

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LOCALIZATION OF A CERTAIN SUBGROUP OF SELF-HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCES

KEN-ICHI MARUYAMA

LOCALIZATION OF A CERTAIN SUBGROUP OF SELF-HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCES

KEN-ICHI MARUYAMA

Let X be a simple, finite C.W. complex. The group $\mathcal{E}_\#(X)$ is known to be nilpotent. In this paper, we give a proof of the naturality of localization on this group, $\mathcal{E}_\#(X)_{(P)} = \mathcal{E}_\#(X_{(P)})$. The result is then applied to study the group structures of $\mathcal{E}_\#(X)$ of rational Hopf spaces and some Lie groups.

Introduction. Let X be a pointed topological space. We use the notation $\mathcal{E}(X)$ to denote the group of based self-homotopy equivalences of X . (For this group there are other notations, for example, $\text{AUT}^\circ(X)$ in [2].) Throughout the paper our spaces X will be connected of finite type and either finite dimensional or Postnikov pieces, namely, spaces with finite number of non-trivial homotopy groups. Then we denote by $\mathcal{E}_\#^m(X)$ the subgroup of $\mathcal{E}(X)$ which is the kernel of the obvious map (cf. [1], [16]):

$$\mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{j \leq m} \text{Aut } \pi_j(X).$$

We simply denote $\mathcal{E}_\#(X)$ when $m = \dim X$, where

$$\dim X = \max\{i | \pi_i(X) \neq 0\}$$

if X is a Postnikov piece.

E. Dror and A. Zabrodsky have proved that $\mathcal{E}_\#(X)$ is a nilpotent group for an arbitrary finite dimensional C.W. complex or a Postnikov piece ([2], Theorem A). If $m \geq \dim X$, $\mathcal{E}_\#^m(X)$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{E}_\#(X)$ and thus also nilpotent. Hence these groups can be localized in a natural way. For example, the reader may consult the book [5] which provides basic matters on the theory of localization of nilpotent groups (and spaces).

In this paper, our main result is the following.

THEOREM 0.1. *Let X be a simple C.W. complex and P be an arbitrary collection of prime numbers. Assume that $m \geq \dim X$. Then the*

natural map:

$$l_{\#}: \mathcal{E}_{\#}^m(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\#}^m(X_{(P)})$$

is the P -localization map, where $X_{(P)}$ is the localization at P .

In other words $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^m(X)_{(P)} = \mathcal{E}_{\#}^m(X_{(P)})$. When a space is P -equivalent to the simpler space, this theorem enables us to determine $\mathcal{E}_{\#}(X)$ effectively. For example, for 0-regular spaces we obtain the following result which is concerned with the classical result of [1] (Theorem 5.4).

THEOREM 0.2. *Let X be a simple finite rational H -space with $\beta_{2n_i-1} - \text{rank}_Q(\pi_{2n_i-1}(X) \otimes Q) \leq 1$ for $i \leq k$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{\#}(X)/\text{torsion} = Z \oplus \cdots \oplus Z$, the free abelian group of*

$$\text{rank} = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank}_Q(\pi_{2n_i-1}(X) \otimes Q) \cdot (\beta_{2n_i-1} - \text{rank}_Q(\pi_{2n_i-1}(X) \otimes Q)),$$

where β_j is the j th Betti number and $H^*(X, Q) = E(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ (the exterior algebra) with $\text{deg } x_i = 2n_i - 1$.

In many cases $\mathcal{E}_{\#}(X)$ is an abelian group. We give the following non-abelian example.

EXAMPLE (Example 3.1). $\mathcal{E}_{\#}(\text{SO}(6))$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\#}(\text{SU}(4))$ are not abelian.

This paper is organized as follows. In the first section we prove our main theorem (Theorem 0.1). In the second section we prove Theorem 0.2. In the final section three we will show the above example.

1. Proof of the main theorem. Let X_n be an n th Postnikov stage of X . Then there exist natural homomorphism $J_X^n: \mathcal{E}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X_{n-1})$ and its restriction, $J_{\#}^n: \mathcal{E}_{\#}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\#}(X_{n-1})$ which is denoted by the same symbol. When $m \geq \dim X$, $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^m(X) = \mathcal{E}_{\#}(X_m)$ and we can prove our theorem by induction on the Postnikov decomposition of X . The following exact sequence is due to Y. Nomura [10] (cf. [6], [13] and [16]).

$$0 \rightarrow I(1_{X_n}) \rightarrow H^n(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X)) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{E}_{\#}(X_n) \xrightarrow{J_{\#}^n} \mathcal{E}_{\#}(X_{n-1}).$$

The localization map $l: X \rightarrow X_{(P)}$ can be restricted to the Postnikov stages and the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow I(1_{X_n}) & \rightarrow & H^n(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X)) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathcal{E}_{\#}(X_n) & \rightarrow & \text{Im } J_X^n \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow l & & \downarrow l_{\#} & & \downarrow l_{n-1\#} \\ 0 \rightarrow I(1_{X_{n(P)}}) & \rightarrow & H^n(X_{n-1(P)}; \pi_n(X_{(P)})) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathcal{E}_{\#}(X_{n(P)}) & \rightarrow & \text{Im } J_{X_{(P)}}^n \rightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

In the above diagram,

$$\begin{aligned}
 l: H^n(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X)) &= [X_{n-1}, K(\pi_n(X), n)] \rightarrow [X_{n-1}, K(\pi_n(X_{(P)}), n)] \\
 &= [X_{n-1(P)}, K(\pi_n(X_{(P)}), n)] = H^n(X_{n-1(P)}; \pi_n(X_{(P)}))
 \end{aligned}$$

obviously P -localizes. Since we can show by induction that $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n(P)})$ is a P -local group for any n , it suffices to show that the restriction of $l_{n-1\#}$ to $\text{Im } J_X^n$ and the restriction of l to $I(1_{X_n})$ are both localization maps (Theorem 3.2 Ch. I, [5]).

(I) $l_{n-1\#}: \text{Im } J_X^n \rightarrow \text{Im } J_{X_{(P)}}^n$ P -localizes.

First we recall that $\text{Im } J_X^n = \{f \in \mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1}) \mid f^*k^{n+1} = k^{n+1}\}$, where k^{n+1} is the k -invariant of X (cf. Theorem 2.9 [10]). This group can be identified with the isotropy subgroup at k^{n+1} with respect to the action of $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1})$ on the cohomology group $H^{n+1}(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X))$.

Secondly we assert that this action is nilpotent (for the nilpotent action see §4 of [5]). Let us consider the fibrations

$$K(\pi_m(X), m) \rightarrow X_m \rightarrow X_{m-1}, \quad m \leq n - 1.$$

For $m = 1$, $X_1 = K(\pi_1(X), 1)$ and $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1})$ acts trivially on the cohomology. There exists the $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1})$ -module spectral sequence converging to $H^*(X_m)$.

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X_{m-1}; H^q(\pi_m(X), m)) \Rightarrow H^*(X_m).$$

If we assume that $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1})$ acts on $H^*(X_{m-1})$ nilpotently, then so does $H^*(X_m)$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1})$ acts on $H^*(X_{n-1})$ nilpotently. By the universal coefficient theorem, we obtain the assertion.

Let Q be a nilpotent group acting on a group N nilpotently. Then the localizaton Q_P acts on N_P compatibly in the sense of §1 of [3].

THEOREM. (*P. Hilton [3], Theorem 1.1*) $Q(a)_P = Q_P(ea)$, where $e: N \rightarrow N_P$ localizes and $Q(a)$ denotes the isotropy subgroup of Q at $a \in N$.

By the hypothesis of induction, $l_{n-1\#}: \mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1(P)})$ localizes and by the naturality of $l_{n-1\#}$ it is compatible with the given action of $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1})$ on the cohomology. Thus there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1}) & \rightarrow & \text{Aut } H^{n+1}(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X)) \\
 \downarrow l_{n-1\#} & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1(P)}) & \rightarrow & \text{Aut } H^{n+1}(X_{n-1(P)}; \pi_n(X_P)).
 \end{array}$$

Finally put $Q = \mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n-1})$, $N = H^{n+1}(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X))$ and $a = k^{n+1}$. Then (I) is derived from the above Hilton's Theorem.

(II) $l: I(1_{X_n}) \rightarrow I(1_{X_{n(P)}})$ P -localizes.

$I(1_{X_n})$, the kernel of the homomorphism Δ , is a subgroup of the group $H^n(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X))$ and has the following form ([10]):

$$I(1_{X_n}) = \{x \in H^n(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X)) \mid \mu(x \times 1_{X_n})d = 1_{X_n}\},$$

where μ denotes the action of $K(\pi_n(X), n)$ on X_n , d is a diagonal map. Hence $I(1_{X_n})$ can be regarded as an isotropy subgroup at 1_{X_n} with respect to the action of $H^n(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X))$ on $[X_n, X_n]$. In this case we cannot apply the argument like above because $[X_n, X_n]$ is not generally a group. But we can use the argument which is dual to that of the proof of Theorem 2.5, Ch. II, [5]. We have a fibration:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p_n} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{k^{n+1}} K(\pi_n(X), n+1) (= K).$$

This gives rise to a fibration:

$$F(X_n, X_n) \xrightarrow{p_n^*} F(X_n, X_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{k^{n+1}} F(X_n, K(\pi_n(X), n+1)),$$

where $F(,)$ denotes the function space. Then we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(F(X_n, X_{n-1}), p_n) & \xrightarrow{l_*} & \pi_1(F(X_n, X_{n-1(P)}), lp_n) \\ \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi' \\ \pi_1(F(X_n, K), 0) & \xrightarrow{l_*} & \pi_1(F(X_n, K_{(P)}), 0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_0(F(X_n, X_n), 1_{X_n}) & \xrightarrow{l_*} & \pi_0(F(X_n, X_{n(P)}), l) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{array}$$

It is well known that $\text{Im } \Psi$ ($\text{Im } \Psi'$) coincides with the isotropy subgroup of the $\pi_1(F(X_n, K), 0) = H^n(X_n, K(\pi_n(X), n))$ action ($\pi_1(F(X_n, K_{(P)}), 0) = H^n(X_n, K(\pi_n(X_{(P)}), n))$ action) on $\pi_0(F(X_n, X_n), 1_{X_n})$ ($\pi_0(F(X_n, X_{n(P)}), l)$) at 1_{X_n} (l). We should note that these actions can be regarded as to be induced from the actions of $K(\pi_n(X), n)$ ($K(\pi_n(X_{(P)}), n)$) on X_n ($X_{n(P)}$). Thus if we restrict these actions to $H^n(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X))$ (or $H^n(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X_{(P)}))$), we get actions mentioned earlier. Let $i_N: X_n^N \rightarrow X_n$ be the inclusion of N -skeleton of X_n , with N sufficiently large. The space $F(X_n, X_{n-1}) = F(\varinjlim_j X_n^{N+j}, X_{n-1})$ is homotopy equivalent to $\varprojlim_j F(X_n^{N+j}, X_{n-1})$.

This gives rise to a homotopy equivalence of $(F(X_n, X_{n-1}), p_n)$ and $\varprojlim_j (F(X_n^{N+j}, X_{n-1}), p_n i_{N+j})$. We have a cofibration

$$V \rightarrow X_n^{N+j} \rightarrow X_n^{N+j+1},$$

where V is a wedge of $N + j$ -spheres, giving rise to a fibration

$$(F(V, X_{n-1}), 0) \leftarrow (F(X_n^{N+j}, X_{n-1}), p_n i_{N+j}) \leftarrow (F(X_n^{N+j+1}, X_{n-1}), p_n i_{N+j+1}).$$

Since N is sufficiently large, $(F(V, X_{n-1}), 0)$ is weakly contractible, thus the total space and fibre of this fibration are weakly homotopy equivalent. As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} (F(X_n, X_{n-1}), p_n) &= \varprojlim (F(X_n^{N+j}, X_{n-1}), p_n i_{N+j}) \\ &\simeq (F(X_n^N, X_{n-1}), p_n i_N). \end{aligned}$$

Thus these are nilpotent spaces by Theorem 2.5, Ch. II, [5] and moreover the upper l_* in the above diagram localizes (Theorem 3.11, Ch. II, [5]) and so does the middle l_* . Therefore, $l_*: \text{Im } \Psi \rightarrow \text{Im } \Psi'$ localizes. We have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} I(1_{X_n})_{(P)} &= (\text{Im } \Psi \cap H^n(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X)))_{(P)} \\ &= (\text{Im } \Psi)_{(P)} \cap H^n(X_{n-1}; \pi_n(X))_{(P)}, \quad \text{by Theorem 1.2, [4],} \\ &= \text{Im } \Psi' \cap H^n(X_{n-1(P)}; \pi_n(X_{(P)})) \\ &= I(1_{X_{n(P)}}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (II).

2. Proof of Theorem 0.2. In this section we prove Theorem 0.2. Again we use the induction on the Postnikov decomposition. First we introduce the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $X = K(Q, 2n_k - 1) \times \cdots \times K(Q, 2n_1 - 1)$, $1 \leq n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_k$ with $\beta_{2n_i-1} - \text{rank}_Q(\pi_{2n_i-1}(X)) \leq 1$ for $i \leq k$. Then $\mathcal{E}_\#(X) = Q \oplus \cdots \oplus Q$, the direct sum of rationals of the rank (over Q) $= \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank}_Q(\pi_{2n_i-1}(X)) \cdot (\beta_{2n_i-1} - \text{rank}_Q(\pi_{2n_i-1}(X)))$.*

Proof. On the first Postnikov stage, $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{2n_1-1}) = 1$. Assume that $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{2n_{l-1}-1})$ is an abelian group. By Theorem 2.10 of [11],

$$\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{2n_l-1}) = H^{2n_l-1}(X_{2n_{l-1}-1}; \pi_{2n_l-1}(X)) \times_T E_\#(X_{2n_l-1}),$$

where \times means the semidirect product. Moreover the action of f on ω ($f \in \mathcal{E}_\#(X_{2n_{l-1}-1})$, $\omega \in H^{2n_l-1}(X_{2n_{l-1}-1}; \pi_{2n_l-1}(X))$) is given by $f \cdot \omega = (f)^{*^{-1}}\omega$. Each element ω can be written as the sum,

$$\sum a_{n_{i(1)}} \cup \dots \cup a_{n_{i(m)}},$$

where $a_{n_{i(j)}}$ is the fundamental class of $H^{2n_{i(j)}}(K(Q, 2n_{i(j)} - 1); Q)$, $1 \leq i(j) \leq k$, \cup means the cup product. Obviously, $(f)^{*^{-1}}$ maps ω into ω identically. It follows that $f \cdot \omega = \omega$. What we have just proved is that $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{2n_{l-1}})$ is actually the direct product of $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{2n_{l-1}-1})$ and the cohomology group. The rank (over Q) of $\mathcal{E}_\#(X)$ can be computed as follows (cf. the proof of Theorem 5.4 [1]). Let ρ_l stand for the dimension of $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_{n_{l-1}})$.

$$\rho_l = \text{rank}_Q(H^{2n_l-1}(X_{2n_{l-1}-1}; \pi_{2n_l-1}(X))) + \rho_{l-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}_Q \mathcal{E}_\#(X) &= \rho_k = \sum_{l=2}^k \text{rank}_Q(H^{2n_l-1}(X_{2n_{l-1}-1}; \pi_{2n_l-1}(X))) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^k \text{rank}_Q(\pi_{2n_l-1}(X)) \cdot (\beta_{2n_l-1} - \text{rank}_Q(\pi_{2n_l-1}(X))). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the theorem. By the above proposition, $\mathcal{E}_\#(X_0)$ = the direct sum of rationals. Thus $\mathcal{E}_\#(X)_{(0)} = \mathcal{E}_\#(X_{(0)})$ is also an abelian group. Recall that all torsion elements of a nilpotent subgroup form a normal subgroup. Then the injectivity of rationalization,

$$\mathcal{E}_\#(X)/\text{torsion} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_\#(X)/\text{torsion})_{(0)} = \mathcal{E}_\#(X)_{(0)},$$

implies that $\mathcal{E}_\#(X)/\text{torsion}$ is a free abelian group of the rank mentioned above (since we are assuming that X is of finite type, this group is finitely generated).

3. Further application.

EXAMPLE 3.1 Let Π be the collection of all primes. We see that $\mathcal{E}_\#(\text{SO}(6))_{(\Pi-2)} = \mathcal{E}_\#(\text{SU}(4))_{(\Pi-2)}$. Let us denote this group by G . Then $G = G_{(3)} \times Z_5 \times Z_5$ and $G_{(3)}$ has the following presentation (cf. [11]).

$$G_{(3)} = \langle a, b, c | a^9, b^9, c^3, [a, b], [a, c], [b, c]a^{-3} \rangle.$$

Proof. $\text{SO}(6) \simeq_p \text{Spin}(6) = \text{SU}(4)$ for an odd prime p , where \simeq_p denotes the p -equivalence. Due to this equivalence a half part of the

Example 3.1 is obvious. To determine the group structure we recall the following theorem.

THEOREM (SIERADSKI, THEOREM 4, COROLLARY 8, [14]). *Let X and Y be homotopy associative H -spaces. If the homotopy set $[A \vee B, A \wedge B]$ is trivial, then there is a short exact sequence of multiplicative groups.*

$$(3.2) \quad 1 \rightarrow [X \wedge Y, X \times Y] \xrightarrow{q^{*+1}} \mathcal{E}(X \times Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \Lambda_{IJ}) \rightarrow 1,$$

where $q: X \times Y \rightarrow X \wedge Y$ is the projection, for Λ_{IJ} see [14].

The group structure. Let us denote $\Pi - 2$ by l . There is another l -equivalence: $\mathrm{SO}(6) \simeq_l \mathrm{SO}(5) \times S^5$. We first investigate the group $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{SO}(5)_{(l)} \times S^5_{(l)})$. As it is well known, $\mathrm{SO}(5)_{(l)}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathrm{Sp}(2)_{(l)}$. $(\mathrm{SO}(5) \wedge S^5)_{(l)} \simeq (\mathrm{Sp}(2) \wedge S^5)_{(l)} \simeq (S^8 \cup e^{12} \vee S^{15})_{(l)}$, the triviality of the attaching map of the top cell is due to Lemma 2.1, (ii), [7]. We use this cell structure to obtain (i) $[(\mathrm{SO}(5) \vee S^5)_{(l)}, (\mathrm{SO}(5) \wedge S^5)_{(l)}] = 0$, (ii) $[\mathrm{SO}(5)_{(l)}, S^5_{(l)}] = 0 = [S^5_{(l)}, \mathrm{SO}(5)_{(l)}]$. Therefore (3.2) $(X = \mathrm{SO}(5)_{(l)}, Y = S^5_{(l)})$, its restriction, has the following form.

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow [(S^8 \cup e^{12}) \vee S^{15}, \mathrm{Sp}(2)_{(l)} \times S^5_{(l)}] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\#(\mathrm{SO}(6)_{(l)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\#^{(15)}(\mathrm{Sp}(2))_{(l)} \rightarrow 1.$$

The left-hand side term of this sequence is isomorphic to $Z_9 \oplus Z_{45}$. Let us consider the 3-component. First we recall the result of [12]. Let λ be the map which is introduced in [12] (§1, 1.2):

$$\lambda: \pi_{10}(\mathrm{Sp}(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{Sp}(2)).$$

Then a generator of $\mathcal{E}_\#(\mathrm{Sp}(2))_{(3)}$ ($= \mathcal{E}_\#(\mathrm{SO}(5))_{(3)}$) $= Z_3$ can be represented by $\lambda(i\alpha_2)$, where $i: S^3 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2)$ is the inclusion and $\alpha_2 \in \pi_{10}(S^3)_{(3)} = Z_3$ is the generator [15]. Using the group structure of $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$, $\lambda(i\alpha_2)$ has the other description $1 + i\alpha_2 p$ (Corollary 2.2, [8]), where p is the collapsing map to the top cell. The only nontrivial homotopy group $\pi_i(\mathrm{Sp}(2))_{(3)}$, $10 < i \leq 15$, is $\pi_{14}(\mathrm{Sp}(2))_{(3)} = Z_3$ and its generator is $i\alpha_3(3)$ [9], where $\alpha_3(3) \in \pi_{14}(S^3)_{(3)} = Z_3$, is the generator. Since $(1 + i\alpha_2 p)(i\alpha_3(3)) = i\alpha_3(3)$ it follows that $\mathcal{E}_\#^{15}(\mathrm{Sp}(2))_{(3)} = \mathcal{E}_\#(\mathrm{Sp}(2))_{(3)}$. Similarly, $\mathcal{E}_\#^{15}(\mathrm{Sp}(2))_{(5)} = \mathcal{E}_\#(\mathrm{Sp}(2))_{(5)}$.

A generator of the summand $Z_9 = (Z_{45})_{(3)}$ on the left-hand side of (3.3) may be given by:

$$S^8 \cup e^{12} \vee S^{15} \xrightarrow{r} S^8 \cup e^{12} \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}_1} S^5 \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{SO}(5) \times S^5,$$

where r is the retraction and $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ stands for the extension of $\alpha_1 \in \pi_8(S^5)_{(3)} = Z_3$ is the generator, j is the natural inclusion. The composition,

$$(3.4) \quad (\lambda(-\alpha_2) \times 1)(1 + q^\#(j\tilde{\alpha}_1 r))(\lambda(\alpha_2) \times 1) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{Sp}(2) \times S^5)$$

is homotopic to $(\lambda(-\alpha_2) \times 1)(\lambda(\alpha_2) \times 1 + (j\tilde{\alpha}_1 r)q(\lambda(\alpha_2) \times 1))$.

$(j\tilde{\alpha}_1 r)q(\lambda(\alpha_2) \times 1)$ can be easily seen to be $(j\tilde{\alpha}_1 r)(\lambda(\alpha_2) \wedge 1)$. By the definition of λ , $\lambda(\alpha_2) \wedge 1$ is homotopic to $1 + \Sigma^5(i\alpha_2 p)$. Hence $(j\tilde{\alpha}_1 r)(\lambda(\alpha_2) \wedge 1) = j\tilde{\alpha}_1 r + j\alpha_1 \Sigma^5(\alpha_2 p)$. Hence (3.4) is equal to $1 + q^\#(j\tilde{\alpha}_1 r + j\alpha_1 \Sigma^5(\alpha_2 p))$. At $\pi_{15}(S^5)$, $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = -3\beta_1(5)$ [15], p. 180. The analogous argument permits us to show that the $(1 + q^\#)$ -image of the other Z_9 -summand commutes with $\lambda(\alpha_2) \times 1$.

Put $c = \lambda(-\alpha_2) \times 1$, $b = 1 + q^\#(j(-\tilde{\alpha}_1(r)))$, $a = 1 + q^\#j\beta_1(5)(\Sigma^5 p)$. These imply the assertion on the group structure of $G_{(3)}$. Since we see easily that the 5-components have no non-trivial extensions, we complete the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Arkowitz and C. R. Curjel, *Groups of homotopy classes*, Lecture Notes in Math., **4** (1967).
- [2] E. Dror and A. Zabrodsky, *Unipotency and nilpotency in homotopy equivalences*, Topology, **18** (1979), 187–197.
- [3] P. Hilton, *On orbit set for group actions and localization*, Lecture Notes in Math., **673** (1978), 185–201.
- [4] ———, *Nilpotent actions on nilpotent groups*, Proc. Logic and Math. Conference, Lecture Notes in Math., **450** (1975), 174–196.
- [5] P. Hilton, G. Mislin and J. Roitberg, *Localization of Nilpotent Groups and Spaces*, Mathematics Studies, **15** (1975), North Holland.
- [6] D. W. Kahn, *The group of homotopy equivalences*, Math. Z., **84** (1964), 1–8.
- [7] M. Mimura, *The number of multiplications on $SU(3)$ and $Sp(2)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **146** (1969), 473–492.
- [8] M. Mimura and N. Sawashita, *On the group of self-homotopy equivalences of H -spaces of rank 2*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **21** (1981), 331–349.
- [9] M. Mimura and H. Toda, *Homotopy groups of $SU(3)$, $SU(4)$ and $Sp(2)$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **3** (1964), 251–273.
- [10] Y. Nomura, *Homotopy equivalences in a principal fibre space*, Math. Z., **92** (1966), 380–388.
- [11] S. Oka, *On the group of self homotopy equivalences of H -spaces of low rank II*, Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ., Ser A, **35** (1981), 307–323.
- [12] S. Oka, N. Sawashita and M. Sugawara, *On the group of self-equivalences of a mapping cone*, Hiroshima Math. J., **4** (1974), 9–28.
- [13] J. W. Rutter, *Groups of self homotopy equivalences of induced spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv., **45** (1970), 236–255.
- [14] A. J. Sieradski, *Twisted self-homotopy equivalences*, Pacific J. Math., **34** (1970), 789–802.

- [15] H. Toda, *Composition methods in homotopy groups*, Ann. of Math. Studies., **49** (1962), Princeton Univ. Press.
- [16] K. Tsukiyama, *Self-homotopy-equivalences of a space with two nonvanishing homotopy groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **79** (1980), 134–138.

Received October 15, 1987 and in revised form April 15, 1988.

KYUSHU UNIVERSITY
HAKOZAKI FUKUOKA 812
JAPAN

Current address: Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Education
Chiba University
Yayoicho, Chiba 260
Japan

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906-1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1989 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A. K. Agarwal and David Bressoud, Lattice paths and multiple basic hypergeometric series	209
Adalberto Panobianco Bergamasco and Hermano de Souza Ribeiro, Uniqueness in a doubly characteristic Cauchy problem	229
Thomas Curtis Craven and George Leslie Csordas, Jensen polynomials and the Turán and Laguerre inequalities	241
Gary R. Jensen and Marco Rigoli, Harmonic Gauss maps	261
L. G. Kovács and Cheryl Elisabeth Praeger, Finite permutation groups with large abelian quotients	283
Ken-ichi Maruyama, Localization of a certain subgroup of self-homotopy equivalences	293
Tomasz Mazur, Canonical isometry on weighted Bergman spaces	303
Bernt Karsten Oksendal, A stochastic Fatou theorem for quasiregular functions	311
Ian Fraser Putnam, The C^*-algebras associated with minimal homeomorphisms of the Cantor set	329
Tom Joseph Taylor, Some aspects of differential geometry associated with hypoelliptic second order operators	355
Tom Joseph Taylor, Off diagonal asymptotics of hypoelliptic diffusion equations and singular Riemannian geometry	379