

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **SHIFTS OF INTEGER INDEX ON THE HYPERFINITE $II_1$ FACTOR**

GEOFFREY LYNN PRICE

# SHIFTS OF INTEGER INDEX ON THE HYPERFINITE $\text{II}_1$ FACTOR

GEOFFREY L. PRICE

**In this paper we consider shifts on the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor arising as a generalization of a construction of Powers. We determine the conjugacy classes of certain of these shifts.**

**1. Introduction.** Let  $R$  be the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor with normalized trace  $\text{tr}$ . A shift  $\alpha$  on  $R$  is an identity-preserving  $*$ -endomorphism which satisfies  $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} \alpha^m(R) = \mathbb{C}1$ . We say that  $\alpha$  has shift index  $n$  if the subfactor  $\alpha(R)$  has the same index  $n = [R : \alpha(R)]$  in  $R$  as defined by Jones, in [2].

In [3] Powers considered shifts of index 2 on  $R$ . These were constructed using functions  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  and sequences  $\{u_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of self-adjoint unitaries satisfying  $u_i u_j = \sigma(|i - j|) u_j u_i$ . If  $A(\sigma)$  is the  $*$ -algebra generated by the  $\{u_j\}$  and  $\text{tr}$  is the normalized trace on  $A(\sigma)$  defined by  $\text{tr}(w) = 0$  for any non-trivial word in the  $u_i$ , the GNS construction  $(\pi_{\text{tr}}, H_{\text{tr}}, \Omega_{\text{tr}})$  gives rise to the von Neumann algebra  $M = \pi_{\text{tr}}(A(\sigma))''$ . Different characterizations were given in [3] and [4] for  $M$  to be the hyperfinite factor  $R$ . In [4] it was shown this is the case if and only if the sequence  $\{\dots, \sigma(2), \sigma(1), \sigma(0), \sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots\}$  is aperiodic. For this case, the shift  $\alpha$  on  $M = R$  defined by the relations  $\alpha(\pi_{\text{tr}}(u_i)) = \pi_{\text{tr}}(u_{i+1})$  has index 2. In [3] it was shown that the  $\sigma$ -sequence above is a complete conjugacy invariant for  $\alpha$ . (We say shifts  $\alpha, \beta$  are conjugate if there exists an automorphism  $\gamma$  of  $R$  such that  $\alpha = \gamma \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma^{-1}$ .)

Motivated by [3], Choda in [1] considered shifts of index  $n$ , defined on  $R$  by  $\alpha(u_j) = u_{j+1}$ , for a sequence of unitaries  $\{u_j\}$  generating  $R$ , and satisfying  $(u_j)^n = 1, u_1 u_{j+1} = \sigma(j) u_{j+1} u_1$ , where  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \{1, \exp(2\pi i/n)\}$ . In this setting and under the assumption  $\alpha(R)' \cap R = \mathbb{C}1$  she characterizes the normalizer  $N(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha$  (see Definition 3.4) and the unitary  $\alpha$ -generators of  $R$ .

In this paper we generalize some of the results of [1,3,4]. In §2 we consider, for a fixed  $n$ , algebras generated by sequences  $\{u_j\}$  of unitaries, of order  $n$ , and satisfying  $u_1 u_{j+1} = \sigma(j) u_{j+1} u_1$  for functions  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \Omega_n$ , the set of  $n$ th roots of unity. We determine

necessary and sufficient conditions for these algebras, under the GNS representation for a certain trace, to generate the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $R$  in the weak closure [Theorem 2.6]. If  $\alpha$  is the shift determined by the equations  $\alpha(u_i) = u_{i+1}$ , then  $[R: \alpha(R)] = n$ . If  $n = 2$  or  $3$  it follows from [2] that  $\alpha(R)' \cap R = \mathbf{C}1$ . Here we show the somewhat surprising result that  $\alpha(R)' \cap R = \mathbf{C}1$  regardless of the index (Theorem 3.2), so that Choda's assumption holds automatically. Finally we use this result to determine  $N(\alpha)$  and show how Powers' techniques generalize to characterize the conjugacy classes of shifts of prime index  $n$ .

**2. Factor condition.** We begin by considering in more detail the construction of the last section. Fix an integer  $n > 1$ . Let  $\Omega_n$  be the  $n$ th roots of unity, and  $\sigma: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \Omega_n$  a function with  $\sigma(0) = 1$  and  $\sigma(j)^{-1} = \sigma(-j)$ . Consider the sequence  $\{u_j: j \in \mathbf{N}\}$  of distinct unitary operators, each of order  $n$ , and satisfying

$$(1) \quad u_i u_j = \sigma(i - j) u_j u_i.$$

Then the  $u_j$  generate a  $*$ -algebra,  $A(\sigma)$ , consisting of linear combinations of words  $w$  of the form  $w = u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} \cdots u_m^{i_m}$ . From (1) one observes that for words  $w, w'$  in  $A(\sigma)$  there is a  $\lambda \in \Omega_n$  such that  $ww' = \lambda w'w$ .

Define a trace  $\text{tr}$  on  $A(\sigma)$  by setting  $\text{tr}(1) = 1$  and  $\text{tr}(w) = 0$  if  $w$  is a word not a scalar multiple of the identity. Passing to the GNS construction  $(\pi_{\text{tr}}, H_{\text{tr}}, \Omega_{\text{tr}})$  we see that the representation  $\pi_{\text{tr}}$  is faithful (note that for distinct words  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$ , and  $A = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i w_i$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\text{tr}(A^*A) = \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i|^2$ ) so that we shall identify  $A(\sigma)$  with its image  $\pi_{\text{tr}}(A(\sigma))$  under  $\pi_{\text{tr}}$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|_2$  be the trace norm on  $A(\sigma)$  given by  $\|A\|_2^2 = \text{tr}(A^*A)$ . Then we observe that  $H_{\text{tr}}$  is the space of  $l^2$ -summable series  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \delta_{w_i}$ , where  $\{w_i: i \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a sequence consisting of distinct words in the  $u_j$ , and  $\delta_w(w') = 0$  if  $w^*w' \neq \lambda 1$ ,  $\delta_w(w') = \lambda$  if  $w^*w' = \lambda 1$ . Let  $A$  lie in the center of  $A(\sigma)''$ , and suppose  $A\delta_1 = \sum a_i \delta_{w_i}$ . Then for all words  $w \in A(\sigma)$ ,

$$w^*Aw\delta_1 = \sum a_i \delta_{w^*w_iw}.$$

Since  $\delta_1$  is separating for  $A(\sigma)''$  we have  $w_iw = ww_i$  for all  $i$  with  $a_i \neq 0$ . From this relation it follows immediately that  $A(\sigma)''$  has non-trivial center if and only if there are non-trivial words in the center. We record this in the following (cf. [3, Theorem 3.9], [4, Theorem 3.4]).

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $A(\sigma)$  and  $\text{tr}$  be as above. Then  $A(\sigma)''$  has non-trivial center if and only if there exists a non-trivial word in  $A(\sigma)$  such that  $w'w = ww'$  for all words  $w'$  in  $A(\sigma)$ .*

We may uniquely define a  $*$ -endomorphism  $\alpha$  on  $A(\sigma)''$  by setting  $\alpha(u_i) = u_{i+1}$ . To show  $\alpha$  is a shift, let  $A \in \bigcap \alpha^m(A(\sigma)'')$ , with  $\text{tr}(A) = 0$  and  $\|A\| \leq 1$ . Then given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are positive integers  $N < M$  and a  $B$  in the unit ball of the algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  generated by  $u_1, \dots, u_N$ , (resp.,  $C$  in the unit ball of the algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  generated by  $u_{N+1}, \dots, u_M$ ) such that  $\|(A - B)\delta_1\| < \varepsilon$  (resp.,  $\|(A - C)\delta_1\| < \varepsilon$ ). Then there are distinct non-trivial words  $w_i \in \mathcal{B}$  (resp.,  $w'_j \in \mathcal{C}$ ) so that

$$B = b_0 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i w_i \quad \left( \text{resp., } C = c_0 1 + \sum_{j=1}^l c_j w'_j \right).$$

From  $|\text{tr}(A - B)| \leq \|(A - B)\delta_1\| < \varepsilon$  we have  $|b_0| < \varepsilon$ , and similarly,  $|c_0| < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_2^2 &= \text{tr}(A^*A) = (A\delta_1, A\delta_1) \\ &\leq |((A - B)\delta_1, A\delta_1)| + |(B\delta_1, (A - C)\delta_1)| + |(B\delta_1, C\delta_1)| \\ &< \varepsilon + \varepsilon + |\text{tr}(C^*B)| = 2\varepsilon + |\overline{c_0}b_0| < 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\|A\|_2 = 0$ , so  $A = 0$ . thus  $\bigcap \alpha^m(A(\sigma)'')$  consists of scalar multiples of the identity, and we have verified the following.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $\alpha$  be the  $*$ -endomorphism defined on  $A(\sigma)''$  by  $\alpha(u_i) = u_{i+1}$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a shift.*

**DEFINITION 2.3.** Let  $w = \lambda u_{j_1}^{k_{j_1}} \cdots u_{j_l}^{k_{j_l}}$ , with  $|\lambda| = 1, k_{j_i} \neq 0 \pmod n, k_{j_i} \neq 0 \pmod n$ , and  $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_l$ . Then the length of  $w$  is  $j_l - j_1 + 1$ . If  $w = \lambda 1$  then  $w$  has length 0.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Suppose  $n = p$  where  $p$  is prime. Let  $\{a_j : j \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  be a sequence of integers such that  $a_0 = 0, a_{-j} = -a_j$ . Define  $\sigma : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \Omega_p$  by  $\sigma(j) = \exp(2\pi i a_j / p)$ . Then  $A(\sigma)''$  is the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor if and only if  $(\dots, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots)$  is aperiodic when viewed as a sequence over  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of [4, Theorem 2.3]. If  $A(\sigma)'' \neq R$  there is by Lemma 2.1 a non-trivial word  $w = u_1^{l_0} \cdots u_{m+1}^{l_m}$  in its center. If  $w = \alpha(w')$  for some word  $w'$  it is easy to show  $w'$  is also central, so we may assume  $l_0 \neq 0 \pmod p$ . We may also assume  $l_m \neq 0 \pmod p$

and that  $m + 1$  is the minimum length among all central words. If  $v = u_1^{q_0} \cdots u_{m+1}^{q_m}$  is another such word it is apparent using (1) that  $v = \lambda w^c$  for some integer  $c$ , some  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ . For let  $c$  satisfy  $\text{cl}_m = q_m(p)$ , then by (1) one sees that  $w^c v^{-1}$  is a central word of shorter length than  $w$ , and must therefore be a scalar multiple of 1.

Now  $u_j w = w u_j$  for all  $j$ . Setting  $j = 1$ , and using (1) repeatedly, one has

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 w &= u_1 u_1^{l_0} u_2^{l_1} \cdots u_{m+1}^{l_m} = \sigma(0)^{l_0} u_1^{l_0} u_1 u_2^{l_1} \cdots u_{m+1}^{l_m} \\ &= \sigma(0)^{l_0} \sigma(1)^{l_1} u_1^{l_0} u_2^{l_1} u_1 u_3^{l_2} \cdots u_{m+1}^{l_m} \\ &= [\sigma(0)^{l_0} \sigma(1)^{l_1} \cdots \sigma(m)^{l_m}] w u_1 = \exp \left( 2\pi i \left( \sum_{s=0}^m l_s a_s \right) / p \right) w u_1, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\sum_{s=0}^m l_s a_s = 0(p)$ . Making similar calculations for  $u_j w = w u_j$  one obtains the following homogeneous system over  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & \begin{array}{ccccccccc} l_0 a_0 & + & l_1 a_1 & + & l_2 a_2 & + \cdots + & l_m a_m & = & 0(p) \\ -l_0 a_1 & + & l_1 a_0 & + & l_2 a_1 & + \cdots + & l_m a_{m-1} & = & 0(p) \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & \\ -l_0 a_m & - & l_1 a_{m-1} & - & l_2 a_{m-2} & - \cdots - & l_m a_1 & = & 0(p) \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting one has

$$(3) \quad AL = [0, 0, \dots]^T \text{ mod } p$$

where  $L = [l_0, \dots, l_m]^T$ , and

$$(4) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_m \\ -a_1 & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{m-1} \\ -a_2 & -a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & a_{m-2} \\ \vdots & & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $A_0, A_1, \dots$  be the rows of  $A$ . From the symmetry of  $A$  it is straightforward to observe that for  $q \geq m$ ,

$$l_0 A_1 + l_1 A_{q-1} + \cdots + l_m A_{q-m} = [0, 0, \dots, 0],$$

so that the rank of  $A$  (over  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ ) coincides with the rank of the matrix  $A'$  consisting of the first  $m + 1$  rows of  $A$ . By the argument in the previous paragraph, central words of minimal length correspond to solutions  $K$  of  $A'K = [0, 0, \dots, 0]^T$ , so the only solutions to this equation are of the form  $K = cL, c \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ . Hence  $A$  has a rank  $m$  over  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ .

From the symmetry of  $A'$  one observes  $A'\tilde{L} = [0, 0, \dots, 0]^T$ , where  $\tilde{L} = [l_m, \dots, l_0]^T$ . Hence  $\tilde{L} = cL$  for some  $c$  in  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ . Hence if  $(A_0)_j$  is the row vector obtained from  $A_j$  by reversing the order of the entries then  $(A_0)_j$  has inner product 0 with  $L$ . This fact, and the property that rows  $A_{m+1}, A_{m+2}, \dots$  are in the span of rows  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  imply that  $BL = [0, 0, \dots, 0]^T$ , where  $B$  is a row consisting of any  $m+1$  consecutive entries of the sequence  $(\dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$ . Therefore, for any  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ , if  $B_j = [a_j, \dots, a_{j+m}]$ ,  $B_{j+1}^T = C(B_j^T)$ , where

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ cl_0 & cl_1 & \dots & & cl_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $c$  is an integer such  $cl_m = -1 \pmod{p}$ .  $C$  is invertible over  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ , so  $C^s = I$  for some  $s$ , and therefore  $B_{j+s} = B_j$ , all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ , so that  $(\dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$  is periodic.

Conversely, suppose the sequence is periodic, with period length  $m$ . Consider the homogeneous system  $AX = [0, 0, \dots]^T$ , where  $X = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m]^T$  and  $A$  is as above. Using the periodicity  $a_j = a_{j+m}$  one observes that the  $(m+j)$ th equation coincides with the  $j$ th equation, for all  $j$ , so the system  $AX = 0$  reduces to  $m$  equations in  $m+1$  unknowns. Let  $L = [l_0, \dots, l_m]^T$  be a non-trivial solution. Then repeated use of (1) shows that the (non-trivial) word  $w = u_1^{l_0} \dots u_{m+1}^{l_m}$  lies in the center, so that  $A(\sigma)''$  is not a factor.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Suppose  $n = p^r$  where  $p$  is prime. Let  $\{a_j : j \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  be a sequence of integers such that  $a_0 = 0, a_{-j} = -a_j$  and  $\sigma : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \Omega_n$  the function defined by  $\sigma(j) = \exp(2\pi i a_j / p^r)$ . Then  $A(\sigma)''$  is the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor if and only if  $(\dots, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots)$  is an aperiodic sequence over  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A(\sigma)''$  has non-trivial center. Then there is a non-trivial word  $w$  in the center. Since  $w^{p^r} = \lambda 1$ , some  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ , we may assume by replacing  $w$  with an appropriate power  $w^{p^k}$  if necessary, that  $w$  is a non-trivial word such that  $w^p = \lambda 1$ . As in the proof of the theorem we may assume further that  $w$  has minimal length among all such central words and that

$$w = u_1^{k_0} \dots u_{m+1}^{k_m},$$

where  $k_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$ . Moreover, since  $w^p$  is a scalar it follows from (1) that  $p^{r-1}$  divides  $k_j$ , for all  $j$ .

We have  $u_j w = w u_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ . Calculating as in the preceding proof one derives the system

$$\begin{aligned} k_0 a_0 + k_1 a_1 + \cdots + k_m a_m &= 0 \ (p^r) \\ -k_0 a_1 + k_1 a_0 + \cdots + k_m a_{m-1} &= 0 \ (p^r) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Let  $l_j = k_j / p^{r-1}$ , then we obtain the same system as in (3), where  $L = [l_0, \dots, l_m]^T$ . Hence the sequence  $(\dots, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots)$  is periodic over  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ , as before.

Conversely, if the sequence is periodic, with period  $m$ , we showed there is a non-trivial solution  $L$  to the system  $AL = 0 \pmod{p}$ . Let  $k_j = l_j p^{r-1}$ . Since  $l_0 \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $k_0 \neq 0 \pmod{p^r}$  so that  $K = [k_0, \dots, k_m]^T$  is a non-trivial solution to the system  $AK = 0 \pmod{p^r}$ . It is then straightforward to show that the corresponding word  $w = u_1^{k_0} \cdots u_{m+1}^{k_m}$  commutes with the  $\{u_j\}$  so that  $w$  is central and  $A(\sigma)''$  is not a factor.  $\square$

The corollary allows us to proceed to the general case. Let  $n$  have prime factorization  $p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_s^{r_s}$ . Let  $\Omega_n$  be the  $n$ th roots of unity. Let

$$\phi: \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p_1^{r_1}\mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z}/p_s^{r_s}\mathbf{Z}$$

be the isomorphism given by  $k \rightarrow (kn_1 P_1, \dots, kn_s P_s)$  where  $P_q = n/(p_q^{r_q})$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_s$  satisfy  $\sum n_q P_q = 1$ . We denote by  $\phi(k)_q$  the  $q$ th entry of  $\phi(k)$ ,  $\phi(k)_q \in \mathbf{Z}/p_q^{r_q}$ .

As before, let  $\{u_j: j \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be unitaries, each of order  $n$ , satisfying  $u_i u_j = \sigma(i-j) u_j u_i$ , for some function  $\sigma: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \Omega_n$  satisfying  $\sigma(0) = 1$  and  $\sigma(j)^{-1} = \sigma(-j)$ . For fixed  $j \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $q \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$  set  $u_{jq} = u_j^{n_q P_q}$ . The following properties are easily verified:

$$(5.1) \quad u_j = \prod_{q=1}^s u_{jq},$$

$$(5.2) \quad \alpha(u_{jq}) = u_{j+1,q}, \quad j \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Also, using (1) we have the properties

$$(5.3) \quad u_{iq} u_{jq'} = u_{jq'} u_{iq} \quad \text{if } q \neq q',$$

$$(5.4) \quad u_{iq} u_{jq} = \sigma(i-j)^{(n_q P_q)^2} u_{jq} u_{iq}.$$

Let  $A(\sigma)_q$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq s$  be the subalgebra of  $A(\sigma)$  generated by the  $\{u_{jq}: j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ .

**THEOREM 2.6.**  $A(\sigma)''$  is a factor if and only if  $A(\sigma)''_q$  is a factor, for each  $q$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A \in A(\sigma)'_{q_0} \cap A(\sigma)''_{q_0}$ . Then  $A \in A(\sigma)'_q$  for all  $q \neq q_0$ , by (5.3). Hence  $A \in A(\sigma)' \cap A(\sigma)''$  since the algebras  $A(\sigma)_q$  generate  $A(\sigma)$ . So if  $A$  is non-trivial,  $A(\sigma)''$  cannot be a factor.

Conversely, suppose  $A(\sigma)''$  is not a factor. Then there is a non-trivial word  $w = u_1^{l_1} \cdots u_m^{l_m}$  in  $A(\sigma)$ , by Lemma 2.1. Using (1) and (5) there is a  $\lambda$  of modulus 1 such that

$$w = \lambda \prod_{q=1}^s \left( \prod_{j=1}^m u_{jq}^{l_j} \right).$$

Choose  $q_0$  such that  $w_{q_0} = \prod_{j=1}^m u_{jq_0}^{l_j}$  is non-trivial. Since  $u_{kq}w = wu_{kq}$  for all  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $q \neq q_0$ , it follows from (5.3) that  $u_{kq_0}w_{q_0} = w_{q_0}u_{kq_0}$ . Hence  $w_{q_0}$  is central in  $A(\sigma)_{q_0}$  and  $A(\sigma)''_{q_0}$  is not a factor.  $\square$

**REMARK.** It is straightforward to show that if each  $A(\sigma)''_q$  is a factor then  $A(\sigma) \cong \otimes_q A(\sigma)_q$ . We omit the proof since we do not require this result.

**THEOREM 2.7.** Let  $\{k_j: j \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  such that  $k_{-j} = -k_j$  and  $\sigma: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \Omega_n$  the function given by  $\sigma(j) = \exp(2\pi i k_j/n)$ . Let  $\phi: \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p_1^{r_1}\mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z}/p_s^{r_s}\mathbf{Z}$  be the mapping defined above. Then  $A(\sigma)''$  is a factor if and only if, for each  $q$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq s$ , the sequence

$$(\dots, \phi(k_{-2})_q, \phi(k_{-1})_q, \phi(k_0)_q, \phi(k_1)_q, \phi(k_2)_q, \dots)$$

is aperiodic over  $\mathbf{Z}/p_q\mathbf{Z}$ .

*Proof.* We have, for fixed  $q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1q}u_{j+1,q} &= u_1^{n_q P_q} u_{j+1}^{n_q P_q} = \sigma(j)^{(n_q P_q)^2} u_{j+1}^{n_q P_q} u_1^{n_q P_q} \\ &= \sigma(j)^{(n_q P_q)^2} u_{j+1,q} u_{1q} = \exp(2\pi i k_j/n)^{(n_q P_q)^2} u_{j+1,q} u_{1q} \\ &= \left[ \prod_c \exp(2\pi i [k_j n_c / (p_c^{r_c} c)]) \right]^{(n_q P_q)^2} u_{j+1,q} u_{1q} \\ &= \exp(2\pi i n_q k_j / (p_q^{r_q}))^{(n_q P_q)^2} u_{j+1,q} u_{1q} \\ &= \exp(2\pi i \phi(k_j)_q / (p_q^{r_q}))^{n_q^2 P_q} u_{j+1,q} u_{1q}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.5, therefore, the von Neumann algebra  $A(\sigma)''_q$  is a factor if and only if the sequence  $(\dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$  is aperiodic mod  $p_q$ , where  $a_j = \phi(k_j)_q (n_q^2 P_q)$ . But  $n_q^2 P_q$  is relatively prime



to  $p_q$ , so the sequence above is aperiodic over  $\mathbb{Z}/p_q\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $(\dots, \phi(k_{-1})_q, \phi(k_0)_q, \phi(k_1)_q, \dots)$  is also. The preceding theorem now yields the result.

**3. A conjugacy invariant for generalized shifts.** In what follows we shall adhere to the following assumptions and notation. Let  $n > 1$  be a fixed integer, and let  $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \Omega_n$  be a mapping such that under the trace  $\text{tr}$ , the algebra  $A(\sigma)$  generated by the words  $u_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , has weak closure  $A(\sigma)''$  isomorphic to  $R$ , the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor. As before,  $\alpha$  is the shift on  $R$  determined by the conditions  $\alpha(u_i) = u_{i+1}$ .

The following result justifies the terminology *shift of index  $n$* .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The subfactor  $\alpha(R)$  of  $R$  has index  $[R: \alpha(R)] = n$ .*

*Proof.* For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , let  $V_i$  be the subspace  $V_i = \overline{\alpha(R)u_1^i}$  in  $L^2(R, \text{tr})$ . Then the  $V_i$  span  $L^2(R, \text{tr})$ . Moreover, if  $w, w'$  are any words in  $\alpha(R)$ , we have  $\text{tr}([wu_1^i]^*[w'u_1^j]) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Since  $\alpha(R)$  is the strong closure of linear combinations of words we see that the  $V_i$  are orthogonal subspaces. The rest of the argument follows through exactly as in the proof of [2, Example 2.3.2].  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a shift on  $R$  constructed as above. Then  $\alpha(R)' \cap R = \mathbb{C}1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{w_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence of non-trivial words of  $A(\sigma)$  such that  $w_i^*w_j \neq \lambda 1$  for any  $i \neq j$  and if  $w$  is a non-trivial word of  $A(\sigma)$  then  $w = \lambda w_i$  for some  $i$  and some  $\lambda$  of modulus 1.

Suppose  $A \in \alpha(R)' \cap R$ , then we have  $A\delta_1 = a_0\delta_1 + \sum a_i\delta_{w_i}$ , for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , as in the discussion preceding Lemma 2.1. Then for  $w \in \alpha(R)$ ,

$$a_0\delta_w + \sum a_i\delta_{w_iw} = Aw\delta_1 = wA\delta_1 = a_0\delta_w + \sum a_i\delta_{ww_i}.$$

Since  $\delta_1$  is separating for  $R$  there are non-trivial words in  $\alpha(R)' \cap R$  if  $A$  is non-trivial.

Assuming  $\alpha(R)' \cap R$  is non-trivial, and arguing as in Corollary 2.5, there exists a non-trivial word  $w \in \alpha(R)' \cap R$  such that  $w^p = \lambda 1$  for some prime  $p$  dividing  $[R: \alpha(R)]$ . Since  $\alpha(R)$  is a factor,  $w \notin \alpha(R)$ , so  $w$  has the form  $u_1^{k_0}u_2^{k_1}\dots u_{m+1}^{k_m}$  with  $k_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . Moreover, we may assume that  $m+1$  is the minimal length among all words  $w$  in  $\alpha(R)' \cap R$  such that  $w^p$  is a scalar multiple of 1.

Since  $w^p = \lambda 1$  it follows from (1), then, that  $n/p$  divides each  $k_j$ . Hence  $w$  lies in the subalgebra  $A$  of  $A(\sigma)$  generated by  $u_1^{(n/p^r)}$  and its

shifts, where  $p^r$  is the largest power of  $p$  dividing  $n$ . By Theorem 2.6,  $A''$  is a subfactor of  $A(\sigma)''$ , and by hypothesis,  $w \in \alpha(A)' \cap A''$ . Set  $v_1 = u_1^{(n/p^r)}$ , and  $v_{j+1} = \alpha^j(v_1)$ . From the preceding paragraph, we have  $w = v_1^{q_0} \cdots v_{m+1}^{q_m}$ , where  $q_j = k_j p^r / n$ . Let  $\sigma': \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \Omega_{p^r}$  be the function satisfying  $v_i v_j = \sigma'(|i - j|) v_j v_i$ , and let  $\{a_j: j \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}\}$  be integers such that

$$\sigma'(j) = \exp(2\pi i a_j / p^r).$$

Since  $A''$  is a factor, the sequence  $(\dots, -a_2, -a_1, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$  is aperiodic mod  $p$ , by Corollary 2.5.

From  $v_1 w \neq w v_1$ ,  $v_j w = w v_j$ ,  $j \geq 2$ , we obtain, as in Corollary 2.5, the following system of equations over  $\mathbf{Z}/p^r \mathbf{Z}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q_0 a_0 + q_1 a_1 + \cdots + q_m a_m &\neq 0 \ (p^r) \\ -q_0 a_1 + q_1 a_0 + \cdots + q_m a_{m-1} &= 0 \ (p^r) \\ -q_0 a_2 - q_1 a_1 + \cdots + q_m a_{m-2} &= 0 \ (p^r) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p^{r-1}$  divides each  $q_j$  we obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned} l_0 a_0 + l_1 a_1 + \cdots + l_m a_m &\neq 0 \ (p) \\ -l_0 a_1 + l_1 a_0 + \cdots + l_m a_{m-1} &= 0 \ (p) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

by setting  $l_j = q_j / p^{r-1}$ .

Define a new sequence  $z_1, \dots$  of unitaries of order  $p$  satisfying  $z_i z_j = \sigma''(|i - j|) z_j z_i$ , where  $\sigma''(j) = \exp(2\pi i a_j / p)$ . From Corollary 2.5 the  $z_j$  generate a factor  $M$  under the usual trace representation, with shift  $\beta$  satisfying  $\beta(z_i) = z_{i+1}$  and  $[M: \beta(M)] = p$ . By [1, Theorem 3.7]  $\beta(M)' \cap M$  is trivial. But (6) implies that  $z_1^{l_0} \cdots z_{m+1}^{l_m}$  lies in  $\beta(M)' \cap M$ , a contradiction. Hence (6) cannot hold, and  $\alpha(R)' \cap R$  is trivial.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.3.** Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be shifts on  $R$ . Then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are conjugate if there is a  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(R)$  such that  $\alpha = \gamma \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma^{-1}$ .

The preceding definition appears in [3], where it is shown, [3, Theorem 3.6], that for shifts of index 2 the corresponding functions  $\sigma = \sigma_\alpha: \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  are a complete conjugacy invariant (cf. also [1]). Using techniques essentially the same as Powers' we prove an analogue for more general shifts.

We need the following definition.

**DEFINITION 3.4.** Let  $\alpha$  be a shift of index  $n$  of  $R$ . The normalizer  $N(\alpha)$  is the subset of unitary elements  $V$  of  $R$  such that  $V\alpha^k(R)V^* = \alpha^k(R)$  for all  $k$ .

**THEOREM 3.5.** A unitary  $V \in R$  lies in  $N(\alpha)$  if and only if  $V$  is a scalar multiple of a word in  $A(\sigma)$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that words lie in  $N(\alpha)$ . Suppose  $V \in N(\alpha)$ . Let  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(R)$  be defined by  $\theta(u_1) = \zeta u_1$ , where  $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/n)$ , and  $\theta(u_j) = u_j$  for  $j > 1$  (see [1, Corollary 3.8]). It is straightforward to show that  $\alpha(R)$  is the fixed point algebra of  $\theta$ . We show that  $\theta(V) = \zeta^k V$  for some  $k$ .

Let  $W \in \alpha(R)$ , then  $V^* W V \in \alpha(R)$ , so  $V^* W V = \theta(V^* W V) = \theta(V^*) W \theta(V)$ . Hence  $V\theta(V^*) \in \alpha(R)' \cap R$ . Therefore  $V = \lambda \theta(V)$ , by the preceding theorem. Since  $\theta^n = \text{id}$ ,  $V = \theta^n(V) = \lambda \theta^{n-1}(V) = \dots = \lambda^n V$ , so  $\lambda$  is an  $n$ th root of unity, i.e.,  $\theta(V) = \zeta^{k_1} V$  for some  $k_1$ .

Let  $Z_1 = u_1^{-k_1} V$ , then  $\theta(Z_1) = Z_1$ , so  $Z_1 \in \alpha(R)$ , and there is a  $V_1 \in R$  such that  $\alpha(V_1) = Z_1$ . Hence  $V = u_1^{k_1} \alpha(V_1)$ . Also  $V_1 \in N(\alpha)$ , so that for some  $k_2$ ,  $\theta(V_1) = \zeta^{k_2} V_1$ . Hence  $Z_2 = u_1^{-k_2} V_1$  lies in  $\alpha(R)$ . There is then a  $V_2 \in R$  such that  $\alpha(V_2) = Z_2$ , and therefore,

$$V = u_1^{k_1} Z_1 = u_1^{k_1} \alpha(V_1) = u_1^{k_1} \alpha(u_1^{k_2} Z_2) = u_1^{k_1} u_2^{k_2} \alpha^2(V_2).$$

Continuing in this fashion we find that for any  $m$  there are constants  $k_j$  and a unitary  $V_{m+1}$  such that

$$V = u_1^{k_1} u_2^{k_2} \dots u_m^{k_m} \alpha^{m+1}(V_{m+1}).$$

Let  $s = \sup\{m: k_m \neq 0 \bmod n\}$ . We shall show that  $s$  is finite.

To do so, we make the following observation (cf. [3, Lemma 3.3]). If  $w$  is a non-trivial word generated by  $u_1, \dots, u_q$  and  $w'$  is any word in  $R$ , then  $\text{tr}(w\alpha^l(w')) = 0$ , for  $l \geq q$ . Since any  $A \in R$  is a strong limit of linear combinations of words in  $R$  then  $\text{tr}(w\alpha^l(A)) = 0$ , for  $l \geq q$ .

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and words  $w_i$  in the algebra generated by  $u_1, \dots, u_q$  such that  $\|V - V_0\|_2 < \varepsilon$ , where  $V_0 = \sum_{i=1}^c a_i w_i$ . Let  $m > q$  be an integer such that  $k_m \neq 0 \bmod n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &> |\text{tr}(V^*[V - V_0])| \\ &= |1 - \text{tr}(\alpha^{m+1}(V_{m+1}^*) u_m^{-k_m} \dots u_1^{-k_1} V_0)| = 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction if  $\varepsilon < 1$ . This yields the result.

Using the preceding characterization of the elements of  $N(\alpha)$ , we may obtain the following results on the conjugacy classes of shifts of prime index.

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a shift of prime index  $p$  constructed as above. Let  $u, v$  be  $\alpha$ -generators of  $R$ . Then  $u = \mu v^k$  for some  $k$  relatively prime to  $p$ , and some  $\mu$  in  $\Omega_p$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $u$  and  $v$  are  $\alpha$ -generators, and since each is an element of  $N(\alpha)$ , then by Theorem 3.5,  $u = \mu v^{k_0} \alpha(u^{k_1}) \cdots \alpha^m(v^{k_m})$ , and  $v = \nu u^{t_0} \alpha(u^{t_1}) \cdots \alpha^m(u^{t_m})$ , for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \Omega_p$ , and integers  $t_j, k_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Substituting the latter expression for  $v$  into the first equation, we obtain  $u = \zeta u^{q_0} \alpha(u^{q_1}) \cdots \alpha^{2m}(u)^{q_{2m}}$ , for some  $\zeta \in \Omega_p$ , where  $q_j = k_j t_0 + k_{j-1} t_1 + \cdots + k_0 t_j$  modulo  $(p)$ . An argument similar to the proof of [3, Theorem 3.4] shows that  $q_j = 0$  modulo  $(p)$ , for  $j > 1$ . If  $t_r$  is the last non-zero exponent in the expression for  $v$ , then starting with the expression for  $q_{m+r}$  and working backwards to  $q_{r+1}$ , one observes successively that  $k_m = k_{m-1} = \cdots = k_1 = 0$ . Hence  $u = \mu v^{k_0}$ .  $\square$

**REMARK.** The result above does not hold for shifts of general index. Taking  $n = 4$ , for example, one checks that if  $u$  is an  $\alpha$ -generator, then so is  $v = u\alpha(u^2)$ , since  $u = \mu v\alpha(v^2)$ , some  $\mu \in \Omega_4$ .

We omit the proof of the following result, which is virtually identical to the proof of [3, Theorem 3.6].

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be shifts of prime index  $p$  on  $R$ , constructed as above. Then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are conjugate if and only if they correspond to the same  $\sigma$ -function  $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \Omega_p$ .*

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *There are an uncountable number of non-conjugate shifts of  $R$  of prime index  $p$  constructed as above.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately since there are uncountably many functions  $\sigma$  satisfying the statement of Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

In [3] Powers introduced the notion of outer conjugacy for shifts. We say that shifts  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are outer conjugate if there are a  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(R)$  and a unitary  $U \in R$  such that  $\alpha \in \text{Ad}(U) = \gamma \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma^{-1}$ . The index of a shift is an outer conjugacy invariant, and so is the first positive

$m$  ( $m \in \{2, 3, \dots\} \cup \{\infty\}$ , by Theorem 3.2) such that  $\alpha^m(R)$  has non-trivial relative commutant. It is not known if this condition is also sufficient, even in the case of shifts of index 2 (cf. [3]).

**Acknowledgments.** We are grateful to Professor Robert T. Powers for conversations and we thank Professor Marie Choda for sending us a preprint of [1]. We also thank Professor Hong-sheng Yin for pointing out an error in the original manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Choda, *Shifts on the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$ -factor*, J. Operator Theory, **17** (1987), 223–235.
- [2] V. F. R. Jones, *Index for subfactors*, Invent. Math., **72** (1983), 1–25.
- [3] R. T. Powers, *An index theory for semigroups of  $*$ -endomorphisms of  $B(H)$  and type  $\text{II}_1$  factors*, Canad. J. Math., **39** (1987), 492–511.
- [4] G. Price, *Shifts on type  $\text{II}_1$  factors*, Canad. J. Math., **39** (1987), 492–511.

Received October 20, 1986. Supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY  
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21402

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN  
(Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HERBERT CLEMENS  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI  
University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98195

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

ROBION KIRBY  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1988 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Jeffery Marc Bergen and Luisa Carini, <a href="#">A note on derivations with power central values on a Lie ideal</a> .....	209
Alfonso Castro and Sumalee Unsurangsie, <a href="#">A semilinear wave equation with nonmonotone nonlinearity</a> .....	215
Marius Dadarlat, <a href="#">On homomorphisms of matrix algebras of continuous functions</a> .....	227
A. Didierjean, <a href="#">Quelques classes de cobordisme non orienté refusant de se fibrer sur des sphères</a> .....	233
Edward George Effros and Zhong-Jin Ruan, <a href="#">On matricially normed spaces</a> .....	243
Sherif El-Helaly and Taqdir Husain, <a href="#">Orthogonal bases are Schauder bases and a characterization of <math>\Phi</math>-algebras</a> .....	265
Edward Richard Fadell and Peter N-S Wong, <a href="#">On deforming <math>G</math>-maps to be fixed point free</a> .....	277
Jean-Jacques Gervais, <a href="#">Stability of unfoldings in the context of equivariant contact-equivalence</a> .....	283
Douglas Martin Grenier, <a href="#">Fundamental domains for the general linear group</a> .....	293
Ronald Scott Irving and Brad Shelton, <a href="#">Loewy series and simple projective modules in the category <math>\mathbb{C}_S</math></a> .....	319
Russell Allan Johnson, <a href="#">On the Sato-Segal-Wilson solutions of the K-dV equation</a> .....	343
Thomas Alan Keagy and William F. Ford, <a href="#">Acceleration by subsequence transformations</a> .....	357
Min Ho Lee, <a href="#">Mixed cusp forms and holomorphic forms on elliptic varieties</a> .....	363
Charles Livingston, <a href="#">Indecomposable surfaces in 4-space</a> .....	371
Geoffrey Lynn Price, <a href="#">Shifts of integer index on the hyperfinite <math>\Pi_1</math> factor</a> ....	379
Andrzej Śladek, <a href="#">Witt rings of complete skew fields</a> .....	391