

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

EMBEDDING 2-COMPLEXES IN \mathbb{R}^4

MARKO KRANJC

EMBEDDING 2-COMPLEXES IN \mathbf{R}^4

MARKO KRANJC

Using Freedman's results it is not very hard to see that every finite acyclic 2-complex embeds in \mathbf{R}^4 tamely. In the present paper a relative version of this fact is proved. We also study when a finite acyclic 2-complex with one extra 2-cell attached along its boundary can be tamely embedded in \mathbf{R}^4 .

Introduction. In 1955 A. Shapiro found a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of embeddings of finite n -complexes in \mathbf{R}^{2n} if $n > 2$ (see [14]) by defining an obstruction using the ideas of H. Whitney ([15]). The obstruction is not homotopy invariant and is in general quite hard to compute. It is well-known that any finite acyclic n -complex embeds in \mathbf{R}^{2n} if $n \neq 2$ (see for example [8]). Not long ago it was proved in [16] that any finite n -complex K with $H^n(K)$ cyclic embeds in \mathbf{R}^{2n} if $n > 2$.

It is known that any finite acyclic 2-complex can be embedded in \mathbf{R}^4 (see [9], compare also with [11]). In the present paper the following relative version is proved.

THEOREM 1. *Let K be a finite 2-complex obtained from a 2-complex L by adjoining one 2-cell e along its boundary. If $H^2(K) = 0$ then any π_1 -negligible tame embedding of L into \mathbf{R}^4 can be extended to a π_1 -negligible tame embedding of K into \mathbf{R}^4 .*

REMARK. This result is the best possible in the following sense: there exists a π_1 -negligible embedding of a finite acyclic 2-complex into \mathbf{R}^4 which cannot be extended over an additional 2-cell (see §3).

In §2 the following is proved:

THEOREM 2. *Let L be a finite acyclic 2-complex. Suppose K is obtained from L by attaching one additional 2-cell e_0 along its boundary. If a regular neighborhood of some complex \tilde{K} which carries the second homology of K can be embedded in some orientable 3-manifold then K can be tamely embedded in \mathbf{R}^4 .*

Note. $\tilde{K} \subset K$ carries the second homology of K if the inclusion $\tilde{K} \subset K$ induces an isomorphism $H_2(\tilde{K}) \approx H_2(K)$. A regular neighborhood

of \tilde{K} is the union of all simplices in the second barycentric subdivision of K which intersect \tilde{K} (compare with [13], page 33).

The author believes that this theorem is true without the condition on \tilde{K} .

The above results give only tame embeddings because the proofs use the disc embedding theorem (see [6]). To our best knowledge it is not even known if every finite contractible 2-complex embeds in \mathbf{R}^4 smoothly (i.e.: by an embedding which is smooth on the interior of each cell).

1. Embedding acyclic 2-complexes in \mathbf{R}^4 . In what follows all 2-complexes will be finite simplicial or cell complexes. Everything will be smooth or PL except when the results of [5] will be used. All immersions will be regular (i.e.: self-intersections will be transverse and there will be no triple points). Familiarity with the basic work of Freedman and Quinn ([6]) is assumed. We are going to use the disc embedding theorem in the following form:

THEOREM (Disc Embedding Theorem). *Let M be a simply-connected 4-manifold with boundary, and let $f: (D^2, \partial D^2) \rightarrow (M, \partial M)$ be a framed regular immersion which restricts to an embedding on ∂D^2 . Suppose there exists a transverse sphere S for $f(D^2)$ such that the homological intersection number $S \cdot S$ is even. Then there is a topologically framed disc in M with the same framed boundary as $f(\partial D^2)$; furthermore, the resulting tame disc has a transverse sphere.*

Note. If F is a connected surface immersed in a 4-manifold then a transverse sphere for F is an immersed sphere which intersects F transversely in a single point.

A proof of the disc embedding theorem can be found in [5]. However, since our formulation is slightly stronger, a Casson tower has to be constructed more carefully to ensure the existence of the transverse sphere. This can be achieved by using recent techniques of 4-dimensional topology which are described for example in [2] and in [6] (see [11]).

LEMMA 1. *If $f: K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$ is a regular immersion of a 2-complex K then $H^2(f(K))$ is isomorphic to $H^2(K)$.*

Proof. Since f is a regular immersion, the singular set of f is finite, say $\{y_1, \dots, y_t\}$ and so is each set $f^{-1}(y_i)$. Clearly $f(K)$ is

homeomorphic to $K/f^{-1}(y_1)/\cdots/f^{-1}(y_t)$. Let K_i be the set $K/f^{-1}(y_1)/\cdots/f^{-1}(y_i)$. Then $K_i = K_{i-1}/f^{-1}(y_i)$. From the exact sequence of the pair $(K_{i-1}, f^{-1}(y_i))$ we get the isomorphism $H^2(K_{i-1}) = H^2(K_i)$, since $H^s(f^{-1}(y_i))$ is trivial for $s > 0$. It follows that $H^2(K) = H^2(K_0) = H^2(K_t) = H^2(f(K))$.

LEMMA 2. *If K is a 2-complex and if e is a 2-cell of K then any embedding of $\overline{K - e}$ in \mathbf{R}^4 can be extended to an embedding of $(\overline{K - e}) \cup (a \text{ collar of } \partial e \text{ in } e)$.*

Proof. Let $f: \overline{K - e} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$ be an embedding. We can extend f to a regular immersion $g: K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$. $g(e)$ intersects $g(\overline{K - e})$ in finitely many points x_1, \dots, x_s . Let X be the set $(\bigcup_{i=1}^s g^{-1}(x_i)) \cap e$. Then X is again a finite set and $g|_{K - X}$ is an embedding. Since X is contained in the interior of e , there is a collar A of ∂e in e which does not contain any point of X . Therefore $g|_{(\overline{K - e}) \cup A}$ is an embedding.

LEMMA 3. *Let K be a 2-complex obtained from a 2-complex L by adjoining a single 2-cell e to L along its boundary. Suppose $H^2(K) = 0$. If A is a collar of ∂e in e then any π_1 -negligible embedding $f: L \cup A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$ can be extended to a π_1 -negligible embedding $g: K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha = f(\partial A - \partial e)$. Let N be a regular neighborhood of $f(L)$ in \mathbf{R}^4 containing $f(L \cup A)$ and such that $\alpha = \partial N \cap f(L \cup A)$. Since the embedding f is π_1 -negligible, $\mathbf{R}^4 - N$ is simply-connected and therefore α bounds a regularly immersed disc D such that $N \cap \text{int}(D) = \emptyset$.

Since $N \cup D$ retracts to $L \cup A \cup D$, and since $L \cup A \cup D$ is the image of K by a regular immersion, $H^2(N \cup D)$ is isomorphic to $H^2(K)$, by Lemma 1. Therefore, by Alexander duality, $H_1(\mathbf{R}^4 - (N \cup D))$ is trivial. Let $M = \mathbf{R}^4 - N$. Since $H_1(M - D) = 0$, there is an orientable surface F embedded in M such that it intersects D transversely in one point (a meridian μ of D bounds an embedded orientable surface in $M - D$, because $H_1(M - D) = 0$; if we glue to it the disc lying in the fiber of a tubular neighborhood of D , and having μ for its boundary, we get F). Choose a collection of simple closed curves a_i, b_i on F such that $a_i \cap a_j = \emptyset, b_i \cap b_j = \emptyset$, for all i, j , and such that $a_i \cap b_j = \emptyset$, for $i \neq j$, and a single point if $i = j$, and which generate $H_1(F)$. Since each of these curves bounds an immersed disc in M (M is simply-connected), we can perform a sequence of double surgeries to change F to an immersed sphere S . Move $D - F$ off of S by finger moves of D to get a new immersed disc D which has S for its transverse sphere

(see [2], page 226). Since $S \subset \mathbf{R}^4$, the intersection number $S \cdot S$ is zero; therefore we can apply the disc embedding theorem to replace D by a tamely embedded disc which still has a transverse sphere. This defines a π_1 -negligible extension of f in the obvious way.

Theorem 1 clearly follows from the above lemma. We also get the following two corollaries.

COROLLARY 1. *If K is a 2-complex such that $H^2(K) = 0$ then there exists a π_1 -negligible embedding of K in \mathbf{R}^4 .*

Proof. Let e_1, \dots, e_r be the 2-cells of K , and let

$$K_i = K^{(1)} \cup e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_i.$$

Since $H^3(K, K_i) = 0$, it follows from the cohomology sequence of the pair (K, K_i) that $H^2(K_i) = 0$, for every i .

Let $f_0: K^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$ be some embedding. Clearly f_0 is π_1 -negligible. It is enough to show that any π_1 -negligible embedding $f_{i-1}: K_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$ can be extended to a π_1 -negligible embedding $f_i: K_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$, if $i < r+1$. By Lemma 2 it is possible to extend f_{i-1} over a collar of ∂e_i in e_i . Then use Lemma 3 to get f_i .

COROLLARY 2. *Any acyclic 2-complex can be embedded in \mathbf{R}^4 .*

REMARK 1. Any contractible 2-complex K can be embedded in \mathbf{R}^4 so that the embedding is π_1 -negligible and so that the transverse spheres are embedded: Let N be an abstract 4-dimensional regular neighborhood of K . Let D_i be a disc transverse to the 2-cell e_i of K such that $\partial D_i \subset \partial N$. By [5] the double $D(N)$ is homeomorphic to S^4 . The double $D(D_i)$ is an embedded transverse sphere to e_i .

REMARK 2. Corollary 2 has a simple proof which was told to the author by Robert Edwards: If K is an acyclic 2-complex let N be an abstract 4-dimensional regular neighborhood of K . ∂N is a homology 3-sphere, therefore it bounds a contractible 4-manifold Δ (see [5]). Glue Δ to N along ∂N . The resulting manifold is homeomorphic to S^4 , K is contained in it. (Compare with [9].)

2. Proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose V is an orientable 3-manifold such that $H_1(V)$ is free and $H_2(V) = 0$. If a simple closed curve $C \subset \partial V$ is null-homologous in ∂V then a basis for $H_1(V)$ can be represented by disjoint simple closed curves $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ contained in $\partial V - C$.*

Proof. Suppose we constructed disjoint simple closed curves $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1} \subset \partial V - C$, $j \leq k$. We are going to define α_j . Let W be the manifold obtained by attaching 2-handles to V along the curves $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}$ so that the attaching annuli miss C . Thus $C \subset \partial W$. Clearly $H_1(W)$ is free and $H_2(W)$ is trivial. Since C is null-homologous in ∂V , it is also null-homologous in ∂W . Therefore it separates ∂W into two components with closures F_1 and F_2 (i.e.: $F_1 \cup F_2 = \partial W$, $F_1 \cap F_2 = C$).

Since C bounds in W , $H_1(W, C)$ is isomorphic to $H_1(W)$. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the pair $\{(W, F_1), (W, F_2)\}$ gives us the isomorphism $H_1(W, C) = H_1(W, F_1) \oplus H_1(W, F_2)$, because $H_2(W, \partial W) \rightarrow H_1(W, C)$ is the zero homomorphism and since $H_1(W, \partial W) = H^2(W) = 0$. Because $H_1(W, C)$ is free (being isomorphic to $H_1(W)$), so are $H_1(W, F_1)$ and $H_1(W, F_2)$.

Let $i_s: H_1(F_s) \rightarrow H_1(W)$ be the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $F_s \subset W$. Since C is zero in $H_1(\partial W)$, $H_1(W)$ is isomorphic to $\text{im}(i_1) + \text{im}(i_2)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\text{im}(i_1) \neq 0$ (because $H_1(W) \neq 0$).

Let x be a non-zero element of $\text{im}(i_1)$. Suppose that $x = nu$ for some primitive element $u \in H_1(W)$. Since $H_1(W, F_1)$ has no torsion, it follows from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{im}(i_1) \rightarrow H_1(W) \rightarrow H_1(W, F_1) \rightarrow 0$$

that u has to lie in $\text{im}(i_1)$, for example $u = i_1(v)$, for some $v \in H_1(F_1)$. Since v is primitive and not homologous to ∂F_1 in F_1 , it can be represented by a simple closed curve α_j in F_1 which can easily be made to lie in ∂V (see [11], page 13 or [12]).

LEMMA 2. *Let V be an orientable 3-manifold such that $H_1(V)$ is free and $H_2(V) = 0$. Suppose C_1, \dots, C_k are disjoint simple closed curves in V representing a basis for $H_1(V)$.*

If C_0 is a simple closed curve in ∂V which separates ∂V then it is possible to choose framings of C_1, \dots, C_k so that C_0 is slice in the homology 3-sphere Σ obtained from the double $D(V)$ by surgery along the framed curves C_1, \dots, C_k . More precisely: Σ bounds a contractible 4-manifold Δ such that C_0 bounds an embedded disc D in Δ .

Proof. By Lemma 1 it is possible to represent a basis of $H_1(V)$ by disjoint simple closed curves A_1, \dots, A_k in $\partial V - C$. Let W be the 3-manifold obtained by attaching 2-handles to V along the curves A_1, \dots, A_k . Since $\partial W = S^2$ (W is acyclic), C_0 bounds a disc \tilde{D} in ∂W .




FIGURE 1


$D(W)$ is a homology 3-sphere. We can think of $D(W)$ as being gotten from $D(V)$ by a sequence of surgeries along the curves A_1, \dots, A_k .

Let Σ be a homology 3-sphere obtained from $D(V)$ by a sequence of surgeries along the framed curves C_1, \dots, C_l . The framings will be chosen later.

Since both Σ and $D(W)$ are obtained from $D(V)$ by surgery, there are cobordisms X and Y from $D(V)$ to Σ and to $D(W)$, respectively. We can construct X by attaching 2-handles to $D(V) \times I$ along $C_1, \dots, C_l \subset D(V) \times 1$ and Y by attaching 2-handles along A_1, \dots, A_k , respectively. Let μ_1, \dots, μ_k be the meridians of A_1, \dots, A_k , respectively. If Y is turned "upside-down" it becomes a cobordism from $D(W)$ to $D(V)$. Y is constructed from $D(W) \times I$ by attaching 2-handles along $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \subset D(W) \times 1$. If X and Y are glued together along $D(V)$ we get a cobordism Z from $D(W)$ to Σ . To construct Z from $D(W) \times I$ we have to attach 2-handles to $D(W) \times I$ along the curves $C_1, \dots, C_l, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \subset D(W) \times 1$. $C_0 \subset D(V) \times 1 \subset \Sigma$ bounds a disc D in Z : D is the union of $C_0 \times I \subset D(V) \times I$ and $\tilde{D} \subset D(W) \times 0$.

Let Q be a contractible 4-manifold with boundary $D(W)$ (Q exists by Theorem 1.4' of [5]). Let P be the 4-manifold obtained by gluing Z to Q along $D(W)$. The curves $C_1, \dots, C_l, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \subset D(W)$ bound immersed discs $E_1, \dots, E_l, E'_1, \dots, E'_k$, respectively, in Q (see Figure

1). These discs together with the cores of the 2-handles of Z form a collection of immersed spheres $S_1, \dots, S_k, S'_1, \dots, S'_k$ in P such that S_i corresponds to C_i and S'_i to $\mu_i, i = 1, \dots, k$. The spheres S'_1, \dots, S'_k intersect D with zero intersection numbers. All intersections arise from intersections of the meridians μ_1, \dots, μ_k with \tilde{D} . By a series of pipings along disjoint arcs in \tilde{D} each S'_i can be changed to an immersed surface F_i disjoint from D , and such that F_i intersects F_j only in $\text{int}(Q)$. F_1, \dots, F_k represent the same homology classes in $H_2(P)$ as S'_1, \dots, S'_k . It is possible to represent half of symplectic generators of $H_1(\bigcup_{i=1}^k F_i)$ by simple closed curves lying in $D(W) = \partial Q$.



Since Q is contractible, each of these curves bounds an immersed disc in Q , missing D . Using these discs we can change each F_i into a new immersed sphere S'_i by performing a sequence of surgeries. Clearly the intersection numbers were not affected by going from the old S'_i 's to the new ones. The spheres $S_1, \dots, S_k, S'_1, \dots, S'_k$ represent a basis for $H_2(P)$.

Choice of framings for C_1, \dots, C_k : Choose them in such a way that $S_i \cdot S_i = 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Finding the intersection numbers $S_i \cdot S'_j$: Suppose $C_i = \sum_{j=1}^k x_{ij} A_j$ in $H_1(V)$. Let G_i be an oriented surface in V such that $\partial G_i = C_i - \sum x_{ij} A_j$ in $H_1(V)$. In $D(W)$ each A_j bounds a disc D_j such that $D_j \cdot \mu_s = \delta_{js}$. Capping off the boundary components of G_i corresponding to the curves A_j , we get a surface \hat{G}_i with boundary C_i . Obviously $\hat{G}_i \cdot \mu_j = x_{ij}$. Therefore $\text{lk}(C_i, \mu_j) = x_{ij}$, and thus $S_i \cdot S'_j = x_{ij}$.

We are going to show next that $S'_i \cdot S'_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. By Poincaré duality $H_1(D(V))$ is isomorphic to $H^2(D(V))$. Let F_1, \dots, F_k be closed surfaces dual to A_1, \dots, A_k , respectively, i.e.: $F_i \cdot A_j = \delta_{ij}$. By a series of pipings on each F_j along the curves A_1, \dots, A_k we can achieve that $A_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $A_i \cap F_i = \{\text{point}\}$. Each F_i

defines a null-homology of μ_i in $D(V) - N(\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i)$, where $N(\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i)$ is a regular neighborhood of $\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ in $D(V)$. Since μ_j can be made to miss F_i , the linking numbers $\text{lk}(\mu_i, \mu_j)$ are all zero. Therefore $S'_i \cdot S'_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

Let now Δ' be a regular neighborhood of $Q \cup S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_k \cup S'_1 \cup \dots \cup S'_k$ in $P - D$. Since all the singularities of $\bigcup_{i=1}^k S_i \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^k S'_j)$ lie inside Q , Δ' is simply-connected.

Let (y_{ij}) be the inverse of the matrix (x_{ij}) . Pipe together (in Δ') copies of the spheres S_i with suitable orientations to get for each i a 2-sphere \tilde{S}_i realizing the element $\sum_{j=1}^k y_{ij} S_j$ of $H_2(\Delta')$. Then $\tilde{S}_i \cdot S'_s = \sum_{j=1}^k y_{ij} x_{js} = \delta_{is}$, and $\tilde{S}_i \cdot \tilde{S}_j = 2 \sum_{j < s} y_{ij} y_{is} S_j \cdot S_s$. Thus $\tilde{S}_i \cdot \tilde{S}_j$ is even for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. Let S''_i be the immersed sphere representing the element $\tilde{S}_i - (1/2)(\tilde{S}_i \cdot \tilde{S}_i)S'_i - \sum_{s > i} (\tilde{S}_i \cdot \tilde{S}_s)S'_s$. Then $S''_i \cdot S'_j = \tilde{S}_i \cdot S'_j = \delta_{ij}$, and also $S''_i \cdot S''_j = 0$, for every i, j . Therefore the conditions of Theorem 1.2 of [5] are satisfied and Δ' can be changed into a contractible manifold Δ'' by a series of surgeries which do not affect $\partial \Delta'$. By gluing Δ'' to $P - \Delta'$ along $\partial \Delta' = \partial \Delta''$ we get a contractible manifold Δ with boundary Σ . D is the desired slice.

Let L be a simplicial 2-complex, and let L'' be its second barycentric subdivision. If v is a vertex of L let \tilde{f}_v be a regular immersion of the link $\text{lk}(v)$ of v in L'' into S^2 . Thus for every vertex \bar{v} of $\text{lk}(v) \cap L^{(1)}$, $\tilde{f}_v(\bar{v})$ has disc neighborhood $D_{\bar{v}}$ in S^2 such that $\tilde{f}_v|_{\tilde{f}_v^{-1}(D_{\bar{v}})}$ is one-to-one. Since the star $\text{st}(v)$ of v in L'' has a natural cone structure over $\text{lk}(v)$, and since B^3 is also a cone over S^2 , \tilde{f}_v can be extended to a map $f_v: \text{st}(v) \rightarrow B_v \approx B^3$ in a natural way.

For each edge s of L with vertices v_0 and v_1 attach a 1-handle h_s along $D_{\bar{v}_0} \cup D_{\bar{v}_1}$, where $\bar{v}_i = \text{st}(v_i) \cap L^{(1)}$, to get (an orientable) handlebody H . The mapping $f' = \coprod_{V \in L^{(0)}} f_v: \coprod_{V \in L^{(0)}} B_v \rightarrow H$ can be extended over the 1-handles as follows:

If s is an edge of L with vertices v_0 and v_1 , let Z_s be the star of its barycenter in L'' , and let $X_i = Z_s \cap \text{st}(v_i)$. There exists a homeomorphism $\varphi_s: X_0 \times I \rightarrow Z_s$ which is identity on $X_0 \times 0$ and which carries $X_0 \times 1$ onto X_1 . Let $\psi_s: D^2 \times I \rightarrow h_s$ be a homeomorphism such that $\psi_s^{-1} f'(X_i)$ is a union of straight rays from the origin to the boundary of $D^2 \times i$. f' can be extended over Z_s . For example, if $\psi_s^{-1} f' \varphi_s(z, i) = (\chi_i(z), i)$, $z \in X_0$, define a map $f_s: Z_s \rightarrow h_s$ by

$$f_s \varphi_s(z, t) = \psi_s(\exp(i\alpha(z)t) \cdot \chi_0(z), t)$$

where $t \in I$, $z \in X_0$, and $\chi_1(z)/|\chi_1(z)| = \exp(i\alpha(z)) \cdot \chi_0(z)/|\chi_0(z)|$. f_s is an extension of f' over Z_s .

Any such family of maps $\{f_v\}_{v \in L^{(0)}}$ and $\{f_s\}_{s \in L^{(1)} - L^{(0)}}$ defines a mapping f of a regular neighborhood U of $L^{(1)}$ to a handlebody H such that $f|_{\text{Fr}(U)}: \text{Fr}(U) \rightarrow \partial H$ is a regular immersion and such that $f|_{L^{(1)}}$ is an embedding ($\text{Fr}(U)$ denotes the frontier of U in K). Furthermore, by slight adjustments, $\text{Fr}(U)$ can be made a union of smooth circles, and $f|_{\text{Fr}(U)}$ a smooth regular immersion. f can also be made smooth on $U - L^{(1)}$ and on the interior of each edge of L .

Both U and H have a natural mapping cylinder structure over $L^{(1)}$ (i.e.: U and H are homeomorphic to mapping cylinders of natural projections $\text{Fr}(U) \rightarrow L^{(1)}$ and $\partial H \rightarrow L^{(1)} = f(L^{(1)})$, respectively). These structures can be made compatible with f in the following sense. If $p: \text{Fr}(U) \times I \rightarrow U$ and $q: \partial H \times I \rightarrow H$ are the projections induced by the two mapping cylinder structures such that $p(\text{Fr}(U) \times 0) = q(\partial H \times 0) = L^{(1)}$ then $q(f(x), t) = f(p(x, t))$, for $x \in \text{Fr}(U)$.

Let e_1, \dots, e_g be the 2-cells of L . Denote by α_i the intersection $e_i \cap \text{Fr}(U)$. Thus L is obtained from U by attaching discs along $\bigcup_{i=1}^g \alpha_i$ via homeomorphisms.

The immersion $f|_{\text{Fr}(U)}: \text{Fr}(U) \rightarrow H$ can be changed to an embedding $\tilde{F}: \text{Fr}(U) \rightarrow H$, by pushing parts of $f(\text{Fr}(U))$ slightly inside H near the intersections. \tilde{F} in turn defines an embedding $F: U \rightarrow H \times I$ as follows:

$$F(p(x, t)) = (q(\tilde{F}(x), t), (t + 1)/2), \quad t \in I, x \in \text{Fr}(U).$$

Clearly $F(\text{Fr}(U)) \subset H \times 1$, and $F(\text{int}(U)) \subset \text{int}(H) \times [1/2, 1) \subset \text{int}(H \times I)$. Denote by C_i the curve $F(\alpha_i) \subset H \times 1$. If we choose a framing for each C_i , and attach 2-handles along C_1, \dots, C_g we get a 4-manifold N . F can be extended to an embedding $\hat{F}: L \rightarrow N$ by mapping $e_i \cap (\overline{L - U})$ onto the core of the corresponding 2-handle.

∂N is obtained from $\partial(H \times I) = D(H)$ (=the double of H) by a sequence of surgeries along the framed curves C_1, \dots, C_g .

Proof of Theorem 2. Let e_0, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_g be the 2-cells of \tilde{K} , and let e_1, \dots, e_k be the remaining 2-cells of K . Let \tilde{U} be a regular neighborhood of \tilde{K} in K . Suppose \tilde{U} is contained in an orientable 3-manifold M . Let \tilde{H} be a regular neighborhood of $\tilde{K}^{(1)}$ in M such that $\tilde{H} \cap \tilde{U}$ is a regular neighborhood of $\tilde{K}^{(1)}$ in K . The inclusion $\tilde{H} \cap \tilde{U} \subset \tilde{H}$ defines mappings $f_v, v \in \tilde{K}^{(0)}$ and $f_s, s \in \tilde{K}^{(1)} - \tilde{K}^{(0)}$. For the rest of the vertices and edges of K define maps f_v and f_s in any way as described above.


As above, these maps define a mapping $f: U \rightarrow H$ of a regular neighborhood U of $K^{(1)}$ in K into a handlebody H . f restricts to an embedding on $\alpha_0 \cup (\bigcup_{i=k+1}^g \alpha_i)$ such that

$$f \left(\alpha_0 \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=k+1}^g \alpha_i \right) \right) \cap f \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \right) = \emptyset$$

(α_i are as above). As above f induces an embedding $F: U \rightarrow H \times I$. Clearly $C_i = f(\alpha_i)$, for $i = 0, k + 1, \dots, g$. Since L is acyclic, and since \tilde{K} carries $H^2(K)$, $C_0 = \sum_{i=k+1}^g \alpha_i C_i$ in $H_1(H)$. We want to show now that $C_0 = \sum_{i=k+1}^g \alpha_i C_i$ also in $H_1(\partial H)$. Suppose B_1, \dots, B_g is a basis of $\ker(i)$ (where $i: H_1(\partial H) \rightarrow H_1(H)$ is induced by the inclusion $\partial H \subset H$) dual to C_1, \dots, C_g , i.e.: $C_i \cdot B_j = \delta_{ij}$ in $H_1(\partial H)$. If $C_0 = \sum_{i=k+1}^g \alpha_i C_i + \sum_{i=1}^g \beta_i B_i$ in $H_1(\partial H)$ then $C_0 \cdot C_j = -\beta_j = 0$ which proves the claim. Attach 2-handles to framed curves C_1, \dots, C_g in $H \times 1$ to get a 4-manifold N and an extension of the embedding F to an embedding $\tilde{F}: L \cup U \rightarrow N$, $\tilde{F}(\alpha_0) = C_0 \subset \partial N$. ∂N is a homology 3-sphere Σ . It is obtained from $D(H)$ by surgeries along C_1, \dots, C_g . Let V be the 3-manifold gotten from H by attaching 2-handles along the simple closed curves $C_{k+1}, \dots, C_g \subset \partial H$. Since $C_0 = \sum_{i=k+1}^g \alpha_i C_i$ in $H_1(\partial H)$, C_0 separates ∂V . Clearly $H_1(V)$ is free and $H_2(V) = 0$. Let $W = D(V)$. W can be obtained from $D(H)$ by surgeries along C_{k+1}, \dots, C_g . Therefore Σ can be obtained from W by surgeries along C_1, \dots, C_k . By Lemma 2, the framings of C_1, \dots, C_k can be chosen so that C_0 is slice in Σ .

Let Δ be a contractible 4-manifold with boundary Σ , such that C_0 bounds an embedded disc D in Δ . If we glue N to Δ along Σ we get a homotopy 4-sphere which is therefore an S^4 (see [5]). The embedding F can be extended to an embedding of K by sending $\overline{e_0 - U}$ onto D .

REMARK 1. If K is a generic 2-complex, i.e.: if it is locally homeomorphic to one of the following spaces




then it is possible to determine whether it can be embedded in some 3-manifold as follows: It is easy to embed a closed regular neighborhood U of the intrinsic 1-skeleton G of K (i.e.: the set of non-manifold

points of K —compare with [7]) in a (possibly nonorientable) handlebody \overline{H} so that $\text{Fr}(U) \subset \partial\overline{H}$, and so that G is a spine of \overline{H} . K is obtained from U by attaching connected surfaces F_1, \dots, F_t to $\text{Fr}(U)$ along $\partial F_1 \cup \dots \cup \partial F_t = U \cap (\overline{K} - U)$. Let $w_1 \in H^1(\overline{H})$ be the orientation class: $w_1(C)$ is equal to 1 if C passes through nonorientable 1-handles of \overline{H} an odd number of times, otherwise it is 0. K can be embedded in some 3-manifold if and only if $w_1(\partial F_1) = \dots = w_1(\partial F_t) = 0$.

REMARK 2. It is known that any finite 2-complex K such that its intrinsic 1-skeleton embeds in \mathbf{R}^2 can be embedded in \mathbf{R}^4 . A discussion in this direction can be found in [7].

3. An example. In this section we give an example of a 2-complex K obtained from an acyclic 2-complex L by adjoining one 2-cell e_0 , and a π_1 -negligible embedding $f: L \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$ which cannot be extended to an embedding of K .

Let K be the complex obtained from a wedge of two circles by attaching three 2-cells \tilde{e}_0, e_1 , and e_2 via immersions as follows:



Let U be a regular neighborhood of $K^{(1)}$ in K , and let $L = U \cup e_1 \cup e_2$. If $\alpha_0 = \text{Fr}(U) \cap \tilde{e}_0$ then K is obtained from L by attaching a 2-cell e_0 along its boundary to α_0 . Define an embedding of $\text{Fr}(U)$ in a

handlebody H with spine $S^1 \vee S^1 (= K^{(1)})$ as follows:




FIGURE 2

As in §2 this defines an embedding $\tilde{f}: U \rightarrow H \times I$. Attach 2-handles to $\tilde{f}(\alpha_1)$ and $\tilde{f}(\alpha_2)$ with framings indicated in Figure 2 by the dotted circles to get B^4 . The cores of the two 2-handles can be used to extend \tilde{f} to a π_1 -negligible embedding $f: L \rightarrow B^4 \subset \mathbf{R}^4$. $f(\alpha_0)$ is the trefoil knot in the boundary of B^4 . Therefore it is not slice and thus f cannot be extended to an embedding of K .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Casson, *Three lectures on new infinite constructions in 4-dimensional manifolds*, Notes prepared by L. Guillou, Prepublications Orsay 81 T06.
- [2] R. Edwards, *The solution of the 4-dimensional annulus conjecture* (after Frank Quinn), *Contemporary Math.*, **35** (1984), 211–264.
- [3] A. Flores, *Über die Existenz n -dimensionaler Komplexe, die nicht in den R_{2n} topologisch einbettbar sind*, *Erbeg. math Kolloq.*, **5** (1932/33), 17–24.
- [4] M. Freedman, *A surgery sequence in dimension four, the relations with knot concordance*, *Invent. Math.*, **68** (1982), 195–226.
- [5] ———, *The topology of 4-manifolds*, *J. Differential Geom.*, **17** (1982), 357–453.
- [6] M. Freedman and F. Quinn, *Topology of 4-manifolds*, to appear as an *Annals of Mathematics Study*.
- [7] D. Gillman, *Generalising Kuratowski's theorem from R^2 to R^4* , to appear.
- [8] K. Horvatić, *on embedding polyhedra and manifolds*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **157** (1971), 417–436.
- [9] R. Kirby, *4-manifold problems*, *Contemporary Math.*, **35** (1984), 513–528.
- [10] ———, *Problems in low dimensional manifold theory*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **32** (1978), 273–322.
- [11] M. Kranjc, Thesis, UCLA, 1985.
- [12] W. Meeks, III and J. Patrusky, *Representing homology classes by embedded circles on a compact surface*, *Illinois J. Math.*, **22** (1978), 262–269.
- [13] C. Rourke and B. Sanderson, *Introduction to Piecewise-Linear Topology*, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

- [14] A. Shapiro, *Obstructions to the imbedding of a complex in a Euclidean space, I. The first obstruction*, Ann. of Math., **66**, No. 2 (1957), 256–269.
- [15] H. Whitney, *The self-intersections of a smooth n -manifold in $2n$ -space*, Ann. of Math., **45** (1944), 220–246.
- [16] D. Wilson, *Embedding polyhedra in Euclidean space*, manuscript.

Received December 9, 1986 and in revised form October 5, 1987.

WESTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY
MACOMB, IL 61455

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906-1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1988 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

William Charles Bauldry, Attila Mate and Paul Nevai, Asymptotics for solutions of systems of smooth recurrence equations	209
Ehrhard Behrends, Isomorphic Banach-Stone theorems and isomorphisms which are close to isometries	229
Fernanda Maria Botelho, Rotation sets of maps of the annulus	251
Edward Graham Evans, Jr. and Phillip Alan Griffith, Binomial behavior of Betti numbers for modules of finite length	267
Andrei Iordan, Pseudoconvex domains with peak functions at each point of the boundary	277
Zyun'iti Iwase, Dehn-surgery along a torus T^2-knot	289
Marko Kranjc, Embedding 2-complexes in \mathbf{R}^4	301
Aloys Krieg, Eisenstein-series on real, complex, and quaternionic half-spaces	315
Masato Kuwata, Intersection homology of weighted projective spaces and pseudo-lens spaces	355
Carl Pomerance, András Sárközy and Cameron Leigh Stewart, On divisors of sums of integers. III	363
Martin Schechter, Potential estimates in Orlicz spaces	381