections.

3. We first study the behavior of spinning with respect to connected sum and change in orientation. This was done by Gordon [4] for untwisted spins. A direct argument can be given to prove a similar result for twisted spins. Instead, we will prove an equivariant version using Fintushel's classification of circle actions on 4-manifolds in terms of their "weighted orbit spaces" [2].

Given M^3 , the two spins $s(M) = \dot{M} \times S^1 \cup_{\text{id}} S^2 \times D^2$ and $s'(M) = \dot{M} \times S^1 \cup_{\tau} S^2 \times D^2$ admit effective circle actions: translation on the second factor of $\dot{M} \times S^1$ extends to $S^2 \times D^2$ via $t \cdot ((\theta, \phi), (r, \psi)) = ((\theta, \phi), (r, \psi + t))$, resp. $= ((\theta - t, \phi), (r, \psi + t))$ [9]. The weighted orbit spaces are \dot{M} (resp. M), with fixed point sets $S^2 \times \{0\}$ (resp. $S^0 \times \{0\}$) labelled 0 (resp. ± 1).

LEMMA 3.1. *There are equivariant diffeomorphisms $s(M_1 \# M_2) \cong s(M_1) \# s(M_2)$ and $s'(M_1 \# M_2) \cong s'(M_1) \# s'(M_2)$.*

Proof. Taking equivariant connected sum about suitable fixed points, one finds circle actions on $s(M_1)\#s(M_2)$ and $s'(M_1)\#s'(M_2)$ with orbit spaces $\dot{M}_1\natural\dot{M}_2$ (resp. $M_1\#M_2$) and fixed point sets S^2 (resp. S^0), labelled 0 (resp. ± 1). These are precisely the weighted orbit spaces of $s(M_1\#M_2)$ and $s'(M_1\#M_2)$. The lemma follows from [2], Theorem 9.7. \square

LEMMA 3.2. *There are equivariant diffeomorphisms $s(-M) \cong s(M)$ and $s'(-M) \cong s'(M)$.*

Proof. Certainly $s(-M) \cong -s(M)$ and $s'(-M) \cong -s'(M)$. It remains to show that $s(M)$ and $s'(M)$ admit orientation-reversing diffeomorphisms. For that, start with the map $(x, \psi) \rightarrow (x, -\psi)$ on $\dot{M} \times S^1$ and extend it to $S^2 \times D^2$ by mapping $((\theta, \phi), (r, \psi))$ to $((\theta, \phi), (r, -\psi))$, respectively to $((\theta + 2\psi, \phi), (r, -\psi))$. \square

Before proceeding with the proof, we pause for a few remarks. Recall that in general the two spins of M are not even homotopy equivalent. The next proposition shows that they are stably diffeomorphic.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *There is an equivariant diffeomorphism $s(M)\#\mathbf{CP}^2 \cong s'(M)\#\mathbf{CP}^2$.*

Proof. Define an S^1 -action on \mathbf{CP}^2 by $t \cdot (z_0 : z_1 : z_2) = (tz_0 : z_1 : z_2)$. The orbit space is D^3 and the fixed point set consists of a sphere labelled 1, and a point labelled -1 . Form the equivariant connected sums: $s(M)\#\mathbf{CP}^2$ along the fixed S^2 's and $s'(M)\#\mathbf{CP}^2$ along fixed points with opposite labels. The resulting S^1 -manifolds have the same orbit data: orbit space \dot{M} and fixed point set a sphere labelled 1, and a point labelled -1 . Hence they are equivariantly diffeomorphic. \square

REMARK 3.4. A straightforward Mayer-Vietoris sequence shows $H_2(s(M)) \cong H_2(s'(M)) \cong H_1(M) \oplus H_2(M)$. Thus, if M is a homology 3-sphere, the spins of M are homology 4-spheres [9]. Lemma 3.1 says that s and s' are homomorphisms from the monoid of oriented homology 3-spheres to the monoid of oriented homology 4-spheres. These homomorphisms are *not* injective. Indeed, if $\Sigma = \Sigma(p, q, r)$ is a Brieskorn homology sphere, then $\Sigma \neq -\Sigma$ by [7], but $s(\Sigma) \cong s(-\Sigma)$ and $s'(\Sigma) \cong s'(-\Sigma)$ by 3.2. Even if we ignore orientations s and s' fail to be injective: $(-\Sigma)\#\Sigma \neq \pm(\Sigma\#\Sigma)$ by Thomas' theorem, but $s((-\Sigma)\#\Sigma) \cong s(\pm(\Sigma\#\Sigma))$ and $s'((-\Sigma)\#\Sigma) \cong s'(\pm(\Sigma\#\Sigma))$ by 3.1 and 3.2.

4. We now study the effect of spinning on spherical 3-manifolds. As we are mainly interested in lens spaces, for which the framing is irrelevant, we will consider only untwisted spins.

Let $M^3 = \Sigma^3/\pi$ be a spherical manifold. The punctured manifold \mathring{M} has $\pi_1(\mathring{M}) = \pi$ and $\pi_2(\mathring{M}) = \mathbf{Z}\pi/N$, where $N = \sum_{g \in \pi} g$ is the norm element. The spin of M has $\pi_1(s(M)) = \pi$ and $\pi_2(s(M)) \cong I\pi \oplus \pi_2(\mathring{M})$, where $I = I\pi$ is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbf{Z}\pi$. If we let $I^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(I, \mathbf{Z})$, with left π -action given by $gx^*(y) = x^*(g^{-1}y)$, then $\mathbf{Z}\pi/N \cong I^*$. Therefore, as $\mathbf{Z}\pi$ -modules,

$$\pi_2(s(M)) \cong I \oplus I^*.$$

The equivariant intersection form on $\pi_2(s(M))$ corresponds to the canonical hyperbolic form on $I \oplus I^*$ (see [11] for details). As for the k -invariant of $s(M)$, note that the inclusion map $\mathring{M} \rightarrow s(M)$ induces $\text{id}: \pi_1(\mathring{M}) \rightarrow \pi_1(s(M))$ and the inclusion $\pi_2(\mathring{M}) \hookrightarrow I\pi \oplus \pi_2(\mathring{M})$. The induced map $H^3(\pi_1(\mathring{M}); \pi_2(\mathring{M})) \rightarrow H^3(\pi_1(s(M)); \pi_2(s(M)))$ sends $k(\mathring{M})$ to $k(s(M))$. Hence $k(s(M)) = (0, k(\mathring{M})) \in H^3(\pi; I) \oplus H^3(\pi; I^*)$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let M and M' be spherical 3-manifolds with $\mathring{M} \simeq \mathring{M}'$. Then $s(M) \simeq s(M')$.*

Proof. Let $f: \mathring{M} \rightarrow \mathring{M}'$ be the given homotopy equivalence. It induces an isomorphism $\alpha: \pi \rightarrow \pi$ and an α -isomorphism $\beta^*: I^* \rightarrow I^*$. Let $\beta: I \rightarrow I$ be the α -isomorphism dual to β^* . Define an α -isomorphism $\bar{\beta}: \pi_2(s(M)) \rightarrow \pi_2(s(M'))$ by

$$\bar{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^* \end{pmatrix}: I \oplus I^* \rightarrow I \oplus I^*.$$

Clearly $\bar{\beta}$ is an isometry of the hyperbolic form on $I \oplus I^*$. Moreover,

$$\bar{\beta}_*(k(s(M))) = (0, f_*(k(\mathring{M}))) = (0, \alpha^*(k(\mathring{M}')) = \alpha^*(k(s(M'))),$$

showing that $\bar{\beta}$ preserves k -invariants. The lemma now follows from the following result of Hambleton and Kreck:

THEOREM [5]. *The homotopy type of a closed, oriented 4-manifold with π_1 a finite group having periodic cohomology of period dividing 4 is determined by (π_1, π_2, k) and the equivariant intersection form on π_2 .* \square

Now let $L = L(p, q)$ and $L' = L(p, q')$ be two lens spaces with isomorphic fundamental group. Clearly, $s(L)$ and $s(L')$ are equivariantly

diffeomorphic if and only if L is homeomorphic to L' . Whether $s(L)$ is diffeomorphic to $s(L')$ seems an interesting question.¹ A partial answer is provided by the following corollary to Lemma 4.1.

COROLLARY 4.2. $s(L(p, q)) \simeq s(L(p, q'))$. □

As noted in [5], standard surgery techniques now yield

COROLLARY 4.3. *If p is odd, $s(L(p, q))$ is homeomorphic to $s(L(p, q'))$.* □

The homotopy equivalence in Corollary 4.2 can actually be defined “by hand”, without using [5]. Here is a sketch of the construction. Start with the usual cell decomposition $e_0 \cup e_1 \cup e_2$ for $\mathring{L} = \mathring{L}(p, q)$, with lifts \tilde{e}_i in the universal cover $\tilde{\mathring{L}}$. Then $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p$, generated by $g = [e_1]$ and $\pi_2 = \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_p/N$, generated by the boundary sphere $(g^s - 1)\tilde{e}_2$, where $qs \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Let $f: \mathring{L} \rightarrow \mathring{L}'$ be the homotopy equivalence gotten by deform retracting the punctured lens spaces to their 2-skeleta. The lift $\tilde{f}: \tilde{\mathring{L}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathring{L}'}$ takes $(g^s - 1)\tilde{e}_2$ to $x(g^{s'} - 1)\tilde{e}_2$, for some x in $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_p$. (Under the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_p/N \cong (I\mathbb{Z}_p)^*$, the map $\cdot x$ corresponds to β^* .) Similarly, \tilde{f}^{-1} takes $(g^{s'} - 1)\tilde{e}_2$ to $y(g^s - 1)\tilde{e}_2$, for some $y = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} n_i g^i \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $\bar{y} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} n_i g^{-i}$ be the conjugate of y . (The map $\cdot \bar{y}: I\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow I\mathbb{Z}_p$ is β^{-1} .) Define an extension $f: \mathring{L} \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathring{L}' \times S^1$ by sending S^1 to $\bar{y}S^1$. In general f is *not* a homotopy equivalence. But notice that

$$\tilde{f}((g^s - 1)\tilde{e}_2 \times S^1) = \bar{y}(g^s - 1)\tilde{e}_2 \times S^1 = g^{s-s'}(g^{s'} - 1)\tilde{e}_2 \times S^1,$$

i.e. \tilde{f} maps the lifts of $\partial(\mathring{L} \times S^1)$ to lifts of $\partial(\mathring{L}' \times S^1)$. Hence, up to homotopy, f preserves boundaries and thus can be extended via $\text{id}: S^2 \times D^2 \rightarrow S^2 \times D^2$ to a map $f: s(L) \rightarrow s(L')$.

The same construction, using $f^{-1}: \mathring{L}' \rightarrow \mathring{L}$ and sending S^1 to $\bar{x}S^1$, yields a map $f^{-1}: s(L') \rightarrow s(L)$. It is easy to check that $f_{\#}^{-1}$ is a chain homotopy inverse of $f_{\#}$ on the chain complexes of the universal covers. By Whitehead's theorem, f is a homotopy equivalence.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let M and M' be 3-manifolds with isomorphic fundamental groups and satisfying condition (1.1). Take prime

¹(Added in proof.) The answer is yes, they are diffeomorphic. This follows from P. S. Pao, *The topological structure of 4-manifolds with effective torus actions* (I), Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **227** (1977), 279–317.

decompositions $M = M_1 \# \cdots \# M_n$ and $M' = M'_1 \# \cdots \# M'_n$. After re-ordering the factors if necessary, the Kurosh subgroup theorem provides isomorphism $\pi_1(M_i) \cong \pi_1(M'_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. The factors M_i and M'_i are both $S^2 \times S^1$, aspherical or spherical. If $M_i = S^2 \times S^1$, then clearly $s(M_i) \cong s(M'_i)$. If M_i is aspherical, then $M_i \cong \pm M'_i$, and so, by Lemma 3.2, $s(M_i) \cong s(M'_i)$. Finally, if M_i is spherical, the discussion in §2 and Corollary 4.2 show $s(M_i) \cong s(M'_i)$.

Now piece together the orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences $s(M_i) \cong s(M'_i)$ (see [12], Lemma 2.9) to get $s(M_1) \# \cdots \# s(M_n) \cong s(M'_1) \# \cdots \# s(M'_n)$. By Lemma 3.1, $s(M) \cong s(M')$. This proves the Theorem in the untwisted case. The proof for twisted spins is identical. \square

The above proof raises the question: if $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(M')$, is $s(M) \cong s(M')$? If the aspherical pieces M_i and M'_i are Haken, then indeed $M_i \cong \pm M'_i$ and so $s(M_i) \cong s(M'_i)$. If the spherical pieces are geometric, one still has to answer the question in §4, namely is $s(L(p, q)) \cong s(L(p, q'))$?

6. We conclude with an application to knot theory. We will need the following theorem of Goldsmith and Kauffman (“Fox’s conjecture”) [3]. Let $K = (S^n, S^{n-2})$, $n \geq 3$, be a smooth knot. Let $(a, b) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} - \{(0, 0)\}$ and $k = \text{g.c.d.}(a, b)$. Then the b -fold cyclic branched cover $M_b(S^{n+1}, K^a)$ of the a -twist spin $K^a = (S^{n+1}, S^{n-1})$ is diffeomorphic to one of the two spins of the k -fold cyclic branched cover $M_k(S^n, K)$ of K . In particular, $s(M_k(S^n, K)) \cong M_k(S^{n+1}, K^0)$, where K^0 is the spin of K .

Every lens space $L(p, q)$ is the 2-fold branched cover of a unique 2-bridge link $B_{p,q}$. If p is odd, $B_{p,q}$ is a knot and we can form the 2-twist spin $B_{p,q}^2$, with exterior $X_{p,q}$ fibered over S^1 , with fiber $\dot{L}(p, q)$. Clearly $X_{p,q} \cong X_{p,q'}$ for every q, q' . But, as shown in [10], $X_{p,q} \cong X_{p,q'}(\text{rel } \partial)$ if and only if $L(p, q) \cong L(p, q')$. On the other hand, $M_{2k}(S^4, B_{p,q}^2) \cong s(L(p, q))$ and $M_{2k+1}(S^4, B_{p,q}^2) \cong S^4$ by the above result of Goldsmith and Kauffman. These facts together with Corollary 4.3 imply

COROLLARY 6.1. *There are (arbitrarily many) knots in S^4 whose exteriors are homotopy equivalent but not homotopy equivalent (rel ∂), yet all of whose finite cyclic branched covers are homeomorphic.* \square

I would like to thank Steve Plotnick for his comments which have improved the exposition of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Artin, *Zur Isotopie zweidimensionaler Flächen in R_4* , Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **4** (1926), 174–177.
- [2] R. Fintushel, *Classification of circle actions on 4-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **242** (1978), 377–390.
- [3] D. L. Goldsmith and L. H. Kauffman, *Twist spinning revisited*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **239** (1978), 229–251.
- [4] C. McA. Gordon, *A note on spun knots*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **58** (1976), 361–362.
- [5] I. Hambleton and M. Kreck, *On the classification of topological 4-manifolds with finite fundamental group*, preprint.
- [6] J. Hempel, *3-Manifolds*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1976.
- [7] W. D. Neumann and F. Raymond, *Seifert manifolds, plumbing, μ -invariant, and orientation reversing maps*, in: *Conference on Algebraic and Geometric Topology* (Santa Barbara, 1978), Springer-Verlag LNM 664, pp. 162–195.
- [8] P. Orlik, *Seifert Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag LNM 291, Berlin and New York, 1972.
- [9] S. P. Plotnick, *Circle actions and fundamental groups for homology 4-spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **273** (1982), 393–404.
- [10] ———, *The homotopy type of four-dimensional knot complements*, Math. Z., **183** (1983), 447–471.
- [11] ———, *Equivariant intersection forms, knots in S^4 , and rotations in 2-spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **296** (1986), 543–575.
- [12] C. B. Thomas, *The oriented homotopy type of compact 3-manifolds*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **19** (1969), 31–44.

Received August 5, 1986 and in revised form October 27, 1986.

YALE UNIVERSITY
NEW HAVEN, CT 06520

Current Address: Northeastern University
Boston, MA 02115

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1988 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Selman Akbulut and Henry Churchill King , Polynomial equations of immersed surfaces	209
Alberto Baider and Richard C. Churchill , The Campbell-Hausdorff group and a polar decomposition of graded algebra automorphisms	219
Wayne C. Bell and John William Hagood , Separation properties and exact Radon-Nikodým derivatives for bounded finitely additive measures	237
Dennis J. Garity, James P. Henderson and David G. Wright , Menger spaces and inverse limits	249
B. Brent Gordon , Algebraically defined subspaces in the cohomology of a Kuga fiber variety	261
Jeffrey A. Hogan , Weighted norm inequalities for the Fourier transform on connected locally compact groups	277
Guojun Liao , A study of regularity problem of harmonic maps	291
Chin-pi Lu , Modules satisfying ACC on a certain type of colons	303
Kunio Murasugi , Jones polynomials of periodic links	319
Hans Schoutens , Approximation properties for some non-Noetherian local rings	331
Peter Sjögren , Convergence for the square root of the Poisson kernel	361
Alexandru Ion Suciu , The oriented homotopy type of spun 3-manifolds	393