

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

POTENTIAL ESTIMATES IN ORLICZ SPACES

MARTIN SCHECHTER

POTENTIAL ESTIMATES IN ORLICZ SPACES

MARTIN SCHECHTER

We study estimates of the form

$$M_0^{-1} \left(\int M_0(u) d\mu(x) \right) \leq CM^{-1} \left(\int M([1 - \Delta]^m u) d\nu(x) \right)$$

for $u(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, where $M_0(t)$, $M(t)$ are convex functions and μ, ν are measures. We apply this inequality to the study of boundary value problems for quasilinear partial differential equations.

1. Introduction. In recent years there has been considerable interest in inequalities of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \left(\int |u(x)|^q d\mu(x) \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\int |(1 - \Delta)^m u|^p d\nu(x) \right)^{1/p},$$

$u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$

(cf. [3–24] and the references quoted in them). Such inequalities have widespread applications to both linear and non-linear problems. We outline one here. Suppose one is interested in solving the Dirichlet problem

$$(1.2) \quad (1 - \Delta)^m u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n, \quad u(x) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

(here $\partial\Omega$ is the boundary of the domain Ω). One can solve (1.2) by topological methods if one can show that

$$(1.3) \quad \int |(1 - \Delta)^m u(x)|^p d\nu(x) < \infty$$

implies

$$(1.4) \quad \int |f(x, u(x))|^p d\nu(x) < \infty.$$

If $f(x, t)$ satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad |f(x, t)| \leq \sum V_k(x) |t|^{\alpha_k}$$

and the inequalities

$$(1.6) \quad \left(\int V_k(x)^p |u(x)|^{\alpha_k p} d\nu(x) \right)^{1/\alpha_k p} \leq C_k \left(\int |(1 - \Delta)^m u(x)|^p d\nu(x) \right)^{1/p}$$

hold, then (1.3) will imply (1.4). Inequality (1.6) is precisely of the form (1.1) if we take $q = \alpha_k p$ and $d\mu = V_k^p d\nu$. This method was used by the author in [23, 24]. If instead of (1.5), $f(x, t)$ satisfied

$$(1.7) \quad |f(x, t)| \leq \sum V_k(x) M_k(t)$$

where the $M_j(t)$ are convex functions, we would need inequalities of the form

$$(1.8) \quad \left(\int V_k(x)^p M_k(u)^p d\nu(x) \right)^{1/p} \leq c M_k \left\{ \left(\int |(1 - \Delta)^m u(x)|^p d\nu(x) \right)^{1/p} \right\}.$$

This is a special case of the inequality we consider in this paper.

There are several approaches one can apply to prove such inequalities. We have chosen the capacity method as described in [1, 2, 8, 14, 15, 16]. It is quite clear that other methods can be applied as well.

Expressions of the form

$$\rho_\nu(u, M) = \int M(u) d\nu$$

appear in the study of Orlicz spaces (cf. [13]). Some of the techniques used in the study of such spaces are useful in dealing with the problems outlined here. The functions $M_0(t)$, $M(t)$ considered by us are not required to have all of the properties of N -functions as described in [13].

Now we describe some of the results of the paper. First we find sufficient conditions for

$$(1.9) \quad M_0^{-1} \{ \rho_\mu(u, M_0) \} \leq C M^{-1} \{ \rho_\nu([1 - \Delta]^m u, M) \}$$

to hold for all $u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Our main hypothesis is

$$(1.10) \quad ||| J_{2m, \mu} \chi_e |||_{2m, t, e, \nu, M} \leq C \mu(e) / M_0^{-1}[\mu(e)], \quad e \subset \mathbf{R}^n, t > 0,$$

where the left hand side is an Orlicz type norm not only depending on m, ν, M but also on the set e and a parameter $t > 0$. $J_{2m, \mu}$ is the Bessel potential of order $2m$ with respect to the measure μ . For the special case of inequality (1.1), hypothesis (1.10) is implied by

$$(1.11) \quad \left\| \frac{dx}{d\nu} J_{2m, \mu} \chi_e \right\|_{p', \nu} \leq C \mu(e)^{1/q'}, \quad e \subset \mathbf{R}^n.$$

For the case $1 \leq p \leq q$ we show that (1.11) implies (1.1) provided ν is sufficiently regular (i.e., satisfies (2.8)). This generalizes results

of Adams [1, 2] and Kerman-Sawyer [10, 11] who considered the case $d\nu = dx$.

In practice inequality (1.11) is not very useful. It requires an inequality to hold for arbitrary (closed) subsets e of \mathbf{R}^n . Even if we can restrict the shape of the sets e , it would be virtually impossible in general to verify whether or not (1.11) holds. For this reason we replaced (1.11) by

$$(1.12) \quad \|(dx/d\nu)^q J_{\alpha,\mu} 1\|_{p'/q,\nu} < \infty$$

where α satisfies

$$(1.13) \quad 0 < \alpha/nq = 2m/n - 1/q'$$

The expression (1.12) involves one calculation of integrals of given quantities. Using this expression we obtain the inequality

$$(1.14) \quad \|Vu\|_q \leq cM_{\alpha,q,p',\nu}(V)\|(1 - \Delta)^m u\|_{p,\nu}$$

where α satisfies (1.13) and

$$(1.15) \quad M_{\alpha,q,t,\nu}(V) = \|(dx/d\nu)^q J_{\alpha}|V|^q\|_{t/q,\nu}^{1/q}$$

is a norm. This is convenient in some situations. For instance, if $d\mu_k = V_k^q dx$ and each satisfies (1.12), it is clear that $d\mu = (\sum V_k)^q dx$ will also satisfy it. On the other hand, it is not so clear that the same is true of (1.11). Moreover, (1.12) implies (1.1) even when $q < p$, but (1.11) does not.

All of the inequalities mentioned have counterparts when one replaces $(1 - \Delta)$ by $-\Delta$. We give sufficient conditions for the inequality

$$(1.16) \quad M_0^{-1}[\rho_{\mu}(u, M_0)] \leq CM^{-1}[\rho_{\nu}(\Delta^m u, M)]$$

to hold for all $u \in C_0^{\infty}$. We show that this inequality holds if we replace the Bessel potential $J_{s,\mu}$ with the Riesz potential $I_{s,\mu}$ in the hypotheses. In particular we show that

$$(1.17) \quad \|u\|_{q,\mu} \leq c\|(dx/d\nu)^q I_{\alpha,\mu} 1\|_{p'/q,\nu}^{1/q} \|\Delta^m u\|_{p,\nu}$$

holds when $1 \leq p, q$.

In dealing with inequality (1.9) we introduced a capacity depending on a parameter:

$$(1.18) \quad c_{s,t,\nu,M}(e) = \inf_v \{ \rho_{\nu}(V, M), J_s v \geq t \text{ on } e \}.$$

The reason for this is that we do not have the homogeneity properties of the L^p spaces. The corresponding capacity estimate that we need is

$$(1.19) \quad \int_0^\infty c_{2m,t,\nu,M}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |u(x)| > t\})M(t)^{-1} dM(t) \leq C\rho_\nu([1 - \Delta]^m u, M), \quad u \in C_0^\infty.$$

In dealing with inequality (1.16) we define the corresponding capacity by replacing J_s by I_s in (1.18). The inequality corresponding to (1.19) replaces $1 - \Delta$ by $-\Delta$.

2. The inequalities. For a function $u(x) \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we let $D^m u(x)$ denote the vector, the components of which consist of all derivatives of u of order m . By $|D^m u(x)|$ we shall denote the sum of the absolute values of all such components. We let $M_0(t)$, $M(t)$ be continuous, even functions, with $M(t)$ convex and $M_0(t)$ strictly increasing in $|t|$. Also we assume

(a)
$$M(2t) \leq C_1 M(t), \quad t > 0,$$

(b)
$$M_0^{-1} \left\{ \int_0^\infty M_0(f(t)) dM_0(t) \right\} \leq C_2 M^{-1} \left\{ \int_0^\infty M(f(t)) dM(t) \right\}$$

holds for all non-increasing functions $f(t) \geq 0$.

(c)
$$M_0(t) \rightarrow \infty, \quad M(t) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

(d)
$$M(0) = 0.$$

If we take $M_0(t) = t^q$, $M(t) = t^p$, then (b) becomes

$$\left(\int_0^\infty f(t)^q dt^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c \left(\int_0^\infty f(t)^p dt^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

This holds for non-increasing $f(t)$ provided $1 \leq p \leq q$. We define

(2.1)
$$\rho_\nu(u, M) = \int M(u) d\nu$$

and we are interested in determining conditions on $M_0(t)$, $M(t)$, ν , μ so that the inequality

(2.2)
$$M_0^{-1} \{ \rho_\mu(u, M_0) \} \leq C M^{-1} \{ \rho_\nu([1 - \Delta]^m u, M) \}$$

holds for all $u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. For this we shall assume also that

$$(e) \quad \rho_\nu(T_n v, M) \leq C \rho_\nu(v, M), \quad v \in C(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

where

$$T_n v(x) = \sup_{\delta \leq 1} \delta^{-n} \int_{|x-y| \leq \delta} v(y) dy.$$

When $M(t) = t^p$ with $1 < p < \infty$, it is well known that (e) holds for the case $d\nu = dx$ (cf., e.g., [26]). For other cases necessary and sufficient conditions can be found in [17, 19].

In stating our hypotheses we shall make use of the operator

$$J_s f = (1 - \Delta)^{-s/2} f.$$

It is well known that

$$(2.3) \quad J_s f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} G_s(x - y) f(y) dy$$

where the function $G_s(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\})$ and satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} C_3 |x|^{s-n} \leq G_s(x) \leq C_4 |x|^{s-n}, & \quad |x| < 1, \\ C_5 |x|^\gamma e^{-|x|} \leq G_s(x) \leq C_6 |x|^\gamma e^{-|x|}, & \quad |x| > 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = (s - n - 1)/2$ (cf. [3]). Let

$$(u, v) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x) \overline{v(x)} dx.$$

For any set $e \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ define

$$(2.5) \quad |||u|||_{s,t,e,\nu,M} = \sup_{\substack{J_s v \geq t \\ \text{on } e}} \frac{(u, v)}{t M^{-1}[M(t)^{-1} \rho_\nu(v, M)]}$$

where the supremum is taken over all v such that $J_s v(x) \geq t$ for $x \in e$.

Our first result is

THEOREM 2.1. *Assume that there is a constant C_7 independent of t and e such that*

$$(2.6) \quad |||J_{2m,\mu} \chi_e|||_{2m,t,e,\nu,M} \leq C_7 \mu(e) / M_0^{-1}[\mu(e)], \quad e \subset \mathbf{R}^n, t > 0,$$

where χ_e is the characteristic function of e and

$$(2.7) \quad J_{2m,\mu} \chi_e(x) = \int_e G_{2m}(x - y) d\mu(y).$$

Assume also that ν is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure and satisfies

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{2m} J_k(d\nu/dx) \leq C d\nu/dx.$$

Under the assumptions given above, there is a constant C such that (2.2) holds for all $u \in C_0^\infty$.

As an application of Theorem 2.1 let us consider the case $M_0(t) = t^q$, $M(t) = t^p$ with $1 \leq p \leq q$. They satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. In this case

$$tM^{-1}[M(t)^{-1} \rho_\nu(v, M)] = \|v\|_{p,\nu} = \left(\int |v(x)|^p d\nu(x) \right)^{1/p}.$$

Thus if $dx \ll d\nu$,

$$\| \|w\| \|_{2m,t,e,\nu,M} = \sup_{\substack{J_{2m} \nu \geq t \\ \text{on } e}} \frac{(w, \nu)}{\|v\|_{p,\nu}} \leq \left\| \frac{dx}{d\nu} w \right\|_{p',\nu}.$$

This means that (2.6) is implied by

$$(2.9) \quad \left\| \frac{dx}{d\nu} J_{2m,\mu} \chi_e \right\|_{p',\nu} \leq C_7 \mu(e)^{1/q'}, \quad e \subset \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Hence we have

THEOREM 2.2. *If $1 \leq p \leq q$, then a sufficient condition for (1.1) to be true is that (2.8) and (2.9) hold.*

Theorem 2.2 was proved by D. R. Adams [2] and Kerman-Sawyer [10, 11] for the case $d\nu = dx$, $p > 1$. The latter result requires (2.9) to hold only for the sets e which are dyadic cubes.

Inequality (2.6) is rather difficult to verify in practice, since C_7 is essentially the supremum of a ratio over all subsets e of \mathbf{R}^n . For practical purposes it is much better to give a single expression which requires a single calculation. This is given by

COROLLARY 2.3. *For any $p, q \geq 1$,*

$$(2.10) \quad \|u\|_{q,\mu} \leq C \|(dx/d\nu)^q J_{\alpha,\mu} 1\|_{p'/q,\nu}^{1/q} \|(1 - \Delta)^m u\|_{p,\nu}$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad 0 < \alpha/nq \leq 2m/n - 1/q'.$$

Proof. Let $f = (1 - \Delta)^m u$. Then $u = J_{2m} f$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_{2m} f\|_{q,\mu} &= \left(\int \left(\int G_{2m}(x-y) f(y) dy \right)^q d\mu(x) \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \int \left(\int G_{2m}(x-y)^q d\mu(x) \right)^{1/q} |f(y)| (dy/d\nu) d\nu \\ &\leq \left(\int \left(\int G_\alpha(x-y) d\mu(x) \right)^{p'/q} (dy/d\nu)^{p'} d\nu \right)^{1/p'} \|f\|_{p,\nu} \end{aligned}$$

since

$$G_{2m}(x)^q \leq C G_\alpha(x)$$

when α satisfies (2.11). This gives (2.10). □

When $d\mu = |V(x)|^q dx$, this reduces to

COROLLARY 2.4. *For any $p, q \geq 1$*

$$(2.12) \quad \|Vu\|_q \leq C \|(dx/d\nu)^q J_\alpha |V|^q\|_{p'/q,\nu}^{1/q} \|(1 - \Delta)^m u\|_{p,\nu}$$

provided α satisfies (2.11).

The expression

$$(2.13) \quad M_{\alpha,q,t,\nu}(V) = \|(dx/d\nu)^q J_\alpha |V|^q\|_{t/q,\nu}^{1/q}$$

is a norm, and (2.12) states that

$$(2.14) \quad \|Vu\|_q \leq C M_{\alpha,q,p',\nu}(V) \|(1 - \Delta)^m u\|_{p,\nu}$$

where α satisfies (2.11). In a sense, $M_{\alpha,q,p',\nu}(V)$ is the smallest norm for which (2.14) holds. Note that Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4 do not require $p \leq q$ nor do they need assumptions (2.8) or (e).

As another example of functions $M_0(t)$, $M(t)$ satisfying (b), let $M_0(t) = \phi(t)^q$, $M(t) = \phi(t)^p$, where $1 \leq p \leq q$ and ϕ satisfies the conditions imposed on $M(t)$ at the beginning of the section. Then

$$M_0^{-1}(\tau) = \phi^{-1}(\tau^{1/q}), \quad M^{-1}(\tau) = \phi^{-1}(\tau^{1/p}).$$

Thus the left hand side of (b) equals

$$\phi^{-1} \left[\left(\int_0^\infty \phi(f(t))^q d\phi(t)^q \right)^{1/q} \right] \leq \phi^{-1} \left[\left(\int_0^\infty \phi(f(t))^p d\phi(t)^p \right)^{1/p} \right].$$

This equals the right hand side. Thus (b) holds in this case as well.

In place of (2.2) one can consider inequalities of the form

$$(2.15) \quad M_0^{-1} [\rho_\mu(u, M_0)] \leq CM^{-1}[\rho_\nu(\Delta^m u, M)].$$

The methods used to prove Theorem 2.1 apply equally well here. In this case we replace the operator J_s with

$$(2.16) \quad I_s f(x) = c_s \int |x - y|^{s-n} f(y) dy$$

where

$$c_s = \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(n - s))/2^s \pi^{n/2} \Gamma(s/2)$$

and we replace assumption (e) with

$$(e') \quad \rho_\nu(Tv, M) \leq C\rho_\nu(v, M), \quad v \in C(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

where

$$Tv(x) = \sup_\delta \delta^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<\delta} v(y) dy$$

is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function (modulo a constant). We have

THEOREM 2.5. *Inequality (2.15) holds under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 if we replace J_s by I_s in (2.5)–(2.8) and replace hypothesis (e) by (e').*

The counterpart of Theorem 2.2 is

THEOREM 2.6. *Assume that $1 \leq p \leq q$ and that*

$$(2.17) \quad \|(dx/d\nu)I_{2m,\mu}\chi_e\|_{p',\nu} \leq C\mu(e)^{1/q'}, \quad e \subset \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Then

$$(2.18) \quad \|u\|_{q,\mu} \leq C\|\Delta^m u\|_{p,\nu}, \quad u \in C_0^\infty.$$

Corresponding to Corollary 2.3 we have

COROLLARY 2.7. *For any $p, q \geq 1$*

$$(2.19) \quad \|u\|_{q,\mu} \leq C\|(dx/d\nu)^q I_{\alpha,\mu} 1\|_{p'/q,\nu}^{1/q} \|\Delta^m u\|_{p,\nu}$$

where

$$(2.20) \quad \alpha/nq = 2m/n - 1/q'.$$

In place of Corollary 2.4 we have

COROLLARY 2.8. *For any $p, q \geq 1$*

$$(2.21) \quad \|Vu\|_q \leq C \|(dx/d\nu)^q I_\alpha |V|^q\|_{p'/q, \nu}^{1/q} \|\Delta^m u\|_{p, \nu}$$

where α satisfies (2.18).

Note that (2.8) is not required in Theorems 2.5, 2.6 and Corollaries 2.7, 2.8. It is also not required that $p \leq q$.

3. Variable capacity. In proving Theorem 2.1 we shall prove a capacity inequality of the type derived in [1, 14, 15]. However, we lack homogeneity and are forced to use a capacity depending on a parameter. We define

$$(3.1) \quad c_{s,t,\nu,M}(e) = \inf_v \{ \rho_\nu(v, M), J_s v \geq t \text{ on } e \}.$$

For $u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, let

$$N_t = N_t(u) = \{x \in R^n: |u(x)| > t\}.$$

Set

$$c_{s,\nu,M}(t) = c_{s,t,\nu,M}(N_t).$$

We shall prove

THEOREM 3.1. *Under the hypotheses of §2*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^\infty c_{2m,\nu,M}(t) M(t)^{-1} dM(t) \leq C \rho_\nu([1 - \Delta]^m u, M), \quad u \in C_0^\infty.$$

Before proving Theorem 3.1, we show how it implies Theorem 2.1. For $u \in C^\infty$, let $f(t) = M_0^{-1}(\mu(N_t))$. Then the left hand side of (2.2) equals

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} M_0^{-1} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \mu(N_t) dM_0(t) \right\} &= M_0^{-1} \left\{ \int_0^\infty M_0(f(t)) dM_0(t) \right\} \\ &\leq C_2 M^{-1} \left\{ \int_0^\infty M(f(t)) dM(t) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

by (b) of §2. Now by (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(e) &\leq t^{-1} \int [J_{2m} v] \chi_e d\mu \leq t^{-1} \int v J_{2m, \mu} \chi_e dx \\ &\leq M^{-1} [M(t)^{-1} \rho_\nu(v, M)] \|J_{2m, \mu} \chi_e\|_{2m, t, e, \nu, M} \end{aligned}$$

provided $J_{2m}v \geq t$ on e . Thus by (2.6)

$$\mu(e) \leq M^{-1}[M(t)^{-1} \rho_\nu(v, M)]C_7\mu(e)/M_0^{-1}[\mu(e)].$$

Hence

$$M_0^{-1}[\mu(e)] \leq C_7M^{-1}[M(t)^{-1} \rho_\nu(v, M)].$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} M[f(t)] &= M[M_0^{-1}\{\mu(N_t)\}] \leq M[C_7M^{-1}\{M(t)^{-1} \rho_\nu(v, M)\}] \\ &\leq C'M(t)^{-1} \rho_\nu(v, M) \end{aligned}$$

by (a) of §2. Since this is true for every v such that $J_{2m}v \geq t$ on N_t , we have

$$M[f(t)] \leq C'M(t)^{-1}c_{2m,\nu,M}(t).$$

Thus the right hand side of (3.3) is bounded by

$$C_2M^{-1}\{C' \int_0^\infty c_{2m,\nu,M}(t)M(t)^{-1} dM(t)\}.$$

The assumptions on M imply

$$(3.4) \quad M^{-1}(Cu) \leq \max(C, 1)M^{-1}(u).$$

If we now apply Theorem 3.1 we obtain (2.2). □

Inequality (3.4) is a consequence of

$$(3.5) \quad M(\theta t) \leq \theta M(t), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$$

which follows from the convexity of M and (d) of §2.

4. The capacity inequality. In proving Theorem 3.1 we shall make use of some elementary lemmas. We shall make use of the following function for $r < s$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{s,r}(x) &= G_{s-r}(x), & |x| \leq 1 \\ &= G_s(x), & |x| > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Our need for these functors stems from the fact that

$$(4.1) \quad |D'G_s(x)| \leq CG_{s,r}(x).$$

This can be verified by noting that

$$\partial G_s(x)/\partial x_j = cx_j G_{s-2}(x)$$

and applying (2.4). If we introduce

$$J_{s,r}f(x) = \int G_{s,r}(x - y)f(y) dy$$

we can state

LEMMA 4.1. *If $0 \leq k \leq r < s$, then*

$$|D^k J_s f| \leq c J_s f^{1-(k/r)} J_{s,r} f^{k/r}, \quad f \geq 0.$$

Proof. We note that

$$(4.2) \quad G_{s,k}(x) \leq c G_s(x)^{1-(k/r)} G_{s,r}(x)^{k/r}$$

by (2.4). If we now make use of (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D^k J_s f(x)| &\leq c \int G_{s,k}(x-y) f(y) dy \\ &\leq c \left(\int G_s(x-y) f(y) dy \right)^{1-(k/r)} \left(\int G_{s,r}(x-y) f(y) dy \right)^{k/r} \\ &= c J_s f^{1-(k/r)} J_{s,r} f^{k/r}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $\{t_j\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that*

$$(4.3) \quad t_j \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow -\infty, \quad t_j \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(4.4) \quad t_{j-1} \leq \theta t_j, \quad t_j \leq C_8 t_{j-1}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

Let $\varphi(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= 0, & t < \delta, \\ &= 1, & t > 1 - \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where δ is some positive quantity. Let $F_j(t)$ be the C^∞ function

$$(4.5) \quad F_j(t) = t_j \varphi[(t - t_{j-1}) / (t_j - t_{j-1})].$$

Then

$$(4.6) \quad F_j(t) = t_j, \quad t \geq t_j$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad t^{k-1} |F_j^{(k)}(t)| \leq C_9, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

Proof. Inequality (4.6) is obvious. To prove (4.7) note that for $t \geq t_j$, (4.6) gives

$$F_j(t) = t_j \leq t.$$

In the interval $I_j = [t_{j-1}, t_j]$,

$$F_j(t) \leq t_j \leq C_8 t_{j-1} \leq C_8 t.$$

Since $F_j(t) = 0$ for $t < t_{j-1}$, we see that (4.7) holds for $k = 0$ with $C_9 = \max(C_8, 1)$. By (4.5)

$$F_j^{(k)}(t) = t_j \varphi^{(k)}[(t - t_{j-1}) / (t_j - t_{j-1})] / (t_j - t_{j-1})^k.$$

Thus for $k \leq m$

$$\begin{aligned} |F_j^{(k)}(t)| &\leq C_{10} t_j^k / (t_j - t_{j-1})^k \quad \text{in } I_j \\ &= 0 \quad \text{outside } I_j. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently in I_j we have by (4.4)

$$t^{k-1} |F_j^{(k)}(t)| \leq C_{10} t_j^k / (t_j - t_{j-1})^k \leq C_{10} / (1 - \theta)^k.$$

Thus we can take $C_9 = C_{10} / (1 - \theta)^m$, and (4.7) holds. □

LEMMA 4.3. *If $1 \leq r < s$, then*

$$(4.8) \quad |D^r F_j(J_s f)| \leq C J_{s,r} f, \quad f \geq 0,$$

where the constant C does not depend on j or f .

Proof. Let $u = J_s f$. We have

$$(4.9) \quad D^r F_j(u) = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{\sum i_q=r} F_j^{(k)}(u) D^{i_1} u \cdots D^{i_k} u.$$

Thus by (4.7)

$$|D^r F_j(u)| \leq C_9 \sum \sum |u|^{1-k} |D^{i_1} u| \cdots |D^{i_k} u|.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.1

$$(4.10) \quad |D^i J_s f| \leq C J_s f^{1-(i/r)} J_{s,r} f^{i/r}.$$

Substituting this into the expression above, we obtain (4.8). □

LEMMA 4.4. *For $0 \leq r < s$,*

$$(4.11) \quad M(J_{s,r} f) \leq C J_{s,r} M(f), \quad f \geq 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$J_{s,r} f = c \int G_{s,r}(x - y) f(y) dy \Big/ \int G_{s,r}(x - y) dy.$$

Thus by Jensen's inequality (cf., e.g., [13])

$$\begin{aligned} M(J_{s,r} f) &\leq c' \int G_{s,r}(x - y) M(f(y)) dy \Big/ \int G_{s,r}(x - y) dy \\ &= c'' J_s M(f). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.5. For $0 \leq r < s \leq 2m$

$$(4.12) \quad \rho_\nu(J_{s,r}f, M) \leq C\rho_\nu(f, M).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.4 and (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \int M(J_{s,r}f) d\nu &\leq c \int J_{s,r}M(f) d\nu \\ &= c \int \int G_{s,r}(x-y)M(f(y)) dy d\nu(x) \\ &= c \int \left[\int G_{s,r}(x-y) d\nu(x) \right] M(f(y)) dy \\ &\leq C' \int (d\nu/dy)M(f(y)) dy = C'\rho_\nu(f, M). \end{aligned}$$

This gives (4.12). □

We shall need to prove an inequality corresponding to Lemma 4.1 for the case $r = s$. For this purpose we make the following definitions. We let

$$\begin{aligned} M_s f(x) &= \int_{|x-y|<1} |x-y|^{s-n} f(y) dy, \\ T_s f(x) &= \sup_{\delta \leq 1} \delta^{-s} \int_{|x-y|<\delta} |x-y|^{s-n} f(y) dy, \\ J_{s,s} f(x) &= J_s f(x) + T_n f(x) + f(x). \end{aligned}$$

We have

LEMMA 4.6. For $0 < s \leq n$ there is a constant C_s such that

$$(4.13) \quad T_s f(x) \leq C_s T_n f(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Proof. For $\delta \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y|<\delta} |x-y|^{s-n} f(y) dy &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\delta 2^{-k-1} < |x-y| < \delta 2^{-k}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\delta 2^{-k-1})^{s-n} (\delta 2^{-k})^n T_n f(x) \\ &\leq \delta^s 2^{n-s} T_n f(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-sk}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can take $C_s = 2^n / (2^s - 1)$. □

COROLLARY 4.7. For $0 \leq k < s$

$$(4.14) \quad |D^k J_s f| \leq C J_s f^{1-(k/s)} J_{s,s} f^{k/s}, \quad f \geq 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$|D^r J_s f| \leq C \int G_{s,r}(x-y) f(y) dy \leq C' M_{s-r} f(x) + C J_s f(x).$$

Now for $\delta \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{s-r} f(x) &= \int_{|x-y| < \delta} |x-y|^{s-r-n} f(y) dy + \int_{\delta < |x-y| < 1} \\ &\leq \delta^{s-r} T_{s-r} f(x) + \delta^{-r} M_s f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Take $\delta^s = M_s f(x) / C_s T_n f(x)$. It is ≤ 1 by Corollary 4.7. Then

$$(4.15) \quad M_{s-r} f(x) \leq C M_s f(x)^{(s-r)/s} T_n f(x)^{r/s}$$

by Lemma 4.6. Since $M_s f \leq J_s f$, we have

$$|D^r J_s f| \leq C J_s f^{(s-r)/s} [T_n f^{r/s} + J_s f^{r/s}].$$

This gives the lemma. □

Lemma 4.8 is similar to an inequality of Hedberg [9] (cf. also [20]).

LEMMA 4.9. For $f \geq 0$

$$(4.16) \quad |[(1 - \Delta)^m - 1] F_j (J_{2m} f)| \leq C \sum_{r=0}^{2m} J_{2m,r} f$$

where the constant is independent of j and f .

Proof. Let $u = J_{2m} f$. Then

$$(4.17) \quad [(1 - \Delta)^m - 1] F_j (u)$$

equals

$$(4.18) \quad F'_j (u) [(1 - \Delta)^m - 1] u$$

plus terms of the form (4.9) with $k > 1$ and $1 \leq r \leq 2m$. Taking $s = 2m$ in Lemma 4.3, we see that for $r < 2m$, the sum of the absolute values of these terms is bounded by the right hand side of (4.16) with $r = 0$ and $r = 2m$ missing. For those terms in which $r = 2m$, we make use of Lemma 4.8. From that lemma we see that (4.10) holds even when $r = s$ provided we define $J_{s,s}$ as above. Applying this to

(4.9) we obtain $CJ_{2m,2m}f$ as a bound for such terms. Finally we note that (4.18) equals

$$F_j(u)(f - u)$$

which is bounded by $C(f + u)$. This gives (4.16). □

Now we give the

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For $u \in C_0^\infty$ fixed, let $f = (1 - \Delta)^m u$, $v = J_{2m}|f|$. Then $|u| \leq v$. Let the sequence $\{t_j\}$ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4.2. Then the left hand side of (3.2) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{2m,\nu,M}(t_j) \ln[M(t_{j+1})/M(t_j)] &\leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{2m,\nu,M}(t_j) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_\nu([1 - \Delta]^m F_j(v), M) \\ &\leq C' \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} [\rho_\nu([(1 - \Delta)^m - 1]F_j(v), M) + \rho_\nu(F_j(v), M)]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we made use of (4.4), (4.6) and (a) of §2. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad M(g + h) &= M(2[(g + h)/2]) \\ &\leq C_1 M([g + h]/2) \leq \frac{1}{2} C_1 (M(g) + M(h)). \end{aligned}$$

Since only derivatives appear in $(1 - \Delta)^m - 1$, the support of the function (4.14) is contained in the set

$$G_j = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : t_{j-1} < v(x) < t_j\}.$$

Thus by Lemmas 4.9, 4.5 and (e)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\nu([(1 - \Delta)^m - 1]F_j(v), M) &\leq C \sum_{r=0}^{2m} \int_{G_j} M(J_{2m,r}|f|) dv \\ &\leq C' \int_{G_j} M(f) dv \end{aligned}$$

where the constants are independent of j and f . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_\nu([(1 - \Delta)^m - 1]F_j(v), M) &\leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{G_j} M(f) dv \\ &= C \int M(f) dv. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the support of $F_j(\nu)$ is contained in

$$\bigcup_{k=j}^{\infty} G_k$$

and it is bounded by t_j . Thus

$$\rho_{\nu}(F_j(\nu), M) \leq \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \int_{G_k} M(t_j) d\nu = M(t_j) \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \nu(G_k).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_{\nu}(F_j(u), M) &\leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} M(t_j) \nu(G_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^k M(t_j) \nu(G_k) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu(G_k) M(t_k) (1 + \theta + \theta^2 + \dots) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu(G_k) M(t_{k-1}) \leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{G_k} M(\nu) d\nu \\ &= C \int M(J_{2m}|f|) d\nu \leq C' \int M(f) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Here we made use of (4.4), (4.5), (3.5) and Lemma 4.5. This gives (3.2). □

5. The homogeneous inequalities. Now we turn to the proof of inequality (2.15). For this purpose we need a counterpart of Theorem 3.1. In place of (3.1) we define

$$(5.1) \quad \tilde{c}_{s,t,\nu,M}(e) = \inf_{\nu} \{ \rho_{\nu}(\nu, M), I_s \nu \geq \text{ton } e \}$$

and

$$\tilde{c}_{s,\nu,M}(t) = \tilde{c}_{s,t,\nu,M}(N_t)$$

where $N_t = N_t(u)$ is the set defined in §3. Corresponding to Theorem 3.1 we have

THEOREM 5.1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5 there is a constant C such that*

$$(5.2) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{c}_{2m,\nu,M}(t) M(t)^{-1} dM(t) \leq C \rho_{\nu}(\Delta^m u, M), \quad u \in C_0^{\infty}.$$

In proving Theorem 5.1 we replace Lemma 4.8 with

LEMMA 5.2. *If $0 \leq k < s$, then*

$$(5.3) \quad |D^k I_s f| \leq C I_s f^{1-(k/s)} T f^{k/s}, \quad f \geq 0.$$

This lemma is due to Hedberg [9] (cf. also [20]). The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.8. Replacing Lemma 4.9 we have

LEMMA 5.3. *There is a constant independent of j and f such that*

$$(5.4) \quad |\Delta^m F_j(I_{2m} f)| \leq C(f + Tf), \quad f \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $u = I_{2m} f$. Then $\Delta^m F_j(u)$ equals

$$(5.5) \quad F'_j(u) \Delta^m u$$

plus terms of the form (4.9) with $k > 1$ and $\sum i_q = 2m$. If we now apply (4.7) and (5.3), we see that these terms are bounded by CTf . Since $f = \Delta^m u$, we obtain (5.4). \square

We can now give the

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Following the proof of Theorem 3.1 we see that the left hand side of (5.2) is bounded by

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_\nu(\Delta^m F_j(v), M),$$

where $f = \Delta^m u$, $v = I_{2m}|f|$. Since $F_j(v)$ is constant outside G_j , we see that (5.6) is bounded by

$$(5.7) \quad C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{G_j} M(|f| + T|f|) dv$$

in view of Lemma 5.3. If we now make use of (4.20), we see that (5.7) is bounded by

$$\int [M(f) + M(T|f|)] dv.$$

This is where assumption (e') is used to show that (5.2) holds. \square

The proof that (5.2) implies (2.15) is similar to the proof of (2.2) and is omitted.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. R. Adams, *On the existence of capacity strong type estimates in \mathbf{R}^n* , Ark. Mat., **14** (1976), 125–140.
- [2] ———, *A trace inequality for generalized potentials*, Studia Math., **48** (1973), 99–105.
- [3] N. Aronszajn and K. T. Smith, *Theory of Bessel potentials*, I, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **11** (1961), 385–475.
- [4] M. S. Berger and M. Schechter, *Embedding theorems and quasi-linear elliptic boundary value problems for unbounded domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **175** (1973), 439–467.
- [5] S. Chanillo and R. L. Wheeden, *L^p estimates for fractional integrals and Sobolev inequalities with applications to Schrodinger operators*, Comm. P.D.E., **10** (1985), 1077–1116.
- [6] ———, *Weighted Poincare and Sobolev inequalities and estimates for weighted Peano maximal functions*, Amer. J. Math.
- [7] R. R. Coifman and C. L. Fefferman, *Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Math., **51** (1974), 241–250.
- [8] B. E. J. Dahlberg, *Regularity properties of Riesz potentials*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **28** (1979), 257–258.
- [9] L. I. Hedberg, *On certain convolution inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **36** (1972), 505–510.
- [10] R. Kerman and E. Sawyer, *Weighted norm inequalities for potentials with applications to Schrodinger operators, Fourier transforms and Carleson measures*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1985), 112–116.
- [11] ———, *The trace inequality and eigenvalue estimates for Schrodinger operators*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble.
- [12] ———, *Multipliers of Besov and power-weighted L^2 spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **33** (1984), 353–366.
- [13] M. A. Krasnoselskii and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1961.
- [14] V. G. Mazja, *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer Verlag, 1985.
- [15] V. G. Mazja and T. O. Saposhnikova, *Theory of Multipliers in Spaces of Differentiable Functions*, Pitman, 1985.
- [16] N. G. Meyers, *A theory of capacities for potentials of functions in Lebesgue classes*, Math. Scand., **26** (1970), 255–292.
- [17] B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **192** (1974), 261–274.
- [18] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **165** (1972), 207–226.
- [19] E. Sawyer, *A characterization of a two-weight norm inequality for maximal operators*, Studia Math., **75** (1982), 1–11.
- [20] M. Schechter, *On the invariance of the essential spectrum of an arbitrary operator II*, Ricerche di Mat., **16** (1967), 3–26.
- [21] ———, *Spectra of Partial Differential Operations*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.
- [22] ———, *Hamiltonians for singular potentials*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **22** (1972), 483–503.
- [23] ———, *Semilinear boundary value problems for unbounded domains*, Michigan Math. J., to appear.

- [24] ———, *Boundary value problems for semilinear elliptic equations of arbitrary order in unbounded domains*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., to appear.
- [25] E. Stredulinsky, *Weighted Inequalities and Degenerate Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, Springer Lecture Notes No. 1074, (1984).
- [26] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.

Received January 27, 1987.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
IRVINE, CA 92717

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906-1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1988 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

William Charles Bauldry, Attila Mate and Paul Nevai, Asymptotics for solutions of systems of smooth recurrence equations	209
Ehrhard Behrends, Isomorphic Banach-Stone theorems and isomorphisms which are close to isometries	229
Fernanda Maria Botelho, Rotation sets of maps of the annulus	251
Edward Graham Evans, Jr. and Phillip Alan Griffith, Binomial behavior of Betti numbers for modules of finite length	267
Andrei Iordan, Pseudoconvex domains with peak functions at each point of the boundary	277
Zyun'iti Iwase, Dehn-surgery along a torus T^2-knot	289
Marko Kranjc, Embedding 2-complexes in \mathbf{R}^4	301
Aloys Krieg, Eisenstein-series on real, complex, and quaternionic half-spaces	315
Masato Kuwata, Intersection homology of weighted projective spaces and pseudo-lens spaces	355
Carl Pomerance, András Sárközy and Cameron Leigh Stewart, On divisors of sums of integers. III	363
Martin Schechter, Potential estimates in Orlicz spaces	381