# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION AT A CORNER FOR THE CAPILLARY PROBLEM

ERICH MIERSEMANN

Vol. 134, No. 2

June 1988

### ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION AT A CORNER FOR THE CAPILLARY PROBLEM

#### ERICH MIERSEMANN

Consider the solution of capillary surface equation over domains with corners. It is shown that there exists an asymptotic expansion of the solution at the corner if the corner angle  $2\alpha$  satisfies  $0 < 2\alpha < \pi$  and  $\alpha + \gamma > \pi/2$  where  $0 < \gamma \leq \pi/2$  is the contact angle between the surface and the container wall. It is assumed that the corner is bounded by lines. The leading terms of the expansion are calculated and properties of the remainder are given.

1. Introduction and results. We consider the non-parametric capillary problem in the presence of gravity. One seeks a surface S: u = u(x), defined over a bounded base domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , such that S meets vertical cylinder walls over the boundary  $\partial \Omega$  in a prescribed constant angle  $\gamma$ . This problem leads to the equations, see Finn [2],

(1.1) 
$$\operatorname{div} Tu = \kappa u \quad \operatorname{in} \Omega$$

(1.2)  $\nu \cdot Tu = \cos \gamma$  on the smooth parts of  $\partial \Omega$ ,

where

$$Tu = \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}},$$

 $\kappa = \text{const.} > 0 \text{ and } \nu \text{ is the exterior unit normal on } \partial \Omega$ .

Let the origin x = 0 be a corner of  $\Omega$  with the interior angle  $2\alpha$  satisfying

$$(1.3) 0 < 2\alpha < \pi.$$

For simplicity, let us assume that the corner is bounded by lines near x = 0, see Figure 1.

We choose  $0 < R_0 < 1$  small enough such that  $\Omega_{R_0} = \Omega \cap B_{R_0}$  with  $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2/x_1^2 + x_2^2 < R\}$  is a circular sector. Furthermore, we assume that

$$(1.4) 0 < \gamma < \pi/2$$

and

(1.5) 
$$\alpha + \gamma > \pi/2.$$




FIGURE 1

Concus and Finn [1] have shown that u is bounded near 0 if and only if  $\alpha + \gamma \ge \pi/2$ . Using this result, L. Simon [9] proved that

(1.6) 
$$u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}_R)$$
 for each  $R < R_0$ ,

provided (1.4) and (1.5) are satisfied.

Similar to [7] we show, using the divergence structure of the left hand side of (1.1), that the assumptions (1.3)-(1.5) imply  $u \in C^{1,\varepsilon}$  up to the corner. We produce an auxiliary Dirichlet problem and apply results of [6], see also [7], for the Dirichlet problem of quasilinear elliptic equations of second order over domains with corners.

Recently, Lieberman [4] has shown for (1.1), (1.2) that  $u \in C^{1,\varepsilon}$  up to the corner. His method rests on a barrier construction for Neumann type problems. In [7] we have proved this result when the quantity  $\kappa u$  in (1.1) is replaced by a constant. The method of [7] works also for (1.1), (1.2), see §2.

Once one has established that the solution of the auxiliary Dirichlet problem is in  $C^{1,\varepsilon}$  then the existence of the asymptotic expansion follows from results of [8], see §4.

Define

(1.7) 
$$k = \frac{\sin \alpha}{\cos \gamma}$$

and

$$(1.8) a^2 = 1 - k^{-2}$$

The mapping

(1.9) 
$$x_1 = ay_1, \quad x_2 = y_2$$

transforms  $\Omega$  onto a new domain which we denote by  $\Omega$  again. The reason for this change of variables is that the quantities  $d_{ij}(Dw, DQ)$  which occur in the leading coefficients of the quasilinear equation (3.5) satisfy  $d_{ij}(0,0) = 0$ . Under this assumption one can start with the asymptotic expansion according to [8], see §4.

Let  $2\omega$  be the new interior angle at the corner y = 0. An easy calculation shows that  $0 < \omega < \pi/2$  is given by

$$(1.10) tg\,\omega = a\,tg\,\alpha$$

and that  $2\omega$  is the angle at the corner of the surface S about x = 0. We set

$$\alpha_1 = \pi/2\omega.$$

Using polar coordinates r,  $\theta$  in the y-system, then for a given integer  $l, l \ge 1$ , there exist N real numbers  $\min(\alpha_1, 2) = \kappa_1 < \cdots < \kappa_N$  and nonnegative integers  $k_j$  such that near y = 0 the following expansion takes place:

(1.11) 
$$u(y) = u(0) - k^{-1} r \cos \theta + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{s=0}^{k_j} r^{\kappa_j} \ln^s r \psi_{js}(\theta) + v_l(y),$$

where  $v_l \in C^{l,\lambda}$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , up to the corner,  $v_l = o(r^{\kappa_N})$  and the  $\psi_{js}(\theta)$  are regular functions defined on  $[-\omega, \omega]$ , see §4.

In particular, one has for the solution u(x) of (1.1), (1.2):

(1.12) 
$$u(x) = u(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}} x_1 + v(x)$$

in the case  $1 < \alpha_1 \leq 2$ , where  $v \in C^{1,\lambda}(\overline{\Omega}_R)$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , and  $v = o(|x|^{\alpha_1 - \varepsilon})$ .

If  $\alpha_1 > 2$ , then

(1.13) 
$$u(x) = u(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}} x_1 + \frac{\kappa}{4a} u(0) \left(\frac{x_1^2}{a^2} + x_2^2\right) + v$$

holds, where  $v \in C^{2,\lambda}(\overline{\Omega}_R)$  and  $v = o(|x|^{\min(\alpha_1,3)-\varepsilon})$ .

Moreover, the inequality  $d < \alpha_1 \leq d+1$  for an integer  $d \geq 2$  implies that  $u \in C^{d,\lambda}(\overline{\Omega}_R)$ , see Theorem 4.2.

If the inequality (1.5) is replaced by  $\alpha + \gamma < \pi/2$ , then a solution of (1.1), (1.2) is unbounded near the origin, see Concus and Finn [1] or Finn [2, Chapter 5.2]. Furthermore, in these works the first term of a possible asymptotic expansion was given. In the borderline case

 $\alpha + \gamma = \pi/2$  Tam [10] obtained that the normal vector to the surface S is continuous up to the corner.

In both cases, the question of existence of an asymptotic expansion is an open problem.

2. The associated Dirichlet problem. The following class of functions is useful for our purpose, see [8] and also Maz'ya and Plamenevskiĭ [5, p. 65] for a related class.

DEFINITION 2.1. For a real  $\gamma$  and a nonnegative integer m a function V is said to be of class  $A_{m,\lambda}^{\gamma}(\Omega_R)$  if  $V \in C^m(\overline{\Omega}_R \setminus \{0\})$  and if there exists a constant  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that for  $0 \le |l| \le m$ :

(i)

$$|D^l V| \le c |x|^{|\gamma||}$$
 for  $x \in \overline{\Omega}_R \setminus \{0\}$ ,

(ii)

$$|D^{l}V(\bar{x}) - D^{l}V(\bar{x})|$$

$$\leq c|\bar{x} - \bar{x}|^{\lambda} \begin{cases} [\max(|\bar{x}|, |\bar{x}|)]^{\gamma - |l| - \lambda} & \text{if } \gamma - |l| - \lambda > 0, \\ [\min(|\bar{x}|, |\bar{x}|)]^{\gamma - |l| - \lambda} & \text{if } \gamma - |l| - \lambda \le 0 \\ & \text{for } \bar{x}, \bar{x} \in \overline{\Omega}_{R} \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

The constants c may depend on R, l,  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda$ .

For brevity we write  $V_{m,\lambda}^{\gamma}$  for functions belonging to  $A_{m,\lambda}^{\gamma}(\Omega_R)$ . Let  $H(x) = (H_1(x), H_2(x))$  be a  $C^{1,\varepsilon}(\overline{\Omega}_R)$  vector field which satisfies

(2.1) 
$$D_i H_i = \kappa u \quad \text{in } \Omega_R,$$
$$\nu_i H_i = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_R,$$

where  $\Sigma_R = (\partial \Omega \cap B_R) \setminus \{0\}.$ 

Here and subsequently we use the summation convention. In §3 we show that there exists a solution of (2.1) satisfying

(2.2) 
$$H_1 = \frac{\kappa}{2} u(0) x_1 + V_{1,1-\delta}^{2-\varepsilon}, \quad H_2 = \frac{\kappa}{2} u(0) x_2 + V_{1,1-\delta}^{2-\varepsilon}$$

for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . We choose  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  close to 0.

Define

$$A_i = \frac{D_i u}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}};$$

then (1.1) implies  $D_i(A_i - H_i) = 0$  in  $\Omega_R$ . Consequently, we may introduce a stream function  $\psi$  by setting

$$d\psi = (-A_2 + H_2) \, dx_1 + (A_1 - H_1) \, dx_2.$$

Let

$$\psi(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{C}} d\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$$

where  $\mathfrak{C}$  denotes a curve in  $\overline{\Omega}_R$  connecting the origin with  $(x_1, x_2) \in \overline{\Omega}_R$ .

Since  $\nu_i H_i = 0$  on  $\Sigma_R$ , the boundary condition (1.2) implies

(2.3) 
$$\psi(x) = -k^{-1}x_2 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_R,$$

where k is given by (1.7).

Thus, the nonlinear boundary condition (1.2) becomes a linear Dirichlet condition with a known right hand side. This is a point which simplifies the calculation of the asymptotic expansion, see §4.

Subsequently, subscripts are used to indicate partial derivatives, for example  $\psi_{,i} = \partial \psi / \partial x_i$ .

We set

$$F_1 = -\psi_{,1} + H_2, \quad F_2 = \psi_{,2} + H_1$$

and

$$F^2 = F_1^2 + F_2^2.$$

Because of

$$u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}_{R_0})$$
 and  $F^2 = \frac{|Du|^2}{1+|Du|^2}$ 

one has

(2.4) 
$$\max_{\overline{\Omega}_R} F^2 < 1 \quad \text{for each } R \le R_0$$

The equations

$$\psi_{,1} = -A_2 + H_2, \quad \psi_{,2} = A_1 - H_1$$

imply

(2.5) 
$$u_{x_1} = (1 - F^2)^{-1/2} F_2, \quad u_{x_2} = (1 - F^2)^{-1/2} F_1.$$

Hence, since  $u_{x_1x_2} = u_{x_2x_1}$  in  $\Omega_R$ , one has in  $\Omega_R$ 

$$[(1 - F^2)^{-1/2}F_1]_{x_1} = [(1 - F^2)^{-1/2}F_2]_{x_2}$$

or

(2.6) 
$$\int_{\Omega_R} a_i(H(x), D\psi) D_i v \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in C_0^1(\Omega_R),$$
$$\psi = -k^{-1} x_2 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_R,$$

where

$$a_1 = -(1 - F^2)^{-1/2}F_1$$
 and  $a_2 = (1 - F^2)^{-1/2}F_2$ .

A simple calculation shows that

$$(1 - F^2)^{-1/2} |\xi|^2 \le a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \le (1 - F^2)^{-3/2} |\xi|^2$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^2$ , where  $a_{ij} = \partial a_i / \partial q_j$ ,  $q_j = \psi_{x_j}$ , and the  $a_{ij}$  are given by

$$a_{11} = (1 - F^2)^{-3/2}(1 - F_2^2), \quad a_{22} = (1 - F^2)^{-3/2}(1 - F_1^2)$$
 and  
 $a_{12} = a_{21} = -(1 - F^2)^{-3/2}F_1F_2.$ 

**LEMMA** 2.1. There exists a positive constant  $\lambda < 1$  such that  $\psi \in C^{1,\lambda}(\overline{\Omega}_R)$  for each fixed  $R < R_0$ .

Proof. We use the barrier function of [7] for

(2.7) 
$$M\psi \equiv a_{ij}(H, D\psi)\psi_{,ij} + a_{i,H_i}(H, D\psi)H_{j,i} = 0$$

instead as in [7] for  $M_0 \psi \equiv a_{ij} \psi_{,ij} = 0$ .

In [7] the right hand side of (1.1) was replaced by a constant. Since H satisfies (2.2), we infer that for a constant  $\mu > 0$ 

$$|\psi + k^{-1}x_2| \le c|x|^{1+\mu}$$
 in  $\overline{\Omega}_R$ 

by using (2.6) and (2.4), see [6] or [8, §3.1]. Then, the lemma follows from this inequality as in [6] or [8, §3.2].

3. The transformed problem. Define for  $\omega$  of (1.10)  $C = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2/|\theta| < \omega\}$  and consider for a sufficiently regular function  $h(\theta)$  defined on  $[-\omega, \omega]$ , for a nonnegative constant  $\beta$  and a nonnegative integer s the boundary value problem

(3.1)  $\Delta Q = h(\theta) r^{\beta} \ln^{s} r \quad \text{in } C,$  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial C \setminus \{0\}.$ 

As in [8, Lemma 4.5] for the case of the corresponding Dirichlet problem, one shows also for (3.1)

**LEMMA** 3.1. (i) If  $(\beta + 2) \neq n\alpha_1$  is satisfied for all integers  $n \geq 1$ , then a solution of (3.1) is given by

$$Q = r^{\beta+2} \sum_{l=0}^{s} f_l(\theta) \ln^l r,$$

where the functions  $f_l$  are regular on  $[-\omega, \omega]$  and satisfy  $f'_l(-\omega) = f'_l(\omega) = 0$ .

(ii) Let 
$$(\beta + 2) = n\alpha_1$$
 for an integer  $n \ge 1$  and

$$\varphi_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}} \cos[n\alpha_1(\theta + \omega)].$$

Then there exist constants  $c_l$  such that a solution of (3.1) is given by

$$Q = r^{\beta+2} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{s} f_l(\theta) \ln^l r + \varphi_n(\theta) \sum_{l=0}^{s} c_l \ln^{1+l} r \right]$$

with regular functions  $f_l$  on  $[-\omega, \omega]$  satisfying  $f'_l(-\omega) = f'_l(\omega) = 0$ .

**REMARK** 3.1. If the right hand side of (3.1) is radially symmetric, that is h = const., then a solution of (3.1) is given by the function Q of (i) with constants  $f_l$  since each radially symmetric function satisfies the boundary condition of (3.1).

**REMARK** 3.2. If the right hand side of (3.1) is a polynomial in  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$  of degree q with  $q + 2 < \alpha_1$ , then there is a polynomial  $P_{q+2}$  of degree q + 2 which is a solution of (3.1) and satisfies  $P_{q+2} = O(|y|^2)$ , see [8, §5] for the case of the related Dirichlet problem.

REMARK 3.3. In order to illustrate (ii) of Lemma 3.1, let  $h(\theta)r^{n\alpha_1-2}$  be the right hand side of (3.1), where  $n \ge 2$  is an integer. Then logarithmic terms occur in Q if and only if

$$\int_{-\omega}^{\omega} h(\theta) \varphi_n(\theta) \, d\theta \neq 0.$$

A solution of (3.1) is given by

$$Q = r^{n\alpha_1} [f_0(\theta) + c_0 \varphi_n(\theta) \ln r], \quad c_0 \neq 0.$$

We consider the problem

(3.2) 
$$\Delta Q = f(y) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{R_0},$$
$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_{R_0}.$$

As in [8, Lemma 4.4] for the corresponding Dirichlet problem, one proves

LEMMA 3.2. Suppose that  $f \in A_{m,\lambda}^{\gamma}(\Omega_{R_0})$  and  $\gamma > -1$ . Then there exists a solution  $Q \in A_{m+2,\lambda}^{\gamma+2-\varepsilon}(\Omega_R)$  of (3.2) for each R,  $0 < R < R_0$ , and arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

From  $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}_{R_0})$  one concludes that  $u(y) - u(0) \in A^1_{0,1-\delta}(\Omega_{R_0})$  for each  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Then, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 imply that

(3.3) 
$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Q &= \kappa u \quad \text{in } \Omega_{R_0}, \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \nu} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_{R_0} \end{aligned}$$

possesses a solution

(3.4) 
$$Q = \frac{\kappa}{4} u(0)(y_1^2 + y_2^2) + V, \text{ where } V \in A_{2,1-\delta}^{3-\varepsilon}(\Omega_R)$$

and  $R < R_0$  may be chosen arbitrarily close to  $R_0$ .

We define w by  $\psi = w - k^{-1}x_2$  and set  $H_1 = aQ_{y_1}$  and  $H_2 = Q_{y_2}$ . Multiplying the differential equation (2.7) by  $(1 - F^2)^{3/2}$ , introducing  $\psi$  and H from above into (2.7), then, in conjunction with (2.3), we get after the mapping (1.9) the problem

(3.5) 
$$\Delta w = d_{ij}(Dw, DQ)w_{,ij} + G(Dw, DQ, D^2Q) \text{ in } \Omega_R,$$
$$w = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_R,$$

where

$$d_{11} = \frac{1}{a^2} \left[ -\frac{2}{k} (w_{,2} + aQ_{,1}) + (w_{,2} + aQ_{,1})^2 \right],$$
  

$$d_{22} = \left( -\frac{1}{a} w_{,1} + Q_{,2} \right)^2,$$
  

$$d_{12} = d_{21} = -\frac{1}{ak} \left( -\frac{1}{a} w_{,1} + Q_{,2} \right) + \frac{1}{a} \left( -\frac{1}{a} w_{,1} + Q_{,2} \right) (w_{,2} + aQ_{,1})$$

and

$$G = aQ_{,12} \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{a}w_{,1} + Q_{,2} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{a^2k}(w_{,2} + aQ_{,1}) - \frac{1}{a^2}(w_{,2} + aQ_{,1})^2 \right] + (Q_{,11} - Q_{,22}) \left[ -\frac{1}{k} \left( -\frac{1}{a}w_{,1} + Q_{,2} \right) + \left( -\frac{1}{a}w_{,1} + Q_{,2} \right) (w_{,2} + aQ_{,1}) \right]$$

After the mapping (1.9) we obtain from (2.5)

(3.6) 
$$u_{y_1} = a(1-F^2)^{-1/2}F_2, \quad u_{y_2} = (1-F^2)^{-1/2}F_1,$$

where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are given by

$$F_1 = -\frac{1}{a}w_{,1} + Q_{,2}$$
 and  $F_2 = -\frac{1}{k} + w_{,2} + aQ_{,1}$ .

4. Existence of the asymptotic expansion. Under the assumptions of  $\S1$  the asymptotic expansion follows from (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6) by using results of [8].

Instead of these equations, we consider the more general system (4.1)-(4.3):

(4.1) 
$$\Delta w = d_{ij}(Dw, DQ)w_{,ij} + G(Dw, DQ, D^2Q) \quad \text{in } \Omega_R$$
$$w = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_R,$$

(4.2)  $\Delta Q = f(y, u)$  in  $\Omega_R$ ,  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial u} = 0$ , on  $\Sigma_R$ ,

(4.3) 
$$u_{y_1} = \chi_1(Dw, DQ)$$
$$u_{y_2} = \chi_2(Dw, DQ) \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega}_R$$

We assume that  $d_{ij}(0,0) = 0$  and that the functions  $d_{ij}$ , G, f,  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are sufficiently regular. For finite many real numbers  $0 \le \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \cdots < \kappa_N$  and nonnegative integers  $k_j$  we set

$$P^{(\kappa_1)} = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=0}^{k_j} r^{\kappa_j} \ln^s r \psi_{js}(\theta),$$

where  $\psi_{js}$  denote regular functions defined on  $[-\omega, \omega]$ . From (1.6) we infer that

(4.4) 
$$u = P^{(0)} + V^1_{0,\lambda_1},$$

where  $0 < \lambda_1 < 1$  may be chosen arbitrarily close to 1. Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 imply the existence of a solution Q of (4.2) such that

(4.5) 
$$Q = P^{(2)} + V_{2,\lambda_1}^{3-\varepsilon}$$

Under the assumption  $w \in C^{1,\lambda}$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , up to the corner we conclude from (4.1) that, see [8, Lemma 3.4],

(4.6) 
$$w \in A_{2,\lambda}^{\min(\alpha_1,2)-\varepsilon}.$$

We set

$$\alpha_j = j\alpha_1$$
 and  $v_j(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}} \sin[\alpha_j(\theta + \omega)]$ 

for integers  $j \ge 1$ .

The case  $1 < \alpha_1 \le 2$ . Introducing Q and  $w \in A_{2,\lambda}^{\alpha_1 - \varepsilon}$  into (4.1), we obtain

$$\Delta w = P^{(0)} + V_{0,\lambda}^{2\alpha_1 - 3 - \varepsilon}.$$

Since Q satisfies (4.5), there exist constants  $c_i$  such that, see [8, §4.2],

$$w = P^{(2)} + \sum_{\alpha_j < 2\alpha_1 - 1} c_j r^{\alpha_j} v_j(\theta) + V_{2,\lambda}^{2\alpha_1 - 1 - \varepsilon}$$
$$= P^{(2)} + c_1 r^{\alpha_1} v_1(\theta) + V_{2,\lambda}^{2\alpha_1 - 1 - \varepsilon}$$
$$= P^{(\alpha_1)} + V_{2,\lambda}^{2\alpha_1 - 1 - \varepsilon}.$$

Now we introduce this w and Q of (4.5) into the equation (4.3) and see that  $u = P^{(0)} + V_{2,\lambda}^{2\alpha_1 - 1 - \varepsilon}$ . Using this u and considering (4.2), Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 yield

(4.7) 
$$Q = P^{(2)} + V_{4,\lambda}^{2\alpha_1 + 1 - \varepsilon}$$

Introducing this Q and w from above into (4.1), one obtains

(4.8) 
$$w = P^{(\alpha_1)} + V_{4,\lambda}^{2\alpha_1 - 1 - \varepsilon}$$

see [8, Lemma 3.4].

From (4.1) in conjunction with (4.7) and (4.8) it follows that

$$w = P^{(0)} + V_{2,\lambda}^{3\alpha_1 - 4 - \varepsilon}.$$

Then, according to  $[8, \S 4.2]$  we see that

$$w = P^{(2)} + \sum_{\alpha_j < 3\alpha_1 - 1} c_j r^{\alpha_j} v_j(\theta) + V^{3\alpha_1 - 2 - \varepsilon}_{4,\lambda}$$
$$= P^{(\alpha_1)} + V^{3\alpha_1 - 2 - \varepsilon}_{4,\lambda}.$$

Finally, by induction it follows that

$$u = P^{(0)} + V_{2(n-1),\lambda}^{n(\alpha_1-1)+1-\varepsilon}.$$

The case  $\alpha_1 > 2$ . Using (4.4) and (4.5) in (4.1), we get from (4.6) in  $\Omega_R$  the equation

$$w = P^{(0)} + V_{0\lambda}^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Again, from [8, §4.2] it follows that

$$w = P^{(2)} + V_{2,\lambda}^{\min(\alpha_1,3)-\varepsilon}.$$

Introducing (4.5) and this w into (4.3), we obtain in the case  $\alpha_1 > 3$  that  $u = P^{(0)} + V_{2,\lambda}^{3-\epsilon}$ . Thus,  $Q = P^{(2)} + V_{4,\lambda}^{5-\epsilon}$  is a solution of (4.2). This implies, see [8, Lemma 3.4],  $w = P^{(2)} + V_{4,\lambda}^{3-\epsilon}$ . Substituting this w and Q into (4.1), we see that

$$w = P^{(0)} + V_{2,\lambda}^{2-\varepsilon}$$

which yields  $w = P^{(2)} + V_{4,\lambda}^{\min(\alpha_1,4)-\varepsilon}$ .

Repeating this argument, we obtain

(4.9) 
$$Q = P^{(2)} + V^{d+2-\varepsilon}_{2(d-1),\lambda}$$
 and  $w = P^{(2)} + V^{\alpha_1-\varepsilon}_{2(d-1),\lambda'}$ 

provided the inequality  $d < \alpha_1 \le d+1$  is satisfied for an integer  $d \ge 2$ .

We now introduce Q and w from (4.9) into (4.1) and find that

$$w = P^{(0)} + V_{2(d-1)-2,\lambda}^{\alpha_1-1-\varepsilon}$$

Using again a result of  $[8, \S4.2]$ , it follows that

$$w = P^{(2)} + \sum_{\alpha_j < \alpha_1 + 1} c_j r^{\alpha_j} V_j(\theta) + V_{2(d-1),\lambda}^{\alpha_1 + 1 - \varepsilon}$$
  
=  $P^{(2)} + c_1 r^{\alpha_1} v_1(\theta) + V_{2(d-1),\lambda}^{\alpha_1 + 1 - \varepsilon}$   
=  $P^{(2)} + V_{2(d-1),\lambda}^{\alpha_1 + 1 - \varepsilon}$ .

From (4.3) we infer that

$$u = P^{(0)} + V_{2(d-1),\lambda}^{\alpha_1+1-\varepsilon}$$

Again, using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, one sees that

$$Q = P^{(2)} + V_{2(d-1)+2,\lambda}^{\alpha_1+3-\varepsilon}$$

is a solution of (4.2). Taking this Q into account in (4.1), one finds, see [8, Lemma 3.4],

$$w = P^{(2)} + V^{\alpha_1 + 1 - \varepsilon}_{2(d-1) + 2n,\lambda}$$

for each integer  $n \ge 1$ .

Collecting the above results, we have under the assumption  $w \in C^{1,\lambda}(\overline{\Omega}_{R_0})$ 

THEOREM 4.1. For a given integer  $p \ge 0$  there exist N real numbers  $\kappa_j$ ,  $\min(\alpha_1, 2) = \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \cdots < \kappa_N < \overline{\kappa}_p$ , where

$$\bar{\kappa}_p = \begin{cases} (p+2)(\alpha_1-1)+1 & \text{if } \alpha_1 \leq 2, \\ \alpha_1+p+1 & \text{if } \alpha_1 > 2 \end{cases}$$

such that

$$u(y) = u(0) + u_{y_i}(0)y_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=0}^{k_j} r^{\kappa_j} \ln^s r \psi_{js}(\theta) + V_i$$

where  $V \in A_{\bar{m},\lambda}^{\bar{\kappa}_p - \epsilon}(\Omega_R)$  with arbitrary  $0 < R < R_0$  and  $\bar{m}$  is given by

$$\bar{m} = \begin{cases} 2(p+1) & \text{if } \alpha_1 \leq 2, \\ 2(d-1) + 2(p+1) & \text{if } \alpha_1 > 2. \end{cases}$$

As sketched in  $[8, \S 5]$  for the corresponding Dirichlet problem in two-dimensional domains with corners, one obtains

**THEOREM 4.2.** Let  $d \ge 1$  be an integer such that  $d < \alpha_1 \le d + 1$  is satisfied. Then  $u(y) = P_d + V_{m,\lambda}^{\alpha_1 - \varepsilon}$ , where  $m \ge d + 1$  and  $P_d$  denotes a polynomial of degree d in  $y_1, y_2$ .

In particular, one has  $u \in C^{d,\mu}$ ,  $0 < \mu < 1$ , up to the corner since  $\lambda > 0$  may be chosen close to 0.

REMARK 4.1. In Grisvard [3, Chapter 6.4] it was proved that the asymptotic expansion in Hölder spaces exists, provided the right hand side of  $\Delta u = f$  is Hölder continuous up to the corner. In the case  $1 < \alpha_1 < 2$  the sum  $d_{ij}(Dw, DQ)w_{,ij}$  on the right of (4.1) belongs to the class  $A_{0,\lambda}^{3\alpha_1-3-\varepsilon}$ . In particular, one cannot assume that the right hand side of the differential equation (4.1) is Hölder continuous up to the corner, provided  $2\alpha_1 - 3 \le 0$  is satisfied. Therefore, the results of Grisvard [3, Chapter 6.4] do not cover our case. Moreover, the results of [8, Chapter 4] imply a simplified proof of the existence of the asymptotic expansion in Hölder-type spaces for solutions to (3.2) or to the corresponding Dirichlet problem.

5. Leading terms for the capillary problem. In the case  $1 < \alpha_1 \le 2$  we obtain from (3.5), (3.3), (3.6) and (3.4) after a simple calculation

(5.1) 
$$u(y) = u(0) - \frac{1}{k}r\cos\theta + c_1\frac{1}{a^2\sqrt{\omega}}r^{\alpha_1}\cos[\alpha_1(\theta+\omega)] + \frac{\kappa}{4a}u(0)r^2 + V,$$

where  $c_1$  is a constant and  $V \in A_{3,\lambda}^{2\alpha_1-1-\varepsilon}$ .

In particular, we have in x-coordinates:

$$u(x) = u(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}} x_1 + V_{3,\lambda}^{\alpha_1 - \varepsilon}.$$

Hence, since  $\lambda$  may be chosen close to 0, the expansion (1.12) of §1 follows.

If  $\alpha_1 > 2$ , then (5.1) holds with  $V \in A_{3,\lambda}^{3-\varepsilon}$ . This implies

(5.2) 
$$u(x) = u(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}} x_1 + \frac{\kappa}{4a} u(0) \left(\frac{x_1^2}{a^2} + x_2^2\right) + V,$$

where  $V \in A_{3,\lambda}^{\min(\alpha_1,3)-\varepsilon}$ . From this expansion (1.13) follows in view of the definition of the class  $A_{m,\lambda}^{\gamma}$  and the fact that we may choose  $\lambda$  close to 0.

An easy calculation shows that the sum of the first three items on the right hand side of (5.2) is equal to the expansion up to second order at x = 0 of the lower hemisphere of radius  $2/\kappa u(0)$  touching the plane

$$z = u(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 - 1}} x_1$$
 at  $x = 0$ .

If  $\alpha_1 = 2$ , that is  $2\omega = \pi/2$ , then the expansion (5.1) implies  $u \in C^{2,\mu}(\overline{\Omega}_R)$ ,  $0 < \mu < 1$ . That means we have more regularity near the corner than Theorem 4.2 asserts for the general problem (4.1)-(4.3).

#### References

- P. Concus and R. Finn, On capillary free surfaces in a gravitational field, Acta Math., 132 (1974), 207–223.
- [2] R. Finn, *Equilibrium Capillary Surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1986.
- [3] P. Grisvard, *Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains*, Pitman, Boston, 1985.
- [4] G. M. Lieberman, Hölder continuity of the gradient at a corner for the capillary problem and related results, Pacific J. Math., 133 (1988), 115–135.
- [5] V. G. Maz'ya and B. A. Plamenevskii, Estimates in  $L_p$  and in Hölder classes and the Miranda-Agmon maximum principle for solutions of elliptic boundary value problems in domains with singular boundary points, Math. Nachr., **81** (1978), 25-82. (Russian)
- [6] E. Miersemann, Zur Regularität verallgemeinerter Lösungen von quasilinearen elliptischen Differentialgleichungen in Gebieten mit Ecken, Z. Anal. Anwendungen, 1 (1982), 59-71.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, On capillary free surfaces without gravity, Z. Anal. Anwendungen, 4 (1985), 429-436.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, Asymptotic expansions of solutions of the Dirichlet problem for quasilinear elliptic equations of second order near a conical point, Math. Nachr., to appear.
- [9] L. Simon, Regularity of capillary surfaces over domains with corners, Pacific J. Math., 88 (1980), 363-377.
- [10] L.-F. Tam, Regularity of capillary surfaces over domains with corners: borderline case, Pacific J. Math., 125 (1986), 469–485.

Received April 30, 1987.

KARL-MARX-UNIVERSITY LEIPZIG, GDR

#### EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HERBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

E. F. BECKENBACH

(1906 - 1982)

ROBION KIRBY University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONAUNIVERSITY OF OREGONUNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIAUNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIACALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGYSTANFORD UNIVERSITYUNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIAUNIVERSITY OF HAWAIIMONTANA STATE UNIVERSITYUNIVERSITY OF TOKYOUNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENOUNIVERSITY OF UTAHNEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITYWASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITYOREGON STATE UNIVERSITYUNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1988 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 134, No. 2 June, 1988

P. D. Allenby and M. Sears, Extension of flows via discontinuous	
functions	.209
Arthur William Apter and Moti Gitik, Some results on Specker's	
problem	. 227
Shiu-Yuen Cheng and Johan Tysk, An index characterization of the	
catenoid and index bounds for minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^4$	. 251
Mikihiro Hayashi and Mitsuru Nakai, Point separation by bounded	
analytic functions of a covering Riemann surface	. 261
Charles Philip Lanski, Differential identities, Lie ideals, and Posner's	
theorems	.275
Erich Miersemann, Asymptotic expansion at a corner for the capillary	
problem	. 299
Dietrich W. Paul, Theory of bounded groups and their bounded	
cohomology	.313
Ibrahim Salama, Topological entropy and recurrence of countable	
chains	. 325
Zbigniew Slodkowski, Pseudoconvex classes of functions. I. Pseudoconcave	;
and pseudoconvex sets	
Alfons Van Daele, <i>K</i> -theory for graded Banach algebras. II	
Edwin Wolf, Functions in $R^2(E)$ and points of the fine interior	