Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CANONICAL ISOMETRY ON WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES

TOMASZ MAZUR

Vol. 136, No. 2

December 1989

CANONICAL ISOMETRY ON WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES

Tomasz Mazur

We consider the spectral properties of a unitary operator called canonical isometry in the space of all holomorphic functions which are square integrable with respect to some measure on a domain in C^N . Particularly, the correspondence of its eigenfunctions and fixed points of holomorphic automorphism is investigated.

1. Introduction. In the study of biholomorphic mappings the Hilbert space methods are very useful and fruitful. It was discovered by S. Bergman [1, 2] that in this area an important role is played by the space $L^2H(D)$, consisting of all functions which are holomorphic and square integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, with scalar product

(1)
$$\langle f,g \rangle = \int_D f(z)\overline{g(z)} \, dm(z), \qquad f,g \in L^2 H(D).$$

Every biholomorphic automorphism $\varphi: D \to D$ induces a unitary operator, called canonical isometry $U_{\varphi}: L^2H(D) \to L^2H(D)$ given by the formula

(2)
$$(U_{\varphi}f)(z) = f(\varphi(z))\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}.$$

Here $\partial \varphi / \partial z$ denotes the complex Jacobian of φ . By Aut(D) we denote a group of biholomorphic automorphisms of a domain D.

In [10] the following spectral property of U_{φ} was established:

THEOREM 1. Assume that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is a domain for which $L^2H(D) \neq \{0\}$. If $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$ has a fixed point, then there exists a linearly dense orthonormal system of eigenfunctions of U_{φ} .

We are inspired by paper [6] with studying the more general situation, which has connections with theory of group representation [8], mathematical physics (see [6]) and ergodic theory (see [3]).

Let u be a measure on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, absolutely continuous and having strictly positive, continuous Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Consider the Hilbert space $L^2H(D, u)$, consisting of all holomorphic functions on D which are square integrable with respect to u, with scalar product

(3)
$$\langle f,g\rangle_u = \int_D f(z)\overline{g(z)} \, du(z), \qquad f,g \in L^2 H(D,u).$$

Consider a biholomorphic automorphism φ of D, such that the mapping $\psi: D \to \mathbf{C}$, in the following expression

(4)
$$u(\varphi(D')) = \int_{D'} |\psi|^2 du$$
 for all domains $D' \subset D$

is nonzero and holomorphic. In fact the set of such automorphisms is a subgroup in Aut(D), with natural group law: $\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2$ and φ^{-1} correspond to $\psi_1(\varphi_2)\psi_2$ and $1/\psi$ respectively. In the literature (see [6], p. 21) it is called a subgroup of maps which leave u invariant modulo holomorphic change of gauge and denoted by G(u).

In this context we obtain a unitary representation of G(u) given by $V_{\varphi}: L^2H(D, u) \to L^2H(D, u), \varphi \in G(u)$, defined as follows

(5)
$$(V_{\varphi}f)(z) = f(\varphi(z))\psi(z)$$

EXAMPLE. Case of Fock space— F_{α}^2 . Let u_{α} , $\alpha > 0$, be a measure on \mathbb{C}^N , for which

$$\left(rac{lpha}{\pi}
ight)^N e^{-lpha|z|^2}$$

is the Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to Lebesgue measure. $F_{\alpha}^2 := L^2 H(\mathbb{C}^N, u_{\alpha})$. Let G be a group of translations in \mathbb{C}^N . If $\varphi \in G$, $\varphi(z) = z - w$, then

(6)
$$\psi(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{N/2} e^{\alpha \langle z, \bar{w} \rangle - \alpha |w|^2/2}.$$

The purpose of the present paper is to prove the following results:

THEOREM 2. Assume that $L^2H(D, u) \neq \{0\}$, $D \subset \mathbb{C}^N$. If $\varphi \in G(u)$ has a fixed point, then there exists a linearly dense, orthogonal system of eigenfunctions of V_{φ} .

THEOREM 3. Let D be a bounded simply connected domain in \mathbb{C}^N . Assume that D is complete and has nonpositive sectional curvature with respect to the Bergman metric. If V_{φ} has any eigenfunction then $\varphi \in G(u)$ has a fixed point.

2. Evaluation functional and reproducing kernel. An evaluation functional (see [13, 14])

$$x_z^*$$
: $L^2H(D, u) \to \mathbf{C}$, $x_z^*(f) = f(z)$, $z \in D$,

is linear and continuous. It implies that $L^2H(D, u)$ has a reproducing kernel. Let $x_z \in L^2H(D, u)$ be an element which represents x_z^* in terms of scalar product. The reproducing kernel is given by

(7)
$$K_u(w, z) = \langle x_z, x_2 \rangle_u, \qquad w, z \in D.$$

LEMMA 1. For arbitrary orthonormal bases $\{e_n\}$, n = 1, 2, ..., in $L^2H(D, u)$

$$K_u(w, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n(w) \overline{e_n(z)}.$$

This sum converges absolutely.

Since V_{φ} is a unitary operator, from Lemma 1 we obtain a transformation rule for reproducing kernel.

LEMMA 2. Let $\varphi \in G(u)$ and $\psi: D \to \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function related to φ as in (4). Then

(8)
$$K_u(w, z) = K_u(\varphi(w), \varphi(z))\psi(w)\overline{\psi(z)}.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}$, n = 1, 2, ..., be an orthonormal base in $L^2H(D, u)$. Since $V_{\varphi}(e_n)$ is also an orthonormal base, we have

$$K_{u}(w, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{\varphi}(e_{n}(w)) \overline{V_{\varphi}(e_{n}(z))}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{n}(\varphi(w)) \psi(w) \overline{e_{n}(\varphi(z))} \psi(z)$$
$$= \psi(w) \overline{\psi(z)} K_{u}(\varphi(w), \varphi(z)).$$

Formula (8) can be written as

(9)
$$\begin{aligned} x_{z} &= (V_{\varphi} x_{\varphi(z)}) \overline{\psi(z)}, \\ x_{z}(w) &= K_{u}(w, z) = K_{u}(\varphi(w), \varphi(z)) \psi(w) \overline{\psi(z)} \\ &= \langle x_{\varphi(z)}, x_{\varphi(w)} \rangle_{u} \psi(w) \overline{\psi(z)} = x_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(w)) \psi(w) \overline{\psi(z)} \\ &= (V_{\varphi} x_{\varphi(z)})(w) \overline{\psi(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider an operator $V_{\varphi}^* \colon L^2 H(D, u)^* \to L^2 H(D, u)^*$ adjoint to V_{φ} .

By definition for every $f \in L^2H(D, u)$

$$(V_{\varphi}^* x_z^*)(f) = x_z^* (V_{\varphi} f) = (V_{\varphi} f)(z) = f(\varphi(z)) \psi(z)$$
$$= \psi(z) x_{\varphi(z)}^* f.$$

Hence, we obtain the following formula for x_z^*

(10) $V_{\varphi}^* x_z^* = \psi(z) x_{\varphi(z)}^*.$

3. The proof of Theorem 2. The mapping

 $z \to x_z^* \in L^2 H(D, u)^*, \qquad z \in D,$

is holomorphic, and

$$f(x_z^*) = x_z^*(f) = f(z) \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2 H(D, u).$$

Hence, for every $t \in D$, x_z^* can be developed for z close to t as a series (see [12], Def. 3.30 and exercise 26)

(11)
$$x_z^* = \sum_{k=(k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_N)} g_k^* (z_1 - t_1)^{k_1} (z_2 - t_2)^{k_2} \cdots (z_N - t_N)^{k_N}$$

for some $g_k^* \in L^2 H(D, u)^*$.

Denote by H_s^* , s = 0, 1, 2, ..., the subspace of $L^2H(D, u)^*$ generated by elements g_k^* , $|k| = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_N \leq s$.

Substituting (11) and the developments of ψ and $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N)$ in a power series about fixed point of φ into (10), we can see (similarly as in [10]) that for every $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(12) V_{\varphi}^* H_s^* = H_s^*.$$

Consider the mapping $P: L^2H(D, u)^* \to L^2H(D, u)$ defined as follows: $P(g^*) = g$, where g represents g^* in terms of scalar product. P maps H_s^* onto the subspace $H_s \subset L^2H(D, u)$, generated by $g_k = P(g_k^*)$, $|k| \leq s$.

It turns out that for every $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, [10]$.

$$(13) V_{\varphi}H_s = H_s.$$

Denote by \hat{H}_s , s = 1, 2, ..., the orthogonal complement of H_{s-1} in H_s . For every s = 1, 2, ...,

(14)
$$V_{\varphi}\hat{H}_{s} = \hat{H}_{s}.$$

Every \hat{H}_s has finite dimension and $L^2H(D, u)$ is an orthogonal sum of \hat{H}_s .

There exists the orthogonal system E_s , s = 1, 2, ..., of eigenfunctions of $V_{\varphi}: \hat{H}_s \to \hat{H}_s$. If $\hat{H}_s = \{0\}$, set $E_s = \emptyset$. Define

$$E_0 = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{g_0}{\|g_0\|} \right\} & \text{if } g_0 \neq 0, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally $E = \bigcup_{s=0}^{\infty} E_s$ composes the complete orthonormal system in $L^2H(D, u)$.

4. The proof of Theorem 3. Let now D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^{N} .

Denote by K_D the Bergman function of D [1, 13, 14] (K_D is a reproducing kernel in $L^2H(D)$).

A riemannian structure on D is given by the Bergman metric tensor (see [1, 7, 14])

(15)
$$T(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \log K_D(z,z)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j + \frac{\partial^2 \log K_D(z,z)}{\partial \bar{z}_i \partial z_j} d\bar{z}_i \otimes dz_j \right).$$

The Bergman and Euclidean distances generate the same topology.

The orbit of $\varphi \in Aut(D)$ in $z \in D$ we will call the set

(16)
$$\operatorname{Orb}_{\varphi}(z) := \{ w \in D : w = \varphi^n(z), n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \}.$$

We shall need the following classical result:

THEOREM 4 (HOPF-RINOV). Let M be a connected riemannian manifold. The following conditions are equivalent:

1°. M is complete metric space;

 2° . every closed and bounded subset of M is compact.

The above theorem and the fact that biholomorphic mappings are isometries of the Bergman metric yield:

LEMMA 3. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be a domain complete with respect to the Bergman metric. For every $\gamma \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$ and $z, w \in D$:

 $\operatorname{Orb}_{\gamma}(z) \subset \subset D$ if and only if $\operatorname{Orb}_{\gamma}(w) \subset \subset D$.

The fundamental observation is contained in the following:

LEMMA 4. Let $D \subset C^N$ be a domain complete with respect to the Bergman metric. For every $\varphi \in G(u)$ if there exists $z \in D$, such that $\operatorname{Orb}_{\varphi}(z)$ is not relatively compact in D, then V_{φ} has no eigenfunction.

Proof. Suppose, that for some $l \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 \neq f \in L^2H(D, u)$,

 $V_{\varphi}f = lf$ or equivalently $f(\varphi(z))\psi(z) = lf(z), z \in D.$

Since |l| = 1, we have $|f(\varphi(z))|^2 |\psi(z)|^2 = |f(z)|^2$. Let $B(z^0, 1) \subset D$ be a ball with center z^0 and radius 1.

Integrating by change of variables yields

$$\int_{B(z^{0},1)} |f(z)|^{2} du(z) = \int_{B(z^{0},1)} |f(\varphi(z)|^{2} |\psi(z)|^{2} du(z)$$
$$= \int_{\varphi(B(z^{0},1))} |f(z)|^{2} du(z).$$

From Lemma 3, $\operatorname{Orb}_{\varphi}(z^0)$ is not relatively compact. Since the closure $B(z^0, 1)$ is compact, there exists $z^1 \in \operatorname{Orb}_{\varphi}(z^0)$ such that

 $B(z^0, 1) \cap B(z^1, 1) = \emptyset.$

For the closure of $B(z^0, 1) \cup B(z^1, 1)$ is also compact, there exists $z^2 \in \operatorname{Orb}_{\varphi}(z^0)$, for which

$$(\boldsymbol{B}(z^0,1)\cup\boldsymbol{B}(z^1,1))\cap\boldsymbol{B}(z^2,1)=\varnothing.$$

In this way we are able to construct an infinite sequence of balls B_n , n = 1, 2, ..., which do not intersect each other and

$$\int_{B_n} |f(z)|^2 \, du(z) = \text{const} > 0 \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

But

$$\int_{D} |f(z)|^{2} du(z) > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{n}} |f(z)|^{2} du(z) = \infty,$$

contrary to the assumption.

Let us now consider $\varphi \in G(u)$ with relatively compact orbits. Denote by Λ a group

$$\Lambda := \{ \gamma \in \operatorname{Aut}(D) \colon \gamma = \varphi^n, \ n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \}$$

and by $\overline{\Lambda}$ its closure in a topology of locally uniform convergence. Our aim is to show that $\overline{\Lambda}$ is a compact subgroup of Aut(D).

LEMMA 5. Let $\xi \in Aut(D)$ and for some $z^0 \in D$, $Orb_{\xi}(z^0)$ be relatively compact. Then

(a) $\xi^n(\operatorname{Orb}_{\xi}(z^0)) \subset \operatorname{Orb}_{\xi}(z^0), n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, and$

(b) if γ_m , m = 1, 2, ..., is an arbitrary subsequence in Λ , locally uniformly convergent to γ , then $\gamma(\operatorname{Orb}_{\xi}(z^0)) \subset \overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{\xi}(z^0)}$.

Proof. (a) It is obvious.

(b) Set $\gamma_m = \xi^k$. From continuity of γ_m and (a) we have

 $\gamma_m(z) \in \overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{\xi}(z^0)}$ for every $z \in \operatorname{Orb}_{\xi}(z^0)$.

Hence

$$\gamma(z) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \gamma_m(z) \in \overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{\xi}(z^0)}.$$

THEOREM 5 (H. CARTAN), [11]. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be a bounded domain. For every sequence $(\gamma_n) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(D)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, which is locally uniformly convergent to a mapping $\gamma: D \to \mathbb{C}^N$ the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $\gamma \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$, (b) $\gamma(D) \notin \partial D$ (boundary of D)

In view of Theorem 5, we see, that $\overline{\Lambda}$ is a group of automorphisms. Since D is bounded, the compactness of $\overline{\Lambda}$ follows from:

THEOREM 6 (MONTEL). Every family of commonly bounded holomorphic mappings $\gamma_m : D \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is compact in the topology of locally uniform convergence.

The topology of locally uniform convergence in Aut(D) is the same as topology induced by the Bergman metric. Hence, $\overline{\Lambda}$ is a compact group of isometries of D as a riemannian manifold. The statement of Theorem 3 is a consequence of:

THEOREM 7 (E. CARTAN). Every compact group of isometries of simply connected, complete riemannian manifolds with nonpositive sectional curvature has a common fixed point.

5. Remark. Very fine characterization of domain with nonpositive sectional curvature with respect to the Bergman metric is given in paper [4]. Namely, if $D \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is a bounded, homogeneous domain, then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) D has nonpositive sectional curvature with respect to the Bergman metric;

(b) D is symmetric domain.

References

- [1] S. Bergman, *The kernel function and conformal mapping*, Math. Surveys 5, second ed., Amer. Math. Soc., 1970.
- [2] _____, Uber die Kernfunktion eines Bereiches und ihr Verhalten am Rande, J. Reine Angew. Math., 169 (1933), 1-42; 172 (1934), 89-123.
- [3] W. Chojnacki, On some holomorphic dynamical systems, Quart. J. Math., 39 (1988), 159-172.

TOMASZ MAZUR

- [4] J. E. D'Atri and D. Miatello, A characterization of bounded symmetric domains by curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 276 no. 2 (1983), 521–540.
- [5] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, 1962.
- [6] S. Janson, J. Peetre and R. Rochberg, *Hankel forms and the Fock Space*, Uppsala University, Dept. of Mathematics, Report 6 1986.
- S. Kobayshi, Geometry of bounded domains, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 92 (1959), 267–290.
- [8] _____, On automorphism group of homogeneous complex manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 12 No. 3 (1961), 359-361.
- [9] T. Mazur, Własnońci spektralne i punkty stale automorfizmów obszarow ograniczonych, Doctoral Thesis, Jagiellonian Univ. Kraków 1985.
- [10] T. Mazur and M. Skwarczyński, Spectral properties of holomorphic automorphism with fixed point, Glasgow Math. J., 28 (1986), 25-30.
- [11] R. Narasimhan, Several complex variables, Chicago Lect. in Math., 1971.
- [12] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill, 1973.
- [13] M. Skwarczyński, The Bergman function and semiconformal mappings, Thesis, Warsaw University, 1969.
- [14] _____, Biholomorphic invariants related to the Bergman functions, Dissertationes Mathematicae, PWN, Warsaw, 1980.

Received April 7, 1987.

Technical University Malczewskiego 29 26-600 Radom, Poland

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HERBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093 R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 ROBION KIRBY University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA (1906-1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONAUNIVERSITY OF OREGONUNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIAUNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIACALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGYSTANFORD UNIVERSITYUNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIAUNIVERSITY OF HAWAIIMONTANA STATE UNIVERSITYUNIVERSITY OF TOKYOUNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENOUNIVERSITY OF UTAHNEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITYWASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITYOREGON STATE UNIVERSITYUNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1989 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 136, No. 2 December, 1989

A. K. Agarwal and David Bressoud, Lattice paths and multiple basic	
hypergeometric series	209
Adalberto Panobianco Bergamasco and Hermano de Souza Ribeiro,	
Uniqueness in a doubly characteristic Cauchy problem	229
Thomas Curtis Craven and George Leslie Csordas, Jensen polynomial	S
and the Turán and Laguerre inequalities	241
Gary R. Jensen and Marco Rigoli, Harmonic Gauss maps	261
L. G. Kovács and Cheryl Elisabeth Praeger, Finite permutation groups	
with large abelian quotients	283
Ken-ichi Maruyama, Localization of a certain subgroup of self-homotop	у
equivalences	293
Tomasz Mazur, Canonical isometry on weighted Bergman spaces	303
Bernt Karsten Oksendal, A stochastic Fatou theorem for quasiregular	
functions	311
Ian Fraser Putnam, The C*-algebras associated with minimal	
homeomorphisms of the Cantor set	329
Tom Joseph Taylor, Some aspects of differential geometry associated with	th
hypoelliptic second order operators	355
Tom Joseph Taylor, Off diagonal asymptotics of hypoelliptic diffusion	
equations and singular Riemannian geometry	379