

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A REMARK ON SPINOR NORMS OF LOCAL INTEGRAL ROTATIONS. I

FEI XU

A REMARK ON SPINOR NORMS OF LOCAL INTEGRAL ROTATIONS I

XU FEI

The spinor norms of the integral rotations on the modular quadratic forms over a local field, which could not be expressed in the convenient closed forms in [1], are expressed in a convenient closed form.

The spinor norms of integral rotations on a modular quadratic form over a local field were determined in [1], but there remained one case to solve. In the present paper, we will solve this problem. Familiarity of [1] and [2] is assumed, and we also adopt the notations of [1] and [2]. Thus, F denotes a dyadic local field of characteristic 0, \mathcal{O} the ring of integers in F , $\mathfrak{B} = \pi\mathcal{O}$ the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} , \mathcal{U} the group of units in \mathcal{O} , $\mathcal{D}(\cdot)$ the quadratic defect function, V a regular quadratic space of dimension 2 over F , L a unimodular lattice of determinant d on V , a the norm generator, $O^+(V)$ the group of rotations on V , $O^+(L)$ the corresponding subgroup of units of L , and $\theta(\cdot)$ the spinor norm function.

Write $L \cong A(a, -\delta a^{-1})$, adapted to a basis $\{x, y\}$, where $\mathcal{D}(1 + \delta) = \delta\mathcal{O}$ and $-\delta a^{-1}$ belongs to wL . Put $\text{ord}(a) = \nu$, $\text{ord}(2) = e$, and $\mu = e - \nu$. We have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. *If $e + [\mu/2] \geq \text{ord}(\delta a^{-1}) > e$, then*

$$\theta(O^+(L)) = (1 + \mathfrak{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2]})\dot{F}^2 \cap Q(\langle 1, d \rangle)\dot{F}^2$$

where $Q(\langle 1, d \rangle) = \{a \cdot c \mid c \in Q(\dot{V})\}$.

Proof. Take any symmetry Sz in $O(L)$ where z is a maximal anisotropic vector of L . Put $z = s \cdot x + t \cdot y$ where $s, t \in \mathcal{O}$ and one of them must be a unit. Since $\text{ord}(Q(z)) = \text{ord}(s^2 a + 2st - t^2 \cdot \delta \cdot a^{-1}) \leq e$, we obtain $0 \leq \text{ord}(s) \leq [\mu/2]$ and $Q(z) = s^2 a \cdot (1 + 2s^{-1}ta^{-1} - (s^{-1}t)^2(\delta a^{-1})a^{-1})$.

If s is a unit,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(2s^{-1}ta^{-1} - (s^{-1}t)^2(\delta a^{-1})a^{-1}) &= \text{ord}(2s^{-1}ta^{-1}) \geq e - \nu \\ &= (\text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2]) + (e + [\mu/2] + \nu - \text{ord}(\delta)) + [\mu/2] \\ &\geq \text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2]. \end{aligned}$$

If t is a unit,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ord}(2s^{-1}ta^{-1} - (s^{-1}t)^2(\delta a^{-1})a^{-1}) \\ & \geq \min(\mu - \text{ord}(s), \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2\text{ord}(s)). \end{aligned}$$

When $\text{ord}(s) \geq \text{ord}(\delta) + \mu - 2e$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min(\mu - \text{ord}(s), \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2\text{ord}(s)) \\ & = \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2\text{ord}(s) \\ & \geq \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2[\mu/2] \\ & = \text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2]. \end{aligned}$$

When $\text{ord}(s) < \text{ord}(\delta) + \mu - 2e$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min(\mu - \text{ord}(s), \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2\text{ord}(s)) \\ & = \mu - \text{ord}(s) > 2e - \text{ord}(\delta) \\ & = (\text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2]) + 2(e + [\mu/2] + \nu - \text{ord}(\delta)) \\ & \geq \text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2]. \end{aligned}$$

By the theorem of [3], we obtain

$$\theta(O^+(L)) \subseteq (1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\dot{F}^2.$$

It is obvious that V is anisotropic in this case, so $\theta(O^+(V)) = Q < 1$, $d > \dot{F}^2$. Hence, $\theta(O^+(L)) \subseteq (1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\dot{F} \cap Q(\langle 1, d \rangle)\dot{F}^2$.

Take any $a \cdot h\dot{F}^2 \subseteq (1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\dot{F}^2 \cap Q(\langle 1, d \rangle)\dot{F}^2$ where $h \in Q(\dot{V})$, so there exists z in \dot{V} such that $h = Q(z)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $z = s \cdot x + t \cdot y$ where $s, t \in \mathcal{O}$ and one of them must be a unit.

If $[\mu/2] < \text{ord}(s) \leq \mu - e + (\text{ord}(\delta) - 1)/2$, then t is a unit. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ord}((st^{-1}) \cdot (a\delta^{-1}) \cdot 2) = \text{ord}(s) - \text{ord}(\delta a^{-1}) + e \\ & \geq \text{ord}(s) - [\mu/2] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

we know that $(s \cdot t^{-1}) \cdot (a\delta^{-1}) \cdot 2 - 1$ is a unit. Let $\text{ord}(s) = m$, so

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot h & = a(s^2a + 2st - t^2(\delta a^{-1})) \\ & = (as)^2 \cdot (1 + (s^{-1}t)^2(\delta a^{-1}) \cdot (a^{-1}) \cdot ((st^{-1}) \cdot (a\delta^{-1}) \cdot 2 - 1)) \end{aligned}$$

in $(1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\dot{F}^2$. Notice

$$\text{ord}((s^{-1}t)^2(\delta a^{-1}) \cdot (a^{-1})) = -2m + \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu.$$

We obtain the equation

$$(1) \quad 1 + w\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)-2m-2\nu} = f^2(1 + r\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})$$

where $w \in \mathcal{U}$, $r \in \mathcal{O}$, $f \in \hat{F}$. Since

$$\text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2] > \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2m \geq 1,$$

we can assume $f = 1 + q\pi^k$ where $q \in \mathcal{U}$, $k \geq 1$. So the following equation is yielded from (1).

$$(2) \quad w\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)-2\nu-2m} - r\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]} - 2rq\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]+k} \\ - rq^2\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]+2k} - 2q\pi^k = q^2\pi^{2k}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2m &\leq e + [\mu/2] + \nu - 2\nu - 2[\mu/2] \\ &= e - \nu - [\mu/2] \leq e < e + k = \text{ord}(2q\pi^k) \end{aligned}$$

and $\text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2m$ is odd, consider the orders of the elements at both sides of (2), a contradiction is derived.

If $\text{ord}(s) \geq \mu - e + (\text{ord}(\delta) + 1)/2$, then t is a unit again. Since

$$\text{ord}(a^2(st^{-1})^2 \cdot \delta^{-1}) = 2\nu + 2\text{ord}(s) - \text{ord}(\delta) \geq 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(2(st^{-1})a\delta^{-1}) &= e + \text{ord}(s) + \nu - \text{ord}(\delta) \\ &\geq e + (1 - \text{ord}(\delta))/2 \geq e + (1 - e - [\mu/2] - \nu)/2 \\ &= (e - [\mu/2] - \nu)/2 + 1/2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

we know $(1 - a^2(st^{-1})^2\delta^{-1} - 2(st^{-1})a\delta^{-1}) \in \mathcal{U}$. Notice

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot h &= a(s^2a + 2st - t^2(\delta a^{-1})) \\ &= \delta(-t^2)(1 - a^2(st^{-1})^2\delta^{-1} - 2(st^{-1})a\delta^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

in $(1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\hat{F}^2$, so we obtain the equation

$$(3) \quad \delta \cdot \eta = \zeta \cdot f^2$$

where $\eta \in \mathcal{U}$: $f \in \hat{F}$, $\zeta \in (1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Since $\text{ord}(\delta)$ is odd, consider the orders of the elements at both sides of (3), a contradiction is derived.

Now the only possibility is $0 \leq \text{ord}(s) \leq [\mu/2]$, so

$$\text{ord}(Q(z)) = \text{ord}(s^2a + 2st - t^2(\delta a^{-1})) \leq e$$

and z is a maximal vector of L , thus $Sz \in O(L)$, and $Sx \cdot Sz \in O^+(L)$. Notice

$$\theta(Sx \cdot Sz) = a \cdot h\hat{F}^2;$$

hence, $\theta(O^+(L)) = (1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\hat{F}^2 \cap Q((1, d))\hat{F}^2$. \square

Combining the above proposition with the results obtained in [1], we conclude that the spinor norms of integral rotations on a modular quadratic form over a local field are determined completely and all the results are expressed in the conventional closed forms.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. S. Hsia, *Spinor norms of local integral rotations*, I, Pacific J. Math., **57** (1975), 199–206.
- [2] O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer, 1963.
- [3] O. T. O'Meara and B. Pollak, *Generation of local integral orthogonal groups*, II, Math. Zeitschr., **93** (1966), 171–188.

Received October 6, 1987.

UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA
HEFEI ANHUI
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906-1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Robert Archbold and Frederic W. Shultz , Characterization of C^* -algebras with continuous trace by properties of their pure states	1
Shu Ping Chen and Roberto Triggiani , Proof of extensions of two conjectures on structural damping for elastic systems	15
Philip Throop Church and James Timourian , A nonlinear elliptic operator and its singular values	57
A. Gervasio Colares and Katsuei Kenmotsu , Isometric deformation of surfaces in R^3 preserving the mean curvature function	71
Fei Xu , A remark on spinor norms of local integral rotations. I	81
Pedro Martinez Gadea and Ángel María Montesinos-Amilibia , Spaces of constant para-holomorphic sectional curvature	85
Guangxin Zeng , Homogeneous Stellensätze in semialgebraic geometry	103
Thomas Eric Hall , The isomorphism problem for orthodox semigroups	123
Mike Hoffman , Noncoincidence index, free group actions, and the fixed point property for manifolds	129
Terry Atherton Loring , The noncommutative topology of one-dimensional spaces	145
Haskell Paul Rosenthal and Alan Evan Wessel , The Kreĭn-Mil'man property and a martingale coordinatization of certain nondentable convex sets	159
Yoshimi Saito , A remark on the limiting absorption principle for the reduced wave equation with two unbounded media	183