Pacific Journal of Mathematics

IRREDUCIBILITY OF UNITARY PRINCIPAL SERIES FOR COVERING GROUPS OF SL(2, k)

COURTNEY HUGHES MOEN

Vol. 135, No. 1

September 1988

IRREDUCIBILITY OF UNITARY PRINCIPAL SERIES FOR COVERING GROUPS OF SL(2, k)

Courtney Moen

This paper establishes the irreducibility of certain unitary principal series representations of covering groups of SL(2, k), where k is a p-adic field, with p odd.

0.1. The theory of automorphic forms on covering groups of reductive groups over number fields has been shown to have important arithmetical applications [5], [3]. It is thus natural to study the representation theory of covering groups over p-adic fields. The representationtheoretic results which seem to be most applicable to automorphic forms are those concerning the reducibility of non-unitary principal series. The main results concern GL(n) and have been established by Kazhdan and Patterson [3]. In this paper we undertake the study of the unitary principal series by establishing complete reducibility results for n-sheeted covering groups of SL(2, k), where k is a p-adic field containing the nth roots of unity. For ease of exposition, we assume p is odd. The proof uses a detailed analysis in the Fourier transform realization. This procedure is well known, but carrying out the details in the general case is rather involved. In particular, a careful study of matrix-valued Bessel functions is necessary.

The main result of the paper states that when n is even, all unitary principal series are irreducible, and that when n is odd, the only reducible ones are those induced from non-trivial characters of order 2 of k^x . The reducibility results in the case of n odd follow from [6]; the proofs here deal with the irreducibility. These results can easily be applied to establish the reducibility of certain unitary principal series of covering groups of p-adic Chevalley groups. A more complete study, however, requires a completeness theorem like that proved by Harish-Chandra for reductive p-adic groups.

1.1. Let k be a p-adic field. Let n be a positive integer and assume k contains the nth roots of unity. Let (,) be the norm residue symbol of degree n. Let G = SL(2, k). There is a covering group \tilde{G} defined as

follows [4]: if $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$, put

$$x(\sigma) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } c \neq 0, \\ d & \text{if } c = 0. \end{cases}$$

For $\sigma, \tau \in G$, put $\beta(\sigma, \tau) = (x(\sigma), x(\tau))(-x(\sigma)^{-1}x(\tau), x(\sigma\tau))$. \tilde{G} is the set $\{(\sigma, \gamma) | \sigma \in G, \gamma \in Z/nZ\}$, with multiplication defined by $(\sigma_1, \gamma_1)(\sigma_2, \gamma_2) = (\sigma_1\sigma_2, \gamma_1\gamma_2\beta(\sigma_1, \sigma_2))$.

We will assume in this paper that $p \neq 2$ and that p does not divide n. Let \mathscr{O} be the ring of integers in k, P the prime ideal, and U the units in \mathscr{O} . Let $U^m = \{u^m \mid u \in U\}$. Let q be the order of the residue class field, τ a prime element of k, and ε a (q-1)st root of unity in k. Let χ be a character of k^+ with conductor \mathscr{O} . We take $\{1, \varepsilon, \ldots, \varepsilon^{n-1}\}$ to be representatives for U/U^n . Let $\zeta = (\varepsilon, \tau), |x|$ the absolute value on k, and ν the additive valuation. Once we fix n, we will let $(,)_m$ be the norm residue symbol of degree m, where $m \neq n$, whenever the symbol is defined.

Let $N = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} | x \in k \}$, $A = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} | a \in k^x \}$, and B = NA. Let $\tilde{N}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$ be the inverse images of N, A, B in \tilde{G} with respect to the canonical surjection $\tilde{G} \to G$.

1.2. Let μ be a character of k^x , and let θ be a character of Z/nZof order *n*. We will write $\theta(\gamma) = \gamma^t$, with *t* and *n* relatively prime. Let $\tilde{A}_0 = \{(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma) \in \tilde{A} \mid \nu(a) \equiv O(n)\}$. Put $k_0^x = \{x \in k^x \mid \nu(x) \equiv O(n)\}$. Then $\tilde{A}_0 \cong k_0^x \times Z/nZ$. Characters of \tilde{A}_0 are thus of the form $\tilde{\mu}_0(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma) = \theta(\gamma)\mu(a)$.

Suppose first that *n* is odd. Then the induced representations $\tilde{\mu} = \operatorname{Ind}_{\tilde{A}_0}^{\tilde{A}} \tilde{\mu}_0$ are irreducible *n*-dimensional representations. We will use the explicit matrix realization of $\tilde{\mu}$ obtained by choosing as representatives for \tilde{A}/\tilde{A}_0 the set $\{1, r^{-1}, \ldots, r^{-(n-1)}\}$, where $r = (\begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1)$. If $\tilde{x} = (\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma) \in \tilde{A}$, with $\nu(x) \equiv j(n), j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, the matrix $\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{x})$ is of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & C \\ D & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, where *C* and *D* are respectively $j \times j$ and $(n-j) \times (n-j)$ diagonal matrices. In the (i, k)th place of $\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{x})$, where i - k = -j or n - j, we have $\tilde{\mu}_0(r^{i-1}\tilde{x}r^{-k+1})$.

Now assume that *n* is even. Let $\tilde{A}^1 = \{(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma) \in \tilde{A} | \nu(a) \equiv O(n/2)\}$. Each character of \tilde{A}_0 can be extended to \tilde{A}^1 in two ways. Choose $\tilde{x} = (\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma) \in \tilde{A}^1$. The two extensions of a character of \tilde{A}_0 defined by θ and μ are:

$$\tilde{\mu}^{1}(\tilde{x}) = \begin{cases} \theta(\gamma)\mu(x) & \text{if } \tilde{x} \in \tilde{A}_{0}, \\ \theta(\gamma)\theta((x,\tau))^{n/2}\theta((\tau,\tau))^{n/2}\alpha\mu(x) & \text{if } x \in \tilde{A}^{1} - \tilde{A}_{0}, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha^{2} = \theta((\tau,\tau))^{n^{2}/4}.$

We obtain irreducible representations $\tilde{\mu} = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{A}^1}^{\tilde{A}} \tilde{\mu}^1$ of dimension n/2, and we will use the matrix realization corresponding to the representatives $\{1, r^{-1}, \ldots, r^{-(n/2-1)}\}$ of \tilde{A}^1 in \tilde{A} .

For each *n*, whether odd or even, we obtain in this way all finite dimensional representations of \tilde{A} . We extend these to \tilde{B} and form the principal series $(T_{\tilde{\mu}}, H_{\tilde{\mu}}) = \operatorname{Ind}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}}\tilde{\mu}$. $H_{\tilde{\mu}}$ consists of all locally constant functions $\phi: \tilde{G} \to C^{\dim \tilde{\mu}}$ satisfying $\phi(\tilde{n}\tilde{x}\tilde{g}) = |x|\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{x})\phi(\tilde{g})$, where $\tilde{n} \in \tilde{N}$ and $\tilde{x} = (\begin{pmatrix} x & 0\\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma) \in \tilde{A}$. Each function ϕ in $H_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is determined by the function $x \to \phi(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1)$. These are functions on k, so we take Fourier transforms and obtain a realization of $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ in a space of functions we denote by $\hat{k}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ (for details see [6]). The action $\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ of \tilde{G} on $\hat{k}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is given by:

$$\begin{split} \hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma \right) f(t) &= |a|^{-1} \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma \right) f(a^{-2}t), \\ \hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) f(t) &= \chi(-vt) f(t), \\ \hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) f(t) \\ &= \int \int \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) \chi \left(ux + \frac{t}{x} \right) f(u) du \frac{dx}{|x|}. \end{split}$$

1.3. In this paper we will study only the principal series $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ coming from unitary characters μ of k^x . We will determine which of these are irreducible. The element $\tilde{w} = (\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 1) = (w, 1)$ of \tilde{G} acts on representations $\tilde{\mu}$ of \tilde{A} by $\tilde{\mu}^{\tilde{w}}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{\mu}(\tilde{w}\tilde{x}\tilde{w}^{-1})$. An application of Bruhat theory [1] shows that if $\tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\mu}^{\tilde{w}}$ are not equivalent, then $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is irreducible. We will now determine which $\tilde{\mu}$ satisfy $\tilde{\mu}^{\tilde{w}} \approx \tilde{\mu}$.

Suppose first that n is odd and θ is fixed. Then

trace
$$\tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0\\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin (k^x)^n, \\ \frac{n}{2}\theta(\gamma)\mu(x) & \text{if } x \in (k^x)^n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\tilde{u}_1 \approx \tilde{u}_2 \Leftrightarrow \mu_1(x) = \mu_2(x)$ for all x in $(k^x)^n$. Also,

trace
$$\tilde{\mu}^w \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma \right) = \operatorname{trace} \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}, \gamma \right)$$
,

so $\tilde{\mu} \approx \tilde{\mu}^w \Leftrightarrow \mu^2(x) = 1$ for all x in $(k^x)^n$. The characters μ which satisfy this property are those of the form $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)_2(\varepsilon^i \tau^j, x)_{2n}$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. But there are only four inequivalent $\tilde{\mu}$ coming from these characters. They are the ones coming from the characters $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)_2$, for $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau, \varepsilon\tau\}$. It thus suffices to consider these four characters.

Suppose now that *n* is even and θ is fixed. Then

trace
$$\tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma \right)$$

= $\begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \notin (k^x)^{n/2}, \\ \frac{n}{2} \alpha \theta(\gamma) \theta((x, \tau))^{n/2} (\tau, \tau)^{n/2} \mu(x) & \text{if } x \in (k^x)^{n/2}. \end{cases}$

Therefore, $\tilde{\mu}_1 \approx \tilde{\mu}_2 \Leftrightarrow \mu_1(x) = \mu_2(x)$ for all x in $(k^x)^{n/2}$, and $\tilde{\mu}^w \approx \tilde{\mu} \Leftrightarrow \mu^2(x) = 1$ for all $x \in (k^x)^{n/2}$. The characters μ for which this is true are those of the form $\mu(x) = (\varepsilon^i \tau^j, x)$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. If $\mu_1(x) = (\varepsilon^k \tau^l, x)$ is another of these, then $\tilde{\mu} \approx \tilde{\mu}_1 \Leftrightarrow i \equiv k \pmod{2}$ and $j \equiv l \pmod{2}$. It thus suffices to consider the four characters $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$ for $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon \tau^t\}$. It will prove more convenient to consider (τ^t, x) than (τ, x) .

We can now state the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 1. Let $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)_2$ if n is odd, and let $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$ if n is even, where $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon \tau^t\}$. Then (a) If n is odd, $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is irreducible if $\alpha = 1$.

(b) If n is even, $T_{\tilde{u}}$ is irreducible for each α .

REMARKS. (a) It is also true that if *n* is odd and $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)_2$ for $\alpha \in \{\varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon\tau^t\}$, then T_{μ} splits into a direct sum of two irreducible representations. This follows from the results of [6].

(b) Since the result is well known when n = 1 or 2, we assume in the rest of this paper that n > 2.

1.4. We will assume in the rest of this paper that if n is odd, $\mu = 1$ and if n is even, $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$, $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon \tau^t\}$.

Suppose that *I* is an intertwining operator for $\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}$. Since *I* commutes with all the operators $\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1)$, *I* is given by an End $(C^{\dim \tilde{\mu}})$ -valued

function a(x) on k^x . Since I commutes with all $\hat{T}_{\mu}(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} I\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0\\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)f\right)(t) = \left(\hat{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0\\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)If\right)(t) \\ \Rightarrow a(t)|x|^{-1}\tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0\\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)f(x^{-2}t) \\ = |x|^{-1}\tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0\\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)a(x^{-2}t)f(x^{-2}t) \\ \Rightarrow a(x^{-2}t) = \tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0\\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)^{-1}a(t)\tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0\\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right).$$

Since I commutes with $\hat{T}_{\mu}(w, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I\hat{T}_{\hat{\mu}}(w,1)f)(t) &= (\hat{T}_{\hat{\mu}}(w,1)If)(t) \\ &\Rightarrow a(t) \int \int \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) \chi \left(ux + \frac{t}{x} \right) f(u) \, du \frac{du}{|x|} \\ &= \int \int \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) \chi \left(ux + \frac{t}{x} \right) a(u)f(u) \, du \frac{dx}{|x|} \\ &\Rightarrow J_{\hat{\mu}}(u,v)a(u) = a(v)J_{\hat{\mu}}(u,v) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in k^{x}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u,v) = \int \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) \chi \left(ux + \frac{v}{x} \right) \frac{dx}{|x|}$$

1.5. We will now establish some results for later use. Any $\Pi \in \hat{k}^x$ has associated to it a *p*-adic gamma function $\Gamma(\Pi)$ and a *p*-adic Bessel function $J_{\Pi}(u, v)$ [7]. For $y \in k^x$, $\Gamma(y)$ will denote $\Gamma(\Pi)$, where $\Pi(x) = (y, x)$. If $y \in k^x$ and $\mu \in \hat{k}^x$, $J_y^{\mu}(u, v)$ will denote $J_{\Pi}(u, v)$, where $\Pi(x) = (y, x)\mu(x)$. If $\mu = 1$, we will simply write $J_y(u, v)$.

LEMMA 2. Let $U_s = (1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k}(u\tau^m, v)$, where $u, v \in U$. (a) If m = -1, $U_0 = U_1 = -q^{-1}$, $U_2 = \cdots = U_{n-1} = 0$. (b) If m = 0, $U_0 = U_{n-1} = 1 - q^{-1}$, $U_2 = \cdots = U_{n-2} = 0$, $U_1 = -q^{-1}$. (c) If $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-3\}$, $U_1 = U_{n-m-1} = -q^{-1}$, $U_0 = U_{n-m} = U_{n-m+1} = \cdots = U_{n-1} = 1 - q^{-1}$, $U_2 = U_3 = \cdots = U_{n-m-2} = 0$. (d) If m = n-2, $U_1 = -2q^{-1}$, $U_0 = U_2 = U_3 = \cdots = U_{n-1} = 1 - q^{-1}$. (e) If m = n - 1, $U_0 = U_1 = 1 - 2q^{-1}$, $U_2 = \cdots = U_{n-1} = 1 - q^{-1}$. Proof.

$$\begin{split} J_1(u\tau^m, v) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k}(u\tau^m, v) \\ &= (m+1) - q^{-1}(m+3) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} [(\varepsilon^k, v) \Gamma(\varepsilon^{-k}) + (\varepsilon^{-k}, u\tau^m) \Gamma(\varepsilon^k)] \quad [4, p. 69], \\ &= (m+1) - q^{-1}(m+3) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} \left[\frac{1 - q^{-1} \zeta^k}{1 - \zeta^{-k}} + \zeta^{-mk} \frac{1 - q^{-1} \zeta^{-k}}{1 - \zeta^k} \right] \\ &= (m+1) - q^{-1}(m+3) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\zeta^{ks}}{1 - \zeta^k} - q^{-1} \frac{\zeta^{k(s-1)}}{1 - \zeta^k} + \frac{\zeta^{k(-s-m)}}{1 - \zeta^k} - q^{-1} \frac{\zeta^{k(-s-m-1)}}{1 - \zeta^k} \right] \end{split}$$

Applying the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\zeta^{kj}}{1-\zeta^k} = \begin{cases} \frac{-(n-2j+1)}{2} & \text{if } 1 \le j \le n-1, \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } j = 0, \end{cases}$$

we obtain the result.

Recall that each $\Pi \in \hat{k}^x$ can be written $\Pi(x) = \Pi^*(x)|x|^{\alpha}$. If Π is ramified of degree $h \ge 1$, then $\Gamma(\Pi) = c_{\Pi^*}q^{h(\alpha-1/2)}$ [7]. Suppose $\mu(x) = (\varepsilon^i \tau^j, x)|x|^{\alpha}$ is a ramified character. Then

$$\Gamma(\mu) = \zeta^{-i} C(\tau^j) q^{\alpha - 1/2},$$

where $C(\tau^j) = (\tau^j, \tau)c_{\mu^*}$.

LEMMA 3. Let $R_s = (1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k \tau^j}(u\tau^m, v)$, where $u, v \in U$ and $j \neq O(n)$.

(a) If s = 1 and $m + 2 \neq O(n)$, $R_s = C(\tau^{-j})q^{-1/2}$.

(b) If s = 1 and $m + 2 \equiv O(n)$, $R_s = q^{-1/2}C(\tau^{-j}) + (\tau^{-j}, u\tau^m)C(\tau^j)$.

(c) If $s \neq 1$, then $R_s = 0$ unless $s + m + 1 \equiv O(n)$, in which case it equals $(\tau^{-j}, u\tau^m)C(\tau^j)q^{-1/2}$.

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^{k}\tau^{j}}(u\tau^{m}, v) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} [(\varepsilon^{k}\tau^{j}, v) \Gamma(\varepsilon^{-k}\tau^{-j}) + (\varepsilon^{-k}\tau^{-j}, u\tau^{m}) \Gamma(\varepsilon^{k}\tau^{j})] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} [\zeta^{k}(\tau^{j}, v) C(\tau^{-j}) q^{-1/2} \\ &+ \zeta^{-km}(\tau^{-j}, u\tau^{m}) \zeta^{-k} C(\tau^{j}) q^{-1/2}] \\ &= (\tau^{j}, v) C(\tau^{-j}) q^{-1/2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-k(s-1)} \\ &+ (\tau^{-j}, u\tau^{m}) C(\tau^{j}) q^{-1/2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-k(s+m+1)}. \end{split}$$

2.1. In Part 2 of this paper we assume that n is odd and $\mu = 1$. We will prove that $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is irreducible. The first step is to construct the matrix $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v)$, for $u, v \in U$. If $x \in k^x$ and $\nu(x) \equiv s(n)$, for $s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, then $\tilde{\mu}(\binom{x \ 0}{0 \ x^{-1}})$, 1) is a matrix with non-zero entries only in places (i, j), where i - j = -s or i - j = n - s. The (i, j)th entry is $(x, \tau)^{t(i+j-2)}$. We thus obtain

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u,v) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \int \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks}(\varepsilon^k, y) M_s(y) \chi\left(uy + \frac{v}{y}\right) \frac{dy}{|y|},$$

where $M_s(y)$ is the $n \times n$ matrix with $(y, \tau)^{t(i+j-2)}$ in place (i, j), for i - j = -s or n-s, and zeros elsewhere. Given i, j, and the corresponding s, we thus obtain in the (i, j)th place of $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v)$ the term

$$\frac{1}{n} \int \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} (\varepsilon^k, x) (x, \tau)^{t(i+j-2)} \chi \left(ux + \frac{v}{x} \right) \frac{dx}{|x|}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k \tau^{t(2-i-j)}} (u, v)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k \tau^{-t(2i+s-2)}} (u, v).$$

Lemma 2 shows that for $2i+s-2 \equiv O(n)$, $(1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k}(u, v)$ is non-zero only if s = 0, 1, or n-1. The contributions to $J_{\hat{\mu}}(u, v)$ in this case are thus $1-q^{-1}$ in (1, 1), $-q^{-1}$ in ((n+1)/2, (n+3)/2), and $-q^{-1}$ in ((n+3)/2, (n+1)/2). Lemma 3 shows that if $2i+s-2 \not\equiv O(n)$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ks} J_{\varepsilon^k \tau^{-t(2i+s-2)}}(u, v)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \alpha_i = (\tau^{-t(2i-1)}, v) C(\tau^{t(2i-1)}) q^{-1/2} & \text{if } s = 1, i \neq \frac{n+1}{2}, \\ \beta_i = (\tau^{t(2i-3)}, u) C(\tau^{-t(2i-3)}) q^{-1/2} & \text{if } s = n-1, \\ i \neq \frac{n+3}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases}$$

We set $\alpha_{(n+1)/2} = \beta_{(n+3)/2} = -q^{-1}$. We have thus shown

LEMMA 4. For $u, v \in U$,

with α_i and β_i given above.

2.2. In this section we begin the proof that if n is odd and $\mu = 1$, any intertwining operator of T_{μ} is scalar.

PROPOSITION 5. a(1) is scalar.

Proof. Using the relations established in $\S1.4$, we have that

$$a(\varepsilon^{-2k}) = \tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^k & 0\\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k}\end{pmatrix}, 1\right)^{-1}a(1)\tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^k & 0\\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k}\end{pmatrix}, 1\right)$$

and that

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}\left(\varepsilon^{-2k},1\right)a(\varepsilon^{-2k})=a(1)J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k},1).$$

Combining these equations, we see that a(1) commutes with $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}, 1)\tilde{\mu}({\varepsilon^{k} \ 0 \ \varepsilon^{-k}}), 1)^{-1}$ for k = 0, 1, ..., n-1. a(1) thus commutes with

$$M = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}, 1) \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^k & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right)^{-1}.$$

Using the formulas for $J_{\hat{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}, 1)$ we derived above, a calculation shows that the matrix M has only three non-zero entries. They are: $M_{11} = 1 - q^{-1}$, $M_{1n} = C(\tau^t)q^{-1/2}$, and $M_{n1} = C(\tau^{-t})q^{-1/2}$.

Writing $a(1) = (a_{ij})$, the equation Ma(1) = a(1)M implies that for $2 \le i \le n-1$, we have $a_{1i}a_{ni} = a_{in} = a_{i1} = 0$.

We now use the equation $a(1)J_{\tilde{\mu}}(1,1) = J_{\tilde{\mu}}(1,1)a(1)$. Notice that $\beta_i = \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}$ for $2 \le i \le n$ and that $\beta_1 = \bar{\alpha}_n$. Also, $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_{n-i+1}$ and $\alpha_i \alpha_{n-i+1} = q^{-1}$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

Equating the first rows gives:

(1)
$$a_{11} = a_{22}$$

(2)
$$\bar{\alpha}_n a_{n1} = a_{1n} \alpha_n$$

(3)
$$a_{2j} = 0 \text{ for } 3 \le j \le n-2,$$

(4)
$$\alpha_1 a_{2,n-1} = \bar{\alpha}_{n-1} a_{1n},$$

(5)
$$(1-q^{-1})a_{1n}+\bar{\alpha}_n a_{nn}=a_{11}\bar{\alpha}_n$$

Equating the *i*th rows, for $2 \le i \le (n-3)/2$ gives:

(6)
$$a_{ii} = a_{i+1,i+1}$$
,

(7)
$$\alpha_i a_{i+1,n-i} = a_{i,n-i} \bar{\alpha}_{n-i},$$

(8)
$$a_{i+1,j} = 0$$
 for $j \neq i+1, n-i$.

An inductive step is necessary here.

Equating the (n-1)/2st rows gives:

(9)
$$a_{(n+1)/2,j} = 0$$
 for $j \neq \frac{n+1}{2}$.

Equating the (n + 1)/2st rows gives:

(10)
$$\bar{\alpha}_n a_{(n-1)/2,(n-1)/2} + \alpha_{(n+1)/2} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2} = a_{(n+1)/2,(n+1)/2} \bar{\alpha}_{(n-1)/2},$$

(11)
$$\bar{\alpha}_{(n-1)/2} a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2} + \alpha_{(n+1)/2} a_{(n+3)/2,(n+3)/2} = a_{(n+1)/2,(n+1)/2} \alpha_{(n+1)/2},$$

(12)
$$a_{(n+3)/2,j} = 0$$
 if $j \neq \frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}$

Now we start at the bottom row and proceed upwards. Equating the nth rows gives:

(13)
$$a_{nn} = a_{n-1,n-1}$$

(14)
$$a_{n-1,j} = 0$$
 for $j \neq 2, n-1,$

(15)
$$\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}a_{n-1,2}=a_{n1}\alpha_1.$$

Equating the *i*th rows, for $n - 1 \ge i \ge (n + 5)/2$ gives:

(16) $a_{i-1,j} = 0$ for $j \neq i-1, n-i+2$,

(17)
$$\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}a_{i-1,n-i+2} = \alpha_{n-i+1}a_{i,n-i+1},$$

(18)
$$a_{ii} = a_{i-1,i-1}$$

An inductive step is also necessary here.

Using (1), (6), (13), and (18), we obtain

(19)
$$a_n = a_{22} = \cdots = a_{(n-1)/2,(n-1)/2}$$
 and $a_{(n+3)/2,(n+3)/2} = \cdots = a_{nn}.$

We also have

(20)
$$a_{ij} = 0$$
 unless $j = i$ or $j = n - i + 1$.

Using (15) and (17) gives

(21)
$$a_{n1} = a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2} \bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2} (\alpha_1)^{-1}.$$

Using (14) and (17) gives

(22)
$$a_{1n} = a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2} \alpha_1(\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2})^{-1}.$$

But (2) implies

(23)
$$a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2} = \frac{a_{1n}\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2}}{\alpha_1} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2}\bar{\alpha}_n}{\alpha_1\alpha_n} a_{n1} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2}}{\alpha_n} a_{n1}$$

$$= \frac{(\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2})^2}{\alpha_n\alpha_1} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2}}{\alpha_{(n+3)/2}} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2}.$$

Recalling that $a_{11} = a_{(n-1)/2,(n-1)/2}$, (10) implies that

(24)
$$a_{11} - a_{(n+1)/2,(n+1)/2} = -\frac{\alpha_{(n+1)/2}}{\bar{\alpha}_{(n-1)/2}} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2}$$

Recalling that $a_{(n+3)/2,(n+3)/2} = a_{nn}$, (11) implies that

(25)
$$a_{(n+1)/2,(n+1)/2} - a_{nn} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(n-1)/2}}{\alpha_{(n+1)/2}} a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2}.$$

Adding (24) and (25) and employing (23), we get

$$(26) \quad a_{11} - a_{nn} = \left[\frac{-\alpha_{(n+1)/2}}{\alpha_{(n-1)/2}} + \frac{\alpha_{(n-1)/2}\alpha_{(n+3)/2}}{\alpha_{(n+1)/2}\alpha_{(n+3)/2}}\right] a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2}$$
$$= \left[\frac{q^{-1}(-q^{-1}) + q^{-1}}{(-q^{-1})\alpha_{(n+3)/2}}\right] a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2}$$
$$= \frac{(q^{-1} - 1)}{\alpha_{(n+3)/2}} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2}.$$

Using (5) we find that

(27)
$$a_{11} - a_{nn} = (1 - q^{-1}) \frac{a_{1n}}{\bar{\alpha}_n} = \frac{(1 - q^{-1})}{\bar{\alpha}_n} \frac{\alpha_1}{\bar{\alpha}_{(n+3)/2}} a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2}$$

= $\frac{1 - q^{-1}}{\alpha_{(n+3)/2}} a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2}$.

Comparing (26) and (27), we see that $a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2} = 0$; implying that

$$a_{n1} = a_{n-1,2} = \cdots = a_{(n+3)/2,(n-1)/2}$$

= $a_{(n-1)/2,(n+3)/2} = \cdots = a_{2,n-1} = a_{1n} = 0.$

This implies also that $a_{11} = a_{nn}$. Recalling (19) and (20), we see that a(1) is scalar.

REMARK. For small values of n, of course, the above proof is not precisely true, but the same method applies to these special cases.

2.3. In this section we complete the proof that $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is irreducible. It suffices to show a(x) = a(1) for all $x \in k^x$. Since $a(x^{-2}t) = \tilde{\mu}(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1)^{-1} a(t) \tilde{\mu}(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1)$, it suffices to show $a(\alpha) = a(1)$ for $\alpha \in \{\varepsilon, \tau^{-1}, \varepsilon\tau^{-1}\}$. If $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\alpha, 1)$ is invertible, then we have $a(\alpha) = J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\alpha, 1)^{-1} a(1) J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\alpha, 1) = a(1)$. We therefore proceed to calculate the determinants of the $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\alpha, 1)$. LEMMA 6. det $J_{\hat{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 3(4)$ or $q \neq 3$.

Proof. We showed in Lemma 4 that

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - q^{-1} & \alpha_1 & 0 & & \beta_1 \\ \beta_1 & 0 & \alpha_2 & & & \\ 0 & \beta_2 & 0 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & &$$

 $\alpha_i = C(\tau^{t(2i-1)})q^{-1/2}$ if $i \neq (n+1)/2$, $\beta_i = C(\tau^{-t(2i-3)})q^{-1/2}\zeta^{-t(2i-3)}$ if $i \neq (n+3)/2$, and $\alpha_{(n+1)/2} = \beta_{(n+3)/2} = -q^{-1}$. An easy calculation shows that

$$\det J_{\hat{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) = (-1)^{(n-1)/2} (1-q^{-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{(n-1)/2} \alpha_{2i} \beta_{2i+1} + \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i + \prod_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i.$$

Using the values for α_i and β_i , we obtain $\prod \alpha_i = \prod \beta_i = -q^{(-n-1)/2}$. Now consider the remaining term. $\alpha_{(n+1)/2}$ appears in this product if and only if $\beta_{(n+3)/2}$ appears, and this happens if and only if (n+1)/2is even. If (n+1)/2 is even, we thus obtain $(-1)(1-q^{-1})q^{(-n-1)/2}$. If (n+1)/2 is odd, we get $q^{(-n+1)/2}$. Combining the three terms, we find that if (n+1)/2 is even,

det
$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) = (-1 - q^{-1})q^{(-n-1)/2} - 2q^{(-1-n)/2}$$

= $q^{(-3-n)/2} - 3q^{(-1-n)/2} = q^{(-3-n)/2}(1 - 3q) \neq 0$

If (n+1)/2 is odd,

$$\det J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) = (1 - q^{-1})q^{(-n+1)/2} - 2q^{(-n-1)/2}$$

= $q^{(-n+1)/2} - 3q^{(-n-1)/2} = q^{(-n+1)/2}(1 - 3q^{-1}),$

which equals zero $\Leftrightarrow q = 3$. If q = 3, however, the field cannot contain an *n*th root of unity for any n > 2, and we are not concerned with the case n = 2.

We now construct the matrices $J_{\hat{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1}, 1)$, for $u \in U$. We must consider the sums

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\zeta^{-ks}J_{\varepsilon^k\tau^{-\ell(2\ell+s-2)}}(u\tau^{-1},1).$$

If $2i + s - 2 \equiv O(n)$, Lemma 2 shows that the sum is non-zero only if s = 0 or 1. The contributions to $J_{\hat{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1}, 1)$ in this case are $-q^{-1}$

in (1,1) and ((n + 1)/2, (n + 3)/2). If $2i + s - 2 \neq O(n)$, Lemma 3 shows that the sum is non-zero only if s = 0 or 1. If s = 0, it equals $\beta_i = (\tau^{t(2i-2)}, u)C(\tau^{t(2i-2)})q^{-1/2}$ for $i \neq 1$. If s = 1, it equals $\alpha_i = C(\tau^{t(2i-1)})q^{-1/2}$ for $i \neq (n + 1)/2$. We set $\alpha_{(n+1)/2} = \beta_1 = -q^{-1}$. The α_i occur in places (i, j), for i - j = -1 or n - 1, and the β_i occur in places (i, i). We have thus shown:

LEMMA 7. For $u \in U$,

$$J_{\bar{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1},1) = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \alpha_1 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & \beta_2 & \alpha_2 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_3 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \alpha_n & & & & 0 & \beta_n \end{bmatrix}$$

with α_i and β_i given above.

LEMMA 8. det $J_{\tilde{\mu}(u\tau^{-1},1)} = -2q^{(-n-1)/2} \neq 0.$

Proof. A calculation shows det $J_{\hat{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1}, 1) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i + \prod_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i$. Substituting the values for α_i and β_i , we obtain the result.

Letting u = 1 and ε , we see that $a(\tau^{-1}) = a(\varepsilon\tau^{-1}) = a(1)$. This completes the proof of the first part of our main result.

THEOREM 1(a). If n is odd and $\mu = 1$, $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is irreducible.

REMARK. Let $J_1(x, y)$ denote the Bessel function attached to the trivial character of the field. It seems likely that for $m \ge -1$ and $n \equiv 1$ (2m + 4), det $J_{\hat{\mu}}(u\tau^m, 1) = q^{(-n+1)/2}J_1(u\tau^m, 1)$, where $u \in U$. Lemmas 6 and 8 show this to be true when m = -1 and 0. Additional calculations show this is so for m = 1 and 2 and for some cases when m = 3 and 4. The restriction on n is necessary, as the following results show.

(a) If $n \equiv 3$ (6) and n > 3,

$$\det J_{\hat{\mu}}(u\tau,1) = 2q^{(-n-3)/2}[q^2+1-6q-q(z+\bar{z})],$$

where

$$z = \prod_{l=0}^{n-3/3} [C(\tau^{t(6l+1)})C(\tau^{-t(6l+2)})(\tau^{t(6l+2)}, u)];$$

(b) If
$$n \equiv 5$$
 (6), det $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u\tau, 1) = -2q^{(-n-1)/2}$.
(c) If $n \equiv 5$ (8), det $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u\tau^2, 1) = q^{(-n+1)/2}(4 - 12q^{-1} + 7q^{-2} - q^{-3})$.

3.1. In Part 3 of this paper we assume that n is even and that $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$ for $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon \tau^t\}$. We will show $T_{\hat{\mu}}$ is irreducible for each μ . Since n is even, we write, letting m = n/2,

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u,v) = \int \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) \chi \left(uy + \frac{v}{y} \right) \frac{dy}{|y|}$$
$$= \sum_{r=0}^{1} \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \int_{A_{rs}} \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) \chi \left(uy + \frac{v}{y} \right) \frac{dy}{|y|},$$

where $A_{r,s} = \{ y \in k^x | v(y) \equiv mr + s(n) \}.$

For $y \in A_{r,s}$, $\tilde{\mu}(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1)$ has non-zero entries only in places (i, j), where i-j = -s if $1 \le i \le m-s$, and i-j = m-s if $m-s+1 \le i \le m$. The (i, j)th entry of $\tilde{\mu}(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1)$ is

$$ilde{\mu}^1\left(\begin{pmatrix} au^{i-j}y & 0 \\ 0 & au^{j-i}y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, (y, au)^{i+j-2}(au, au)^{j(1-i)}
ight).$$

Using the formula for $\tilde{\mu}^1$ in §1.2, we obtain the following result.

LEMMA 9. Given $1 \le i, j \le m$, choose the unique $s \in \{0, 1, ..., m-1\}$ for which i - j = -s or i - j = m - s. Then the (i, j)th entry of $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, v)$ is

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=0}^{1}a(i,r,s)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\zeta^{-k(mr+s)}J^{\mu}_{\varepsilon^{k}\tau^{-i}}(2i+s-2+mr)(u,v),$$

where for $1 \le i \le m-s$, $a(i, r, s) = \mu(\tau^{-s})\theta((\tau, \tau))^{s(1-i)+rm(s+1)}$, and for $m-s+1 \le i \le m$, $a(i, r, s) = \mu(\tau^{m-s})\theta((\tau, \tau))^{s(1-i)+m(i+1+rs)}$.

3.2. In this section we assume first that n/2 is even. In this case, we may take the value of α to be one in the definition of μ^1 . We also take $\mu \equiv 1$. Consider the sum

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\zeta^{-k(mr+s)}J_{\varepsilon^{k}\tau^{-t(2t+s-2+mr)}}(u,v), \quad \text{for } u,v \in U.$$

If r = 0 and $2i+s-2 \equiv O(n)$, Lemma 2 shows that the sum is non-zero only if s = 0 or 1, when it equals $1 - q^{-1}$ and $-q^{-1}$ respectively. If r = 1 and $2i+s-2+m \equiv O(n)$, the sum is non-zero only if s = m-1, when it equals $-q^{-1}$. The only contribution to $J_{\hat{\mu}}(u, v)$ in this case is $1-q^{-1}$ in (1,1), since $2i+s-2 \equiv O(n)$ cannot be solved for i if s = 1, and $2i+s-2+m \equiv O(n)$ cannot be solved for i if s = m-1. If r = 0and $2i+s-2 \neq O(n)$, Lemma 3 shows that the sum is non-zero only if s = 1, in which case it equals $a_i = (\tau^{-t(2i-1)}, v)C(\tau^{t(2i-1)})q^{-1/2}$. If r = 1 and $2i + s - 2 + m \neq O(n)$, the sum in non-zero only if s = m - 1, when it equals $b_i = (\tau^{t(2i-3)}, u)C(\tau^{-t(2i-3)})q^{-1/2}$. We have thus shown:

LEMMA 10. For $\mu = 1$ and $u, v \in U$,

$$J_{\hat{\mu}}(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} 1-q^{-1} & \alpha_1 & 0 & \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 & 0 & \alpha_2 & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ \alpha_m & & \beta_m & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_i = (\tau,\tau)^{i-1}a_i$, and $\beta_i = (\tau,\tau)^{i-1}b_i$.

Letting a(x) denote the function on k^x determined by an intertwining operator of $T_{\bar{\mu}}$, we have:

PROPOSITION 11. a(1) is scalar.

Proof. As in the case of n odd, a(1) commutes with

$$N = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}, 1) \tilde{\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^k & 0\\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right)^{-1}$$

The only non-zero entries of N are

$$N_{11} = 1 - q^{-1}, \qquad N_{1m} = C(\tau^t) q^{-1/2} (\tau, \tau)^m,$$

and

$$N_{m1} = C(\tau^{-t})q^{-1/2}(\tau,\tau).$$

This condition implies that for $2 \le i \le m - 1$, we have $a_{1i} = a_{i1} = a_{mi} = a_{im}$.

We next use the relation $a(1)J_{\tilde{\mu}}(1,1) = J_{\tilde{\mu}}(1,1)a(1)$. Equating the first rows gives:

(28)
$$a_{11} = a_{22}$$
,

(29)
$$a_{2j} = 0 \text{ for } 3 \le j \le m-2,$$

$$(30) a_{1m}\beta_m = \alpha_1 a_{2,m-1},$$

(31)
$$a_{11}\beta_1 = (1-q^{-1})a_{1m} + \beta_1 a_{mm}$$

Equating the second rows gives

$$(32) a_{22} = a_{33},$$

(33)
$$a_{3j} = 0$$
 for $j \neq 3, m-2,$

(34)
$$a_{2,m-1}\beta_{m-1} = \alpha_2 a_{3,m-2}.$$

Equating the *i*th rows for $3 \le i \le n/4 - 1$ and using an inductive step gives:

$$(35) a_{ii} = a_{i+1,i+1},$$

(36)
$$a_{i,m-i+1}\beta_{m-i+1} = \alpha_i a_{i+1,m-i},$$

(37)
$$a_{i+1,j} = 0$$
 for $j \neq i+1, m-i$.

Equating the n/4th rows gives:

(38)
$$a_{n/4,(n/4)+1}\beta_{(n/4)+1} = \alpha_{n/4}a_{(n/4)+1,n/4},$$

(39)
$$a_{n/4,n/4} = a_{(n/4)+1,(n/4)+1}$$

(40)
$$a_{(n/4)+1,j} = 0$$
 for $j \neq \frac{n}{4}, \frac{n}{4} + 1$.

Equating the *i*th rows for $n/4 + 1 \le i \le m - 2$ and using an inductive step also gives (35), (36), and (37) for these values of *i*.

Equating the (m-1)st rows gives

(41)
$$a_{m-1,2}\beta_2 = \alpha_{m-1}a_{m1}$$

(42)
$$a_{m-1,m-1} = a_{mm}$$
.

We now have $a_{11} = a_{22} = \cdots = a_{mm}$. By (31), $a_{1m} = 0$. Using (30), (34), (36), (38), and (41), we see that each of the elements $a_{2,m-1}, a_{3,m-2}, \cdots, a_{m1}$ is a non-zero constant times a_{1m} and is thus zero. We conclude that a(1) is scalar.

We now proceed to show a(x) = a(1) for each $x \in k^x$. As in the case of *n* odd, we will calculate det $J_{\hat{\mu}}(\alpha, 1)$, for $\alpha \in \{\varepsilon, \tau^{-1}, \varepsilon\tau^{-1}\}$.

LEMMA 12. det $J_{\hat{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) \neq 0$.

Proof. Lemma 10 gives the matrix $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1)$. A calculation shows that

$$\det J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) = (-1)^{m/2} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{m/2} \alpha_{2i-1} \beta_{2i} + \prod_{i=1}^{m/2} \beta_{2i-1} \alpha_{2i} \right] - \prod_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i - \prod_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i.$$

Substituting the values for α_i and β_i given in Lemma 10, with $u = \varepsilon$ and v = 1, we obtain det $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) = -2q^{-m/2}(\tau, \tau)^{m/2} \neq 0$.

LEMMA 13. For $u \in U$, det $J_{\hat{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1}, 1) \neq 0$.

Proof. The usual calculations give

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1},1) = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \alpha_1 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & \beta_2 & \alpha_2 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_3 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & &$$

with $\beta_1 = -q^{-1}$, $\beta_i = C(\tau^{-t(2i-2)})q^{-1/2}(\tau^{t(2i-2)}, u)$ for $i \neq 1$, and $\alpha_i = q^{-1/2}(\tau, \tau)^{i-1}C(\tau^{t(2i-1)})$. We then obtain

$$\det J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1},1) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i + -\prod_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = q^{-n/4}[1+q^{-1/2}(\tau^t,u)^{n/2}] \neq 0.$$

Letting u = 1 and ε , we see that $a(\tau^{-1}) = a(\varepsilon\tau^{-1}) = a(1)$. This completes the proof that T_{μ} is irreducible if $\mu = 1$ and n/2 is even.

Assume now that $\mu(x) = (\varepsilon, x)$ and n/2 is even: the proof that $T_{\hat{\mu}}$ is irreducible is virtually identical to the case when $\mu = 1$, so we omit the details.

3.3. In this section we assume first that $\mu(x) = (\tau^t, x)$ and n/2 is even. We first consider, for $u \in U$, the sums

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\zeta^{-k(mr+s)}J^{\mu}_{\varepsilon^{k}\tau^{-i(2i+s-2+mr)}}(u,1)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\zeta^{-k(mr+s)}J_{\varepsilon^{k}\tau^{-i(2i+s-3+mr)}}(u,1).$$

If r = 0 and $2i + s - 3 \equiv O(m)$, Lemma 2 shows that the sum is nonzero only if s = 0, when it equals $1 - q^{-1}$, and if s = 1, when it equals $-q^{-1}$. If r = 1 and $2i + s - 3 + m \equiv O(n)$, the sum is non-zero only if s = m - 1, when it equals $-q^{-1}$. If r = s = 0, there is no contribution to $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u, 1)$, since $2i - 3 \equiv O(n)$ is not solvable. The only contributions in these cases are therefore r = 0, s = 1, which gives $-q^{-1}$ in (1, 2), and r = 1, s = m - 1, which gives $-q^{-1}$ in (2, 1). For the cases when $2i + s - 3 + mr \equiv O(n)$, the sum is non-zero only when r = 0, s = 1, in which case it equals $a_i = C(\tau^{t(2i-2)})q^{-1/2}$, for $i \neq 1$; or when r = 1, s = m - 1, in which case it equals $b_i = (\tau^{t(2i-4)}, u)C(\tau^{-t(2i-4)})q^{-1/2}$, for $i \neq 2$. We have thus shown:

LEMMA 14. For $u \in U$,

$$J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u,1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 & & \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 & 0 & \alpha_2 & & & \\ 0 & \beta_3 & 0 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \alpha_m & & & & \beta_m & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\alpha_1 = -(\tau, \tau)q^{-1}$, $\alpha_i = (\tau, \tau)^i a_i$ for $i \neq 1$, $\beta_2 = -q^{-1}$, and $\beta_i = (\tau, \tau)^i b_i$ for $i \neq 2$.

Similar calculations yield the following two lemmas:

LEMMA 15. For $u \in U$,

$$J_{\hat{u}}(u^{-2}\tau^{2},1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha_{1} & 0 & \beta_{1} & 0 & 0\\ 1-q^{-1} & 0 & \alpha_{2} & & \beta_{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \beta_{3} \\ \beta_{4} & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & \beta_{5} & 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{6} & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ \alpha_{m} & & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\alpha_1 = \beta_3 = -(\tau, \tau)q^{-1}$, $\alpha_i = (\tau, \tau)^i C(\tau^{t(2i-2)})q^{-1/2}$ for i > 1, and $\beta_i = (\tau, \tau)^i (\tau^{t(2i-6)}, u^{-2})C(\tau^{-t(2i-6)})q^{-1/2}$ for $i \neq 3$.

where $\gamma = 1 - q^{-1}$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_5 = -(\tau, \tau)q^{-1}$, $\alpha_i = C(\tau^{t(2i-2)})q^{-1/2}$ if i > 1, and $\beta_i = (\tau^{t(2i-10)}, u^{-2})C(\tau^{-t(2i-10)})q^{-1/2}$ if $i \neq 5$.

PROPOSITION 17. a(1) is scalar.

Proof. Using Lemma 15, a calculation shows that

$$A_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}\tau^2, 1)\tilde{\mu}\left(\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^k\tau^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k}\tau\end{pmatrix}, 1\right)^{-1}$$

is a matrix with all zero entries except for places (2,2), (2, m), and (m, 2), which are occupied by distinct non-zero constants. The relation $a(1) A_1 = A_1 a(1)$ implies that for $i \neq 2, m$, we have $a_{i2} = a_{im} = a_{2i} = a_{mi} = 0$.

Using Lemma 16, we see that

$$A_{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon^{-2k}\tau^{6}, 1) \mu \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^{k}\tau^{-3} & 0\\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-k}\tau^{3} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right)^{-1}$$

is a matrix with all zero entries except for places (3, 3), (3, m - 1), and (m - 1, 3), which are occupied by distinct non-zero constants.

0

γ 0 0

0

 β_7

The relation $a(1) A_2 = A_2 a(1)$ implies that for $i \neq 3, m-1$, we have $a_{3i} = a_{i3} = a_{m-1,i} = a_{i,m-1} = 0$.

Now we use the relation $a(1) J_{\tilde{\mu}}(1, 1) = J_{\tilde{\mu}}(1, 1)a(1)$. Equating the first rows gives:

(43)
$$a_{11}\alpha_1 = \alpha_1 a_{22} + \beta_1 a_{m2},$$

(44)
$$a_{1j} = 0$$
 for $j = 4, 5, ..., m-2$,

$$(45) a_{11}\beta_1 = \beta_1 a_{mm} + \alpha_1 a_{2m}.$$

Equating the second rows gives:

$$(46) a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33},$$

(47)
$$a_{2m} = 0$$

Note that (46) and (43) imply that $a_{m2} = 0$. Equating the *i*th rows, for $3 \le i \le m - 2$, gives:

(48) $a_{i+1,j} = 0 \text{ for } j \neq i+1,$

(49)
$$a_{ii} = a_{i+1,i+1}$$
.

Equating the (m-1)st rows gives:

(50)
$$a_{m-1,m-1} = a_{mm}$$

(51)
$$a_{m-1,3} = 0.$$

Employing all these identities yields the result that a(1) is scalar.

The next step is to prove that $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\alpha, 1)$ is invertible for $\alpha \in \{\varepsilon, \tau^{-1}, \varepsilon\tau^{-1}\}$.

LEMMA 18. det $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) \neq 0$.

Proof. Lemma 14 gives the form of $J_{\hat{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1)$. A calculation shows that

$$\det J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon,1) = (-1)^{m/2} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{m/2} \alpha_{2i-1} \beta_{2i} + \prod_{i=1}^{m/2} \beta_{2i-1} \alpha_{2i} \right] - \prod_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i - \prod_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i.$$

Using the values of α_i and β_i from Lemma 14, we obtain det $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(\varepsilon, 1) = (\tau, \tau)^{m/2} q^{-m/2} [1 - q^{-1}] \neq 0$.

LEMMA 19. det $J_{\tilde{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1}, 1) \neq 0$ for $u \in U$.

Proof. The usual calculations show that

 $\alpha_1 = -(\tau, \tau)q^{-1}, \ \alpha_i = (\tau, \tau)^i C(\tau^{t(2i-2)})q^{-1/2} \text{ for } i > 1, \text{ and } \beta_i = (\tau, \tau)(\tau^{t(2i-3)}, u)C(\tau^{-t(2i-3)})q^{-1/2}.$ We therefore have

$$\det J_{\hat{\mu}}(u\tau^{-1},1) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i + \prod_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i = q^{-m/2}(\tau,\tau)^{m/2}[1-q^{-1/2}] \neq 0.$$

Letting u = 1 and ε , we see that $a(\tau^{-1}) = a(\varepsilon\tau^{-1}) = a(1)$. This completes the proof that $T_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is irreducible if $\mu(x) = (\tau^t, x)$ and n/2 is even.

Assume now that $\mu(x) = (\varepsilon \tau^t, x)$ and n/2 is even. The proof that $T_{\hat{\mu}}$ is irreducible is virtually identical to the case when $\mu(x) = (\tau^t, x)$, so we omit the details.

3.4. In §§3.2 and 3.3, the proofs are not precisely as given if n is small, but the same methods apply and the results still hold, so we omit the details.

As for the cases when n is even and n/2 is odd, there is nothing new here. If $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$, where $\alpha = 1$ or ε , the proof is generally the same as for n odd, $\mu = 1$. If $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$, where $\alpha = \tau^t$ or $\varepsilon \tau^t$, we proceed as we did for n divisible by four.

Combining these remarks with the results of \S 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain:

THEOREM 1(b). If n is even and $\mu(x) = (\alpha, x)$ for $\alpha \in \{1, \varepsilon, \tau^t, \varepsilon \tau^t\}$, $T_{\hat{\mu}}$ is irreducible.

References

- F. Bruhat, Distributions sur un groupe localement compact et applications à l'étude des représentations des groupes p-adiques, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 89 (1961), 43-75.
- [2] S. Gelbart and P. J. Sally, Intertwining operators and automorphic forms for the metaplectic group, P.N.A.S., U.S.A., 72 (1975), 1406–1410.

- [3] D. A. Kazhdan and S. J. Patterson, *Metaplectic forms*, Publ. Math. IHES, 59 (1984), 35-142.
- [4] T. Kubota, Automorphic forms and the reciprocity law in a number field, Kyoto University, 1969.
- [5] _____, Some results concerning reciprocity and functional analysis, Actes du Congr. Int. Math a Nice, 1970, t.I, 395-399.
- [6] C. Moen, Intertwining operators for covering groups of SL(2) over a local field, preprint.
- [7] M. Taibleson, Fourier analysis on local fields, Princeton University Press, 1974.

Received February 12, 1987..

US NAVAL ACADEMY Annapolis, MD 21402

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HERBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

ROBION KIRBY University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA (1906-1982) SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1988 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 135, No. 1September, 1988

Margaret M. Bayer, Barycentric subdivisions	. 1
Eung Chun Cho, s-Smith equivalent representations of dihedral groups	17
Avner Friedman and Jindrich Necas, Systems of nonlinear wave equations	
with nonlinear viscosity	29
Kyong Taik Hahn, Nontangential limit theorems for normal mappings	57
Eloise A. Hamann, Evan Green Houston, Jr. and Jon Lee Johnson,	
Properties of uppers to zero in $R[x]$	55
José M. Souto Menéndez, On a theorem due to Cassels	81
Courtney Hughes Moen, Irreducibility of unitary principal series for	
covering groups of $SL(2, k)$	<u>89</u>
Frank M. Neubrander, Integrated semigroups and their applications to the	
abstract Cauchy problem1	11
C. K. Qu and Roderick Sue-Chuen Wong, Szegő's conjecture on Lebesgue	
constants for Legendre series15	57
John Brendan Sullivan, The Euler character and cancellation theorems for	
Weyl modules	<u>89</u>