

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON REGULAR SUBDIRECT PRODUCTS OF SIMPLE ARTINIAN
RINGS**

CHEN-LIAN CHUANG AND PJEK-HWEE LEE

ON REGULAR SUBDIRECT PRODUCTS OF SIMPLE ARTINIAN RINGS

CHEN-LIAN CHUANG AND PJEK-HWEE LEE

We construct a counterexample to settle simultaneously the following questions all in the negative: (1) Is a regular subdirect product of simple artinian rings unit-regular? (2) If R is a regular ring such that every nonzero ideal of R contains a nonzero ideal of bounded index, is R unit-regular? (3) Is a regular ring with a Hausdorff family of pseudo-rank functions unit-regular? (4) If R is a regular ring which contains no infinite direct sum of nonzero pairwise isomorphic right ideals, is R unit-regular? (5) Is a regular Schur ring unit-regular?

In [1] Goodearl proposed a list of open problems on regular rings. Some involve potential sufficient conditions for a regular ring to be unit-regular. The primary aim of this paper is to construct a counterexample for the questions 6, 7, 8, 9 (second part) and 11 in Goodearl's book.

Among others the sixth question asks: *Is a regular subdirect product of simple artinian rings always unit-regular?* In [4] Tyukavkin has shown that any regular algebra over an uncountable field, which is a subdirect product of countably many simple artinian rings, is unit-regular. Recently, Goodearl and Menal [2] have generalized this result by showing that any regular algebra over an uncountable field, which has no uncountable direct sums of nonzero right or left ideals, must be unit-regular; in particular, any regular algebra over an uncountable field, which has a rank function, is unit-regular. In this paper we shall construct an example of a regular ring which is a subdirect product of countably many simple artinian rings but is *not* unit-regular.

Let F be a countable field, $F[t]$ the ring of polynomials over F in an indeterminate t , and $F(t)$ the quotient field of $F[t]$. Define an exponential valuation ∂ on $F(t)$ by $\partial r(t) = +\infty$ if $r(t) = 0$ and $\partial r(t) = n$ if $r(t) = t^n f(t)/g(t)$ where n is an integer and $f(t), g(t) \in F[t]$ with $t \nmid f(t)g(t)$. Let V be the valuation ring associated with ∂ , namely, $V = \{r(t) \in F(t) \mid \partial r(t) \geq 0\}$. Note that $F[t]$, $F(t)$ and V are all countable. Consequently, V is a countable-dimensional vector space over F .

Let $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n, \dots$ be a basis of V over F . First, we may assume that $\partial v_i \neq \partial v_j$ for $i \neq j$. Suppose that n is the least integer such that $\partial v_n = \partial v_i$ for some $i < n$. Choose $\alpha_i \in F$ so that $v_n/v_i - \alpha_i \in tV$; then $\partial(v_n - \alpha_i v_i) > \partial v_i$. If $\partial(v_n - \alpha_i v_i) = \partial v_j$ for some $j < n$, then $\partial(v_n - \alpha_i v_i - \alpha_j v_j) > \partial v_j$ for some $\alpha_j \in F$. Continuing this process we get a v'_n such that $\partial v'_n \neq \partial v_i$ for all $i < n$ and that $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v'_n\}$ spans the same subspace as $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n\}$ does. Next, we assume, by reordering, that $\partial v_0 < \partial v_1 < \partial v_2 < \dots$. For $v = \alpha_k v_k + \alpha_{k+1} v_{k+1} + \dots$ with $\alpha_k \neq 0$, we see that $\partial v = \partial v_k$. Since v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots span the whole space V , we must have $\partial v_0 = 0$, $\partial v_1 = 1$, $\partial v_2 = 2$ and so on.

We begin by constructing a ring which is similar to that in Bergman's example [1; Example 4.26]. Let S be the set of those $x \in E = \text{End}_F(V)$ such that $(x - a)t^n V = 0$ for some $a \in F(t)$ and some nonnegative integer n . As in [1; p. 47] we observe that a depends only on x , that is, for each $x \in S$ there is a unique element $\varphi x \in F(t)$ such that $(x - \varphi x)t^n V = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$. Also, it can be verified that S is an F -subalgebra of E containing $F[t]$ and that φ is an F -algebra map of S onto $F(t)$. In addition, $\ker \varphi$ is a regular ideal of S and $S/\ker \varphi \simeq F(t)$, and therefore S is a regular ring. However, S is not unit-regular because of the existence of $t \in S$ which is injective but not surjective on V .

Let us fix a basis v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots of V over F with $\partial v_n = n$ for all n . Then v_n, v_{n+1}, \dots form a basis of $t^n V$ over F . Let π_n be the projection of V onto the subspace spanned by v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n with kernel $t^{n+1} V$. Consider the matrix of $a \in S$ with respect to the basis v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots . Certainly, it is column-finite. That is, for any $m \geq 0$ there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $(1 - \pi_n)a\pi_m = 0$. Also, it is row-finite: for any $m \geq 0$ there exists $n \geq 0$ such that both $(a - \varphi a)t^n V = 0$ and $(\varphi a)t^n V \subseteq t^{m+1} V$, consequently, $a(t^n V) \subseteq t^{m+1} V$ and $\pi_m a(1 - \pi_n) = 0$.

Set $W = S \times \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_k E \pi_k$ and write elements of W as sequences $w = (w_{-1}, w_0, w_1, \dots)$ where $w_{-1} \in S$ and $w_k \in \pi_k E \pi_k$ for $k \geq 0$. Let R be the set of elements $w \in W$ satisfying the following two conditions: (i) for any $m \geq 0$ there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $w_k \pi_m = w_{-1} \pi_m$ for all $k \geq n$; (ii) for any $m \geq 0$ there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $\pi_m w_k = \pi_m w_{-1}$ for all $k \geq n$. It is clear that R is an F -subspace of W . To show that R is a ring, we consider any $u, w \in R$ and $m \geq 0$. There exists $n \geq 0$ such that $w_k \pi_m = w_{-1} \pi_m$ for all $k \geq n$. Now because $w_{-1} \in S$ is column-finite, $w_{-1} \pi_m = \pi_j w_{-1} \pi_m$ for some $j \geq 0$. Also, there exists $n' \geq 0$ such that $u_k \pi_j = u_{-1} \pi_j$ for all $k \geq n'$. Then

$u_k w_k \pi_m = u_k w_{-1} \pi_m = u_k \pi_j w_{-1} \pi_m = u_{-1} \pi_j w_{-1} \pi_m = u_{-1} w_{-1} \pi_m$ for all $k \geq \max\{n, n'\}$. Similarly, we can show that there exists $n'' \geq 0$ such that $\pi_m u_k w_k = \pi_m u_{-1} w_{-1}$ for all $k \geq n''$. Thus, $uw \in R$. Therefore R is an F -subalgebra of W .

Let $\alpha: R \rightarrow \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_k E \pi_k$ be the projection $(w_{-1}, w_0, w_1, \dots) \mapsto (w_0, w_1, \dots)$. Given any $w \in \ker \alpha$, $w_k = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. For any $m \geq 0$ we have $w_{-1} \pi_m = w_k \pi_m = 0$ for some k . Hence, $w_{-1} = 0$ and so $w = 0$. Thus α is injective. If $w_k \in \pi_k E \pi_k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, then $w = (0, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n, 0, \dots) \in R$ and $\alpha w = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n, 0, \dots)$. In other words, $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_k E \pi_k \subseteq \alpha R$.

Let $\beta: R \rightarrow S$ be the projection $(w_{-1}, w_0, w_1, \dots) \mapsto w_{-1}$. For $x \in S$, set $w = (x, \pi_0 x \pi_0, \pi_1 x \pi_1, \dots) \in W$. Let $m \geq 0$. Since x is column-finite, there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $(1 - \pi_k) x \pi_m = 0$ for all $k \geq n$. Then $w_k \pi_m = \pi_k x \pi_k \pi_m = \pi_k x \pi_m = x \pi_m = w_{-1} \pi_m$ for all $k \geq \max\{m, n\}$. Similarly, there exists $n' \geq 0$ such that $\pi_m w_k = \pi_m w_{-1}$ for all $k \geq n'$. Thus $w \in R$ and $\beta w = x$. Hence, β is surjective.

It remains to show that R is regular. But since $R/\ker \beta \simeq S$ is regular, it suffices to show the regularity of $\ker \beta$. Let $w \in \ker \beta$. For each $m \geq 0$ there exist $n_m \geq 0$ such that $w_k \pi_m = \pi_m w_k = 0$ for all $k \geq n_m$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 < n_0 < n_1 < \dots$. For $0 \leq k < n_0$, choose $u_k \in \pi_k E \pi_k$ such that $w_k u_k w_k = w_k$. For $n_m \leq k < n_{m+1}$, we have $w_k \in (1 - \pi_m) \pi_k E \pi_k (1 - \pi_m)$, and so choose $u_k \in (1 - \pi_m) \pi_k E \pi_k (1 - \pi_m)$ such that $w_k u_k w_k = w_k$. Thus $u = (0, u_0, u_1, \dots) \in W$ and $uw = w$. Moreover, $u_k \pi_m = \pi_m u_k = 0$ for all $k \geq n_m$ by construction. Hence, $u \in R$ and so $u \in \ker \beta$. Therefore, $\ker \beta$ is regular, and so R is regular. On the other hand, S , which is not unit-regular, is a homomorphic image of R . Consequently, R cannot be unit-regular.

Thus, we have constructed a regular ring R which is not unit-regular. Since $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_k E \pi_k \subseteq \alpha R \subseteq \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_k E \pi_k$, where α is a monomorphism and $\pi_k E \pi_k \simeq M_k(F)$, R is a subdirect product of simple artinian rings. This settles Question 6 in the negative.

A ring R is said to be of bounded index if there exists a positive integer n such that $x^n = 0$ for all nilpotent elements x in R . The seventh question is: *If R is a regular ring such that every nonzero two-sided ideal of R contains a nonzero two-sided ideal of bounded index, is R unit-regular?* This question is in fact equivalent to Question 6. Instead of showing this, one can verify easily that the example constructed above satisfies the condition of this question. Let I be a nonzero two-sided ideal of αR . Let $w = (w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots) \in I$ with

$w_n \neq 0$ for some $n \geq 0$. Since $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_k E \pi_k \subseteq \alpha R$ and $\pi_n E \pi_n$ is simple, it follows that I contains a nonzero two-sided ideal isomorphic to $\pi_n E \pi_n$ which is clearly of bounded index. This gives a negative answer to Question 7.

A *pseudo-rank function* on a regular ring R is a map $N : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that (a) $N(1) = 1$, (b) $N(xy) \leq \min\{N(x), N(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in R$, (c) $N(e+f) = N(e)+N(f)$ for all orthogonal idempotents $e, f \in R$. If, in addition, $N(x) = 0$ only if $x = 0$, N is called a *rank function* on R . The set of all pseudo-rank functions on R is denoted by $\mathbf{P}(R)$. Given a family $X \subseteq \mathbf{P}(R)$, we use $\ker(X)$ to denote the kernel of X , namely, $\ker(X) = \{x \in R \mid N(x) = 0 \text{ for all } N \in X\}$. Since all simple artinian rings have rank functions [1; Corollary 16.6], then $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1/2^{k+1})N_k$ defines a rank function on $\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k(F)$, where N_k is a rank function on $M_k(F)$. Thus any regular subdirect product R of $\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k(F)$ has a rank function and hence $\ker(\mathbf{P}(R)) = 0$. Therefore we have obtained a counterexample to the eighth question: *If R is a regular ring such that $\ker(\mathbf{P}(R)) = 0$, is R unit-regular?* Since a regular ring with a rank function contains no infinite direct sums of nonzero pairwise isomorphic right or left ideals [1; Proposition 16.11], the second part of Question 9 is also settled: *If R is a regular ring which contains no infinite direct sums of nonzero pairwise isomorphic right ideals, is R unit-regular?* Finally, a regular ring with a rank function satisfies the hypothesis of Question 11 [3; Theorem 5]: *Let R be a regular ring, and assume that whenever $x, y \in R$ such that $xy = yx$ and $xR + yR = R$, then $Rx + Ry = R$. Is R unit-regular?* Thus our example also provides a negative answer to this question.

Acknowledgment. This is a revised version of our original paper. The referee pointed out that the technique of D. V. Tyukavkin in [4; proof of Theorem 2] which was not known to us then can streamline our proof considerably. He also indicated the existence of rank function in our example which enables us to settle Questions 8, 9 (second part) and 11 also in the negative.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. R. Goodearl, *Von Neumann Regular Rings*, Pitman, London, 1979.
- [2] K. R. Goodearl and P. Menal, *Stable range one for rings with many units*, J. Pure Applied Algebra, **54** (1988), 261–287.
- [3] D. Handelman and R. Raphael, *Regular Shur rings*, Arch. Math., **31** (1978), 332–338.

- [4] D. V. Tyukavkin, *Regular rings with involution*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Meh., **39** (3) (1984), 29–32. (English translation: Moscow Univ. Math. Bull., **39** (3) (1984), 38–41.)

Received January 10, 1988.

NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY
TAIPEI, TAIWAN 10674

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906-1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF
(1904-1989)

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Marco Andreatta, Mauro Beltrametti and Andrew Sommese, Generic properties of the adjunction mapping for singular surfaces and applications	1
Chen-Lian Chuang and Pjek-Hwee Lee, On regular subdirect products of simple Artinian rings	17
Fernando Giménez and Vicente Miquel Molina, Volume estimates for real hypersurfaces of a Kaehler manifold with strictly positive holomorphic sectional and antiholomorphic Ricci curvatures	23
Richard J. Griego and Andrzej Korzeniowski, Asymptotics for certain Wiener integrals associated with higher order differential operators	41
Abdeslam Mesnaoui, Unitary bordism of classifying spaces of quaternion groups	49
Abdeslam Mesnaoui, Unitary cobordism of classifying spaces of quaternion groups	69
Jesper M. Møller, On equivariant function spaces	103
Bassam Nassrallah, A q-analogue of Appell's F_1 function, its integral representation and transformations	121
Peter A Ohring, Solvability of invariant differential operators on metabelian groups	135
Athanase Papadopoulos and R. C. Penner, Enumerating pseudo-Anosov foliations	159
Ti-Jun Xiao and Liang Jin, On complete second order linear differential equations in Banach spaces	175
Carl Widland and Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points on Gorenstein curves	197